











Frontispiece?



Britania presenting the Queen, and her Children to Religion and Liberty.

# George OF Fludger. ENGLAND,

BY

QUESTION and ANSWER,

The Invasion of JULIUS CÆSAR

TO

#### The YEAR M.DCC.LXVI.

Extracted from the

Most Celebrated English Historians,

RAPIN, TINDAL, HUME, and SMOLLETT;

Inftruction and Entertainment of the Youth of both Sexes.

Historia verd Testis (est.) Temporum, Lux Veritatis, Vita Memoriæ, Magistra Vitæ, Nuntia Vetustatis.

CICERO.

#### LONDON:

Printed for S. CROWDER, at the Looking-Glass, and J. COOTE, at the King's-Arms, in Paternoster-Row.

MDCCLXVI.

#### ERRATA.

Page 6, line 9, from the bottom, for his bundred thousand pounds, read seven bundred thousand pounds.

And line 3, for seven bundred thousand pounds, read eight bundred thousand pounds, read eight bundred thousand pounds.

## REFA

THE knowledge of history, especially of the history of one's own country, has been always considered as extremely useful, and even, in some cases, indispensably necessary. In a despotic government, indeed, where the power of the fovereign is absolute and unlimited, this knowledge is of less consequence; because, every thing being there ruled by arbitrary will, the subject has no other method of securing his life, his liberty, and his property, than by recommending himself to the favour of the prince, or of those who all under his commission. But in a free government, where the power of the fovereign is limited and restrained, this knowledge is of the utmost importance; because, every thing being there determined by certain fixed and established laws, the Subject, who knows and obeys thefe laws, bas nothing to fear from the resentment of the prince, but anjoys a perfect and undiffurbed security. These laws, however, may be best learned from the study of history. And as the government of England is univerfally allowed to be one of the freeft, if not the very freeft, that ever existed, the study of the English bistory must be peculiarly useful. From this study we may derive a knowledge of the laws of the land, of the conflitution of the kingdom, of the lives of those beroes and patriots who have distinguished themselves in the service of their country; and, in a word, of all those great and glorious actions, that have been performed by the natives of this island.

As this study, therefore, is so very beneficial, it cannot, postbly, be too early begun, or too carefully prosecuted. The first impressions, it is well known, are commonly the most lasting. The opinions we form, or the prejudices we im ibe, in our tender years, we find it extremely difficult, in a more advanced age, either to alter or correct. It must, therefore, be the duty of parents, guardians, tutors, schoolmasters, and of all who are intrusted with the education of youth, to imbue their minds with proper notions of the hiftory of their country; and for this purpose the following work is particularly calculated. Nor is this the only end, which it may be made to answer. It may likewise be of some use to persons of riper years, whether they are, or are

not, acquainted with the hiftory of their country. The latter it may enable, in some degree, to acquire this necessary branch of knowledge; and to the former it may ferve as a kind of remembrancer, to review their memory; to connect in their minds the scattered events; and to help them to decide, without having recourse to larger bistories, any controversy that may arise in conversation.

So much for the utility of a work of this kind in general. Why the author of the present work has presumed to offer to the public a new history of England, by question and answer, re-

mains to be explained.

The author of the former hiftory of England by question and answer, though, by no means, chargeable with partiality or prejudice, appears to have failed in the following particulars. He does not give, in our opinion, a sufficiently distinct account of the English constitution. He omits entirely that part of the bistory, which precedes the union of the Saxon beptarchy, comprehending a space of no less than 856 years; and though the events, which happened during that period, bear no proportion, either in number or importance, to the length of time, yet they ought not furely to be passed over in silence. He forgets to preserve the necessary connection between different facts of the Jame nature, and oven between the different circumstances of the Same fact. The account of parliamentary transactions is frequently interrupted by the relation of military incidents; and the history of an expedition to the East or West Indies is sometimes broken and disjointed by the mention of a battle in Germany, upon which it has no immediate or visible dependance. Thus the reader often finds it difficult to form a distinct idea of many particular occurrences, and, of consequence, is the less likely to remember them. He neglects, at least in a great measure, to mark the progress of the arts and sciences, and to record the names and properties of those great men who have contributed towards their advancement.

In all these particulars, the author of the present work has endeavoured to Supply the defects of his predecessor: bow far be bas succeeded in his endeavours, must be left to the impartial

decision of the public,

#### A COMPLETE

# History of ENGLAND,

By QUESTION and ANSWER.

## CHAP. I.

A general Description of the COUNTRY; its Climate, Soil, Produce, &c.

HAT is the most useful kind of study? A. The fludy of history.

2. What history should one first begin to

A. The history of one's own country

2. What is the name of your own country? A. Great- Britain.

2. Has it always gone by that name?

A. No: it was originally called Bratanack, probably from the great quantity of tin, with which it abounds. It was afterwards named Albien, from its white chalky cliffs, as fome think, which are visible from the continent. It then went by the names of Bretanike, and Bretanea. It was some time after divided into England, and Scatland; the former lying to the fouth, the latter to the north. And last of all, upon the accession of James VI. of Scotland to the crown of England, it was diffinguished by the name of Great-Britain, which it ttill retains.

2. Do not the British dominions in Europe comprehend fomething more than the island called Great Britain?

A. Yes: they likewife comprehend the kingdom of Ireland; but of that we shall have occasion to speak, when we come to describe its conquest under Henry II.

#### 2 HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

2. What is the shape of Great-Britain?

A. Triangular: the angles being the Lizard-point to the west; that of Foreland, or Sandwich near Dover to the east; and that of Straithy-head to the north.

2. How is it bounded?

A. By the British channel on the fouth, which divides it from France; by the German sea on the east, which separates it from Flanders, Germany, and Denmank; by the Deucaledonian ocean on the north; and by the Irish sea, and the Atlantic ocean, on the west.

2. What is the extent of Great-Britain?

A. Its length is about 660 miles; its breadth about 300; and its circumference is 1836 miles.

2. What proportion does Great-Britain bear to the other

kingdoms of Europe?

A. It is nearly equal to one tenth part of Ruffiz; to one third of Germany; to one third of Funko, It is less than Denmark by one half; than Italy by one fifth; than Spain by one fixth; and than France by one fourteenth. It is three times as large as Perrugal; fix times as large as Perrugal; fix times as large as the Auftrian Netherland; and ten times as large as the Auftrian Netherland; and ten times as large as the United Previnces.

2. When did the fouthern part of Great-Britain first re-

ceive the name of England?

A. About the year 827, when Egbert subdued all the kingdoms of the Heptarchy, and reduced them into one.

2. How is England divided from Scotland?

A. By the rivers Tweed and Solway, and the mountains of Chiviot.

2. What is the general face of the country?

A. It is, in general, plain and level, though, in some parts, chequered with hills and dales; and is watered by a variety of springs and rivers.

2. What are the principal rivers of England?
A. The Thames, the Medway, the Severne, the Humber,

A. The Fhames, the Medway, the Severne, the the Trent, and the Oufe.

2. What is the nature of its foil?

A. It is rich and fruitful; producing, in great abundance, all the necessaries and conveniencies of life.

2. What is its chief produce?

A. Corn, cattle, wool, and timber; lead, iron, and tin; the last of which is allowed to be the best in the universe.

2 What animals does England afford?

A. Almost all those animals, at least all the useful ones, that are to be found in the other countries of Europe; and fome of them arrive at a greater degree of perfection here, than in any other part of the world. The oxen, particularly, and the theory, are of a larger size; and the horses, whether for war, for hunting, or the plough, are universally preferred to those of any other countries.

2. Are there any wild beafts in England?

A. None that are dangerous. It is faid, indeed, to have once abounded with wolves; but the Englife kings, by imposing a yearly tax of three hundred wolves heads upon the VFuli princes, found means, in a fluort time, to desiroy the whole foecies.

2. What kind of a climate does England enjoy?

A. A much more mild and gentle one than those countries which lie in the same latitude on the continent; the state of the summer, and the cold of the winter, being, both of them, tempered by the breezes from the sea. The air, however, is not very pure, and the weather is extremely changeable.

#### CHAP. II.

## Of the GOVERNMENT of England.

WHAT is the nature of the English government?

A. "Tis of a mixt nature; being partly Monarchical, partly Ariflocratical, and partly Democratical. The Monarchy is sepreferted by the King, the Ariflocracy by the Lords, and the Democracy by the Commons, which two latt compose the Parliament.

2. Do the women succeed to the throne?

A. They do, in default of male iffue, as in all other countries of Europe, except France, where, by what is called the Salie law, the women are excluded from the fucceffion.

#### HISTORY of ENGLAND.

2. Whether is the crown hereditary, or elective?

A. This hereditary; but not fo firially, but that the true, heir, and fometimes even the royal family, has been fet a fide, in order to make room for another, whole advancement to the throne was fupposed to be more for the good of the public

2. How many families have fat upon the English throne?

A. Seven.

2. What are their names?

A. The first is the Saxon, or Angle-Saxon family, for, before the arrival of these people, the kingdom was never united under one sovereign; the second is that of the Daner; the third, that of the Norman; the fourth, that of Plantagent, or the house of Anjue; the fifth, that of Tudor; the fixth, that of Sumn; and the seventh, and present family, that of Bungius; the fixth, and present family, that of Bungius; the second plantage is the second plantage in the second plantage in the second plantage is the second plantage in the second plantage in the second plantage is the second plantage in the second plantage is the second plantage.

2. How many kings did each of these families pro-

duce ?

A. The Saxon family produced feventeen kings; the Danish family three kings; the Vorman, four; the Plantagenet, or hould feel Anjou, fourteen; the Tudor, three kings and two queens; the Stuart, four kings, and two queens; and from the prefent, or Brun/wick family, three kings have forung.

## CHAP. III.

## Of the KING.

HAT power is the king of England possessed of?

A. He is possessed of very great power, as well in civil and military, as in ecclesiastical assairs.

2. What power has he in civil affairs?

A. He alone has the power to make peace, or declare war; to conclude leagues, or treaties; to fend, or receive ambaffadors; to call, proregue, or diffolve the parliament; to confer titles of honour; to pardon criminals, or leffen the degree of their punifilment; to coin money, though not to fix the current value of it, which can be done only by confert of parliament; and to diffore of all places of trult, and profit, under the government. He is fupreme

judge, or lord chief-juffice, in all parts of his dominions. He fills up all the offices of judicature; has liberty to prefide in all tribunals, and in all cases, that of high treason excepted, in which he himself is plaintiff. No bill can pass into a law without his affect; nor, should he refuse it, is he obliged to affign any reason for his refusal.

2. Does his power extend no farther?

A. He is heir, in the last refort, in his kingdom; that is, all estates, where there is no heir, revert, or escheat to the lord of the manor, and, in default of him, to the king.

2. What is his power in military affairs?

A. I have already observed, that he alone has the power the army and navy, and may employ them in whatever expeditions, and enterprizes, he pleases. He has also the sole or sea service. The militia too is entirely at his command. In a word, he is vefted with the whole executive power of

2. What power has he in ecclefiallical affairs?

A. He is the supreme moderator, and governor of the church of England, over all persons, and in all causes; a title which was affumed by queen Elizabeth, inflead of that of Supreme Head, which had been used by Henry VIII. and Edward VI. He has the nomination to all bishoprics, and to feveral other benefices; enjoys the revenues of vacant fees; lays claim to tenths and annats; nor can the clergy meet in convocation, without his express mandate; an indulgence, indeed, which has not been granted them fince the beginning of the reign of George I.

2. In what manner is the king of England waited upon ? A. In a kneeling posture; nor is any one allowed to kiss his hand, or those of any of the royal family, without paying them this mark of respect.

2. How is he enabled to support his dignity?

A. By his fixt revenues.

2. Are they very confiderable?
A. They are.

2. To what may they amount?

A. It is to be observed, that, before the Revolution, the whole standing revenue of the state was in the hands of the prince, and was folely at his disposal. There was then no diffinction between what was allotted for the support of the king's houshold, and what was assigned for the service of the public. By this means, the king might referve as much as he pleased for his own private use, and employ no more than he thought proper in the fervice of the nation. Accordingly, it appears, that from the time of the Restoration so that of the Revolution, the public revenue had been confantly embezzled, and large fums had been frequently funk, without being applied to the purposes for which they were granted. In order to remedy this growing evil, it was wifely enacted at the Revolution, that a separate income should be allowed for the maintenance of the king's houfhould, and the support of his dignity; and that the rest of the revenue should be entirely subjected to the command of the parliament. This appropriation of the revenue is one of the greatest advantages produced by the Revolution, and is indeed the chief bulwark of the national liberties; as it deprives the crown of the power of disposing of the public money at pleafure; and obliges it to fubmit every article of expence to the examination of parliament; fo that the measures of the court are continually canvassed with the utmost feverity, and any embezzlement is discovered with fo much ease, that delinquents can never expect to efcape condign punishment.

2. What was the amount of the whole revenue at the

time of the Revolution ?

A. About two millions flerling.

2. What is the amount of that part of it at present, which is allotted for the maintenance of the king's houshold,

and the support of his dignity?

A. King William had, for this purpose, or, as it is called, the civil lift, fix hundred thousand pounds; queen Jame, the same sum; George I, the same; George II, seven hundred thousand pounds; and his present majesty, eight hundred thousand.

A. What is the amount of that part of it which is appro-

priated to the public fervice?

A. This has been continually varying, though generally on the encreasing hand, since the time of the Revolution. At first it was about three millions in time of peace; at pre-

fent it is about feven. But in time of war it is much greater. During queen Anne's wars, in 1710, for instance, it amounted to above fourteen millions; and in the course of the late war, in 1760, particularly, it fell little short of twenty millions.

## CHAP. IV.

## Of the PARLIAMENT.

2. WHAT do you mean by the parliament?

A. The two houses, the upper and lower; the first composed of the lords, the second of the commons, and both together conflituting two of three parts of the legiflature.

2. How long has the parliament existed? A. Ever fince the beginning of the monarchy.

Q. Has it always gone by the fame name? A. No: it was originally called the Wittenagemot, or the affembly of the wife men: this name it bore during the whole time of the Saxon kings; but, upon the conquest of England by William the Norman, the name of

Wittenagemot was changed into that of parliament. 2. Was it originally constituted in the same manner as at

prefent?

A. No: it confifted originally of one house only, in which, according to the most probable opinion, the reprefentatives of the boroughs had no right to fit.

2. When did they acquire that right?

A. 'Tis impossible to fix the precise time when they first acquired it; but they certainly possessed it towards the latter end of Henry the IIId's reign, about the year 126;, when the lords and commons first began to fit in separate houses.

2. Has the parliament always enjoyed the same privi-

leges which it possesses at present?

A. Whether it has always enjoyed the same privileges, it is extremely difficult to determine; but it may be fafely affirmed, that it has not always exercifed the fame power.

Q. How did it acquire its present power?

A By the profuse, or warlike disposition of the king; because, in either case, he equally wanted money; and as the parliament has always, at leaft fince the reign of king from retained in its own hands, the power of granting amoney, it would never confent to the levying of any taxes, without extorting certain concessions from the crown.

2. What power does the parliament at present enjoy?

A. The impossible exactly to determine; for, according to a great lawyer, there are three things in England, the bounds of which are unknown, viz., thereprogative of the crown, the liberties of the people, and the privileges of parliament. This a common faying, that a parliament can do any thing it the meaning of which is, that the parliament, with the royal affent, can do any thing that is not contrary to common justice. They may revive, or abrogate old laws, and make new; feute the fuccession to the crown; impose taxes; establish forms of religion; naturalize foreigners; dissolve marriages; legitimate balards; adjudge an infant, or minor, to be of full age; attaint a man, either alive, or after his death, of treason; grant the most free pardons; and reflore in blood and name, &c.

2. Does their power extend no farther?

A. They have even, independent of the royal authority, assumed the power of disposing of the crown, as in the case of Edward II. Richard II. Hamy VI. and James II.; and this power has been the more readily allowed, as the confent of parliament is supposed to be the confent of every Britan. It is to be observed, however, that their power, though very great, is by no means unlimited; because no parliament can tie the hands of a focceding one; so that, whatever is, done by one parliament may be overturned by another.

2. What is the manner of making a new law?

A. The fubflance of it is put into writing, which is called, a bill. This is brought into the houle by fome member, and is feconded by another. After being thrice read, it is fent to the other houle, where, after having undergone the fame number of readings, it is prefented for the royal affent, which, when it has obtained, it has then the force of a law. No bill can pas into a law until it has been thrice read in each houfe, except a bill of indemnity, which, as it comes from the throne, is, out of refept to the fovereign, only read once. Each house has a negative; nor can any law

law be enacted, without the concurring confent of the king, hords, and commons.

2 In what language does the king give his affent to

billsi

A. In French; a circumflance which appears the more furprifing, as that language has been long abolifhed in all law pleadings, and the retaining of it in this particular can only be confidered as a badge of the Norman conquest.

2. What terms does he make use of ?

A. If it be a public bill, he fays, Le roi le weat, or the king wills it. If a private one, he answers, Soit fait comme il est desiré, that is, let it be done as is defired. If a money bill, he replies. Le roi remercie ses lovaux suiets, accepte heur benevolence, & auffi le veut, that is, the king thanks his loyal subjects, accepts their gift, and wills it to be so. If it be a bill of indemnity, the return is made to his majefty, by the lords and commons in thefe terms; Les prelats, feigneurs, & communes, en ce parliament affemblez; au nom des tous vous autres sujets, remercient très humblement votre majesté, & prient Dieu vous donner en santé, bonne vie & longue, i. c. the bishops, lords, and commons, in this parliament affembled, in the name of all your other subjects, most humbly thank your majesty, and pray God to grant you, in health, a long and happy life. If a bill which the king diflikes, he fays, Le roi l'avisera, i. e. the king will confider of it. which is a fort of civil denial.

#### CHAP. V.

## Of the House of Lords.

HO have a right to fit in the house of lords?

A. The king, and the princes of the blood, the blindps, dukes, marquilles, earls, viscounts, and barons, or lords, whose number, including the fixteen peers for Scotland, generally amounts to about two hundred; but, by an ade passed in the reign of Charles II. Those lords that profess the Roman catholic religion are excluded the house.

2. Do not others fit there excassionally?

A. Yes: the judges, the king's council at law, and the

5. moliers

mafters in chancery, when called to give their advice in point of law; but they are not to fit in the king's presence. without his leave. To these may be added, the clerk of the crown, and the clerk of parliament; the last of whom has two under-clerks, who write kneeling behind him.

2. In what does the power of the house of lords con-

A. As they compose one of the three parts of the legiflature, their confent is necessary towards the making of all laws. Besides as they are the supreme court of judicature in the nation, there lies an appeal to them, in civil causes. from all the inferior courts, and their decision is final and irreverfible. They cannot interfere, however, when the privileges of the commons are concerned. They may try a commoner, indeed, on an impeachment of the commons; but no fuit, or profecution against a commoner, can be begun by the lords, though they may still try fuch a cause, and even determine it finally, in case of an appeal. They can likewife apprehend and commit any person (a member of the lower house excepted) for a breach of privilege, or reflections on their judicature, and fuch commitment is often in itself a sufficient punishment, as it is always attended with confiderable charges; but fuch perfons are of courfe released on the rising of the parliament.

2. Have they no other privileges?

A. They have the peculiar privilege of trying all those of their own order in criminal causes; and, what is remarkable, they give their verdict, not upon oath, as other juries, but only upon their honour. These are the principal privileges they possess, considered as a house, or collective body: what they poffes feparately, and in consequence of their rank, will be explained with greater propriety, when we come to speak of the nobility in general.

#### CHAP. VI.

## Of the House of Commons.

2. WHO are the constituent members of the house of commons?

A. The reprefentatives of the forty counties into which England is divided, each of which returns two members; those of the twenty-five cities, each of which fends two, except London and Ely, the former of which fends four, the latter none; the reprefentatives of the univerfities of Oxford and Cambridge, each of which fends two members; the fixteen barrons of the Cinque ports and their dependencies; the three hundred and thirty-nine burgefiles of one hundred and they work of them, which return only one a-piece; the tweaty-four members from Weler; and lastly, the forty-five from Scotland; making in all live hundred and fitty-eight members.

2. How is the number of members, returned by the feveral counties, regulated?

A. By ancient custom.

2. Is it either in proportion to the number of the peo-

wards the land-tax?

A. No; it is far from being in proportion to either s on the contrary, the diffred/protion is very great in both thefe respects, especially in the latter, as will evidently appear from the following scheme. The number of members returned by England and Water is c13. Now, if we suppose the whole land-tax to be divided into 512 equal parts, the number of members returned by each particular county (including its cities and borough), and the sum which it contributes towards the land-tax will stand as follows:

## HISTORY of ENGLAND.

12

12 HISTORY OLIVOLIND.				
0	Members of	Parts of the		
Counties.	parliament.	land-tax.		
Bedford,	4	7		
Berks,	9 9	10		
Bucks.	14	12		
Cambridge,	-6	9		
Chefter,	4	7		
Cornwall,	44	7 8		
Cumberland,	6	COPTION.		
Derby,	4 4 4 100	6		
Devon,	26	21		
.Dorfet,	20	9		
Durbam,	4	3		
Effex,	8	24		
Gloucester,	8	12		
Hereford,	8 =	5		
Herts,	6	010 1111111		
Huntington,	Authority And I had	4		
Kent,	18	22		
Lancaster,	14	5		
Leicefter,	4	9		
Lincoln,	12	19		
Middlefex,	8	80		
Monmouth,	3	3		
Norfolk,	12	22		
Northampton,	9	12		
Northumberland,	8	4		
Nottingham,	8 - 8	7		
Oxon,	9	10		
Rutland,	2	2		
Salop,	12	7		
Somerfet,	18	19		
Southampton,	26	14		
Stafford,	10	7		
Suffolk,	16	20		
Surry,	14	18		
Suffex,	28	16		
Warwick,	6	10.		
Westmoreland,	4	1		

Counties.

Counties.	Members of parliament.	Parts of th
Worcefter,	Assessment of 34 of or me	13
York, Wales,	24	24
	513	513

What is the meaning of this scheme?

A. The meaning of it is, that the county of Cornwall, for instance, returns forty-four members, and pays only eight of the five hundred and thirteen parts, that is, about one fixty-fourth of the land-tax; whereas Middlefex fends only eight members to parliament, and yet pays eighty of the five hundred and thirteen parts, that is, almost one fixth, of the land-tax : and fo of the others. Scotland fends forty-five members, and pays one fortieth part of the land-tax.

2. What power does the house of commons posses?

A. As they compose, in the same manner with the upper house, one of the three parts of the legislature, they have a negative in the passing of all bills; nor can a money-bill, in particular, be begun any where but in their house; neither will they allow the lords to make any alterations in bills of this nature. This, indeed, is a privilege of the utmost importance, and feems to be founded on this fuppolition, that, as they represent the whole body of the people, upon whom the tax must ultimately fall, it is both just and reasonable, that they should have the right to regulate the manner of levying the imposition.

2. How comes this privilege to be of fo much impor-

tance?

A. Because, by means of it, they have it in their power to extort from the king and upper house, whatever they think proper.

2. In what manner?

A. Whenever they have a mind to compel the king and peers to agree to any measure, to which they may be supthe end of a money-bill, and as the lords have no right to make any alteration in bills of this nature, they must either give their affent to the article annexed, or leave the crown defitute of all kind of supply.

2. Do the lords allow this power of the commons to be

altogether indifputable?

A. No: they allow their right indeed to begin all moneybills, and even to infilt on their being paffed or rejected without alteration; but they deny that they have a right to tack any thing whatever to a money-bill, and firenoufly contend, that all fuch bills finould be pure and unmixed with any other matter. They have even feveral times come to a refolution, that they will never confent to any money-bill, to which the commons have tacked any foreign article. And hence it appears, that the privileges of the two houles are not as yet exactly defined.

2. Does the power of the commons extend no farther?

A. They have a power to determine controverted cledicons; to expel fuch of their own nembers, as have merited that punifiment; and to imprifon any one, below the rank of a lord, who has violated their privileges, and that too, in the opinion of most people, without the offender being allowed the benefit of the aft of badsau corpin. They may likewife impeach, before the fords, who are the proper judges, any perion, even the firth geer of the realm; and, notwithlanding this privilege, such is the facred character of the commons, that the lords cannot proceed again it a member of the lower house, except on a complaint from the house itself.

2. Do they enjoy no other privileges?

A. They are free from fuits, arrefts, or imprifonment, except in the case of treafon, felony, or breath of the peace, during the fitting of the parliament, and during very adjustment not exceeding fourteen days; but this privilege cases if the adjournment is longer, as it does likewife upon the prorogation, or diffolution of the parliament. Formerly, indeed, they enjoyed this privilege during the fpace of forty days, as well before as after the fellion; but the inconveniencies arising from such an indulgence, became at length 60 great and numerous, as rendered it necessary considerably to abridge it. The commons are likewife,

during

during the fitting of parliament, exempted from the drudgeery of ferving on juries, or attending on trials in inferor or trials in increase. And, in a word, they are possessed of many other privileges, which it would be equally tedious and supersous to mentions.

#### CHAP. VII.

## Of the ROYAL FAMILY.

Q. X 7 HO is first person of the royal family?

W A. The king, undoubtedly, of whofe power and prerogative I have already given an account. It may only be proper to observe here, that so careful is the law for the preservation of his majestly's person, that the very imaging, or intending his death, proved by an overt act, is high treason. And though a lunatic, or idiot, or one mon compon mentit, cannot commit selony, or any treason, by law; yet if, during his idiocy, or lunacy, he shall kill, or go about to kill the king, he shall fuffer death as a traitor.

2. Who is the second person of the royal family?

A. The queen-confort, as the is likewife of the king-dom, and is respected accordingly.

2. What privileges does the enjoy?

A. Though an alien born, the may, without denization, or naturalization, purchase lands, make grants and leafes, and carry on fuits in her own name, a favour which is not granted to any other married woman. To confipire her death, or violate her chastity, is high treason. If the survive the king, the is called queen-dowager, and till keeps her court; nor does she lose her rank, though the marry a private gentleman, as did Catharine of France, the widow of Harry V.

Q. What title has the king's eldeft fon?

A. From the time of the conqueft to that of Edward I, having, in 1282, entirely fubdued Wales, beltowed the title of Prince of Wales upon his fon Edward II, and as he knew that the Welfb had an utter aversion to the dominion of foreigners,

.

he caused his queen to go and lie in at Caernarvon castle, that so the Wellb might have a prince, who was a native of their own country. 'Tis faid, that Edward, upon the birth of the prince, presented him to the natives, as a true Wellbman, and one who could not fpeak a word of any other language. He is always heir-apparent to the crown of England; and, at the age of fifteen, he receives, as prince of Wales, the homage of his subjects.

2. Has the king's eldeft fon no other title?

A. He is duke of Cornwall and Rothfav, earl of Cheffer and Flint, and great steward of Scotland; and all these titles he enjoys by birth, whereas that of prince of Wales he has only by creation. - 9. Has he any fixt revenue?

king's bounty.

A. He has about twenty five thousand pounds yearly, arifing from the mines in Cornwall; and, when he has a family, he is commonly allowed by parliament an additional revenue for the support of his houshold. George II. when prince of Wales, had, for this purpose, one hundred thoufand pounds per Annum. The prince of Wales, though a fubject, is fo facred in the eye of the law, that it is high treason to imagine his death, or violate his wife's chastity.

2. Have the rest of the king's children any peculiar titles?

A. No: the king bestows upon them whatever titles he pleases. They have, all them, indeed, the title of royal highness, and are called the fons and daughters of Great-Britain, because the whole nation is supposed to have an equal interest in their welfare. All other subjects are uncovered in their presence; whoever kiffes their hands must do it kneeling; and they are even served on the knee at table, unless when the king is present. It is further to be observed, that all the younger sons, as well as the prince of Wales, are counsellors of state by birth, in order to qualify them for managing the weighty affairs of the nation. They have not, however, like the princes of the blood in France, any particular appanages, or established revenue; but depend, for their support, entirely on the

#### CHAP. VIII.

#### Of the ENGLISH in general.

2. WHAT is the character of the English?

A. Brave, generous, ingenious; industrious; to these good qualities they are universally allowed, even by their enemies, to have a just title. Violent, however, and headstrong in their passions, they are too apt to be elated with prosperity, and dejected in adversity, Distant and referved in their manner, it is extremely difficult to gain their friendship, but when once gained, it is not easily lost. Plain and fimple in their address, they are more forward to shew their humanity in actions than in words. Of a middle stature, and robust constitution, they are capable, when supplied with plenty of provisions, to endure great fatigue; but, born in a fruitful country, and accustomed, from their infancy, to fare well, they are less able, than almost any other people, to support hunger; and hence it is, that, in time of war, a fcarcity of provisions proves always fo fatal to the English. Surrounded, for a confiderable part of the year, with thick and foggy air, they are apt to contract a melancholy disposition; and to this cause are generally supposed to be owing the frequent instances of suicide which occur among them. More folid than the French, and less phlegmatic than the Germans, they are, if I may use the expression, an excellent compound of both; and, though they have not been, perhaps, fo remarkable for their inventions as fome other nations, they have certainly carried the inventions of all other nations to the highest perfection: here every useful and ingenious art is improved to the utmost; and even feveral of those countries, which have had the honour of inventing particular manufactures, are obliged, by the superior skill and industry of the English artifans, to supply themselves from England with those very commodities. Cut off, by the fea, from the rest of the world, they commenced mariners from necessity; but what at first might appear an inconvenience, turned out, in the end, very much to their advantage; while it effectually fe-

#### HISTORY of ENGLAND.

cures them from the fudden attacks of foreign foes, it has induced them to encrease their marine to such a degree. that they are now acknowledged, by all nations, as the indifputed lords of the ocean.

2. How is the people of England divided?

A. Into clergy and laity; the latter being subdivided into nobility, gentry, and the inferior fort of people, of all of whom we shall particularly speak in the following chapters.

#### CHAP. IX.

## Of the CLERGY.

OF whom is the English clergy composed?

A. Of bishops, dignitaries, and inferior clergy.

2. How many bishops are there?
A. Twenty-fix; namely, the two archbishops of Canter-

bury and York, and twenty-four bishops,

2. How many dignitaries?

A. Six hundred and thirty, viz. twenty-fix deans and chapters, fixty archdeacons, and five hundred and forty-four prebendaries.

2. How many inferior clergy?

A. 'Tis impossible exactly to determine their number. It may only be observed, that there are upwards of nine thousand seven hundred rectors of parishes, who have, each of them, the care of one church or more, and are affilted by a great number of curates and others.

2. In what does the power of the two archbishops confist?

A. The archbishop of Canterbury is the first peer of the realm, and takes place immediately after the royal family; nor does any one, except the lord chancellor, or keeper of the great feal, step between him and the archbishop of York. The former usually crowns the king; the latter the queen-confort. The one is fliled primate of England; the other, of all England. They have, both of them, power to consecrate bishops; to call, by virtue of the king's mandate, the clergy of their respective provinces to convocation; to prefide in it equally; and to prorogue or dissolve it, according to the direction of the fovereign. They infpect the conduct of the bishops within their several provinces; censure those who are deficient in their duty; appoint co-adjutors to fuch as are infirm; and have their court of arches, to which lie appeals from the courts of the bishops. They have likewise the probate of wills, and the decision of all causes relating to herefy, bastardy, and the

2. In what does the power of the bishops confift?

A. They ordain and confirm; give ministers leave to preach; grant inflitutions to benefices, and command induction to be given. In a word, they have the superintendence of all the clergy and laity within their respective diocefes.

2. Have the bishops no other privileges?

A. All of them, except the bishop of Sodor and Man, are lords of parliament, and fit as barons in the house of peers. They are called the lords spiritual, and, as fathers and guardians of the church, are stiled fathers in God. In short, they have not only the same privileges as the temporal lords, but some that are peculiar to themselves. For, though all courts are held by the king's authority, yet the bishops courts are not properly accounted the king's courts. A bishop sends forth writs in his own name, Telle the bishop; and not in the king's name, as it is done by all other courts. And, whereas, in every other court there are feveral judges, a bishop in his court is the fole judge: nay, he may delegate his authority to another, as to a fuffragan bishop, his chancellor, or commissary; a liberty, which is granted to none of the judges.

2. What are the deans and chapters?

A. Each dean, with a certain number of prebendaries, conflitutes a dean and chapter, of which, as I have already observed, there are twenty-fix in England. They were originally a body of clergy, intended as a flanding council to the bishop; lived with him in his cathedral, and were maintained at his expence; but their dependence on him growing gradually less strict and necessary, they were gratified with distinct parcels of his estate, and erected into collegiate bodies. At present they have not only authority within their own districts, but sometimes an ecclesiattical jurisdiction in feveral neighbouring parishes and deaneries, and, generally

generally, a temporal jurisdiction to hold courts of pleas within their own manors. When a fee becomes vacant, they chuse, only for form's fake, upon the king's writ of congè d'elire, the person recommended to them by the sovereign; or, if they refuse, they show cause for their re-

2. Are there no other deans besides deans and chap-

A. Yes; the deans of Croydon in Surry, Battle in Suffex, Bocking in Effex, and fome few others, are deans without any chapter; but have a peculiar kind of jurifdiction. The deans of the chapel royal, St. George's chapel at Windfor, Rippon, and Guernsey, are only honotary deans, without any jurisdiction. There were once, likewise, rural deans, or arch-presbyters, who, under the bithop and archdeacon, had the peculiar care of those districts, into which our diocefes are still divided, called deaneries. Their authority, however, is now entirely loft; and few of them are to be found in any diocese.

2. What is the office of an archdeacon?

A. He was chosen anciently from among the deacons, to be an affiftant to the bishop; but, by the act of uniformity, he is now obliged to be in prieff's orders; for it is to be observed, that there are three orders in the church of England, bishops, priests, and deacons. And whereas the bishop visits his diocese once in three years, 'tis the archdeacon's office to vifit it for him the other two; when he is to enquire into every thing belonging to the churches under his jurifdiction, to reform abuses in ecclesiaftical matters, and bring the more weighty affairs before the bishop. Hence it is, that he is frequently called alter episcopi oculus, the bishop's one eye, the dean being the other. He is likewife, upon the bishop's mandate, to induct clerks into their benefices, and so give them possession. 2. Whom do you mean by the inferior clergy?

A. All below the rank of rural deans, whether rectors,

vicars, curates, or deacons. 2. What is their office?

A. To preach, marry, administer the facraments, visit the fick, perform the funeral service, and discharge all the other paftoral functions.

2. What is the established religion of England?

A. The protestant reformed religion, according to the episcopal form of government.

2. Do all the jubjects adhere to this form of govern-

ment? A. No; there are a great many sectaries, or dissenters;

fuch as the prefbyterians, independents, anabaptiffs, quakers; and Roman catholics; the first of whom are by far the most numerous, and approach the nearest to the established religion: the last have their estates doubly taxed, and are deprived of the liberty of prefenting to livings; but in other respects are in no danger of being molested, provided they are obedient to the civil government.

#### CHAP. X.

## Of the NOBILITY.

2 LIOW many degrees of nobility are there in Eng-A. Five, viz. dukes, marquises, earls, viscounts, and

barons. 2. How long has the title of duke existed?

A. Bver fince the time of the ancient Saxons, among whom, however, it was only territorial, or official, that is, it was annexed to the government of a county, or certain diffrict of land, and feems to have meant much the fame with what, is now called the lord-lieutenant of a county. It did not become personal till the year 1335, when it was conferred by king Edward III. on his fon the prince of Wales, commonly called the Black prince, of whom we shall have occasion to speak more fully in the sequel.

2. How long has the title of marquis existed?

A. Ever fince the year 1385, when king Richard II. beflowed it on Robert de Vere, earl of Oxford, who was created

2. How long has the title of viscount existed?

A. Ever fince the year 1439, when John Beaumont was, by Henry VI. created viscount Beaumont.

2. Of what antiquity are the titles of earl and baron?

#### HISTORY of ENGLAND.

A. These are the most ancient of any in the kingdom : that of earl, in particular, being in use among the Saxons, at least among the Dano-Saxons, for the word is evidently of Danish original, and fignifies the same with alderman in Saxon. It was continued by William the Conqueror, who, immediately after his having obtained the crown, created fome of his followers, earls of Arundel, Cheffer, Cornwall, &c. allotting to each the third penny arising from the pleas in their respective jurisdictions. At present they have neither jurisdiction nor third penny; but, instead of these, a small annual stipend from the Exchequer. The word baron came in with the conquest, and succeeded to the Saxon title thane; and as it is the lowest order of nobility, it is often used of the whole body collectively; because, strictly speaking, all noblemen are barons, though dignified with a higher title.

2. What privileges do the nobility enjoy?

A. As they are the hereditary counsellors of the crown. and constant members of parliament, they are exempted from arrefts for all forts of debt : nor can they be arrefted in any cases, except those of high treason, felony, or breach of the peace. Their fuits are brought immediately before the house of lords; nor can they be tried for felony, or treafon, or for misdemeanor, when the commons impeach, except by their peers.

2. Do they enjoy no other privileges?

A. They do: when fummoned to parliament, they have liberty to hunt in the king's grounds, either in going to, or returning from it. In order to secure their honour, and prevent any fcandal being thrown upon them, there is an express law, called Scandalum magnatum, by which any person, convicted of making a scandalous report, however true, against a peer of the realm, may be subjected to an arbritrary fine, and condemned to imprisonment, till the money be paid. In some cases, neither the theriff nor his officers are allowed to fearch their houses. without the king's order first obtained, figned by fix privy counsellors. They may, during any great trial in a court of justice, enter the court, and fit, if they please, without being uncovered. They are free from all attendance at courts leet, or sheriffs-turns; nor are they obliged, in

case of a riot, to attend the service of the posse comitatus. In a word, they possess many other privileges, which it is altogether unnecessary to mention.

2. Do not the fons of the nobility enjoy fome peculiar

titles?

A. Yes, though only by the courtefy of England. The eldert fon of a duke, marquis, or earl, is commonly diffinguished by the fecond title of his father; the younger fons of a duke, or marquis, are all of them lords; butte younger fons of an earl, and all the fons of viscounts and barons are no more than private gentlemen. The title of lady, too, is given to the daughters of dukes, marquifes, or earls; but the daughters of viscounts and barons are only private gentlewomen.

2. Of what antiquity are the coronets used by the no-

bility i

A. Those belonging to earls are faid to have been appointed about king Edward the third's time; those of viscounts in the reign of James the first; and those of barons in that of Charles the second.

#### CHAP. XI.

## Of the GENTRY.

2. WHOM do you mean by the gentry?

A. Those that occupy the middle place between the noblity and inferior fort of people.

2. Whom do you comprehend in this class?

A Knights, equires, lawyers, physicians, merchants; in a word, every one, who, either by his birth, fortune, education, or employment, is raised above the vulgar.

Q. How many kinds of knights are there in England? A. Four; and thefe are (to place them according to their right of precedence) knights of the garter, knights baronets, knights of the Bath, and knights batchelors. Q. How many knights of the garter are there?

A. Twenty-five, besides the king, who is sovereign of the order.

2. How long has this order existed?

#### 24 HISTORY of ENGLAND.

A. Ever fince the year 13;0, when it was first instituted by Edward the third; so that, of all the secular orders in

Europe, it is by far the most ancient,

2. What gave occasion to the establishing of this order ? A. Authors are not agreed as to this particular. Some think it took its rife from an affair of gallantry. They fay, that Edward's miltrefs, the countefs of Salifbury, happening to drop her garter, while she was dancing at a courtball, the king picked it up, and observing some of his courtiers to fmile, as if he had not obtained this favour merely by accident, he called out, Honi foit qui mal y penfe, i. e. evil to him that evil thinks; and declared, that many, who now laughed at the garter, should foon be proud to have the honour of wearing it: that, in order to make good his word, and perpetuate the memory of this event, he instituted the order of the garter; retaining the words above mentioned for the motto, and the garter for the badge of the order. Others suppose it owed its origin to an affair of a military nature. They pretend, that Edward having revived the order of the round table, originally established by king Arthur, such numbers of foreign warriors crouded to his court, as excited the jealoufy of the French king, with whom he was then at war; and who, in order to counterbalance the advantage, which his adversary had drawn from this circumstance, instituted an order of his own, which foon procured him the like number of warriors: but that that monarch, abusing the confidence reposed in him, and violating the laws of hospitality, seized several lords of the English party, who came, among others, to affift at the feftival. That Edward, informed of this proceeding, confcious of the rectitude of his own intentions, and provoked at the perfidious conduct of his rival, cried out, Honi foit qui mal y pense, and converted the round table into the order of the garter. That he chofe this badge in particular, either because in the battle of Crecy he had given garter for the word; or because, on that occasion, he, had ordered his garter to be fixed to the end of a lance, as the fignal of engaging; or, according to others, because Richard I. at the fiege of Acres in Palestine, intending to affault the place, distributed, among some of his principal officers, certain leathern ftrings, to be tied about their legs,

the better to distinguish them from the enemy; or finally, (for all these reasons are assigned by different authors) for no other cause than this, that blue, which is the colour of the garter, has always been deemed the emblem of fidelity and friendship.

2. Whom do you mean by knights baronets?

A. Those, who, by an hereditary right, have the title of Sir prefixed to their christian name.

How long has this order existed in England? A. Ever fince the year 1611, when it was first instituted

by Fames I.

2. How many knights baronets are there?

A. Their number, at their first institution, was limited to two hundred; but it is now much greater, and is always varying in such a manner, that there is no possibility of as-

2. Is the receiving of this honour attended with any ex-

pence i

A. Originally the person who received it, was obliged to pay into the exchequer, as much as would maintain, for the space of three years, in the province of Ulfter in Ireland, thirty foot foldiers, at the rate of eight pence apiece a day, amounting in the whole to about one thousand one hundred pounds; but this demand is now remitted by the crown, and has been fo ever fince the Refloration. 2. Whence do the knights of the Bathd erive that name?

A. From the circumstance of bathing; a practice, which,

it feems, was observed by them at their first institution. 2. When was this order established?

A. In 1399, when Henry IV. in order to grace his coronation, created forty-fix of these knights, who were bathed in the Toquer. 2. Has the order continued ever fince without interrup-

A. No: it foon after became extinct; but was revived, in 1725, by George I. who made it a military order, and limited the number of knights to thirty fix, besides the king, who is the fovereign of the order? 2. Whom do you understand by knights batchelors?

A. Those who have the title of Sir prefixed to their chris-

tian name; but without any power, like knights baronets, of transmitting it to their posterity.

2. Of what antiquity is this kind of honour?

A. 'Tis as ancient as any in the kingdom; and is, indeed, the foundation of all military honour and dignity. 'Twas usually bestowed upon noblemen's sons, and great commanders, and even upon kings themselves; nor, indeed, in former times, was any gentleman supposed to be qualified for the use of arms, until he had been invested with this dignity.

2. Have knights wives any peculiar privileges?

A. They have all the title of lady, and take rank of the wives of efquires, and gentlemen.

2. Who have a right to the title of esquire?

A. The younger fons of earls, the fons of viscounts and barons, the eldest fons of the younger fons of peers, and the eldest fons of baronets and knights. It is likewise due to all those who are placed in any public office, or eminent flation, fuch as justices of the peace, members of parliament, mayors of cities, ferjeants of the feveral offices in the king's court, sheriffs, admirals, captains in the army or navy; and to every gentleman who has a landed estate of two or three hundred a year.

#### CHAP. XII.

### Of the INFERIOR SORT of PEOPLE.

2 WHOM do you mean by the inferior fort of people ?

A. Those who get their livelihood, either in a mechanick, or fervile manner; fuch as tradefmen, mariners, hufbandmen, fervants, and labourers.

2. Is there not a middle fort, between these last and

the degree of a gentleman.

A. Yes: yeomen and copyholders, though these, if possessed of good estates, are justly ranked in the number of gentlemen. . 2. W. om do you understand by yeomen?

A. Yeomen

A. Yeomen are the same as freeholders, with this only difference, that they keep their effates in their own hands, and cultivate them themselves. Some of these, particularly in Kent, have estates to the amount of a thousand pounds a year,
2. Whom do you understand by copyholders?

A. Copyholders are nearly the fame with freeholders, The only difference is, that with the latter, the hold never becomes void; with the former, it does at the death of the tenant: but, notwithstanding this circumstance, the next heir of blood, upon paying the customary fine, takes pos-Aession of the estate.

#### CHAP. XIII.

### Of the BRITONS.

Pritain?

A. They were probably a colony of the ancient Gauls. or Celtes, who came over from the northern parts of France to this island.

2. From whom have we the first certain accounts of these people ?

A. From the Roman writers, and particularly from Julius Cafar, who, about fifty-five years before the birth of Chrift, invaded this country. 2. What character does he give of the inhabitants?

A. He fays, that those who lived in the maritime provinces underflood the art of tillage and agriculture; but that those who lived in the inland parts, supported themfelves entirely by pasturage and hunting; that they were cloathed with the fkins of beafts, dwelt in huts, and were, in every respect, a very rude and barbarous people.

2. What kind of government prevailed among them? A. They were divided into a number of petty independent states, governed by their respective princes, who, nevertheless, on any great emergency, united for their common defence, under one leader.

2. What kind of religion did they profess?

A. The

A. The Druidical, the moral precepts of which were not very exceptionable; but the ceremonies were altogether abominable, particularly that of human facrifices, which it enjoined.

2. What reception did Cafar meet with, on his invasion

of this island?

A. The natives at first made some refistance; but being defeated in feveral fkirmilhes, they were at last obliged to submit, and to promise hostages for their good be-

2. Did they keep this promise?

A. No; upon which Cafar invaded them a second time, and again compelled them to make the like submissions.

2. Did that great general establish the Roman authority

in this island?

A. Not thoroughly; nor, indeed, can it be faid to have been fully established till above a hundred years after; for, though several of the Roman emperors fent their generals into this country, where they always triumphed over the inhabitants, yet it was not till the year of Christ 78, that it was finally reduced, by Julius Agricola, into the form of a Reman province.

2. How long did it continue in this flate? A. Near four hundred years; during which, however, nothing very material happened, except that the Romans built two walls, or ramparts; the one called Agricola's, or Antoninus's wall, between the friths of Clyde and Forth; the other, called Adrian's, or Severus's wall, between the Tyne and the frith of Solway. The defign of these ramparts was to prevent the incursions of the Piets and Scots, who inhabited the northern parts of the island; and who, as they never were subjected to the Roman yoke, made frequent

inroads into the more fouthern parts of the country. 2. Was not a dangerous infurrrection raifed against the

Roman government?

A. Yes; but this event happened before the island was properly reduced into the form of a Roman province.

2. What gave occasion to this infurrection ? A. Boadicea, a British queen, having been cruelly treated, and even fourged like a flave, by order of the Roman governor, and the chastity of her two daughters having been

likewife violated, fine, in conjunction with the Druids, inflamed the minds of the natives to fuch a degree of fury, that they fuddenly rofe like one man, and maffacred about feventy thou fand Romans and other strangers.

2. Was not this cruelty feverely revenged?

A. Yes: Suctonius, the Roman general, who, during the infurrection, had been ablent in the island of Anglify, was no fooner returned, than with an army not exceeding ten thousand men, he attacked the Britons, who amounted to two hundred and thirty thousand, and after killing about eighty thousand of them, he entirely discomfited the rest. Beadizea heriest, rather than fall into the hands of the conqueror, is said to have put an end to her own life by possion.

2. Why did the Romans, after having been fo long

fettled in this island, finally abandon it?

A. They were obliged to recall their forces in order to defend the more fouthern parts of the empire, against the inroads of the Hunn, Gothi, Vandali, and other barbarous

nations, who, about this time, attacked, and, in the end, entirely subdued it.

2. Were not the Britons over-joyed at their departure,

and the unexpected recovery of their ancient liberty?

A. So far from it, that they confidered this event, as one

of the greatest calamities, that could possibly have befallen them.

2. What was the cause of these dastardly sentiments?

A. They had enjoyed such a long and prosound tranqui-

lity under the Roman government, that they had, in a great measure, forgot the use of arms, and were altogether unable to defend themselves against their more hardy and warlike neighbours, the Pists and Seass, who, immediately, upon the recall of the Roman forces, invaded the southern parts of the island.

2. What step did the Britons take in this desperate ex-

remity ?

A. They had recourse to the protection of the Remars, and implored their assistance in the most suppliant terms. One of their addresses, in particular, was inscribed, The gream of the Britens, and contained the following description of their missery: the bardarians, say they, on the one hand, chaste us into the site 3, the see, on the other, throwing ur

back upon the barbarians; and we have only the hard choice left us, of perishing by the sword, or by the waves.

2. Did the Romans comply with this request?

A. They fent them affiftance two feveral times, and effectually checked the incursions of the enemy; but at last they told them, that, as they were now their own masters, they ought to arm in their own defence, and protect, by their valour, that independence, which they had received from their ancestors ...

2. Did they follow this advice?

A. No: actuated by their own fears, and induced by the councils of Vortigern, their leader, they fent a deputation to the Saxons in Germany, to come over to their affiftance.

#### CHAP. XIV.

### Of the SAXONS.

2. W HO were the Saxens?

A. One of the most powerful and warlike people in Germany, who, by force of arms, had made themfelves mallers of all the fea-coaft, from the mouth of the Rhine to Tutland.

2. From whom were they descended?

A. It is impossible to trace their history any higher than this very period, fince two of their chief leaders, Hengift and Horfa, were reputed to be the great grandfons of Woden, their god of war; whence it appears, that the preceding part of their history must be involved in fable and obicurity.

Q. What was the character of the Saxons?

A. They were, like all the other northern nations of Europe at that time, a very ignorant and barbarous people.

2. What was the nature of their government?

A. It was certainly of a free nature, though still with a a king, or rather a general, at its head; but he was not allowed to take any flep of importance, without the confent of his people, or, at leaft, of his great men.

2. What kind of religion did they profcls?

A. A species of heathenism, and one too of the most barbarous fort; of the particulars of which we know little more, than that they worshipped certain strange deities, from whose names, the appellations which we now give to the days of the week, are evidently borrowed. The two first days of the week were dedicated to the fun and moon; the third to Tuisco; the fourth to Woden, the god of war; the fifth to Thor, the god of thunder; the fixth to Friga, or Fraa, the goddess of pleasure; and the seventh to Crodo, or Seater.

2. What were their notions of a future state?

A. As valour was the quality which they chiefly esteemed, all their notions of a future flate were calculated to inspire them with that virtue. They believed that the fouls of those, who died in battle, would be admitted into the hall of Woden, and reposing on couches, would fatiate themfelves with ale from the skulls of their enemies; whereas, the fouls of those, who died a natural death, would be conveyed into the infernal regions, and would there be tormented with hunger, thirst, and every other kind of evil.

2 Did the Saxons comply with the request of the Britons? A. They did; and coming over, to the number of fixteen hundred men, under two of their leaders, Hengist and L'orfa, they foon repulsed the Pias and Scots, and reflored the tranquillity of the fouthern part of the country.

2. How long did they continue to behave in this friendly

manner?

A. But a very thort time; for perceiving with what eafe they might conquer the Britons themselves, and effect a fettlement in the island, they called over about five thousand more of their countrymen, and entering into an alliance with the Piets, turned their arms against those very persons, whom they had come to defend.

Q. Were not the Britons enraged at this perfidious

A. They were; and taking up arms in their own defence, endeavoured, for a long time, and at first not altogether without success, to defeat the designs of their treacherous allies; but the Saxons being continually re-inforced with fresh supplies from their own country, were at last able, though not till after a ftruggle of near one hundred and fifty years, to make themselves masters of the C 4

whole kingdom; the few Britons that remained being either obliged to fubmit to the conquerors, to take refuge in the barren mountains of Cornwalland Wals, or to fly into foreign countries; where fome of them fettling in the province of Armorica, gave to that diffrict the name of Brittany, which it fill retains?

2. Were not the Saxons likewise called Angles?

d. Yes; and hence is derived the name of England, by which the kingdom is still distinguished.

#### CHAP. XV.

### Of the HEPTARCHY.

2. WHAT form of government did the Saxons effaland? HAT form of government did the Saxons effa-

A. As they came over at different times, and under different leaders, each chieftain assumed a sovereign power, and erected his conquests into an independent kingdom.

2. How many of these kingdoms were there.

A Seven, viz. Kent, Northumberland, East-Anglia, Mercia, Essex, Sussex, and Wessex, composing, in all, what is usually termed the Saxon heptarchy.

2. How long did this heptarchy continue?

A. About two hundred and fifty years.

2. What events happened during that period?

A. None of any great importance, except that the Chrifian religion was introduced among the Saxons, who had hitherto remained heathens.

2. Had not the Britons embraced Christianity before the

arrival of the Saxons?

A. Yes; and that too, according to the most probable opinion, as early as the time of the apostles; but the British race being now almost entirely extirpated, the Christian religion had shared the same sate.

In what year were the Saxons converted to Christianity?

A. About the year 600, by one Augustine, a Roman monk, who was afterwards confectated archbishop of Canterbury.





I! Austin preaching to K. Chelbert & Q. Belof Thanet.

Q In which of the feven kingdoms was the Christian religion first embraced?

A. In Kent, the fovereign of which had married Bertha, the king of Paris's daughter; and as the was a Christian, this circumstance contributed greatly to facilitate the work.

2. What put an end to the Saxon heptarchy?

A. The relilefs ambition of the different princes, feweral of whom endeavoured, in their turn, to obtain the furprene authority of the whole, and this was at laft, though not without difficulty, acquired by Exphert, fovereign of the Weff Saxwan, who having fabdued fucefilled all the other kingdoms, united them happily into one great monarchy, and thus became properly the first king of England.

2. When did this great event happen?

A. In 827.

2. Had not Egbert diftinguished himself before this

neriod i

A. Yes; and therefore it will be necessary to begin his history at the year 800.

# CHAP. XVI.

### SAXON KINGS.

## ECBERT, the first King of England.

## From the Year 800 to 838.

Popes.		MICHAEL II.	820
Leo III.		Tr	
	790	THEOPHILUS I.	820
STEPHEN V.	816		-
PASCHAL I.	817	Emperors of the West,	ano
EUGENIUS II.	824	Kings of France.	
VALENTINE I.	827	CHARLEMAGNE	800
GREGORY IV.	828	LEWIS I.	814
Emperors of the East		· Kings of Scotland.	
IRENE, Empress		a mings of ocoliand.	
P. Turbiels	797	ACHAIUS "	792
NICEPHORUS I.	802	CONGALLUS III.	824
Michael I.		DOMONDE DO 1111.	
T TI	811	DONGALLUS	829
LEO V.		ALPINUS	824

2. THOSE fon was Exhert?

V A. The fon of Alexand, grandfon of Epppa, nephew to Ina., king of Weffer, by his brother Inglid; and as the royal families had become extinct in all the other kingdoms of the heptarchy, Egbers was shus the folk defendant of thole Saxon adventurers, who first fluidued Britain.

Where did he pass the earlier part of his life?

A. In the court of Ebarlimagne, king of France, whither he had retired to quiet the fulpidons of Bibbic, who now fat on the throne of Weffex, and who had conceived a violent jealoufy of him, as well on account of his diffinguifhed merit and great popularity, as because he feemed to possess by birth, a better title to the crown,

2. How long did he continue in that court?

A. About twelve years, during which he was treated with great diffinction, and accompanied Charlemagne in his joarney to Franchfort, and afterwards to Rome, where the imperial

imperial crown was fet, by pope Leo III. on that monarch's head.

2. When did he return to England.

A. In the year 800, immediately after the death of Bitbric. who having, unknowingly, drank a cup of poilon, which his abandoned queen had prepared for another perfon, was fuddenly carried off; and thus left a vacancy in the throne.

2. How was Egbert received by his countrymen?

A. With the greatest demonstrations of joy, and instantly placed upon the throne of his ancestors.

2. What steps did he take upon his advancement to the

throne ? A. He first attacked the Britons of Cornwall, whom he defeated in feveral engagements. He then turned his arms against the Mercians, who had entered his dominions, and who, coming to a battle, were totally routed, with the loss of their king, and the best part of their army. Encouraged by this success, he invaded Kent, Esex, and East-Anglia, all of which he reduced with little or no difficulty. The kingdom of Suffex had already been incorporated with that of Weffex. The Northumbrians, weakened by intestine divisions, were little able to oppose such a conqueror; and accordingly, on his approach to their frontiers, they fent him deputies, promifingfubmission. And thus were united into one great monarchy, all the kingdoms of the heptarchy, which Egbert ordered should be thenceforth distinguished by the common name of England.

2. Did Egbert meet with any disturbance during the re-

maining part of his reign? A. None from his own subjects. The Danes, indeed, made three feveral descents upon England; one in 832, another the year following, and a third five years after that, In the two first of these invasions, they met with some succefs; but in the last, they were attacked by a numerous army, and repulsed to their thips with terrible flaughter.

2. Had not the Danes appeared in England before the

reign of Egbert?

A. Yes; first in the year 787, afterwards in 794; but these were inconsiderable attempts in comparison of the invalions, which they now made, and flill more of those, which they made in the fequel-2. Who

2. Who were the Danes?

They seem to have been a mixture of different nations. though agreeing in language, religion, and customs, inhabiting the countries of Julland, Sweden, Denmark, and Norway, from whence they used to commit depredations on the fea coasts of France, as well as of England,

2). How long did Egbert reign ?

A. Thirty-seven years over Wessex, and ten and upwards over all England. He died in 838, and left his dominions to his son Ethelwolf.

2 What is his character?

A. His reducing the heptarchy into one monarchy, shews him to have been an accomplished warrior; and his maintaining, during his whole reign, domestic peace and tranquility in his kingdom, befpeaks him a no less excellent politician.

### ETHELWOLF, II' King of England.

### From 838 to 857.

Popes.		Emperors of the West,	and-
GREGORY IV.	828	Kings of France.	
SERGIUS II.	841	LEWIS I.	814
LEO IV.	847	LOTHAIRE	840
BENEDICT III.	855	LEWIS II.	855
Emperors of the East		Kings of Scotland.	,,
THEOPHILUS I.	822	ALPINUS	834
MICHAEL III.	842	Kenneth II.	839

TTHAT was the first act of Ethelwolf's reign? A. He divided his dominions, and bestowed the fovereignty of one part of them upon his eldest son, Athelstan. 2. Was not this an impolitic step?

A. Most certainly; and would probably have been attended with great inconveniences, had not the continual alarm, in which the kingdom was held by the Danish invafions, prevented all domeffic diffention.

2. Did these freebooters still continue to infest the

kingdom? A. Yes: A. Yes; they invaded it feveral times in the course of this reign, and committed every where the most terrible travages. In one of their descents, in particular, they laid waste the belt part of the province of Mercia, and burned the cities of London and Canterbury.

2. Did they meet with no opposition?

A. Yes: they were frequently defeated with very great fangibter, fometimes by the governors of the different counties, witch by Ethokao's funded in the fine from and once by his fon Athellan, at fea. But, notwithfanding thefe loffes, they commonly gained the point they had in view, which feems at first to have been no more than to plunder the country, and carry off the fipoli. At last, however, encouraged by fuccefs, and tempted by the richness of the folia, and the mildness of the climate, they reflowed to effect a fettlement in England; and, accordingly, they actually took up their quarters, first in the ille of Thanes, and afterwards in that of Sheppey, where they continued to resided uring the whole remaining part of this reign.

2. Did Ethekwolf perform no other memorable action?
A. He made, in 854, a journey to Rome, accompanied by his fourth and favourite fon, Alfrad, who was then only fix years of age, and who, on his arrival in that city, was

crowned by the hands of pope Leo IV.

2. What was his motive în undertaking this journey?
A. He probably undertook it from a principle of devotion, which, he expressed in a manner extremely inconsistent with the interest of his kingdom; for, besides making a perpetual grant of three hundred marks a year, to support the lamps of St. Peter and St. Peast, and affist in supplying the necessities of the pope, he agreed to shipled his whole kingdom to the intolerable load of Rome-feer, or Peter: pene; a grievous tax, which continued to be raised in England, from this period, to the time of the reformation.

2. Was this the only proof he gave of his regard for

religion?

A. No; he gave a much more folid and fubflantial proof, and one attended with much better confequences; for, by a formal charter, he beflowed the tythes upon his own clergy?

2. How long did Ethelwolf reign?

A. Between nineteen and twenty years. He died in

857.

9. How often was he married? A. Twice: first to Ofburg, an English princess; and af-

terwards to Judith, daughter of Charles the Bald, 2. How many children had he?

A. None by his last queen : by his first confort, he had five fons, and one daughter, viz. Athelftan, who died before him ; Ethelbald, Ethelbert, Ethelred, and Alfred, all of whom, in their turns, fucceeded him on the throne. His daughter is faid to have been married to Butbred, governor, or, as some call him, king of Mercia; for it is to be obferved, that the governors of some of the provinces were still allowed to retain the title of king.

2. What is the character of this prince? A. He was certainly possessed of many virtues, particularly of piety, which, however, feems, in fome inflances, to have degenerated into superfition.

### ETHELBALD and ETHELBERT, making jointly the III King of England.

#### From 857 to 866.

Popes. Emperor of the West, and BENEDICT III. King of France. NICHOLAS I. 858 LEWIS II. Kings of Scotland. Emperor of the East. KENNETH II. 839 MICHAEL III. DONALD V. 859 CONSTANTINE II.

2. TT HO fucceeded Ethelwolf? A. His two eldest sons, Ethelbald and Ethelbert, between whom, agreeable to their father's will, the kingdom was divided.

2. What is the character of these princes?

A. The latter was a wife and virtuous prince, and enjoyed, during his whole reign, the efteem and affection of bis

his subjects. The former was of a profligate and abandoned character, and by marrying Judith, his mother-in-law, (whom, however, he foon after, divorced) encreased still farther the popular hatted against him.

2. How long did Ethelbald reign ?

A. Two years only; and, upon his death, his brother fucceeded to the government of the whole kingdom.

Q. Did this prince perform any action worthy of notice? A. He obtained a complete victory over the Danes, who had invaded his dominions and burned Winchesser.

2. Had either of these princes any children?

A. Ethelhald had none: Ethelhert had two fons, Adhelm and Ethelward; but notwithstanding this circumstance, Ethelred, his brother, succeeded him on the throne.

### ETHELRED, IVth King of England.

#### From 866 to 871.

Popes.		Emperor of the West,	and
	8;8	King of France.	
ADRIAN IL.  Emperors of the East.	867	Lewis II.	855
	842	King of Scotland.	
Basilius I.	867	CONSTANTINE II.	865

# 2. WHEN did Ethehred begin his reign?

2. By what events was it diffinguished?

A. The Daues thil continued their invasions, committing every where the most cruel ravages; and instead of confining their quarters, as formerly, to the isles of Thanst and Shepry, they effected a feetlement in the very heart of the kingdom.

2. How were they able to accomplish this point?

A. Partly by the number and valour of their forces, partly by the treachery of some English noblemen.

2. Which of the English nobility were guilty of this

4. One Brunn-Becard, in particular, who, it must be confessed, and great casile of complaint, his wise having been barbarously ravished by Ofbers, king or governor of Northumberland. Enraged at this injury, which he considered as irreparable, he made a voyage to Denmark, perfuaded the king of that country to undertake the conquest of England, promised to affish him with all his interest, and, on the arrival of the Danish forces, used every endeavour to forward their design.

2. Did not the Danes meet with a flout refiffance?

A. They were vigoroully opposed by Ethelred, and his gallant brother Alfred, who immediately affembled an army, attacked the enemy in every quarter, obtained feveral victories over them, but were not able, by their utmost efforts, to expel them entirely the kingdom.

Q. Where did the Danes establish their quarters?

A. In Northumberland, East-Anglia, and Mercia, a great

2. What is the character of Ethelred?

A. He was endued with many virtues, particularly with piety and valour; of the former of which he gave a remarkable inflance; for being, one day, employed in the exercise of religion, and being, at the fame time, informed, that his brother was attacked by the Danet, he refused to march to his affistance, till the prayers should be finished. The latter is apparent from the noble defence he made against the enemy, and the many battles which he fought with them; in one of which, he received a wound, which put a period to his life, in the fifth year of his rign.

2. Had he any children ?

A. He is faid to have had feveral; but none of them are particularly mentioned, except one, whose name was Alfred, and who was great grandfather to Etbelward the historian.

### ALFRED, furnamed the Great, Vin King of England.

#### From 871 to 901.

Popes.		LEO VI.	886
ADRIAN II.	867	Emperors of the West,	and
JOHN VIII.	872	Kings of France.	
MARTIN II.	882	Lewis II.	855
ADRIAN III.	884	CHARLES II.	8/3
STEPHEN VI.	885	CHARLES III.	880
Formosus	168	ARNOLD	888
BONIFACE VI.	896	LEWIS III.	899
STEPHEN VII.	897		1
Romanus	897	Kings of Scotland.	
THEODORE II.	898	CONSTANTINE II.	865
JOHN IX.	898	ETHUS .	878
BENEDICT IV.	900	GREGORY	880.
Emperors of the East		DONALD VI.	898
BASILIUS I.	867		HE.

2 D Y whom was Ethelred succeeded?

A. By his brother Alfred, who ascended the throne in 871.

2. Did this prince perform any memorable actions? A. More, undoubtedly, than any of his predecessors; and even, perhaps, than any of his fucceffors,

2. What were the most remarkable?

A. The Danes having invaded his dominions, with much greater numbers and fury than ever, he marched against them at the head of his army; and though he was not able to put them to a total rout, he yet committed such havock among them, that they were glad to engage, by a folemn promise, immediately to depart the kingdom, 2. Did they keep their word?

A. Instead of that, they suddenly turned off into another part of the country, which they ravaged with fire and fword; and being now reinforced by fresh numbers of their countrymen, which came over under three of their princes. Gutbrum.

Gutbrum, Ofcitel, and Amund, they threatened the kingdom with a total fubjection.

2. Did not Alfred continue to oppose them with his

42

afual vigour?

A. He did, and in one year fought eight confiderable battles against them; but the enemy being still farther reinforced, and having reduced Chippenham, one of the chief towns of the Well-Saxons, the English were seized with a general dispondence, and, almost to a man, deserted their fovereign.

2. What did Alfred do in this desperate extremity?

A. He first concealed himself in the house of a neatherd; and afterwards collecting a few of his followers, took up his quarters in the ifle of Arbelney, where he remained during a whole twelvemonth.

2. What encouraged him to leave this retreat?

A. The bravery of Oddune, earl of Dovonsbire, who having been belieged by a party of the Danes, in his castle of Kenwith, fallied out upon the enemy, killed Hubba, their general, put the greatest part of them to the sword, and took their famous reafen, or enchanted flandard.

2. What step did Alfred take upon the news of this

victory ?

A. He ordered the most considerable of his subjects, to meet him by a certain time, on the borders of Selwood forest, and having first examined the camp of the enemy, in the habit of a harper, he marched inftantly against them; and attacking them unawares, in the neighbourhood of Eddington, he committed fuch terrible flaughter upon them, that the furvivors were glad to agree to the alterna ive, of either abandoning the kingdom, or embracing Christianity. This event happened in 878.

2. Did any of them accept the latter condition?

A. Yes; Gutbrum and his army, who were immediately baptized, and fettled in the provinces of Northumberland and Eaft-Anglia.

2. Did the Danes return any more to England during this reign?

A. Yes, about the year 893, under the command of their famous general, Hastings; but after making descents upon different parts of the coast, and committing many outrages,

outrages, they were, at last, entirely expelled the king-dom.

2. Were Alfred's great actions merely of a military nature?

A. No; his civil inflitutions are no less remarkable, and are even, perhaps, more worthy of praise.

2. What were the most distinguished of these?

A. He divided the kingdom into counties, hundreds, and tythings; regulated the method of parliamentary proceedings; composed an excellent body of laws; inflitted, or at least reformed juries, and extended their power to civil, as well as criminal causes. In a word, he is generally, and justly considered as the great founder of the English conditions.

Q. Was not Alfred an encourager of learning?

A. Yes; witness his founding, or at least repairing the university of Oxford; and inviting to his court the most learned men from all countries, and bestowing upon them handlome pensions.

2. Did not he himself make considerable progress in

earning i

A. Yes; for, befides composing several original works, he translated the pastoral of Gregory I. Bestbius concerning the consolation of philosophy, and Bede's ecclesiastical history.

2. In what year did he die?

A. In 901, being the thirtieth year of his reign, and the

2. What is his character?

A. As a warrior, legislator, politician, and patron of learning, he was never excelled, and perhaps never equalled by any king of this, or of any other nation.

2. Had Alfred any children?

A. Three fons and three daughters. His eldeft fon, Edmand, died before him; his fecond fon, Edward, fucceeded him on the throne; and his third fon, Elbehvard, devoted himself to the pursuits of literature. His eldeft daughter was married to the earl of Mercia; his second to the count of Flanders; and the third was an abbefs.

### EDWARD the Elder, VIth King of England.

#### From 901 to 925.

Popes.		Emperors of the Wel	t.
BENEDICT IV.	900	Lewis III.	800
LEO V.		CONRAD I.	912
CHRISTOPHER	905	HENRY I.	919
SERGIUS III.	906	Kings of France.	
ANASTASIUS III.	910	CHARLES IV.	898
LANDON	912	ROBERT I.	922
JOHN X.	913	RODOLPH I.	923
Emperors of the			, ,
LEO VI.	885	Kings of Scotland.	
ALEXANDER II.	911	DONALD VI.	898
CONSTANT POSPI	012	CONSTANTINE III	000

2. W HY had Edward the furname of Elder?

A. Because he was the first of that name, who fat upon the English throne.

2. What were the qualities of this prince?

A. He was equal to his father in courage, conduct, and every other military virtue; but greatly inferior in knowledge and learning.

2. What were the chief transactions of his reign?

A. His coufin-german, Ethelwald, fon to king Ethelbert, the elder brother of Alfred, having laid claim to the throne; and having, in order to fupport his pretentions, put himfelf at the head of a flrong body of freebooters, whom he had brought from Normany, as well as of the Danus of Northumberland, who had chosen him their king; Edward immediately marched out against lim; and coming up with him in the neighbourhood of Bury, a battle was fought with great oblitacy, in which, though the enemy were able to keep the field, they gained that advantage by the lois of Ethelwald, and of the greatest part of their officers, who were killed upon the foot.

2. Did Edward perform no other memorable action?

A. He obliged Constantine III. king of Scotland, to fue to him for peace; compelled the Wells, who had revolted, to return to their allegiance; united to his crown the kingdoins of Northumberland and East-Anglia, which had hitherto affected a kind of independence; and is faid to have founded the university of Cambridge, in the year 915.

2. Was not he affitted in his warlike enterprizes by one of his fifters?

A. Yes, by his gallant fifter, Elflida, who fought many battles, and obtained many victories; and of whom it is reported, that having undergone a very difficult and dangerous labour, the refused afterwards all commerce with her husband; and renouncing the usual employments of her fex, devoted herself entirely to the exercise of arms.

2. How long did Edward reign?
A. Twenty-four years, and died in 02:.

2. Had he any children? A. By his first wife, Elflida, he had two sons, Ethelwald and Edwin; and fix daughters, two of whom lived fingle, and the other four were married; the first to Charles the Simple, the second to Hugh the Great, father to Hugh Capet, king of France, the third to the emperor Otho, and the fourth to a German duke. By his second wife, Edgiva, he had likewise two sons, Edmund and Edred, who afterwards reigned; and two daughters, the eldest of whom was a nunand the other married to Lewis, prince of Guienne. He had also, by Egwina, the daughter of a shepherd, a natural fon, named Arbelftan, who immediately succeeded him on the throne.

### ATHELSTAN, VII'M King of England.

#### From 925 to 941.

Popes.	Emperors of the West.
JOHN X. 913	HENRY I. 912
LEO VI. 928	Отно I. 936
STEPHEN VIII. 929	Kings of France.
JOHN XI. 931	
Leo VII. 936	
STEPHEN IX. 939	Lewis IV. 936
Emperor of the East.	King of Scotland.

CONSTANT. PORPH. 912 CONSTANTINE III. 909
2 TOW came Athelftan, who was only a natural fon

of Edward, to succeed him on the throne, in pre-

ference to his legitimate children?

A. Because none of these were of a proper age, or capacity, for managing the weighty affairs of government; and Athelfan was supposed to be possessed of every virtue, which could qualify him for the discharge of that important trust.

2. Did his future conduct justify this favourable opinion?

A. Yes; he proved one of the best and most accomplished

princes, that ever fat upon the English throne.

2. What great actions did he perform?
2. What great actions did he perform?
4. He fupprefied an infutrection, which was raifed in Northumberland, by Anla? and Gaffred, the two fons of Stabistic, late king, or governor, of that province. He marched into Scalland, at the head of a numerous army, and compelled Confamitus, king of that country, who had given protection to Anlaß, to fue for peace, in the most fuppliant terms. He afterwards defeated, in the neighbourhood of Branflury, the fame Confluating, together with Anlaß, and feveral Weiß and Danish princes, who had made as irruption into England, and most of whom perithed in

the action. This victory was chiefly ascribed to the bravery of Turketul, the English chancellor.

2. Did he perform any other memorable actions?

A. He afforded protection to quene Edginos, his filter, wife to Charles the Simple, knig of France, who had field to his court, with her young fon Levuir, in order to fecure him from the cruelty of Rodeljs, the uturyer; and upon the death of this laft, he contributed greatly to the refloration of Levuir, who, on account of his being educated beyond fea, was ofually diffinguished by the furname of Ourte Marine. In this reign, is faid to have lived Gay of Warwick, who overcame Colbrand, the famous Danillo giant; but this flory, though particularly related by fome hillorians, is not meationed by any author of reddit.

2. In what year did Athelftan die ?

A. In 941, after a reign of fixteen years; and having no children, was succeeded by his brother Edmund.

### EDMUND, VIIIth King of England.

#### From 941 to 946.

2. WHAT is the character of Edmund?

A. He was equally diftinguished for his civil

and his military abilities.
2. What proof did he give of the former?

A. Besides reducing into a more regular system the laws of his predecessors, he enacted many new ones of his own. In his reign, robbery was first punished with death.

2. In what inflances did his military talents appear? A. He defeated the Norbundrian, who had revolted, and reduced them to their former allegiance. He likewife conquered Cumberland from the Britons, and beflowed it on Maltelm, king of Sorland, on condition that he fhould do him homage for it, and protect the North from all future invafions of the Dants.

2. In what manner did he die?

A. He was affaffinated.

2. By whom? A. By one Leof, a notorious rebel, whom he had banished the kingdom.

2. How did this happen?

A. While he was celebrating the feaft of Augustine, in memory of the conversion of the Saxons, on the twentyfixth day of May, 946, he observed, that this rushian had the boldness to enter the hall where he himself dined, and to fit at table with his attendants. Enraged at this infolence, he ordered him to leave the room, and, on his refufing to obey, he leaped upon him, and feized him by the hair; but the wretch, pushed to extremity, suddenly drew a dagger, and gave Edmund a wound, of which he

Q. Had Edmund any children?

A. By his queen, Elgiva, he had two fons, Edwin or Edwy, and Edgar; but as they were very young, they did not immediately fucceed him on the throne.

## EDRED, IXth King of England.

#### From 946 to 955.

Pobe. King of France. AGAPOTUS LEWIS IV. 936 Emperor of the East. Kings of Scotland. CONSTANT. PORPH. 912 Emperors of the West. MALCOLM I.

2. W HO succeeded Edmund?

OTHO I.

2. What events diflinguished his reign?

A. The Danes of Northumberland having revolted, he reduced them to obedience; but breaking out, foon after, into another rebellion, and having even added treachery to rebellion, by attacking his army, while on its march home, he fuddenly marched back into the heart of their country, and ravaging it; every where with fire fword, and effectually fecured it with English garrisons.

2. Did the rest of his reign pass in tranquillity?

A. Yes; and this time he spent in the exercises of devotion, or rather of superstition; for he sometimes descended to low, as to fuffer himfelf to be fcourged by the monks.

9. Whose advice did he chiefly follow?

A. That of Dunftan, abbot of Glaftonbury, an artful and ambitious ecclesiastic, who had the sole direction of his conduct, as well in temporal as in spiritual concerns.

2. What use did Dunstan make of his power?

A. A very bad one; for he employed it in introducing the practice of celibacy among the clergy; a custom, which, however advantageous to the church, is extremely pernicious to civil fociety.

Q. In what year did Edred die ?

A. In ogs, being the fourth year of his reign,

2. Had he any children?

A. Yes; two fons, Bertfred and Elfred, but they did not fucceed him on the throne.

2. Where was he interred?

A. In the old minster without the city of Winchester. His bones, with those of some other monarchs, are said to be preferved to this day, in a gilt coffin, fixed in the wall, on the fouth fide of the choir.

### EDWY, Xth King of England.

#### From 955 to 959.

Pope.	Kings of France.		
JOHN XII. 955	Lewis IV.	935	
Emperor of the East.	LOTHAIRE I.	956	
CONSTANT. PORPH. 912	Kings of Scotland.		
Emperor of the West.	MALCOLM 1.	949	
Отно II. 953	INDULPHUS	958	

HO succeeded Edred?

A. His nephew, Edwy, eldest son of king Edmund. 2. When did he begin his reign ?

A. In 955, being then about fixteen or seventeen years

2. What character do historians give him?

A. That he was possessed of the most amiable figure, and even endued with the most promising virtues, and would have been the favourite of his people, had he not been engaged in a controversy with the monks.

en engaged in a controverfy with the mor

what gave occasion to this alipsute?

A. Edway having married a beautiful prince of the blood royal, named Elgiva, who, though only his fecoud or third coulin, was within the degrees of confanguinty prohibited by the cannon law, the monks exclaimed againft him as guilty of incell, and even obliged him to agree to a divorce.

2. Were they fatisfied with this concession?

A. No: they feized the queen, burned her face with a red-hot iron, and banished her into Ireland; and poon her venturing to return to Ergland, they again apprehended her, and put her to death in the most cruel manner. She is said to have been hamstrung, and to have expired a few days after, in the most exquisite torments.

2. Did not Edwy resent this complication of injuries?

A. Yes; he expelled the monks from feveral of their monafteries, which he bestowed upon the secular clergy.

What was the confequence of this proceeding? A. The monks raifed fuch a ferment in the nation, that the Merciam broke out into an open rebellion, and placing Edgar at their head, the younger brother of Edwy, a boy only of thirteen years of age, they foon made themfelves mafters of the greateft part of the kingdom.

Q. How did Edwy bear his misfortunes ?

A. He was seized with such a deep melancholy, as soon brought him to his grave. He died in 959, being the fifth year of his reign.

2. Who was the principal author of all this mischief?

A. Dunstan, commonly called St. Dunstan, though very

A. Dunfan, commonly called St. Dunfan, though very unworthy of that title. He first encouraged the monks in their infults on the royal authority; and afterwards excited the people to rebel against their sovereign.

### EDGAR, XIth King of England.

#### From 959 to 975.

Popes.	Emperor of the West.
JOHN XII. 955	Отно II. 953
BENEDICT V. 964 JOHN XIII. 965	
DOMNUS II. 965	King of France.
BENEDICT VI. 972	LOTHAIRE 1. 956
Emperors of the East.	Kings of Scotland.
ROMANUS the Younger 959	INDULPHUS 058
Nicephorus 963 John Trimezes 669	DUFFUS 967
500	CULENUS 972

2 W HO fucceeded Edwy?

W A. Edgar, his brother, who, before the death of Edwy, had obtained the fovereignty of the best part of the kingdom.

2 In what year did he ascend the throne?

A. In 959, being then only about fixteen years of age. 2. What is the character of this prince?

A. He was certainly possessed of very great abilities, and governed his kingdom with admirable widom.

2. What were the most memorable of his actions?

A. He took fuch effectual measures for fectoring his dominions against foreign invasions, and domestic infurrections, that his whole reign was one continued feene of tranquility; and hence he is usually diffinguished by the surname of Pacific.

Q. What were the meafures he took for this purpofe? A. He maintained a large body of regular forces in the horthera counties, in order to overswe the mutinous Dame; and fupported fuch a powerful, and well-appointed navy, as protected his coadis from all kind of danger.
2. Did he prefers a formal kind of danger.

2. Did he perform nothing else remarkable ?

A. He inflicted fevere punishments on such magistrates as were guilty of corruption; and, the better to ensure the administration of justice, made an annual circuit through D 2 the different parts of his dominions. He likewise changed the tribute of the Welf into three hundred wolves heads, and pardoned all crimes committed before a certain period, on condition the criminals should produce a certain number of these animals tongues; an expedient, which produced fuch diligence in hunring them, that the creature has been no more feen in this ifland.

2. What steps did he take with regard to the church?

A. He obtained permission from pope John XIII. to fummon a general council in his dominions; and in this astembly, the fecular clergy, who were accused of many irregularities, but whose greatest crime seems to have been their living in marriage, contrary to the pope's orders, were deprived of their benefices, which were bestowed upon the monks.

2. Was Edgar's private life as unexceptionable as his

public conduct?

A. Very far from it. It was diffolute and licentious to the last degree.

2. What particulars can you mention to this purpose?

A. He broke into a convent, carried off a nun by force, whose name was Wilfrid, and even committed violence on her person,

2. Can you produce no other inflance?

A. Yes; his marriage with Elfrida was attended with circumstances, which were equally fingular and horrid in their nature.

D. Pleafe to relate the most material of these.

A. Edgar, hearing that this young lady, the daughter of Olear, earl of Dewonshire, was univerfally reputed the greatest beauty in the kingdom, resolved, if her charms should prove answerable to report, to raise her to his bed and throne; and in order the more certainly to inform himfelf of the truth, he defired Ethelwold, his principal favourite, to make a visit to the parents, and bring him an account of their daughter's accomplishments.

2. How did Ethelwold execute his commission?

A. Struck with the luttre of Elfrida's beauty, he refolved to procure her in marriage for himself; and having, by falle description of her charms, diverted the king from his purpose of marrying her, he, sometime after, observed to his majefly, that though the homelinefs of her performed by the number of the beconfort to a fovereign, her high birth and great riches must make her an eligible match to a subject; and, herefore, if the king had no objection, he was determined to ask her in marriage for himself.

2. Did the king comply with his request?

A. Yes; and Ethelwold was foon after married to Elfrida; but dreading the discovery of the artifice he had employed, he confined her firitly in his castle in the country, and would never allow her to appear at court.

2. Was it possible to keep the matter long secret?

A. No: Edgar was foon informed of the trialt; and having paid Endeaudal a viile at his calle, was 6 onchanted with the beauty of Elfrida, who, notwithstanding her hufband's entreasies to the contrary, afted every art to fet off her charms, that he instantly resolved to take vengeance on his favourite. For this purpose, he drew him, under pretence of hunting, into a neighbouring wood, where having slabbed him with his own hand, he soon after publickly married Elfrida. The lady herself, to explain the crime, of which she had been guilty, in contributing, in some measure, to her husband's death, established on the spor, where his blood was split, a society of nuns, to pray for his soul.

2. When did Edgar die?

A. In 975, being the seventeenth year of his reign, and the thirty-third of his age?

2. Had he any children ?

A. By his first wife, the daughter of earl Ordmer, he had a fon, named Edward, who fucceeded him on the throne; and by his second wife, Elfrida, he had a fon, called Ethetred, who succeeded to Beward. He had like-wife, by the nun Wifrid, a natural daughter, whose name was Ethita, and who is greatly extolled on account of piety.

EDWARD the Martyr, XIIth King of England.

From 975 to 978.

Popes. Emperor of the East. BENEDICT VII. Basilius II. 975 Kings of Scotland.
Emperor of the West. Cullinus OTHO II. KENNETH III.

2.W HO succeeded Edgar?

A. His eldest son, Edward, who began his reign in 975, being then in the fifteenth year of his age.

Q. Did he succeed without opposition?

A. No: a strong party was formed against him, by the intrigues of his step mother, the fair Elfrida, who, under pretence that he was not legitimate, endeavoured to raise her own fon, Ethelred, to the throne; but Dunftan, and the nobility, declaring in favour of Edward's title, that prince was anointed and crowned at King Ron.

2. What events distinguished his reign ?

A. The total expulsion of the fecular clergy from the possession of their benefices, and the full establishment of the monks in the monasteries.

2. By whose influence was this effected?

A. By that of Dunftan, who, by this time, was advanced to the fee of Canterbury.

2. How was he able to accomplish this point?

A. Partly by his interest with the king and people, partly by the help of some pious impostures, which he was pleased to call miracles.

2. What were the principal of these?

A. At one time, he declared he had received an immediate revelation from heaven in favour of the monks; at another, he made a crucifix to speak to the same purpose; and, on a third occasion, he contrived matters fo, that the floor of the hall, where the affembly was met, funk all of a fudden, and killed feveral of the members.

2. Did

Q. Did not the people fufpect thefe cheats? A. Whatever might be the private fentiments of a few individuals, they durft not declare them publickly; and with regard to the people in general, they armly believed them to be real miracles.

2. In what manner did Edward die?

A. He was flabbed by the orders of his flep-mother, Elfrida, whose beauty of person seems only to have been exceeded by the desormity of her mind.

2. How was this cruel act committed?

A. One day, while he was hunting in Derfeibire, he happened to pas near a place, called Corf-calls, where Eifrida resided; and as he had always shewn her the greatest respect, but hought it is duty to take this opportunity of paying her a witt. Accordingly, he rode up to the gate of the castle, without any attendants; and while he was drinking a cup of wine, that was brought him, a ruffian, whom Elfrida had prepared for the purpose, stabled him in the back.

2. What did Edward, when he found him elf wounded ? A. He inflandly rode off at full fipeed; but finiting, in a flort time, through lois of blood, he fell from his faddle, his foot fluck in the flirrup, and he was dragged along by his unruly horfe, till he expired. His body being found, was interred at Werebam, from whence it was afterwards transported to Shaftfelmy, where it is faid to have performed a great many miracles; and Edward was canonized as a faint and martyr.

2. When did this catastrophe happen?

A. In 978, being the third year of his reign.

2. What became of Elfrida after this barbarous deed?
A She built monafteries, and fubmitted to many penances; but, notwithItanding all her marks of contrition, whether real or affected, the continued, till the day of her death, to be held in detellation by every humane perfon.

## ETHELRED II. XIIIth King of England.

#### From 978 to 1016.

Popes.		Отно III.	983
BENEDICT VII.	975	HENRY II.	1002
JOHN XV.	984		
JOHN XVI.	995	Kings of France.	
GREGORY V.	996	LOTHAIRE I.	956
SILVESTER II.	999	LEWIS V.	986
JOHN XVII.	1003	HUGH CAPET	987
JOHN XVIII.	1003	ROBERT H.	996
SERGIUS IV.	1009		
BENEDICT VIII.	1012	Kings of Scotland	
Emperor of the Eaf	t.	KENNETH III.	977
BASILLIUS II.	975	CONSTANTINE IV.	1002
Emperors of the Wel	ft.	GRIMUS	1005
Отно II.	953	MALCOLM II.	1014

2. W HO succeeded Edward the Martyr?

A. His brother Ethelred, the second of that name,

who ascended the throne in 978.

2. What is the character of this prince?

A. He was fo lazy and indolent, that he obtained the

furname of Ethelred the Unready?

2. Did he enjoy a peaceable reign?

A. No: it was continually diffurbed by the invafions of the Danes, who had now, after a long interval, renewed their attempts againft this island.

2. Did not they meet with a vigorous opposition?

A Very far from it. The English were at once so mean-spirited and imprudent, as to purchase a peace of them, sive several times, with large soms of money. The money, raised for this purpose, was usually distinguished by the name of Danggill.

2. What effect did this produce?

A. It encouraged the Danes to continue their invasions, and to impose on the country such intolerable exactions, that at last the people were so thoroughly enraged, that,

by orders of Ethelred, they massacred, in one day, all the Danes in England, and, among the rest, Gunilda, the king of Denmark's fifter, who had married earl Paling, an English nobleman.

2. Was not this barbarity severely revenged?

A. Yes: Sweyn, king of Denmark, invaded England with a powerful army; made an entire conquest of the kingdom; and compelled Ethelred to fly for refuge to the court of Richard, duke of Normandy, whose fifter, Emma, he had married.

2. How long did he continue there ?

A. Till the death of Sweyn, in 1014, upon which he immediately returned to England, and re-ascended the throne.

2. Did he possess it quietly during the rest of his reign ? A. No: Canute proved an enemy no less formidable than his father Sweyn, and even carried his cruelty to a still greater height. At one time, in particular, he cut off the hands and nofes of all the English hostages, and in that shocking condition, fet them ashore at Sandwich.

2. Did he meet with no opposition?

A. Yes: prince Edmund, the eldest fon of Etbelred, made head against him with a considerable army; and would probably have obliged him to abandon the kingdom, had he not been basely betrayed by the persidious Edric, who deferted to Canute with a strong body of forces.

2. What was the confequence of Edric's treachery?

A. Canute subdued the greatest part of the kingdom, and feemed likely, in a fhort time, to become master of the whole; when, in 1016, death put a period to king Ethelred's life, in the fiftieth year of his age, and the thirtyfeventh of his reion.

2. Had he any children?

A. By his first wife Elgiva, he had Edmund, who succeeded him; Athelftan, who died an infant; and Edwy, who was afterwards murdered by order of Canute: and three daughters; the first, called Edgiva, married an English earl, who was killed in battle; the fecond, called Edgitha, had the ill fortune to be married to the traitor Edric, duke of Mercia; and the third, named Edgina, was espoused to Uthred, earl of Northumberland. By his fecond wife, Emma Di

of Normandy, he had two fons, Alfred and Edward; and a daughter named Goda. The fons were, immediately after their father's death, conveyed by their mother icto her own country. The daughter was married, first to Walter, earl of Manies, and, afterwards, to Euflace, earl of Boulogne.

#### EDMUND, furnamed Ironside, XIVth King of England.

From 1016 to 1017.

King of France. BENEDICT VIII. 1012 ROBERT II.

Emperor of the East. Basilius II. 975

Emperor of the West.

HENRY II. 1002 King of Scotland. MALCOLM II.

W HO succeeded Ethelred?

A. His eldest son, Edmund, who acquired the

furname of Ironfide, from his great strength of body. He began his reign in 1016.

2. What is the character of this prince?

A. He was alike distinguished for his courage and his conduct; and had he not been cut off in the prime of his youth, would probably have equalled, in every other respect, the most illustrious of his predecessors.

2. In what condition did he find the kingdom at his

accession to the throne?

A. In a most miserable one; the greatest part of it being possessed by Canute, king of Denmark, who, immediately upon the death of Ethelred, laid fiege to London. which was almost the only city, that had not submitted to the terror of his arms.

2. Did he take it?

A. No: for Edmund having defeated him in two great battles, obliged him, in a short time, to raise the siege. Soon after, he obtained a third victory over him; but being prevented, by the counfels of the perfidious Edric. from improving his advantage, Canute was able to repair his loss, and even to give Edmund fuch a terrible overthrow. as seemed to threaten him with utter destruction.

A. Notwithstanding the loss he had suffered, he soon reaffembled his broken forces, and even offered the enemy battle; when the leading men of both armies, wearied out with the calamities of war, obliged the two kings to come to a compromise, and to divide the kingdom between them.

2. In what manner was it divided ?

A. Canute had, for his share, the provinces of Mersia, Kall-Anglia, and Northumberland: the fouthern parts were left to Edmund.

Q. How long did this prince furvive the treaty?

A. But a few days, being barbaroufly murdered by the order of Edric, his brother-in-law, who suborned two domestics for that purpose, and, immediately, upon the commission of the fact, carried the news of it, with joy, to the Danifb king, Canute.

2. How did Canute receive the information?

A. Though he was shocked at the barbarity of the deed, yet, as he had further occasion for the traitor, he thought it prudent to diffemble his fentiments, and even promifed to raise him above all the other lords of the kingdom; a promife, indeed, which he afterwards fulfilled, though in a manner very different from what Edric, expected; for he foon caufed the head of that ruffian to be publickly cut off, and to be fixed upon one of the highest gates in London,

2. When did Edmund die ?

A. In 1017, after a reign of less than a year; and his death made way for the fuccession of Canute to the government of the whole kingdom. 2. Had Edmund any children,

A. By his wife Algitha, he had two fons, Edmund and Edward, and a natural fon, whose name was Edwy,

#### CHAP. XVII.

#### DANISH KINGS.

CANUTE, furnamed the Great, XVth King of England.

#### From 1017 to 1035.

Popes.		Emperors of the West.	
BENEDICT VIII.	1012	HENRY II.	1002
JOHN XIX.	1024	CONRAD II.	1024
BENEDICT IX.	1033		1 1
Emperors of the East.		Kings of France.	
BASILIUS II.		ROBERT II.	997
CONSTANT. X.		HENRY I.	1031
ROMANUS III.	1028	Kings of Scotland	1.
MICHAEL IV.	1034	MALCOLM II.	1014

2WHEN did Canute begin his reign?

Q. What were the first acts of his government?

A. He had no fooner ascended the throne, than he dispatched the sons of Edmund Ironfale, the true heirs of the crown, to the court of his ally, the king of Sounden, whom he requested, that, on the arrival of the English princes, he should immediately put them to death; but that monarch, detelling the thought of committing such a base and barbarous action, and being, at the same time, afraid, by astroding them protection, to incur the displeasure of Canute, ordered them to be conducted to the court of Schomon, king et Humarr.

What reception did they meet with in this laft place? A. A very favourable one: Edmund, the elder, was foon after married to Solomo's fifter; but dying without iffue, that prince gave his fifter-in-law, Agatha, daughter of the enperor. Henry II. in marriage to Edward, the younger

brother;

brother; and she bore him Edgar Atheling, Margaret, afterwards queen of Scotland, and Christina, who retired into a convent.

2. Had Canute any wars to maintain?

A. Yes, againft the king of Sweden, whom he defeated in a great battle; as alfo againft the king of Nerway, whom he expelled from his kingdom. He likewife obliged the king of Scelland to do him homage, for the lands which that prince held in England.

2. How did he employ himself during times of tran-

quillity ?

A. In regulating the civil adminifration of his kingdom, and in repairing the cities, abbeys, and churches, which had been damaged, or demolified, during the late wars. In particular, he built a fplendid church over the tomb of Edmund, king of Eafl-Anglia, who had been killed by the Dames; and, in memory of that prince, gave to the town the name of St. Edmund's barry, which it till retains.

2. Did not he make a journey to Rome?

A. Yes; and, during his abode there, he obtained from the pope fome confiderable privileges in favour of the English school, established in that city.

2. Did not he give a remarkable proof of his piety, and

good fense?

A. Yes: one day, while he was walking by the fea-fide, one of his courtiers, in admiration of his grandeur, exclaimed, that he was king both of earth and fea. Upon this, Camute ordered a chair to be brought, and fitting down on the flore, while the tide was making, he flooke to the fea in the following terms: "O fea, thou art fubject to me, "and this land is my property; I command thee not to

"and this land is my property; I command thee not to
"approach nearer to me, nor to prefume to wet the feet of
"thy maller." But as the fea, notwithstanding his orders,
rose still higher, and even washed him with its waves, he

turned to his courtiers, and addressed them in these words: "Let us consess," said he, "that there is no being, to

"whom the title of king of earth and sea belongs, except him who created them by his power, and preserves them by his goodness. Let us, therefore go, and acknow-

" ledge him as fuch."

2. What did he for this purpose?

61

A. He immediately went to the abbey-church of Winelefter, took his diadem from his head, and with it crowned a crucifix; and could never be perfuaded to wear it for the future, but confessed himself unworthy of that honour.

2. Did he always continue in this difposition?

A. Yes; to the end of his life, which happened in 1035,

after a reign of eighteen years.

2. What is the character of this prince?

A. It was 6 different in the different periods of his life, that it is almoft impoffible to deferibe it. Though, in making his way to the throne, he had violated every law, humane and divine, yet he had no fooner attained that dignity, than he feemed at once to change his nature, and continued, during the beft part of his reign, to govern his kingdom with fuch jultice, equity, and prudence, as fecured him the affection of his own fabjects, and commanded the effects of all other nations.

2. Had he any children?

A. Yes: by his first wife, Alfavan, daughter of the earl of Hamphine, he had two sons, Saugm and Harold; and by his second wife, Emma of Normande, widow of king Ethelrad, he had a son, named Hardicanute, and a daughter, called Ganilda, who was married to the emperor, Henry IV. To Saugm he gave Norway, to Hardicanute Denmark, and Harold succeeded him on the throne of England.

# HAROLD, furnamed Harefoot, XVIth King of England.

### From 1035 to 1039.

Benedict IX. 1033 Henry I. 1031

Emperce of the East.
Michael IV. 1034 King of Scotland.

MICHAEL IV. 1034 King of Scotland.

Emperor of the West. Malcolm II. 1014

CONRAD II. 1024

2 W HENCE did Harold derive the name of Harefool?

A. From his great agility in running and walking.

2. Did

2. Did he succeed to the crown with the unanimous confent of the nation ?

A. No: most of the English would have preferred Hardicanute, whom they confidered as their countryman; but as the will of the late king was in favour of Harold, and his cause was supported by the Danes, he easily carried it against all opposition.

2. Did he perform any memorable action?

A. None but what must ever be held in the utmost abhorrence. Prince Alfred, the fon of Ethelred by his queen Emma, having come to pay him a vifit, was treacheroufly feized by the king's order, his eyes were put out, and he was thrown into prison, where he soon after died.

2. What were the qualities of Harold?

A. Treachery and cruelty feem to have been his principal qualities; and these rendered him so odious to his subjects, that he was on the point of being deposed, when death put a period to his life.

2. When did this happen?

A. In 1039, in the fifth year of his reign.

# HARDICANUTE, XVII'h King of England.

#### From 1039 to 1041.

Emperor of the West. BENEDICT IX. 1033 HENRY III. 1030 King of France. Emperors of the East.

HENRY I. MICHAEL IV. King of Scotland. 1034 MICHAEL V. IOAI MALCOLM II.

W H O succeeded Harold?

A. His brother Hardicanute, or Canute the Hardy, who began his reign in 1030.

2. What is the character of this prince? A. He poffesfed most of the ill qualities of his brother, together with that of intemperance. He appears, however, to have been a dutiful fon, and an affectionate brother; for he invited both Emma and Edward to his court, and treated them with great hospitality.

2. Did not prince Edward, on his arrival in England, enter an accusation against Goodwin, duke of Wessex, as having been concerned in the murder of his brother Alfred?

A. Yes; but that nobleman found means to escape the danger, by presenting a magnificent galley to the king,

who immediately put a stop to the prosecution. 2. What were the chief transactions of Hardicanute's

reign? A. Very few, and such as reflect disgrace upon his me-

mory.

2. Please to mention them? A. He caused the body of the late king, his brother, to be dug up, to be publickly beheaded, and thrown into the Thames; where it was found by fome fishermen, and brought to the Danes, who privately interred it in the church of St. Clement, which was the Danish burying ground.

2. Can you give no other instance of his cruelty?

A. Two of the collectors of his customs having been murdered by the inhabitants of Worcester, he ordered the duke of Wellex, and some other noblemen, to march against that city, to raze it to the ground, and to destroy the inhabitants.

Did they obey his orders?

A. They executed the first part of them; but were too generous to comply with the laft.

Q. Of what difease did Hardicanute die ?

A. Of a surfeit, which he got at the wedding-feast of a Danish nobleman; and the English were so little affected by his death, that they turned it to a subject of mirth, and, for a long time, diftinguished the anniversary of it by the name of Hock-boliday.

2. In what year did this happen?

A. In 1041, being the third year of his reign.

#### CHAP. XVIII.

### SAXON KINGS Reftored.

# EDWARD, furnamed the Confessor, XVIII114 King of England.

#### From the Year 1041 to 1066.

Popes.		MICHAEL VI.	1056
BENEDICT IX.	1033	ISAAC I.	1057
GREGORY VI.	1044	CONSTANT. XII.	1059
CLEMENT II.	1045	Emperors of the	
DAMASCUS II.	1048	HENRY III.	1039
LEO IX.	10.10	HENRY IV.	10,6
Victor II.	1055	Kings of Fra	
STEPHEN X.	1057	HENRY I.	1031
NICHOLAS II.	1059	PHILIP I.	1060
ALEXANDER II.	1061	Kings of Scot	and.
Emperors of the E	aft.	MALCOLM II.	1014
MICHAEL V.	1041	DONALD VII.	1045
CONSTAN. MONOM.	1042	DUNCAN	1052
THEODORA	1054	MALCOLM III.	1059

2. THO succeeded Hardicanute?

A. Edward, the youngest fon of Ethilred, in whom the Saxon line was restored.

2 Was he the true heir of the crown?

A. No: Edward, the fon of Edward Ironfide, had a better title; but as he was at that time ablent from the kingdom, and the prefent opportunity behoved speedily to be embraced, it was thought most prudent to overlook his claim in this critical emergence:

2. Had neither of the two last kings any children?

A. None that are mentioned by hiltorians; and even if they had had any, fuch was the averfion which the English had conceived to the Danish yoke, that it is more than probable, they would not have allowed them to fucceed to the throne.

2. By whose interest was this revolution effected?

A. By that of Goodwin, duke of Weffex, one of the most powerful noblemen that ever appeared in England.

2 Did not he impose some conditions on Edward, be-

fore he would employ his interest in his favour?

A. Yes: he required him to engage, by a folemn oath, that he would marry Editha, his daughter; and Edward was forced to agree to the proposal, in spite of his reluctance, to marry the daughter of a man, whom he confidered as the murderer of his brother, Alfred. Every thing being thus fettled, he was crowned at Winchester, in the year

1041, being then, it is fail, about forty years of age. 2. Was not his accession to the throne attended with some

infults and outrages against the Danes?

A. Yes; but with regard to the flory of their having been all massacred, which is mentioned by some historians, it is not supported by any good authority.

2. Did none of the descendants of Canute endeavour to

affert their right to the crown of England?

A. Sweyn, king of Norway, fitted out a powerful armament for that purpose; but a war breaking out between him and the king of Denmark, he was obliged entirely to aban-

don the enterprize. 2. Did not the ambition of Goodwin and his fons threaten

the kingdom with fome great diffurbance?

A. Yes: they actually rose in arms, and affembled a numerous army; but being opposed by a superior force, they were deferted by their troops, and driven into banishment. Neverthelefs, they were, in a little time, recalled; and Goodwin dying foon after, was succeeded in his honours, his interest, and estate, by his son Harold, who afterwards ascended the throne of England.

2. Was not the death of Goodwin attended with some

fingular circumstances ?

A. It is reported, that as he was one day at dinner with the king, that monarch faid to him, that had his brother Alfred been now alive, they would have mutually affitted each other, and while he fpoke, he eyed the earl with a very disdainful air.

2. What reply did the earl make?

A. Knowing well that the king suspected him of having been concerned in his brother's death, he took a bit of bread in his hand, and faying, "May this be the last "morfel I ever swallow, if I am any way guilty of that "prince's death," he put it in his mouth.

Q. What was the confequence?

A. It inftantly choaked him, and left the company at a lofs to determine, whether it were owing to an apoplectic fit, or to a judgment from heaven. This incident, however, is not mentioned by our belt hittorians.

2. Is not Edward accused of having treated his mother,

queen Emma, with great feverity?

A. Yes, and not entirely without foundarion; for, having conceived a grudge againd her, on account of her marrying Canute, the great enemy of his family, and preferring her children by the fecond marriage to thole the had by the first, he now stripped her of all her treasures, and confined her, during the remainder of her life, to a monaltery in Winchgler. But with regard to his accoung her of a participation in her fon Alfred's murder, and of a criminal correspondence with the biting of Winchgler, and for of her justifying herfelf by the farg watcal, or by treading unburt, blindfolded and barefooted, over nice burning plough-thares, thele are allowed, by our most sensitive with the wince of the moskith writers.

2. Was Edward's reign diffinguished by any military

transaction?

A. Blacketh, a Scattiff nobleman, having murdered Discan, his lawful fovereign, and banished his fon, Malcola
Kannues, usurped the throne of that kingdom; upon which,
Seward, earl of Northumberland, marched against that bloody
tyrant, deteated and killed him in a great battle, and eslabished Malcolm on the throne of his ancessors. Hareld too,
the fon of earl Geodeum, repulled the Weiße, who had invaded the western borders, and pursuing them into their
own country, reduced them, at last, to tach extremity, that
they were glad to purchase a peace of him, by presenting
him with the head of Grifflight, their prince.

2. What did Edward do for improving the civil admi-

nittration of his kingdom?

A. He collected the laws of his predecessors, Ethelbert, Lua, and Alfred, and digested them into one body, which he called, The Common Law of England.

2. How

2. How long did these laws continue in force?

A. 'Till the time of William the Conqueror, who, about the fifth year of his reign, introduced into England the feudal law, which was then the prevailing form of government all over Europe.

2. Did not the English foon defire the restoration of Ed-

ward the Confessor's laws?

A. Upon the accession of Henry I. they demanded, and were promised, the restoration of these laws; and that monarch, accodingly, granted a charter, in which he restored to laws of king Edward, and abolished most parts of the leudal fyslem, excepting that of military tenures, which he retained, as an amendment made by his sather, though he

lessened some of the grievances of it.

2. Were the people of England satisfied with this con-

ceffion ?

A. Yes: till the old oppressions were renewed in the reign of king John, when the barrons, and chief tenants of the crown, extorted from that prince a new charter of liberties, which was, probably, somewhat short of that granted by Henry I. and yet fuller than that of Henry III. and this continues to this very day to be part of the Common Law of England?

2. What is the character of king Edward?

A. He was, in general, of a mild and peaceable temper, had no great genius, and was not fubject to any violent padisons. The monks honoured him with the title of Saint and Confelfor; and even afferted, that many miracles were wrought by him, as well during his life time, as after his death. They further add, that he observed an inviolable challity with his wife, Eatha, and that this continence proceeded from a principle of religion; though it is more probable, that it was owing to the hatter dhe had conceived againft her, as being the daughter of a man, who had murdered his brother.

Q. Have you nothing else remarkable to mention of this

prince ?

A. He was the first who pretended, in imitation of the French monarchs, to cure, by his touch, the disease called the king's-evil; a practice, which was continued by all his successors, till the year 1714, when it was wisely dropped

y

by the present royal family, who observed, that as it had always been ridiculous in the eyes of men of fense, so it could no longer raise the amazement even of the populace. 2 Did not he ercel some considerable buildings?

A. He converted a little monastery into a most beautiful cathedral, named Westminster-abbey, which he endowed with rich revenues, and dedicated to St. Peter; and he there built his own fepulchre, which has fince been employed as the common burying-place of all the English monarchs. He likewife built another church, which was confecrated to St Margaret, a little without the abbey.

2. When did Edward die?

A. On the fifth day of January, 1066, in the fixty-fifth year of his age, and the twenty-fifth of his reign. He expired in the room of his palace at Westminster, now called the Painted chamber; and was folemply interred in the fepulchre he had built.

# HAROLD II. XIXth King of England.

#### 1066.

King of France. Pope. 1061 PHILIP I. ALEXANDER II. Emperor of the East.

CONSTANT. XII. 1059 King of Scotland. Emperor of the West. MALCOLM III. 1059

HENRY IV. 1056

2. WHO succeeded Edward?

A. Harold, the son of the late earl Goodwin, who ascended the throne in 1066.

2. Had he any right to the crown? A. Not even the smallest pretention.

2. How then came he to obtain it? A. The people either bestowed it upon him, or they acquiesced in his usurpation, after he had seized it.

2. Who was the true heir to the crown?

A. Edgar Atheling, the grandfon of Edmund Ironfide; but as that prince was possessed of but very mean abilities, and was supposed to be incapable of supporting the weight of government, his title was overlooked on the present

occasion. 2. Is not Edward faid to have bequeathed his crown,

to William duke of Normandy? A. It is pretended, indeed, that he made a will to that purpose; but no proof could ever be produced that any such will existed.

2. Did not William endeavour to affert the right, which

this supposed will gave him ?

A. Yes; and with that view, he fent ambaffadors to Harold, to fummon him to refign his crown; and, in case of refusal, to reproach him with the violation of his oath, and to declare war against him.

2. What oath had Harold taken ?

A. While he was at the court of Normandy about fome other bufiness. William had acquainted him with the will of king Edward in his favour, and obliged him to fwear, that he would support his pretentions.

2. What answer did Harold now give to the demand of

the duke of Normandy?

A. That he was able to defend his right against any one who should dispute it with him.

2. Was William intimidated by this answer?

A. No: he began to prepare for an invalion of England; and in the mean time, prevailed on Tofton, king Harold's brother (who having been stripped of his employments for his numerous crimes, had, in a fit of rage, abandoned his country) to make a descent upon that kingdom, in order to pave the way for the execution of his enterprize.

2. What fuccess did Tofton meet with?

A. He was repulfed in his first attempt; but retiring afterwards to the court of Norway, he perfuaded Harold Harfager, the king of that country, to undertake the conquest of England; and that prince, accordingly, embarked his army on board a fleet of five hundred ships, with which he entered the Humber, laid waste Yorkshire, took the capital of that county, and was proceeding in his ravages, when, being encountered by the king of England, at the head of a numerous army, his troops were defeated, and himfelf and Toffon flain in the action.

2. Was William discouraged by these unpromising cir-

cumstances?

A. No: having affembled an army of fixty thousand veteran troops, he put them on board a fleet of three thousand hips, and fetting fail for England, landed them without opposition, on the twenty-ninth of September, at Pewengy in Saffen, from whence he advanced to Haftings, where he established his quarters.

2. What reasons did he alledge for his descent upon

England?

A. His motives, he faid, were, to revenge the death of prince Alfred, brother to king Edward; to reflore Robert, archbiflop of Canterbury, to his fee; and to affer his own right to the crown of England, which, he pretended, had been bequeathed him by Edward the Confifer.

2. How did Harold behave upon the news of this in-

vafion?

A. He instantly marched against the enemy, and coming

up with them at Haftings, fought there the great and decifive battle, which finally determined the fate of England, and put William in possession of the crown of that kingdom. Pray mention the most remarkable circumstances of

the action ?

A. The English had taken post on a rising ground, where they wifely resolved to stand upon the desensive. The Normans, therefore, began the attack; but met with fuch a flout and vigorous refistance, that, after a long and obstinate difpute, they were beginning to fall into fome diforder, when William, coming up, restored the battle, and renewed the attack with redoubled fury. Finding, however, that, after all his efforts, the main body of the English continued abfolutely impenetrable, he ordered his troops to make a feeming retreat, and when the English, believing them routed, purfued them into the plain, the Normans turned fuddenly upon these last, and repulsed them to the hill with great flaughter. The fame experiment was repeated a fecond time, and with the same success; but notwithstanding the loss of the English in these two encounters, a good body of them still kept together, and seemed even determined to dispute the victory with the enemy, till at last king Harold, after performing incredible feats of valour, was unfortunately killed by an arrow, and his two valiant brothers, Gurth and Leafwin, were likewise flain. The English, dispirited by the fall of these princes, immediately took to flight, and were hotly purfued by the victorious Normans, who committed great havock among them, till at length darkness put an end to the carnage.

9. To what cause chiefly do historians ascribe the defeat

A. Partly to the habits of luxury and idleness, which they had unhappily contracted during a peace of fifty years continuance, and their total neglect of military discipline; partly to the refentment, which the army had conceived against Harold, for referving to himself the whole plunder of the field, in the late engagement with the Danes.

2. What numbers are supposed to have fallen on both fides ?

A. The lofs of the Normans is faid to have amounted to about fifteen thousand men; that of the English was much more considerable.

2. Was the body of king Harold found?

A. Yes, but all disfigured with blood and wounds, and was decently interred in Waltham-abbey, which he himfelf had founded.

2. Had he any children?

A. By his first wife, whose name is not known, he had three fons, Goodwin, Edmund, and Magnus. By his second wife, called Algitha, he had one fon, named Wolf, who was knighted by William Rufus; and two daughters, the first of whom, Gunilda, grew blind, and spent her day in a convent; and the second married Waldemar, king of Russia, by whom the had a daughter, espoused to Waldemar, king of Denmark.

#### NORMAN KINGS.

#### CHAP. XIX.

WILLIAM, furnamed the Conqueror, XXth King of England.

#### From 1066 to 1087.

ropes.		Emperor of the Well.	
ALEXANDER II.	1061	HENRY IV. 1056	
GREGORY VII.	1073	Committee of the commit	
VICTOR III.	1085	King of France.	
Emperors of the E	aft.	PHILIP I. 1060	
CONSTANT. XII.	1059		
ROMANUS IV.	1068	Kings of Scotland.	
MICHAEL VII.	1071	MALCOLM III. 1059	
NICEPHORUS I.	1078	DONALD VIII. 1068	
A T			

2. WHOSE fon was William?
A. Of Robert, duke of No. A. Of Robert, duke of Normandy, by one of his mistresses, named Harlotte, a skinner's daughter of Falaise; whence he was, at first, distinguished by the furname of the Baffard; but this he afterwards, upon his fubduing England, changed into that of Conqueror.

2. Can you mention any of the particulars of this

A. As Robert was one day taking the air on horseback, he happened to pass by a company of country girls, who were dancing; and was fo ftruck with the handfome perforand graceful carriage of one of them, viz. the abovementioned Harlotte, that he prevailed on her to cohabit with him, and ten months after, in the year 1066, the was delivered of this William, of whom we are now fpeaking. 2. Did William fucceed to his father's dominions?

A. As Robert had no legitimate issue, and, besides, was very fond of his natural fon, he, upon fetting out on a pilgrimage to Jerufalem, in the year 1033, appointed William heir to his dominions, in case he should die on his journey; and this event actually happening, William succeeded to the dukedom of Normandy, in 1035, being then only nine years of age.

2. Were not his dominions, during his minority, disturbed, as well by foreign invalions, as domestick infurrections?

A. Yes: Henry I. king of France, attacked his dutchy, and the barons of Normandy, in their contention for power, raifed feveral diffurbances; but William, having arrived at the years of maturity, repulfed the former, and reduced the latter, and effectually established the tranquility of his dukedom.

2. What were the consequences of the battle of Hastings?

A. The English, at first, made some show of refistance, and, being headed by Edwin and Morcar, Farls of Northumberland and Mercia, they even proclaimed Edgar Atheling king; but William advancing with his army towards London, and the clergy, in general, declaring in his favour, the magistrates of the capital came out in a body, and presented him with the keys of the city, in token of their submission.

2. Did the rest of England follow their example?
A. No: York and Oxford still held out; but William,

having reduced these places, punished the inhabitants with fuch severity, as overawed even the most stubborn spirits; and every one thenceforth strove who should be first in paying him homage.

Q. When was William crowned?

A. On Christmas-day, 1066, by Aldred, archbishop of York, the archbishop of Canterbury being deemed unqualified for that office, as he had received his pall from Benedict IX. who was reckoned an ufurper.

2. Did William enjoy a peaceable reign?

A. No: he was almost continually employed in suppresfing the infurrections of his rebellious subjects, or repelling the attacks of her reftless neighbours.

2. Which of his neighbours attacked him?

A. The Danes invaded England, and took the city of York; but William marching against them, at the head of a numerous army, they were glad to abandon the kingdom, without coming to a battle. The Wellb continued, as ufual, to infest the western borders; but were always driven back, with confiderable loss, into their mountains and forests. William, king of Scots, 190, made an inroad into the northern counties; but he was not only repulfed into his own country, but obliged to do homage to William for the whole kingdom of Scotlaad.

2. Did not William's eldeft son, Robert, carry on a war

against him?

A. Yes; and in the course of it, many battles, or, at least, skirmishes were fought; in one of which, Robert happened to encounter with his father, who was concealed by his helmet; and as both of them were valiant, a fierce combat enfued, till at last the young prince wounded the king in the arm, and threw him from his horfe. Calling for affiltance, his fon discovered him by his voice, and being struck with remorfe for the crime he had committed, he threw himself at his father's feet, and begged pardon for his offence, which he, in some measure, obtained.

2. How did William govern the English?

A. He treated them at first with great lenity, and confirmed their ancient laws and privileges; but when he found them engaged in perpetual conspiracies against his government, he altered his conduct, and punished the rebels with the utmost feverity.

2. What punishments did he inflict upon them?

A. He deprived some of their estates, put out the eves of others, cut off the hands and feet of feveral, and condemned many, either to death, or to perpetual imprisonment.

2. What other inflances can you give of his tyranny? A He seized the treasures belonging to the monasteries,

upon pretence that the rebels had concealed there their most valuable effects; excluded the English from all places of trust and profit; imposed the tenure of knight's ferviceupon all lands held of the crown; and laid a tax of fix shillings upon every hide of land, answerable to the tax called Danegelt. He deprived the English, as far as he could, of all their ancient privileges, abouthing their laws, and establishing those of Normandy in their room. He divided the kingdom into about 700 baronies, and 60,000 knight's fees; and bestowed all the former, either upon Normans.

Normans, or other foreign adventurers; and fuch of the English, as retained their possessions, were content to be admitted into the fecond class. He laid waste the county of Hampshire, for the extent of thirty miles, and converted it into a habitation for wild beafts, which he called the new forest. At the same time, he denounced the most severe penalties against those who should presume to hunt in any of the royal forests; and while the killing of a man might be attoned for by a moderate fine, the killing of a deer or boar, or even of a hare, was punished with the loss of the delinquent's eves.

2. What other hardships did William impose upon the

A. He commanded them to use the Norman or French tongue only, in their law proceedings; caused the laws of the land to be translated into that language; and ordered it to be taught in all schools; a practice, which has never fince been entirely discontinued. In a word, he governed England as a conquered country; and it may be fafely affirmed, that no lovereign ever ruled with a more arbitrary and despotic swav. With regard to the corfeu, or the obliging the inhabitants to put out their fires and lights, on the founding of a certain bell, this was a law, which William had previously established in Normandy, and the same custom prevailed in Scotland.

Did William perform no generous actions?

A. He was extremely generous to all his Norman captains; for, indeed, he divided among them the greatest part of England; and his receiving into favour Edgar Athe-Ang, the true heir of the crown, is mentioned by feveral hiltorians as a proof of his clemency.

2. Had he any dispute with France?

A. Provoked at Philip the First's having succoured Dol, to which he had laid fiege, and at fome railleries which that prince had thrown out against his great belly (for he was now very corpulent) he entered France at the head of a numerous army; and having taken Mantes, he first plundered it, and then fet it on fire; but this action cost him his life.

2. In what manner?

A. Entering the place before the flames were extinguished, his horse happened it tread on some hot ashes, which made him plunge with fuch violence, that William was thrown forward, and bruifed upon the pommel of the faddle to such a degree, that he was soon after seized with a fever, which carried him off on the 9th of September 1087; this being the fixty-first year of his age, the twenty-first of his reign over England, and the fifty-fecond of that over Nermandy.

2. Where was he interred?

A. In St. Stephen's abbey in Caen, which he himfelf had founded, and endowed with rich revenues.

2. What is the character of William?

A. He was a prince of gr at courage, capacity, and ambition; to the gratification of which last, he facrificed all the dictates of justice, and all the feelings of humanity. He was stern and haughty in his carriage, jealous and referved in his temper; his aspect was severe and imperious, his stature tall and portly, and the composition of his bones and muscles to strong, that there was hardly a man of that age who could bend his bow, or handle his arms. 2. Had he ar v children?

A. Yes: by his wife, Matilda, daughter to Baldwin V. earl of Flanders, he had four fons, viz. Rolert, Richard. William, and Henry; and five daughters, viz. Cicely, abbefs of a monastery in Caen; Constantia, married to Alain Fergant, duke of Britiany; Alice, who was contracted to Harold, but died in his infancy; Adela, married to Stephen, earl of Blais, whose son of the same name afterwards mounted the throne of England; and Agatha, who was betrothed to the king of Gallicia, but died in her journey to that country.

2. In what manner did he divide his dominions ?

A. To Robert, his eldert fon, he left Normanay and Maine; Richard was killed by a deer in the New-forest; to William he gave England; and to Henry he bequeathed nothing but his mother's possessions; but upon that prince's complaining to him of the small patrimony he had received, William is faid to have comforted him with the hopes, that the dominions of his brothers would be one day united in his person : an event which accordingly happened. E 3 CHAP.

#### CHAP. XX.

#### WILLIAM II. Surnamed Rufus, XXIst King of England.

#### From 1087 to 1100.

Popes. Emperor of the West. HENRY IV. URBAN II. King of France. PASCHAL II. 1099

Emperor of the East. King of Scotland.
ALEXIS I. 1081 DONALD VIII.

2. W HO succeeded William the Conqueror?
A. His second son, William, surnamed Rufus, or Red, from the colour of his hair. 2. Why was his eldeft fon, Robert, excluded?

d. Because he had raised a rebellion against his father, for which reason he had only Normandy for his portion,

2. By whose interest chiefly did William succeed? A. By that of Lanfranc, archbishop of Canterbury, who

folemnly crowned him on the 27th of September, 1087. 2. Did nobody espouse the cause of duke Robert ?

A. Yes: Odo, bishop of Bayeux, earl of Kem, his uncle, jealous of the great credit, which Lanfranc had obtained, formed a scheme for setting Robert on the throne; and his measures were taken with so much art, that it was generally thought, they would have proved faccefsful.

2. What defeated them?

A. The indolence of Robert, and the activity of William; the latter of whom suppressed the conspiracy, before the former could pass into England,

2. Did not William retaliate this injury upon his brother?

A He entered Normandy at the head of a powerful army, and reduced feveral places; but, in 1000, their common friends interpoled, and effected a reconciliation, upon this condition, that, on the demife of either without iffue, the furvivor should succeed to all his dominions.

2. Was this peace lafting?

A. No: in 1001, William returned into Normandy, feized upon feveral cities, and was proceeding in his conqueits, when Robert, engaging in a crufade, and not having money sufficient to defray the expence of his journey to the Hely Land, mortgaged his whole dukedom to his brother William, for ten thousand marks of gold; a very confiderable fum in those days.

2. Was William engaged in any other wars?

A. He obliged Malcolm, king of Scots, to pay him the same homage he had paid to the king his father; and some time after, Mulcolm having invaded England, was furprized in the neighbourhood of Almwick, by a party of earl Monvbray's troops, and himself and his son, Edward, slain.

2. Had not William likewise some disputes with the Well ?

A. Yes; for these people continuing their inroads into England- William drove them back with confiderable flaughter, and even penetrated a great way into their country; but the Welf Betaking themselves to their mountains and faftneffes, fallied out occasionally upon the difperfed parties of the English, whom they put to the sword without opposition; and William finding it impossible to bring them to a battle, was obliged, after rebuilding the castle of Montgomery, to return into England.

2. In what manner did William die ?

A. As he was hunting in the New Forest, attended by one Walter Tyrrel, a French knight, that gentleman let fly an arrow, which glancing accidentally, either from a tree, or from the horns of a flag, pierced the king's breaft, and infiantly flew him. This event happened on the fecond of August, in the year 1100, in the thirteenth year of the king's reign, and about the fortieth of his age.

2. Was William ever married?

A. No; nor does it appear, that he had ever any illegitimate children.

2. What is his character?

A. He was certainly a violent and tyrannical prince; a perfidious, encroaching, and dangerous neighbour; an unkind and ungenerous relation; and the only good quality he feems to have possessed, was his signal courage, which rose almost to a degree of serocity.

2. Were the English forry for his death? A. On the contrary, they confidered it as one of the most fortunate events that could possibly have happened; and they further observed, that as the Conqueror had been guilty of extreme violence, in expelling all the inhabitants of Hampthire, in order to form the New Fireft; fo providence, by a just retribution, had rendered that place fatal to feveral of his posterity; for, besides William, who now lost his life in it, his elder fon, Richard, and his grandfon of the same name, natural fon of duke Robert, were both killed by accident in the fame New Forest.

2. What monuments did William leave behind him?

A. He rebuilt London-bridge, which had been demolished by torrents; furrounded the Tower with a ftrong rampart; and erected Westminster ball, which, though one of the largett buildings in Europe, he affected to despise as a petty bed chamber.

#### CHAP. XXI.

# HENRY I. furnamed Beau-Clerc, XXII King. of England.

#### From 1100 to 1135.

Popes.	HENRY V. 1105
PASCHAL II. 1000	LOTHARIUS II. 1125
GELASIUS II. 1118	Kings of France.
CALISTUS II. 1119	PHILIP. 1060
HONORIUS II. 1124	Lewis VI. 1103
INNOCENT II. 1130	* King of Denmark.
CELESTIN II. 1134	NICHOLAS. 1107
Emperors of the East.	Kings of Scotland.
ALEXIS I. 1081	DONALD VIII. 1063
JOHN COMNEN. 1118	EDGAR 1108
Emperors of the West.	ALEXANDER 1117
HENRY IV. 1056	DAVID. 1134
The state of the s	

2. X X 7 H O fucceeded William?

A. His younger brother, Henry, in prejudice to Robert, his elder brother, who alone was entitled to the crown, as well by the right of primageniture, as by virtue of the laft treaty concluded between him and William.

Q. Where was Robert at the time of his brother's death? A. In Italy, where having, in his return from Palofitin, married Sibylla, daughter of the count of Comparfana, he had lingered for a twelvemonth; and this improdent delay gave Heary an opportunity of feizing upon the crown.

2. What steps did he take for this purpose?

A. He was no fooner informed of his brother's death, than he hastened to Winchester, where seizing the royal trea-

Although Demais be one of the most ancient kingdoms in Eurya and Nicholes, according to fome authors, be no less us an the deventy-eighth fovereign, yet the preceding part of its hillory is involved in fuch impenentable oblevainty, that it is abbourely impossible, before this period, to afcertain exactly the funcerfilms of its kings.

fure, he proceeded thence directly to London, and partly by prefents, partly by promising to enlarge and confirm the privileges of the people, he procured fuch a number of friends, that on the 5th of August, 1100, in less than three days after his brother's deceafe, he was folemnly crowned in London, by Maurice, bishop of that city.

2. Did he perform the promise he had made ?

A. He granted his subjects a very advantageous charter, in which he confirmed feveral privileges they had enjoyed under the Saxon kings. He redressed many grievances, that had been complained of during the reign of his father and brother; reformed the abuses, which had crept into the court; enacted severe penalties against offenders; and promifed a general confirmation of Edward the Confeffor's laws.

2. What did Robert do upon the news of his brother's

having usurped the throne?

A. He immediately returned to his dukedom of Normandy, where having affembled a fmall body of forces, he made a descent upon England, and was instantly joined by a good number of the inhabitants.

2. What success did he meet with in this enterprize?

A. Henry marched against him with the utmost dispatch, and refolved to give him battle; but just as the two armies were on the point of engaging, the leading men on both fides interposed their good offices, and effected an accommodation upon this condition, that Robert thould refign all pretentions to the crown of England, in confideration of which, he should receive an annual pension of three thoufand marks; and that all the English, who had declared in his favour, should be indulged with a pardon.

2. Did Henry perform his part of the treaty? A. Not very faithfully : upon which Robert, who had a great share of knight-errantry in his temper, came over a second time, almost unattended, to England, with a view of persuading his brother to fulfil his engagements; and Henry, taking advantage of Robert's imprudence, compelled him, before he would allow him to depart, to refon his penfion.

Did not Robert refent this ungenerous treatment?

A. Yes, and throwing out some menaces against Henry, he furnished that prince with a plausible pretence for undertaking the conquest of Normandy; a scheme, indeed, which he feems long to have meditated, and which he now at last accomplished.

2. In what manner?

A. Having affembled an army, he paffed over into Normandy, where he reduced feveral places, and coming up with his brother, in the neighbourhood of Tenchebray, he fought with him a battle, in which Robert loft both his liberty and his province.

2 How did Henry dispose of his brother?

A. He carried him into England, and committed him close prisoner to the castle of Cardiff in Wales; where, after lingering out a miferable captivity of twenty-eight years, he died in 1133; leaving behind him a fon, named William, who was almost as unfortunate as his father.

9. What misfortunes did he meet with?

A. Lewis le Groß, the French king, intended to bestow upon him the inveftiture of Normandy; but being disappointed in that defign, he gave him the county of Flanders, which, however, he did not long enjoy; for, the year following, he was killed at the fiege of Aloft.

2. Did not this attempt of Lewis occasion a war between

France and England?

A. Yes; and in a battle which was fought in the course of it, Henry narrowly escaped being killed by one Crispin, a Norman knight, who attacked him: nevertheless, he unhorsed his antagonist, and defeated the French; and the great standard of France being taken, was carried in triumph to Roan.

. 9. How was this war terminated?

. A. By the mediation of pope Califlus II. who, in 1120, had an interview with the two kings at Gifors, where he persuaded them to agree to a peace. The war, however, foon broke out afresh, and was carried on, for some time, with equal success on both sides; but, at last, both parties being weary of the quarrel, a new peace was happily concluded.

9. Had Henry any other wars to maintain?

A. Yes; against the Welfb, whom he drove back into their mou, tains, but was not able entirely to fubdue.

2 Was not he engaged in a dispute with the clergy? A. Yes.

2. Please to mention the most remarkable circumstances

A. The kings of England had always had the privilege of bestowing investitures upon bishops, by delivering to them the pattoral staff and ring; and also of exacting from them, as barons of the realm, an oath of allegiance. By the former, the prelates were supposed to receive their spiritual dignity; by the latter, they were entitled to the temporalities of their fee. In consequence of this privilege, though the chapter had been indulged with a feeming right of election, the fovereign had, in reality, the fole power of appointing bishops. But this practice having been condemned by a council affembled at Rome, in 1104, Anselm, who now held the fee of Canterbury, forbad all the English prelates, either to receive the investiture, or to take the oath of allegiance; and in order the more effectually to inforce his injunction, he himself refused to comply with these

ceremonies. 2. What did Henry do upon this occasion?

A. He defended, with great vigour, the prerogatives of his crown; and Anselm having gone to Rome, and obtained from the pope an approbation of his conduct, he firitly prohibited that prelate from entering his dominions?

2. What was the iffue of this controverfy?

A. The king and the pope, being equally afraid to exafperate each other, were glad, at last, to come to a compromife. Herry refigned the right of bestowing investitures; and the pope allowed him to retain the privilege of exacting from the bishops an oath of allegiance.

9. Was Henry ever married?

A. Twice; first to Matilda, daughter of Malcolm, king of Scots; and afterwards to Adelais, daughter of Godfrey, count of Louvain.

2. Had he any children?

A. By his last confort he had none; by his first he had William, dake of Normandy, and Matilda, who was married first to the emperor Henry V. and afterwards to Geoffrey Plantagenet, count of Anjau.

2. What became of William?

A. He was drowned in his passage from Normandy to-England, in 1120, together with his natural fifter, Matilda, counters of Perche; for the crew of the thip being intoxicated with liquor, carried her upon the rocks near Barfleur, where the inflantly foundered; and this unexpected catastrophe had such an effect upon the king, that he fainted away, when he received the news of it; and was never feen to smile, from that moment, to the day of his death. Henry had likewife, befides the countess of Perche, feveral other natural children (some historians say twelve). the most remarkable of whom was Robert, earl of Gloucester.

2. How did he settle the succession, upon the death of

A. He appointed his daughter, Matilda, heirefs of all his dominions; and upon the birth of her fon, named Henry, whom the bore to Geoffrey Plantagenet, (for the had no children by the emperor,) he obliged all his subjects to take an oath of allegiance to her and the young prince. 2. When did Henry die?

A. On the first of December, 1135, in the fixty-eighth year of his age, and the thirty-fixth of his reign.

2. To what was his death supposed to be owing?

A. To a fever he contracted from a furfeit of lampreys, a kind of food, of which he was always very fond. He died at St. Denis le Forment ; and his body being embalmed, according to the rude fashion of the times, was brought over to England, and folemnly interred in the abbey of Reading.

2. What were the qualities of Henry?

A. His person was manly, his countenance engaging, his eyes clear, serene, and penetrating. He was brave, affable, fagacious, and eloquent; extremely temperate in his meals; inexorable against offenders; and so great a lover of learning, that he acquired the furname of Beauclere, or the scholar: but all his good qualities were sullied with avarice, cruelty, and luft. In order to attone for thefe vices (for fuch were the only attonements known in that age) he founded the episcopal sees of Ely and Cartifle, and endowed feveral abbeys.

#### CHAP. XXII.

## STEPHEN, XXIII King of England.

## From 1135 to 1154.

Popes.	Kings of France.	
CELESTIN II. 1134	Lewis VI. 1108	
Lucius II. 1:44	LEWIS VII. 1137	
EUGENIUS III. 1145 ANASTAS. IV. 1153	Kings of Denmark.	
ADRIAN V. 1154	ERIC IV. 1135	
Emperors of the East.	ERIC V. SWEIN IV. and CANUTE V.	
JOHN COMNEN. 1118	1148	
EMANUEL COM. 1143  Emperors of the West.	King of Portugal.	
LOTHARIUS II. 1125	ALONSO I. 1140	
CONRAD III. 1138	King of Scotland.	
Frederic I. 1152	DAVID 1134	

2. ID the English observe the oath of allegiance they

A. No; though it is hard to fay what they would have done, had not they been preceated by the ambition of Stephens, furnamed of Blisis, who, upon the death of Henry I. found means to afteen the throne.

2. What pretensions had he to that dignity?

A. He was fon to Ad-la, daughter of William the Conqueror, and of Stephen, earl of Blois and Champagne.

2. What titles had he before his advancement to the

throne?

A. He was earl of Blois, Champagne, Mortaigne, and Boulogne, in France; and besides, was possessed of an im-

mense property in England.

2. How came he to acquire this property?

A. By the generofity of the late king, who had invited him, and his brother Honey, to court, and loaded them with the greatest favours. To the former, he had given a large

efate in land, and married him to Matilda, daughter and heireft of Euflace, count of Baulgare, and niece to Matilda, Henry's first wise. The latter he had created abbot of Glaslenbury, bishop of Winebester, and procured him to be appointed legate of the holy see.

2. What were Henry's motives in bestowing these favours

upon his nephews?

A. In order to strengthen the interest of his daughter, Matilda, whose cause, he imagined, they would the more heartily esposite; but the credit they had obtained by his countenance, was treacherously employed, not in supporting the title of the empress, but in raising Stephen to the throne.

2. Did he succeed with the unanimous consent of the

nation?

A. No; but as none were prepared to oppose his usurp-

ation, they thought it most prudent to submit to his government. He was crowned at Westminster, by the archbishop of Canterbury, on the 26th of December, 1135.

2 Did not he endeavour to gain the favour of the

English, by granting them a charter of liberties?

"A Yes; and in this he confirmed the prerogatives of the church; acknowledged he held the crown by no other tenure, than the election of the people and the clergy; repealed all the laws relating to hunting; and promifed to abolift the tax called Dancealt.

2. Did not the empress affert her right to the throne i.

A. Yes; and with that view she came over to England, in 1130, accompanied by her brother, Robert. earl of Glou-

cester.

2. What success did she meet with in this enterprize?

A. The earl of Gloucester, being joined by a great number of barons, attacked Stephen in the neighbourhood of Lincoln, where he not only defeated the royal army, but likewise took the king himself prisoner.

2. What was the consequence of this victory?

A. Almost the whole kingdom submitted to Matilda, who was just upon the point of obtaining the crown, when the imprudently lost it by her own pride and arrogance.

2. In what manner?

88

A. Stephen's queen intreated her, in the most suppliant terms, to set her hulband at liberty, promising, that, upon that condition, he should refign the crown, and retire into a convent; the legate, who had lately espoused her cause, and to whose interest the had been, in a great measure, indebted for her success, desired that prince Eustace, Stephen's son, might enjoy Baudgun, and the other pastimosis estates of his father; and the Londoners applied to her for the establishment of the Confision's laws, in place of those of king Harry: but instead of granting these requests, which were so reasonable, Matilda denied them all, in the most haughty and peremptory manner,

2. Did not the petitioners refent this indignity?

A. The Loudeners formed a scheme for seizing the person of the empress, who was obliged to fave herself by slight; the legate immediately abandoned her cause, and espoused again the pasty of his brother; and Stephas's queen was so exasperated, that having levied a great body of troops, and reinforced them with those which her son, Eussan, and established the seamy; and coming up with them in the neighbourhood of Winchsten, the entirely defeated them, and took the earl of Slouesser prisoner. This event happened in 1411.

2. What followed upon this victory?

A. As the earl of Gloucefer, though a fubject, was as much the foul of the one party, as the king was of the other, the emprefs, fensible of his great merit, confented to exchange the prisoners upon equal terms. The civil war was then rekindled with greater fury than every

2. How long did it laft?

A. Till the cth of November, 1153; when Stephen, having loft his fon, prince Euglace, and finding himself hardpressed by the arms of the empress, agreed to a treaty upon the following conditions: that he should continue to reign cluring the remainder of his life; that, upon his death, Henry, Matilda's son, should succeed to the throne; and that William, Stephen's son, should possess and the pattimonial classes of his father.

2. Had Stephen any other enemies to encounter?

A Vess the Welph and the Scaris the former of whom he drove back into their forells s and the latter he defeated in a great battle, called the battle of the Bandard, from a kind of crucifs, which the Bay@bh had erected on a waggon, and carried along with them as a military enfign.

2. How long did he furvive his treaty with the emprefs?

A. Hardly a twelvemouth; for he died on the 25th of O3abrr, 1154, in the fiftieth year of his age, and the nineteenth of his reign, and was buried in the abbey of Feversham, which he himself had founded.

2. What is the character of Steph n?

A. He was certainly a prince of great industry, activity, and courage; was not deficient in ability; had the art of gaining men's affections; and, notwithstanding his precarious situation, never industed himself in the exercise of any cruelty or revenge.

2. Did he leave any children?

A. Befides his fon Byface, who died before him, he had ander fon, called William, who was carl of Baulgae, in right of the queen, his mother. He had likewife a daughter, named Mary, efpoufed to Philip of Alfatia; and two natural fons, William and Gervaffe, the last of whom was abbot of Westingher.

#### The House of ANTOU, or PLAN-TAGENET.

#### CHAP. XXIII.

# HENRY II. XXIV' King of England.

#### From 1155 to 1189.

Popes.		Kings of France.	3 11
ALEXANDER III.	1154	Lewis VII.	1137
Lucius III.	1184	PHILIP II.	1180
URBAN III.	1185	Kings of Denmar	K.
GREGORY VIII.	1187	SWEIN IV. and CANU	TEV.
CLEMENT III.	1188		1148
		VALDEMAR I.	1157
Emperors of the Ea	At.	CANUTE VI.	1182
EMANUEL COM.	1143	Kings of Portuga	1.
ALEXIS II.		ALONSO I.	
ANDRONICUS I.	1183	SANCHO I.	
ISAAC II.	1185	Kings of Scotland	1.
		DAVID	1134
Emperor of the We	est.	MALCOLM IV.	116:
FREDERIC I.	1152	WILLIAM	1165
,	_		-

2.WHO fucceeded Stephen?
A. Henry II. fon to the empress Matilda, by her fecond husband, Geoffrey Plantagenet, count of Anjou.

2 By what title did he fucceed?

A. As well by that of hereditary right, derived from his grandfather, Henry I. king of England, as by virtue of the late treaty, which he had concluded with Stephen.

2. Was not he possessed of very large dominions before

his accession to the throne?

A. He was master, in right of his father, of Anjou, Touraine, and Maine; in that of his mother, of Normandy; and in that of his wife, of Guienne, Poitou, Xaintogne, Auvergne, Perigord, Angoumois, and Limoufin.

2. Who

2. Who was his wife?

A. Eleanor, daughter and heirefs of William, duke of Guienne, &c. She had been married before to Lewis VII. king of France; but having, by her unguarded behaviour, excited the jealoufy of that monarch, the had been formally divorced from him; and was, foon after, married to Henry, who thus got possession of all her dominions.

2. When was Henry crowned?

A. On the 19th of December, 1155. 2. What were the first acts of his reign?

A. He revived the laws of the Confessor, and confirmed the charter of Henry I. He cleared the kingdom of all those foreign mercenaries, who had been invited into it by Stephen, and had been guilty of inumerable diforders; revoked all the grants made by his predecessor, and even those which necessity had extorted from his mother; demolished the castles, which had been lately erected, and which served as fo many fanctuaries to rebels and freebooters; repaired the coin; suppressed robbery and violence; inforced the execution of the laws; and bestowed the earldom of Huntingdon on Malcolm king of Scots, who, in return, restored to him the counties of Northumberland, Cumberland, and Westmoreland.

2. Was Henry engaged in any wars?

A. Yes, in feveral. His first was against his brother Geoffrey, who had feized upon Anjou and Maine, to which he pretended he had a just title. Henry was no sooner informed of this event, than he passed over to the continent, and expelled Geoffrey from both these provinces. He like. wife fubdued Nantz, which he added to his dominions, At the same time, Conan, duke of Brittany, betrothed his daughter, and only child, yet an infant, to the king's third fon, Geoffrey, who was of the like tender years; and the duke dying in a few years after, Henry, on pretence of being guardian to his fon and daughter-in-law, put himfelf in possession of that principality.

Q. Did not Henry carry on a war against Raymond, count of Thoulouse?

A. Yes; in order to affert his wife's claim to the dominions of that prince, to which the was juftly intitled, in right of her mother, Philippa, fole issue of William, count

of Thoulouse; but Raymond being assisted by Lewis, king of France, a treaty was at last concluded; and Lewis gave his daughter, Margaret, in marriage to Henry, the king of England's esdett son.

2. Was not Henry likewise engaged in a war with

Scotland?

A. Yes; in the course of which, he took William, king of Seats, prisoner, and obliged him, before he would restore him to liberty, to do homage to him for his whole kingdom. He also repulsed the Weiß, who had invaded the western borders.

2. Was not Henry engaged in a dispute with the clergy?

A. Yes, and one too of the most dangerous nature.

Q. What gave occasion to this dispute?

A. The defign which the king had formed to retrench the privileges of the clergy, or rather to confine them within their ancient bounds, and the obflinate and inflexible temper of Thomas a Becket, archbifthop of Canterbury.

2. Who was this Thomas a Becket?

A. He was this yound a becter A. He was the fon of a tradefinan in London; his mother a Syrian. Having been bred to the law, and acquired great reputation in that profession, he had been fuccessively advanced to several high offices in the state; till at last he attained to the post of chancellor. The king now promoted him to the archbishopric of Canterbury, hoping, that as he owed every thing to the royal bounty, and was thoroughly acquainted with his majethy's design with regard to eccle-staftical privileges, he would heartily concur in rendering it effects.

frectual.

2. Did he do so?

A. Very far from it. He was no sooner installed in his see of Canterbury, than he began to extend, to a greater

length than ever, the usurpations of the clergy. 2. Did not the king resent this ingratitude?

A. Yes; and he obliged Becket, though not without difficulty, to agree to certain articles, called the Conflictation, by which the immunities of the church were confiderably diminified. But these conflictations having been condemned by the pope, Becket retracted the affent he had given; at which the king was so much provoked, that he instantly caused that prelate to be impeached of high-treason.

2. What

2. What was the refult of this controversy? A. Becket appealed to the pope, and foon after with-

drew to the continent, where his cause was espoused by Lewis, king of France, and Alexander III. who then filled the papal chair.

Was not Henny incensed at the partiality of his

holiness ?

A. So highly, that he began to take some steps towards acknowledging Paschal, the anti-pope; and Alexander, in revenge, threatened to lay England, and all the king's dominions, under an interdict.

2. Were not Henry and Becket at last reconciled?

A. Yes, on the 22d day of July, 1170; upon which, Becket returned to England, after having been banished from it for the space of fix years.

2. How did he behave upon his return?

A. He had no fooner arrived in England, than, instead of retiring quietly to his diocese, he issued a sentence of excommunication against several of the king's ministers, who had incurred his displeasure.

2. What did Henry upon receiving the news of these

proceedings ?

A. He broke out into a violent passion, and exclaimed, that he should never enjoy a mement's quiet while Becket was alive; and that he had no faithful fervants about him. otherwife he had not been fo long exposed to the infults of that imperious prelate,

2. What was the consequence of these dangerous ex-

preffions ?

A. Four gentlemen of the king's houshold, taking them to be a hint for Becket's death, went over to England, and attacking that prelate in the cathedral of Canterbury, clove his head before the altar, which was all befmeared with his blood and brains. This murder was perpetrated on the 20th day of December, while Becket was employed in faying Vespers.

2. What effect did it produce?

A. It inflamed the pope to fuch a degree, that he threatened to lay Henry under the sentence of excommunication; nor was it till after the king's ambassadors had arrived at Rome, afferted his innocence in the most solemn manner, and

and fwore that he would fland to the award of his holinefs, that the pontiff could be diffuaded from putting his menaces in execution. Soon after, he accommodated matters with the court of Rome, and received absolution from two of the pope's legates.

2. Did he give any other mark of his submission?

A. In 1174, he made a pilgrimage to Becket's tomb. where, after having spent a whole day in fasting and prayer, and watched the holy relicks during the night, he submitted to the mortifying pennance of receiving feveral lashes

from the prior and the monks. 2. What character do historians give of Becket ?

A. Some represent him as a most illustrious martyr, while others affirm, that he was a perfidious traitor. And fo doubtful was his character, even among the clergy, that, forty years after his death, a dispute arose in the university of Paris, whether his foul was in heaven or hell.

2 Was not Ireland conquered during this reign?

A. It was.

2. Pray give me some account of that country.

A. Ireland is an illand fituate to the west of Great Britain, extending in length about 300 miles, in breadth about 150. It is bounded on the north by the Deucaledonian fea, on the west by the Atlantic ocean, on the south by St. George's channel, and on the east by the Irifb fea, which separates it from Great Britain. It is bleft with a fruitful foil, a temperate climate, and is faid to be poffeffed of this peculiar property, that no ferpent, or other venomous animal, will live in it.

2. Who were the original inhabitants of I eland?

A. They were probably a colony of Britons, who, firaitened for room in their own country, transported themselves into that island.

2. What kind of government prevailed among them?

A. They were divided into a great number of petty independent states, each ruled by its respective chieftain. At the time of the island's being conquered, besides several fmaller tribes, there were five principal fovereignties in the country, viz. Munfler, Leinster, Meath, Ulster, and Connaught. 2 What gave occasion to the conquest of Ireland?

A. Dermot Macmorrogh, king of Leinster, having, for his cruelty and tyranny, been deprived of his dominions, applied to Henry, who was then in Guizeme, and offered to hold his kingdom in vasilalage of him, provided that, by his assistance, he should be restored to his possession.

2 Did Henry accept his offer?

A. Not having time to undertake the expedition himfelf, he granted permifion to all his Eaghlif babyels to affilt the exited prince. Accordingly Richard Stranghow, earl of Pembruke, Robert Fires. Stripken, Mawice Fires. gradit, and others, having affembled a body of 1080 men, paffed over to Ireland, and with this final force, in the figure of a few months, made an entire conquest of the island; of that when Hany arrived there, he had nothing affe to do but to receive the homage of his new fubjects. Strenghow married the daughter of Demot, upon whose death, he not only fucceeded to the dominions of that prince, but was likewise appointed sensitions of Ireland. This event happened in 1172.

2. Did not Henry's fons raise a rebellion against him?

A. Yes, and one that embittered extremely the latter years of his life.

2. To what cause is this rebellion supposed to have been

A. To the ambitious and ungrateful temper of the young princes themfelves, who wanted to fupplant their father on the throne; to the inditious arts of the French king, who encouraged and affilted them in this unnatural enterpize; and to the more criminal infligations of their own mother, Eleaner, who had become jealous of her hufband, and who took this method to revenge the wrongs, which fite imagined fite received.

Q. Was this war diffinguified by any remarkable event? A. No; for, though it lafted feveral years, it confifted only of petty fkirmifies and encounters, and was at laft terminated by an accommodation that was effected between Henry and his fons.

2. Was this peace lasting?

A. Some years after, Richard, the king's eldest surviving fon, (for prince Henry was now dead) raised another insur-

rection against him, and being affisted by Philip, king of France, reduced him to fuch extremity, that he was glad to agree to any conditions, which they were pleased to prescribe.

2. Did the king long furvive this treaty?

A. No; indignation at the hard terms imposed on him by his fon, Richard; refentment at the treachery of his barons and other subjects, who had, most of them, deserted him; and, above all, vexation at the ingratitude of his favourite fon John, who had entered into a frict correspondence with the enemy: these passions preyed upon his anxious mind, and threw him into a flate of the deepest despair. He curfed, in the agony of his grief, the day in which he was born; and bestowed, on his undutiful and rebellious children, a ma'ediction, which the could never, afterwards, be prevailed on to retract. The more his heart was disposed to friendship, the more he refented the unnatural behaviour of his fons; and these domestic calamities, by depriving him of every comfort in life, quite broke his spirits, and threw him into a lingering fever, of which he expired at Chinon, on the 6th of July, 1189, in the fifty-feventh year of his age, and the thirty-fifth of his reign. His body was carried to Fontewrault, which he had ordered for the place of his interment.

2. What is the character of Henry?

A. He was possessed of every accomplishment, both of body and mind, that could fit him for the high flation, in which he was placed. Brave, active, generous, merciful, just, and prudent; he was alike qualified to engage the affections, and command the efteem of his fubiccts. In a word, he was one of the greatest, and most illustrious monarchs, that ever fat upon the English throne.

Q. How many children had he?

A. By his queen, Eleanor, he had five fons, two of whom only, viz. Richard, his third, and John his youngest, furvived him; but Geoffrey, his fourth fon, who was killed in a tournament at Paris, left a fon, called Arthur, who was duke of Bretagne, Henry had also three daughters, viz. Mand, married to the duke of Saxony; Eleanor, wife of Alfonfo, king of Caffile; and Joan, confort to William II. furnamed the Good, king of Sicily.

Q. Had

2. Had he any natural children?

A By the fair Relamond, daughter of Lord Clifford, had two four, viz. William Lengue-ejfe, or Long Yound, earl of Saliphury; and Geoffrey, archibinop of York. There was allo one Amegan, a Weighman, provot of Beverly, who pretended to be a natural fon of Henry, by the lady of a knight, named Ralph Black; but this pretence feems thave been founded on nothing but the man's own vanity and maduefs.

2. What do historians relate concerning the fair Ro-

Samond?

A. That Hany concealed her in a labyrinth at Wacoffeet, in order to fecure her from the jealoufy of his queen, who, neverthelets, found means, during her hufband's ablence in Normandy, to deftroy her rival by poison. But this flory is not imported by any good authority.

Q. Is not Henry faid to have carried on an intrigue with Alice, filler to the French king, and betrothed to his own

fon, Richard?

A. Yes; and even to have had a child by her: but this flory is fill worfe founded than the former. It is certain, however, that Richard ande use of this pretence for refusing to matrry that princess.

# CHAP. XXIV.

RICHARD I. furnamed Cour de Lion, or Lion's Heart, XXVth King of England.

# From 1189 to 1199.

Popes.		King of Franc	e.
CLEMENT III.	1188	PHILIP II.	1180
CELESTINE III.	1101		1.00
INNOCENT III.	1198	King of Denma	rk.
Emperors of the	Eaft.	CANUTE VI.	1182
ISAAC II.	1185		11
ALEXIS	1193	King of Portug	al.
Emperors of the	West.	SANCHO I.	1185
FREDERIC I.	1152		,
HENRY VI.	1100	King of Scotlan	d.
PHILIP I.	1197	WILLIAM	1165
	21		1105

2. W HO fucceeded Henry II. ?

A. Richard I. his eldest surviving son.

Where was Richard at the time of his father's decease? A. In France, where having concluded a peace with Philip, king of that country, he repaired to Rouen, and was invested with the ducal sword of Normandy; after which, he went over to England, and on the third of December, 1189, was folemnly crowned at Wellminler.

2. What were the first acts of his reign?

A. He fet at liberty his mother, queen Eleaner, who, on a count of her having encouraged the rebellion of her fons, had been detained in prifon ever fince the year 1173: he banified from his prefence all those who had safified him his infurredition againfi his father, and confirmed in their offices the ministers and fervants who had continued faithful to their former matter; and he bestlowed upon his brother, 75cm, the government of no lefs than fix counters.

2. Did not Richard engage in a crusade?

A. Yes, in conjunction with Philip, king of France; and, in order to collect money for this purpose, he made use of several

feveral expedients, which were equally pernicious to the public interest, and dangerous to his own authority.

2. What were the principal of these?

A. He renounced, for the fum of 10,000 marks, the Superiority of Scotland, which his father had gained: he put to fale all the revenues and manors of the crown, all places of trust and profit, and even the feats in the courts of justice; and when some of his ministers took the liberty to remonstrate against such imprudent proceedings, he frankly told them, that he would fell London itfelf, if he could find a purchaser.

2. To whom did he intrust the government of the king-

dom, during his abfence?

A. To Hugh, bishop of Durham, and William Longehamp, bishop of Ely, his chancellor, who, at the same time, was

appointed the pope's legate. 2. When did he fet out on his expedition to the Holy-

A. Having fettled his affairs in England, he went over to the continent, and on the 25th of June, 1190, had an interview with Philip, king of France, at Vezelai, where these two monarchs fwore not to invade each other's dominions, during the crusade. They then reviewed their armies, which amounted to 100,000 men, and proceeded on their march to Sicily, where they fpent the winter.

2. Did not a quarrel break out between them, in that

country?

A. Yes, by the intrigues of Tancred, king of Sicily, who being equally apprehensive of danger from both these princes, endeavoured to embroil them with each other. Nevertheless, all their disputes being compromised, Philip fet fail for Palestine, and was foon after followed by Richard, accompanied by his fifter, the queen dowager of Sicily, and Berengaria of Navarre, who had been lately betrothed to him.

2. Had he a favourable passage?

A. No: his fleet was dispersed in a storm, and wrecked upon the coast of Cyprus; upon which, Isaac, king of that island, pillaged the ships that were stranded, threw the seamen and passengers into prison, and would not even permit the princesses to shelter themselves in the harbour of Limisso.

2. Did not Richard resent this barbarity?

A. He immediately landed his troops, attacked Viace, defeated his army, took himself prisoner, loaded him with sliver chains, feized upon his kingdom; and having consumanted his marriage with Berengaria of Navarre, set out for the Christian camp before Lien.

2. Did Richard and Philip carry on their operations with

unanimity and concord?

A. NO; for Ritbard having, by his noble achievements, eclipfed the fame, and excited the jealouty of the Fereb monarch, this laft took every opportunity of expressing of Acon, which furrendered on the 12th of July, 10th, after having been befieged above two years, and cost the Christian 300,000 men, Philip, under pretence of fickness, quitted the camp, and set out on his return for his own country. Teleft, however, about 10,000 fhis troops, under the duke of Burgundy, to affilt the croises in their future enterprizes.

2. What exploits did Richard perform after the departure

of Philip?

A. He attacked Saladine, emperor of the Saracens, who was at the head of an army of 300,000 men; and he obtained over him a complete vistory, having killed in the action about 40,000 of his forces. He then repaired the maritime cities of Mfcalan, Toppa, and Ceptarea, which Saladine had demolithed; but he was foon after obliged to return back to Expland.

2. On what account?

A. Partly for want of provisions; partly by the defertion of the other Christian princes; and partly from the apprehensions he was under, left Philip, during his ablence, should invade his dominions.

2. What did Richard before he left Palestine?

A. He bestowed Usbelle, widow of Connade, marquis of Monsforna, and king of Jerufalem (who had been murdered, in Siden) upon Henry, count of Champagne, his nephew, who succeeded Connade on the throne of Jerufalem. He gave his kingdom of Cyprus to Guy de Lufgnan; and concluded a truce for three years with Saladine.

2. By whom was Conrade murdered?

A. By the emiffaries of a Saracen prince, commonly called The Scheic, or The Old Man of the Mountain, who had inspired his fanatical subjects with such a devoted submission to his will, that they courted danger, and even certain death, in the execution of his orders. His people went by the name of Affassins, whence the word has been transferred into most modern languages, to fignify a murderer. This is the method, which he always took to revenge himself of his enemies.

2. Did Richard return to his kingdom in fafety?

A No; for being cast away on the coast of Ifria, intending to travel, in a pilgrim's difguife, through Germany, he fell into the hands of Leopold, duke of Austria, whom he had affronted at the fiege of Acon-

2. What treatment did he meet with from Leopold? A. He was kept, for some time, a close prisoner, and

then delivered to the emperor, Henry VI. his inveterate enemy, who not only threw him into a dangeon, but loaded him with irons.

2. What did Philip do, upon his return to his kingdom? A. He entered into a league with Richard's brother, John, a most vicious and abandoned prince, and endeavoured to fet him on the throne of England; and when Richard was feized in Germany, these confederates, in order to forward their scheme, went so far as to affirm, that the king of England was actually dead.

2. Did they succeed in their endeavours?

A. No; for Richard having recovered his liberty, upon paying a ranfom of 100,000 marks (about 200,000 pounds of our present money) returned to England, and effectually disconcerted all their projects. Philip was then so sensible of the utter impossibility of accomplishing his design, that he wrote to prince John in the following terms: Take care of yourself; the devil is broke loofe. 2. When did Richard arrive in England?

A. On the 13th of March, 1194, after having been abfint from it four years; fifteen months of which he had passed in prison. Immediately upon his arrival, he was crowned again at Winchester, with a view, as was supposed, of wiping off the difgrace of his captivity.

Q. Was not he reconciled to his brother John? A. Yes, by the intercession of his mother, queen Eleanor.

2. Did not he likewise conclude a truce with France? A. He did; at first, for one year, and afterwards for five: but the terms, on both fides, were fo ill observed, that the

war, in all likelihood, would have foon broke out afresh, had not Richard been suddenly cut off.

2. In what manner?

A. Having laid fiege to the castle of Chalus, in order to compel the viscount Limoges to deliver to him a considerable treasure, which that nobleman had found in his grounds, and which Richard claimed as superior lord of the country; he one day ventured to approach the place the better to furvey it, when one Bertram de Gourdon, an archer, took an aim at him, and pierced him in the shoulder with an arrow; of which wound he died, on the 6th of April, 1199, in the forty-second year of his age, and the tenth of his reign.

D. What is the character of Richard?

A. He was a prince of fuch distinguished valour, as justly to have merited the furname of Cour de Lion, or Lion-hearted: all Eurote and Afia refounded with his fame; and the Saracens, in particular, had conceived fuch an idea of his military prowefs, that they were wont to ftill their children with the terror of his name. This good quality, however, was more than counterbalanced by his many vices : he was haughty, cruel, ambitious, revengeful, and domineering; and was thus better fitted to dazzle men by the splendor of his actions, than either to promote their happiness, or his own glory, by a just and equal administration of government.

2. Did he leave any children?

A. Only one natural fon, named Philip, to whom he bequeathed the lordship of Cognac in Guienne. Richard was the first king of England, who took three lions passant for his arms, in which he has been imitated by all his fuc-

2. Did not fome diffurbances happen in London, during

A. Yes; an infurrection was raifed in it by one William Fire Chert, commonly called Longbeard, a lawyer, who had tendered himfelf extremely popular with the lower rank of

citizens:

citizens; but this ruffian, after having been guilty of many enormities, such as murdering the inhabitants, and breaking open and plundering their houses, was at last seized and hanged, together with nine of his accomplices. In this reign, the citizens of London are faid to have been first divided into different corporations, or focieties; or, as they are termed, companies.

# CHAP. XXV.

JOHN, Surnamed Lack-land, XXVI' King of England.

# From 1100 to 1216.

Popes.	French emperors of Constant.	
INNOCENT III. 1198	BALDWIN I. 1204	
Honorius III. 1215	HENRY I. 1206	
	King of France.	
Emperors of the East.	PHILIP II. 1180	
ALEXIS III. 1195	Kings of Denmark,	
ALEXIS IV. 1203	CANUTE VI. 1182	
ALEXIS V. 1204	VALDEMAR II. 1203	
THEODORE I. 1204	Kings of Portugal.	
	SANCHO I. 1185	
Emperors of the West.	ALONSO II. ,1212	
PHILIP I. 1107	Kings of Scotland.	
OTHO IV. 1208	WILLIAM. 1165	
FREDERIC II. 1212	ALEXANDER II. 1214	

2. W HO succeeded Richard I. ?
A. His brother, John, surnamed Sans-terre, or Lack-land.

2. Was he lawful heir to the crown ?

A. No; Arthur, duke of Brittany, fon to Geoffrey, John's elder brother, had a preferable title; and Richard had even, when he set out for the holy land, declared this prince his fuccessor on the throne; but he had afterwards thought proper, either on account of the tender years of Arthur, or for fome other reason, to alter this will, and to appoint his brother, John, heir to all his dominions. 2. By whose interest was John enabled to support the

deflination, which his brother had made in his favour?

A. By that of his mother, queen Eleanor: William Marsichal, chief justiciary; and Hubert, archbishop of Canterbury; by which last, he was folemnly crowned at Westminster, on the 28th of May, 1199.

2. Did no body espouse the cause of prince Arthur?

A. Philip, king of France, took him under his protection, and endeavoured to make him master of the foreign dominions of England; but Confiance, his mother, having carried him off from the French court, a peace was concluded between Philip and John, on condition that the former should abandon the cause of Aribur, and restore all the places he had taken from the English; and that the latter, on his part, should not assist the emperor, Ocho, in his wars against France.

2. Did not the war foon break out afresh ? A. Yes; for John having divorced his wife, Avifa, daughter to the earl of Gloucefler, and perfuaded the count of Angoulesme to give him in marriage his daughter, Isabella, who had been betrothed to Hugh, count de la Marche; this lastnobleman was fo enraged at the injury, that, in conjunction with Philip, he prevailed on the barons of Poitou, to rife in arms.

2. What was the refult of this fecond war?

A. Piblip summoned John to appear before his parliament, and answer there for his violent proceedings; and John refuling to obey his orders, Philip entered Normandy with a numerous army. In the mean time, he bestowed his daughter, Mary, upon prince Arthur, whom he fent with a firong body of troops to make a diversion in Poitou.

2. How did Arthur conduct this enterprize?

A. Hearing that his grandmother, queen Eleanor, who had always been his enemy, had taken refuge in the castle of Mirabel, he laid fiege to that place, and while he was carrying it on, he was fuddenly furprized by his uncle, king J.hn, who carried him prisoner to Rouen, and having put him to death with his own hands, threw his body into the Seine.

2: Was not this murder severely punished?

A. The whole world was ftruck with horsor at the barbarous deed; and the Bretons, in particular, complained to Philip, as their liege lord, and demanded justice for the inhuman violence. Philip summoned John to fland trial before him; and, upon his non-appearance, paffed fentence upon him; declared him guilty of felony and parricide; and adjudged him to forfeir to his superior lord, all his feignories and fiefs in France. In order the more effectually to execute this fentence, he entered his territories with a numerous army, and conquered Anjou, Touraine, Main, and Poitou; and finally re-united to the crown of France the whole province of Normandy, two hundred and ninetyfour years after it had been separated from it, by Charles the Simple, who gave it to Rollo the Dane. This event happened in 1205:

2: Did not John endeavour to preserve his dominions? A. He made some weak attempts for this purpose; but his measures were so ill concerted, and so feebly executed. that they all ended in his own difgrace and overthrow,

2. Was not John engaged in a quarrel with the pope?

A. Yes, and one that gave him infinite uneafinefs.

2. Pray mention the most remarkable particulars. A. Upon the death of Hubert, archbishop of Cantirbury.

some of the younger monks of Christ-church in that city. without the knowledge of the elder manks, or the confent of the king, fecretly chose Reginald, their subsprior, to suceced him; but the king, being offended at this invation of his prerogative, peremptorily commanded them to defit from the election, and strongly recommended to them John de Gray, bishop of Norwich, who was accordingly chosen: by all the monks, and feated on the archiefpicopal throne. 2. Did the new archbishop meet with no opposition?

A. Yes, from the suffragan bishops of Canterbury, who had always had a right of concurring in the election; and who, not having been confulted upon this occasion, fent an i

agent to Rome, to maintain their cause before his holiness. The king too, and the convent of Christ church, dispatched : twelve monks of that order, to support their election before: the lame tribunal.

2. What decision did the pope give?

A. Hee

A. He not only refused to confirm either election, but compelled the monks, who had arrived in Roms, to elect to the see of Canterbury, cardinal Langton, an Engliffman by birth, but bred in France, and a doctor of the university of Paris.

2. What did king John do, upon receiving the news of

these proceedings?

A. He protefted against the election that had been made at Rome, and expelled the monks of Chrigh-charch from their monastery; upon which, his whole kingdom was laid under an interdict: a circumstance which exasperated that head-frong monarch to such a degree, that he banished all the clergy who compiled with the interdict, treated the adherents of Langronwith the unmost several van deven threatened.

to cut off all correspondence with the Roman pontiff.

2. Was the pope intimidated by these menaces?

A. No; he excommunicated king John, and all who flould presume to have any commerce with him; absolved his subjects from their oath of allegiance; folennly deposed him from his throne; gave his dominions to Philip, king of France; and granted indulgences to every one who should declare war against him.

2. What was the refult of this controverly?

After a long and violent flruggle, John was obliged to yield to the fuperior power of his holinels, and even to fubmit to the mortifying condition of acknowledging himfell a valfal of the Roman fee; and, in token of his fubmifition, he took his crown from his head, and laid it at the fret of Pandulph, the pope's legate, who was pleafed to reflore it to him. He further engaged, that he flould pay an annual tribute, of a thouland marks, to the fee of Rome; and that, if any of his fucceffors flould endeavour to revoke, or infringe this agreement, they should, from that moment, forfeit all right to the crown.

2. Might not John have made a vigorous opposition? A. Yes, and perhaps finally have prevailed in the contest, had he been possessed the affections of his subjects; but he had rendered himself, by his numerous vices, so odious

to his people, that they were glad to see him reduced to the lowest chb of misery.





Q. Did the king of France accept the offer, which the pope had made him of the kingdom of England?

A. Yes, and was preparing for an invasion of that country, when he heard of the accommodation between king John and the pope.

2. Did this put a stop to his intended expedition?

A. No; he was determined to execute the enterprize, notwithstanding the prohibition of his holiness, and was just upon the point of embarking his troops, when the defeat of his fleet by the earl of Salifbury, the English admiral, effectually disappointed his ambitious views.

Q. Was not king John elated with this victory? A. So much, that he went over to the continent, with a

view of recovering his foreign dominions; but after a few feeble efforts for that purpose, he was obliged, on the approach of a French army, to abandon the defign.

2. Did he enjoy tranquillity during the remainder of his

reign ?

A. No: he was engaged in a fierce contest with his barons, which, however detrimental to himfelf, was extremely advantageous to his subjects.

2. What gave occasion to this dispute?

A. The barons, enraged at John's tyranny, required him to restore the laws of king Edward, and renew the charter of Henry I.; and upon his refusal, they assembled their forces, and bestowed the command of them upon Robert Fitz-walter, whom they filed, Marefchal of the army of God and of Holy Church. They then proceeded to London, where they were received with open arms; and having compelled those barons, who adhered to John, to come over to their party, they reduced that prince to fuch extremity, that he was obliged to grant them the two famous charters, commonly called the Magna Charta, or Great Charter, and the Charta de Foresta, or Charter of Forests; which have ever fince been confidered as the chief foundation of the English constitution.

2. Did not John endeavour to revoke these grants?

A. Yes; and for this purpose, he levied an army of Brabancons, or foreign banditti, with which he over-ran and laid wafte the whole kingdom; and the barons were for incenfed at these cruel outrages, that they were tempted to

have recourfe to the dangerous expedient of inviting over Lewis, fon to the king of France, upon whom they proposed to bestow the crown of England.

2. Did Lewis accept the invitation?

A. He landed at Sandwich, on the 21st day of May, 1216; and being presently joined by several of the English nobility, he foon made himfelf mafter of the greatest part of the kingdom.

2. What was the refult of these hostilities?

A. John was reduced to the very brink of ruin, and feemed just upon the point of being deprived of his crown, when grief for the loss of his baggage, which was swallowed up in the washes of Lincolnsbire, threw him into a fever, which put a period to his life. He died at Newark, on the roth of October, 1216, in the fifty-first year of his age, and the eighteenth of his reign.

Q. What is the character of John ?

A. It feems to have been a compound of every vice that can difgrace human nature, with hardly a fingle virtue, or good quality, to throw into the opposite scale; though his conduct, odious and detestable as it was, procured to the people the most important advantages; his tyranny first prompted the barons to affert, and his floth and cowardice afterwards enabled them to obtain, those inestimable rights and privileges, which now form the basis of the English constitution, and distinguish the people of Great Britain above every other nation on the face of the earth.

2. Had he any children?

d. By his first wife, Avifa, heiress of the house of Glouceffer, he had none; but by his fecond wife, I/abella, daughter to Armar, count of Angoule/me, he had two fons, viz. Henry, his fuccessor; and Richard, earl of Cornwall, and king of the Romans: and three daughters, namely, Tane, wife to Alexander II. king of Scots; Eleanor, married first to William Mareschal, earl of Pembroke, and afterwards to Simon de Montfort, earl of Leicester; and Isabella, consort to the emperor, Frederic II. He had also ten natural children; but none of them were any wife dillinguished.

2. Had John no disputes with his other neighbours?

A. He obliged the king of Scotland, and the prince of Wales, to do him homage. He took prisoner the king of Connaught.

Connaught, an Irish prince, who had rebelled; and he reduced to his obedience the greatest part of that kingdom. 2. What were the most remarkable events, that hap-

pened in the other countries of Europe, during his reign?

A. The taking of Constantinople by the French and Venetians, in 1204; and the crusade against the Albigenses, a species of sectaries in the south of France, who were entirely exterminated. This crusade is said to have given rise to the bloody inquifition.

### CHAP. XXVI.

HENRY IH. Surnamed of Winchester, XXVIII King of England.

From the Year 1216 to 1272.						
Popes.		Kings of France	re.			
Honorius III.	1215	PHILIP II.	1180			
GREGORY IX.	1227	Lewis VIII.	1223			
CELESTIN IV.	1241	S. Lewis IX.	1220			
INNOCENT IV.	1243	PHILIP III.	1270			
ALEXANDER IV.	1254					
URBAN IV.	1261	Kings of Denma				
CLEMENT IV.	1265	VALDEMAR II.	1203			
GREGORY X.	1271	Eric V.	1240			
Emperors of the Ea		ABEL	1250			
THEODORE I.	1204	CHRISTOPHER I.	1252			
JOHN III.	1222	Eric VII.	1259			
THEODORE II.	1225	* King of Swee	len.			
JOHN IV.	1259	VALDEMAR.	1251			
MICHAEL VIII.	1259		-			
Emperor of the W		Kings of Portu				
FREDERIC II.	1212	ALONSO II.				
French emperors of Co	nftant.	SANCHO II.	1223			
HENRY I.	1206	ALONSO III.	1248			
PETER II.	1217	Kings of Scotla	ind.			
ROBERT DE COUR	1221	ALEXANDER II.	1214			
BALDWIN II.	1237	ALEXANDER III.	1240			
WHAT did t			of king			
" The observation, wh	ich we n	nade with regard to the	history of			

Denmark (fee note p. 81) is still more applicable to that of Sweden.

A. They

A. They immediately divided into two parties; one of which adhered to the cause of Lewis; the other to that of Henry, eldest son to the late king.

2. Which of these parties prevailed in the contest?

A. That which declared in favour of prince Henry.
2. How were they able to gain the superiority?

A. Partly by the interest of William Manesschal, earl of Pembroke, who drew over to prince Henry's side a great many barons of the French faction; partly by the influence of Guals, the pope's legate, who excommunicated Lewis, and all his adherents.

2. When was the young prince crowned?

A. On the 28th of Odeber, 1216, by the name of Henry III. and as he was yet a minor, (being only in the tenth year of his age) the earl of Pembroke was appointed regent, or guardian of the kingdom.

2. What did this nobleman do, in order to encrease the

popularity of the king?

TIO

A. He made him confirm the two charters, and even

grant the people some additional privileges.

2. Did not Lewis endeavour to preserve the sooting he

had gained in the kingdom?

A. Yes; and for that purpose, brought over some supplies of men and money from France: but his general, the count de Perche, having been descated and killed by the earl of Pembroke, in the great battle of Lincoln, which was fought on the 19th of May, 1217; and the succours sent him by his consort, 12th consorting the property of the cinque ports, on the 24th day of August, of the same year; Lewis was obliged to agree to a treaty, by which he renounced all pretensions to the crown of England; and he and his foreign troops immediately left the kinedom.

2. How long did the earl of Pembroke govern the kingdom?

A. Till his death, which happened in 1219.

2. Who fucceeded him the regency?

A. Peter de Raches, bishop of Winchesser, a Patewin, and Hubert de Burgh, who, during the late reign, had defended Dower against all the attempts of Lewis and his party. This last nobleman was, at the same time, created chief-justiciary of England.

2. How

2. How did these two ministers behave in their high office?

A. They acted, at first, with great unanimity; but, at last, a jealoufy broke out between them, while each of them endeavoured to engross to himself the favour of the king; which, after a short struggle, was gained by Hukert de Burgh, who, in the year 1226, procured a bull from the pope, declaring Henry to be of full age, in confequence of which, the bishop was dismissed from his office.

2. What use did Hubert make of his great credit?

A. He employed it, in some things, for the interest of the king, and that of the people; but, in many, he abused it to the prejudice of both.

2. What instances can you give of the former?

A. He restrained the licentiousness of the turbulent barons, and preserved order and good government in the kingdom.

2. What examples can you produce of the latter?

A. He punished some rioters by martial law, which was confidered as a violation of the great charter.

2. Did not the king, about this time, undertake an

expedition to France?

A. Yes, whither he had been invited by the male-contents of that kingdom; but he returned, foon after, without performing any action of importance.

2. Did not the barons form a combination against Hubert

de Burgh? A. Yes, and succeeded so far, that that nobleman, who had been created earl of Kent, and married a daughter of

the Scottifb king, was banished from court; and the bishop of Winchester reflored to the administration. 2. Did the conduct of this prelate answer the expecta-

tions of the public ?

A. No: for being a foreigner himself, he bestowed places of trust and profit upon none but foreigners; a circumstance, which enraged the English to such a degree, that they rose in arms, under the earl of Pembroke, and would probably have compelled the king to difmifs his foreign ministers, had not de Roches found means to sow jealousy among the male-contents, and thereby to disconcert their measures. He even suborned some assassins, who murdered the earl of Pembroke in Ireland. Nevertheless, this odious minister

#### HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

was, at last, differed, at the insligation of the archbishop of Canterbury; and he, and all his affociates, were banished from court.

2. Did the removal of this minister free the English from

the dominion of foreigness? A. No; for Heavy having, in 1236, married Eleanor, daughter to Raymond; count of Provence, his court was filled with a fresh swarm of foreigners. His mother too had, upon the death of her husband, espoused Hugh, count de la Marche, to whom she had been originally betrothed; and, in consequence of this alliance, great numbers of adventurers came over to England from that country; and as the king, who was of an easy temper, was entirely governed by those about him, he conferred every office, in church and flate, upon these new favourites.

2. Were not the English provoked at this partiality to

foreigners ?

F 12

A. So much, that they formed a confederacy against the king and his ministers, and chose for their general, Simon de Montfort, earl of Leicester ..

2. What success did the confederates meet with?
A. They obliged the king to agree to certain articles, inticled. The Statutes or Provisions of Oxford; by which the fovereign authority was lodged in the hands of twenty-four commissioners, called confervators, twelve of whom were nominated by the king, and twelve by the parliament.

2. Was this accommodation lafting?

A. No: a fresh quarrel soon broke out between the two parties, upon which they agreed to refer their dispute to Lewis, king of France; but not being fatished with his deeifion, they had recourse to arms, and coming to an engagement at Lewes, on the 14th of May, 1264, the barons obtained a complete victory; having taken the king himself, his son, prince Bdward, his brother Richard, earl of Cornsvall, and his nephew, Henry d' Allmain, prifoners.

D. How did the earl of Leicefler discose of these illustri-

ous captives ?

A. He confined the two princes. Edward and Henry, in Dover cafile, and committed the king of the Romans to the tower of Landan; but himfelf kept king Himy, and carrying him about with him through all parts of the kingdom, made use of his authority to get into his possession, such towns and fortreffes as had hitherto adhered to the royal canfe.

2. How did Leicester employ his great power?

A. In some things he abused it in the most scandalous manner; but he was the author of one regulation, which, notwithflanding his numerous failings, must endear his memory to every fincere lover of his country.

2. What was that?

A. He ordered two knights to be returned for every fbire, or county, in the kingdom, and one or two burgeffes for every burgh, to represent the body of the people in parliament; and this institution (as we have before observed) is generally regarded as the true origin of The House of Commons. This happened in 1265, being the forty-ninth year of the prefent reign.

2. How long did Leicester enjoy his authority?

d. About fifteen months; at the end of which, prince Edward escaped from prison, and assembling a body of forces, attacked Leicester in the neighbourhood of Evesham, on the 4th day of August, 1265, when the earl lost, at once, both the battle and his life; as did also Henry, his eldest fon.

2. What was the consequence of this victory?

A. All the royalists were restored to liberty, and the king re-established in the possession of his throne.

2. Did none of the confederates make any farther re-

fiftance ?

A. Simon, second fon of the earl of Leicester, held out, for some time, in the island of Axbolm; but was, at last, obliged to submit. Adam de Gourdon too, a courageous baron, maintained himself, for some months, in the forests of Hamp/bire; but being attacked by prince Edward, who engaged him in fingle combat, he was wounded and taken prisoner, and was not only indulged with his life and liberty, but even admitted into the prince's fervice.

2. Did not the earl of Gloucester raise another insur-

A. Yes; he instigated the populace of London to take up arms; and prince Edward was obliged to collect an army

of 30,000 men, in order to suppress them; but this seems to have been done without any great effusion of blood on either side.

2. Was Henry's reign diftinguished by no other remark-

able events?

A. None of any great importance. The pope, indeed, made a tender of the kingdom of Sicily (of which he pre-tended to be the fuperior lord) to Edmund, earl of Lancafter, Henry's fecond fon, provided that prince would take the trouble of conquering it; and Hanry was fo foolish, as to accept the offer: but his barons refusing to affish him in the enterprize, he was finally obliged to abandon the project. His brother also, Richard, earl of Cornwoull, was elected king of the Romans; but not being possified of any personal, or family interest in Germany, he never attained to the dignity of emperor.

2. When did Henry die?

A. On the 16th of November, 1272, in the fixty-fixth year of his age, and the fifty-fixth of his reign. He was interred before the high-altar in Westminster abbey.

2. Had he any children?

A. By his queen, Eleanor of Provence, he had nine children, five of whom died in their infancy; but four of them furvived him, viz. Edward, who fucceeded him on the throne; Edmand, earl of Lancafer; Margaret, married to Alwander III. king of Scots; and Beatrix, wife to John de Dreux, duke of Brittany.

Q. What is the character of Henry III.?

A. He was certainly a prince of very mean abilities, a flave to his patifions, devoted to favourites, fitchle, capricious, and violent in his temper; and to these causes, rather than to his arbitrary principles, ought to be ascribed all the distributances that happened in his reign. Greedy of money, but profuse in his expences, he was perpetually employed in pillaging his studiedts, or lavishing his wealth upon worthless minions. Gentle, however, and merciful his dissolition, he was never guilty of any act of cruelty; but contented himself with punishing the rebels in their effects, when he might jully have deluged the scaffold with their blood.

2. Were not the Jews greatly oppressed in this reign?

A. Yes,

A. Yes, as well as during feveral of the preceding, and many of those which followed?

2. What kind of hardships did they suffer ?

A. The king demanded of them whatever fums of money he pleased; and, on their refusal, he punished them in the most arbitrary manner.

Q. What kind of punishments did he instict upon them? A. I shall only mention one particular, as it may ferve to give us some idea of the manners of the age. King John, the father of Heary, demanded 10,000 marks from a Jew of Briflel; and, on his refusal, ordered one of his teeth to be drawn every day, till he should consent. The Jew lost seven teeth; and then paid the sum required of him.

2. Can you give no other instance of the rudeness and

barbarity of the age?

A. Hildrians fell us, that, in the reign of Hany II. Themas a Beekst, the chancellor, lived with greater fplendor and magnificence, than any fubject in England had ever done before; and, as a proof of this, they add, that his appartments in winter were, every day, covered with clean firaw or hay, and in fummer, with green ruthes or boughs, left the gentlemen, who paid their court to him, and could not, by reason of their great number, find a place at table, should soil their fine cloaths by fitting on a dirty floor.

# CHAP. XXVII.

EDWARD I. furnamed Long-shanks, XXVIII's King of England.

# From 1272 to 1307.

Poper. Kings of Fr	Kings of France.	
GREGORY X. 1271 PHILIP III.	1270	
INNOCENT V. 1276 PHILIP IV.	1285	
ADRIAN V. 1276	1003	
JOHN XXI. 1276 Kings of Den	mark	
NICHOLAS III. 1277 ERIC VII.	12;0	
MARTIN IV. 1281 ERIC VIII.	1286	
Honorius IV. 1285	1200	
NICHOLAS IV. 1283 Kings of Sw	eden.	
CELESTIN V. 1294 VALDEMAR	1251	
BONIFACE VIII. 1294 MAGNUS LADIS	I. 1277	
BENEDICT IX. 1303 BIRGER	1290	
CLEMENT. V. 1305	1190	
	Kings of Portugal.	
MICHAEL VIII. 1259 ALONSO III.	1248	
ANDRONICUS II. 1283 DENIS	1279	
Emperors of the West.	12/9	
FREDERIC II. * 1212 Kings of Scot	land.	
RODOLPHUS 1273 ALEXANDER III,	1240	
ADOLPH. of Naffau 1291 JOHN BALIOL	1249	
	1293	

2. WHO succeeded Henry III.?
A. Edward I. surnamed Long-sbanks, his eldest

2. Where was this prince, at the time of his father's decease?

After the death of Frederic, there was an interregnum in the empire till Rods/pbu; during which, the following princes either reigned, or were elected, viz. Courad III. William, carl of Holland; Rutbard, and of Corawal! (the king's uncle;) Edward IV; and A poorlo, king of Cafile.

A. On his return from Palestine, whither he had gone in 12-0, with Leavis, king of France.

2 Did he perform any remarkable actions in that part

of the world?

A. Though he had but a small army, he defeated the Saracens in feveral battles, and ftruck fuch a terror into them, that they employed an affaffin to deprive him of his

2. How did the ruffian execute his enterprize?

A. Having procured admittance to the prince, under pretence of carrying on a negociation, he took an opportunity, when there was nobody in the room, but Edward and himself, to aim a dagger at his breast. Edward, how-ever, warded off the stroke, though in so doing, he was wounded in the arm; and perceiving the infidel about to repeat his blow, he ftruck him on the breaft fo forcibly with his foot, that he threw him to the ground; and then wresting the dagger from his hand, he instantly plunged it in the barbarian's heart.

2. Did not Edward take vengeance on the Saracens for

this base attempt?

A. No: the foldan of Babylon protested his innocence in the snort folemn manner, and Edward was pleased to admit his apology. Soon after, he concluded a truce with that monarch, and then fet out on his return for England.

2. Where was he, when he received the news of his father's death ?

A. At Messina in Sicily, from whence he repaired to France; did homage to Philip for Guienne; and having fettled the affairs of that province, came over to England, where he was crowned at Westminster, on the 19th of August, 1274; Alexander III. king of Scots, John, duke of Bretagne, and the wives of these two princes, Edward's fifters, being prefent at the folemnity. It is faid, that in order to add to the grandeur of the ceremony, five hundred horses were turned loose, with liberty to every one to keep as many of them as he could catch.

2. What were the first acts of Edward's government? A. He applied himfelf, with equal diligence and success, to the reformation of those abuses, which had taken place during the reign of his father. And as the adulteration of the

the coin was one of the principal evils complained of, and the Yews were chiefly suspected of that crime, no less than two hundred and eighty of these people were hanged at once for this enormity in London, belides those who suffered in other parts of the kingdom. He likewise appointed a commission, to enquire into the encroachments on the royal forests, and even into the validity of the titles, by which the barons held their effates; but this last measure he was foon obliged to drop.

2. In what manner?

A. Earl Warenne, who, during the preceding reign, had performed the most important services to the crown, being required to show his titles, drew his fword, and faid, that was his title; adding, that William, the Norman, did not conquer England for himself alone; his ancestor was a joint adventurer in the cause; and he himself was determined to maintain what had, from that period, remained unquestioned in his family.

2. What were the first military exploits of Edward? A. Lewellyn, prince of Wales, having refused to affift at his coronation, or even to do homage for his principality; Edward marched against him at the head of a numerous army; and having cooped him up in a narrow corner, reduced him to fuch extremity, that he obliged him to agree

to whatever terms he thought proper to impose,

2. What were these? A. That Lewellyn should pay to Edward 50,000 l. as a reparation of damages; should do homage to him for his principality; permit all the barons of Wales, except four about Snowdon, to fwear fealty in the same manner; and should relinquish the country between Cheshire and the river

2. Did the prince of Wales observe this treaty?

A. No: provoked at some personal insults, which he had received from Edward; enraged likewife at the many hostilities, which the lords marchers (as they were called) had committed upon the Well borders; and being further infligated by the fuggeftions of his brother David, who exhorted him to recover his ancient independance; Lewellyn was tempted to take up arms : but being defeated and flain by Mortimer, one of the lords marchers, his head was cut off, and fixed on the tower of London. His brother David, too, who fuceceded him in the principality, being taken prifoner, was brought to a formal trial before all the peers of England, and was hanged, drawn, and quartered, as a traitor; though guilty of no other crime, than that of defending by arms the liberty of his native country, together with his own hereditary authority.

2. Did the Wellb make any further relistance?

A. No: they, all of them, fubmitted to the arms of Edward; and thus was Wales, after a struggle of eight hundred years (for it had never been conquered by the Saxons) finally subjected to the crown of England.

2. Did not Edward employ a stratagem to reconcile the

Welsh to the English government?

A. Yes; by prefenting to them his infant fon, Edward, who was born at Caernareon, as a Welfman by birth, and one who could fpeak no other language. This Edward was the first prince of Welfer, and the eldeit fons of the kings of England have, ever fince, borne that title.

2. Was not Edward engaged in a war with the Scots?

A. Yes, and one that laid the foundation of that violent

A. Yes, and one that laid the foundation of that violent animofity, which long prevailed between the two nations.
What gave occasion to this war?
A. The attempt which Edward made, first to acquire a

A. The attempt which Edward made, first to acquire a fuperiority over Scotland, and afterwards entirely to subdue it.

2. What prompted him to make this attempt?

A. The reference made to him by the competitors for the crown of Scotland.

2. In what manner?

A. Alexander III. king of Sears, had, by his wife, Edourd's fifter, an only daughter, called Margaret, married to
Eric, king of Norway, to whom he bore a daughter of the
fame name with herielf; and loon after died. Alexanier
being, about this period, killed by a fall from his horfe,
was fucceded by his grand daughter. Margaret of Norway,
who, at the fame time, was affainced to the young prince
of Walas; by which marriage, had it taken effect, the
crowns of England and Scaland would have been united;
but the princels dying in her paffage from Norway, the
throne of Scaland three by became vacant.

2. Who laid claim to it?

A. No lefs than twelve persons; but the two, whose pretentions feemed to be the best founded, were John Balion and Robert Bruce, both of them forung from the royal fami-Iv of Scotland.

9. How nearly were they related to it?

A. It is to be observed, that the posterity of William, king of Scotland, the prince who was taken prifoner by Henry II. being all extinct by the death of Margaret of Norway; the right of the crown was devolved on the line of David, earl of Huntington, brother to William, whose male line being also extinct, left the succession open to the posterity of his daughters, who were three in number. Baliol was grandfon of the eldeft of these daughters; Bruce was the fon of the second: fo that Baliol was related to the royal family in the third degree, but fprung from an elder branch; Bruce was related to it in the fecond degree, but forung from a vounger.

D. In whose favour did Edward give fentence?

A. In that of Baliol (who was undoubtedly the true heir of the crown) but not till he had required from him, as well as from the other competitors, a folemn acknowledgment of his superiority over Scotland.

2. Did they comply with his request?

A. Yes; their defire to obtain the crown was fo great, that they were willing, for the fake of it, to submit to any conditions. Accordingly, Baliol was no fooner crowned, than he did homage to Edward for his kingdom.

2. Was Edward fatisfied with the advantage he had

pained ?

A. No; as he was determined to make a conquest of Scotland, he feized every opportunity to provoke Baliol, with a view, if possible, to engage him in rebellion; and, if he could draw him into this snare, to forseit his domi-

nions as the punishment of his treason. 2. Did the steps he took for this purpose, produce the

defired effect ?

A. Yes, and the more eafily, as Baliol was ashamed of the concession he had made, and found that he had rendered himfelf odious to his fubiects for destroying the ancient independence of their kingdom.

. What success did Baliol meet with in shaking off the yoke he had imposed upon himself?

A. Very bad; for being deferted by Robert Bruce, and others of his nobility; being defeated by the English general, Warenne, in a pitched battle, where he is faid to have loft about 20,000 men; and the greatest part of his kingdom being subdued by Edward; Baliol was obliged to fubmit at discretion, and to refign his crown to the English monarch, with liberty to dispose of it as he should think

Q. How did Edward act upon this occasion ?

A. He committed Baliol to the Tower of London, where he remained a prisoner till the year 1299, when he confented to go into a voluntary exile, and died abroad in a private flation. At the fame time Edward caused the crown and sceptre of Scotland, together with the samous stone at Scone (which the Scots regarded as the palladium of their monarchy) to be carried to London; and having reduced that kingdom to the form of an English province, and entrusted the government of it to earl Warenne, he returned into England with his victorious army.

2 Did Scotland long continue in this state of subjection ?

A. No; the people being exasperated at the cruelties and extortions of Ormesby, the justiciary, and Cressingham, the treasurer, became soon every where ripe for a revolt; nor was it long before a proper leader presented himself to them.

2. Who was this?

A. One William Wallace, a gentleman of small fortune, in the western part of Scotland, a person of gigantic stature, incredible strength, and invincible fortitude; who having collected a good body of forces, defeated the English in several skirmishes, expelled them from most of the strongholds of the kingdom, routed, in the neighbourhood of Stirling, earl Warenne, though at the head of an army of 40,000 men; and having almost cleared the country of the enemy, he even ventured to make an inroad into England, His followers, charmed with the gallantry of his conduct, unanimously declared him regent of the kingdom.

2. How long did he enjoy this authority?

A. But a very short time; for the nobility being jealous

of his growing fame, and distaining to serve under a private gentleman, Wallace voluntarily resigned his command, which was bestowed upon John Cummin, and the steward of Scotland.

2. How did these noblemen discharge their important

truft ?

A. Very unfuccefsfully; for being defeated in a great battle, fought at Pakirk, where they are faid to have lost about 12,000 men, Edward recovered all the fortreffes which Wallace had feized, and reduced Scoland to fuch a low condition, as he thought would dishelt it from revolting for the future. In this battle Wallace was the only person that discovered the least military skill, or conduct; he kept together the body of troops which he commanded; and he retired with them, after the action, without being pursued by the English.

2. Did the Scots continue long in tranquility?

2. Did the care continue long in tranquity.
A. No; being rather exasperated than fibbued by their late misfortunes, they resolved to make one effort more for the recovery of their liberty. With this view they chose John Cummins for their regent; and that nobleman, having assembled an army, and being assisted by in Simon Frazer, a northern chiefiain, obtained no lefs than three victories in one day over the English general.

2. What did Edward, when he heard of thefe proceed-

ings?

A. He marched into Scotland with fuch a formidable army that the inhabitants were afraid to make the leaft refilance; and he thus proceeded, without interruption, from one end of the kingdom to the other, receiving everywhere the fubmilion of the people.

2. What became of the brave Wallace?

d. He was bafely betrayed by his friend Manteith, into the hands of Edward, who caused him to be carried up in chains to London, to be tried as a rebel and traitor, though he had never fwom feelly to England; and to be hanged, drawn, and quartered on Tower-till.
9. Did the death of this chieftain put an end to the

war?

A. No: Robert Bruce, fon to the nobleman of the same name, who had slood candidate for the crown, determined

to

to undertake the delivery of his country. For this purpose he entered into a correspondence with Cummin, the regent, who, as he had fome pretentions to the crown, and wanted to ingratiate himself with the English monarch, revealed the whole fecret to Edward; and Bruce, who was then at London, was just upon the point of being arrested, when hearing of his danger from a friend at court, he inftantly departed, and arriving in his own country, expostulated with Cummin upon his persidious conduct; but receiving no fatisfactory answer from that nobleman, he stabbed him with his own hand; and was himself, soon after, folemnly crowned at Scone, by the bishop of St. Andrews. 2. Was he able to maintain himfelf in that high flation?

A. Not for fome time; for being defeated by the Earl of Pembroke, and dispossessed of all his fortresses; and feeing his relations perfecuted, his wife and fifter imprisoned. his brothers and pobility brought to the fcaffold, and himfelf abandoned by all the world, he was obliged to fly to the Western Isles, and to take refuge in one of the Hebridis, where he lay concealed at the house of a friend, in ex-

pectation of better fortune.

2. Did he meet with the wished-for opportunity?

A. Yes: for Edward, who had wintered at Carlifle, no fooner returned to London, than Bruce came forth from the place of his concealment, and affembling the scattered remains of his army, and reinforcing them with new levies, he attacked and defeated the Earl of Pembroke; and being now entire mafter of the field, he recovered possession of feveral towns, which the English had feized.

2. What did Edward do upon receiving the news of

this misfortune? A. Being highly enraged against the Scots, whom he

confidered as altogether incorrigible, he resolved to punish their repeated rebellions by walting their country from one extremity to the other. For this purpose he levied a numerous army, and began his march for the north; but he had not proceeded far on his journey, when he was feized with a dyfentery, or bleody-flux, which put a period to his life.

2. Had Edward no other war to maintain?

A. Yes, against France; though it was not attended with any remarkable events. He lost Guienne indeed by the artifice of the French court; but after expending immense sums in contracting alliances with foreign powers, he was able at last to recover that province.

2. Was not he engaged in a dispute with the clergy? A. Yes; for refusing to contribute to the exigencies of the state, he put them out of the protection of the laws; in confequence of which, every one was allowed to plunder their effects, and abuse their persons, without being liable to any kind of penalty. At last, however, they thought proper to comply with the demands of the king, and were restored to the privileges enjoyed by other subjects.

2. Where did Edward die?

A. At Burgh on the Sands, a small town in Cumberland, enjoining with his last breath his fon and successor to profecute the enterprize against Scotland; and never to defist till he had made an entire conquest of that kingdom. He concluded, by way of encouragement, with these words: Let my bones be carried before you; for sure I am, that the rebels will never dare to stand the fight of them. He expired on the 7th of July 1307, in the fixty-ninth year of his age, and the thirty-fifth of his reign. His body being conveved to Wesminster, was there incrusted with wax, and deposited near that of king Henry, his father.

D. What is the character of Edward?

A. Of a graceful person and dignified aspect (notwithflanding the great length and flenderness of his legs, which procured him the furname of Long-(banks) he was as well qualified to captivate the populace by his exterior appearance, as to gain the approbation of men of fense by his more folid virtues. Endewed alike with personal bravery, and political courage, he had the spirit to undertake, and the resolution to accomplish some of the most difficult and dangerous enterprizes that ever were attempted by any English monarch. Nor was he less remarkable for his civil than his military abilities. The improvements which he made in the English law were so great, that he received the appellation of the English Justinian. He divided the

sourt of exchequer into four diffind courts, which managed each its respective branch of busines: he first instituted the office of justice of the peace; restrained at once the turbulence of the barons, and the violence of the people; repressed respectives and disforders of every kind; and, in a word, introduced a new face of things, by the wisdom and visour of his government.

2. How many children had Edward?

A. By his firt wife, Eleans of Caffil, he had four fons; but, Edward his heir and facceffor, was the only one that furvived him. He had likewife by the fame confort, eleven daughters, fix of whom died in their infancy. Eleans was married to Hrnry duke of Bar; Hav to Gilbert Clart, earl of Glaceffer, and afterwards to Raiph de Montéreme; and of Glaceffer, and afterwards to Raiph de Montéreme; Almargart, to Tybin duke of Brabeats; Elizabeth, to Tobin earl of Helfardt; Mary was a nun at Amerikour. By his fecond wife, Margaret of France, he had two fons; Tiennat, earl of Mergidt, and manified of England; Edwardt, earl of Korfis, and manified of England; Edwardt, earl of Korfis, and manified of England; Edwardt, earl of Korfis, and manified of England; Edwardt, earl of Korfis and a daughter, named Elianor, who died in her childhood.

# CHAP. XXVIII.

# EDWARD II. XXIXth King of England.

#### From 1307 to 1327.

	The state of the s	
	Kings of Denmar	rk.
1305	Eric VIII.	1286
1316	CHRISTOPHER II.	1318
East.		
1283	Kings of Swede	n.
Weft.	BIRGER	1290
1298	MAGNUS SMEEK	1319
1308	Kings of Portug	10
1314		eli.
Kings of France.		1279
1285	ALONSO IV.	1324
1314	King of Scotlan	1
- 1316		u.
1322	ROBERT BRUCE	1306
	1316 Eaft. 1283 Weft. 1298 1308 1314 cc. 1285 1314 1316	1305 ERIC VIII. 1310 CHRISTOPHER II. Eaft.  1283 Kings of Swede Weft. 1208 MAONUS SMEEK 1308 Kings of Portug Cec. 1285 Atonso IV. 1314 King of Scotlar 1314 King of Scotlar

2. TATH O fucceeded Edward I?

A. Edward II. his eldeft fon, who began his reign in 1307; being then about twenty-three years of age.

2. Was he married at the time of his accession?

A. No: but he was no sooner crowned than he went

over to Boulogne, to celebrate his nuptials with J/abella of France, daughter of Pbilip the Fair, to whom he had formerly been betrothed; and the ceremony was accordingly performed in the prefence of four monarchs, viz. Pbilip king of France, Lewis king of Navarre, Charles king of Stilly, and the king of the Romans.

2. How did Edward conduct himself in the beginning

of his reign?

of any regn!

\*\*A. Being utterly incapable of holding the reins of empire himself, he refigned them into the hands of one Purs Gawifon, a Gasson knight, his chief savourite and consident.

The late king, perceiving the influence of this foreigner with his fon, and dreading the consequence of such a dangerous attachment, had banished him the kingdom; but Edward was no fooner feated on the throne, than he recalled him into England, and loaded him with fuch marks of his favour and affection, as excited the jealouly of the barons, who required the king once more to banish him.

2. Did he comply with their request?

A. He was obliged to do fo; though even in his compliance he gave fresh proofs of his fondness for his favoorite. Initead of expelling him the English dominions, he appointed him lord lieutenant of Ireland, attended him to Brillol, on his journey thither, and before his departure conferred upon him new lands and riches. He even ventured, in a little time after, to recall him into England.

2. Were not the barons enraged at this step?

A. So much, that they compelled the king to banish him to Flanders, and at the fame time to intrust the fovereign power to twelve persons, to be chosen by the -prelates and barons.

2. What use did those commissioners make of their au-

A. They enacted feveral flatutes for the government of the kingdom; and among others, one, by which it was ordained, that no foreigner should enjoy for the future, any place of trust in England! 2. Did affairs remain long in this fituation?

A. No: The king having delivered himself from the hands of the barons, annulled the statutes, and recalled Gaveston; at which the barons were so much incensed, that they rose in arms, besieged the favourite in Scarborough, took him prisoner, and conducting him thence to the cattle of Warwick, caused his head to be struck off by the common executioner. This event happened on the first day of July 1312. 2. Did Edward follow the advice of his father in pro-

fecuting the enterprize against Scotland?

A. No: he advanced but a little into that kingdom; and not meeting with the enemy, he returned fuddenly into England, and disbanded the forces.

2. Was not the war, however, still continued?

A. Yes: and Edward now returned into Scotland with an army of an hundred thousand men, in order to raise the fiege of Sterling, which still remained in the hands of the English, and was closely invested by the enemy; but Bruce meeting him at Bannockburn, with an army of thirty thousand men, gave him a total defeat on the 25th day of June, 1314.

2. Did not Edward revenge this lofs?

A. The name of Robert Bruce, it is faid, was now become fo terrible to the English, that no fuperiority of numbers could encourage them to keep the field against that hero; who being thus freed from all opposition, reduced Sterling, recovered Berwick, and having raifed contributions in the northern counties of England, effectually fecured his crown, and established the tranquillity of his kingdom.

Q. Had not the king another favourite after the death

of Gaveston?

A. Hugh le D'Espensor, or Spencer, a young nobleman of a graceful person and genteel address, soon obtained over the weak mind of Edward, the fame influence and authority which Gaveston had possessed.

2. How did the barons bear with this new minion i

A. Provoked alike at his infolence and avarice, they formed against him a powerful confederacy, of which Thomas earl of Lancaster, the king's uncle, was the chief.

2. What measures did the confederates pursue?

A. They ravaged the effates of Spencer and of his father, who had likewise great interest at court; and obliged the king to banish those ministers.

D. Was their banishment perpetual?

A. Edward having levied an army for some other purpose, attacked the barons, deseated them intirely, took the earl of Lancaster prisoner, caused that nobleman to be beheaded, and recalled to England the two Spencers. This happened in the fpring of 1322.

2. Did Edward enjoy tranquillity during the rest of his reion ?

A. No: his queen, Isabella, a profligate and abandoned woman, created him infinite trouble, and at last had a conaderable share in bringing him to an untimely end.

2. What were her motives for this conduct?

A. Her aversion to the Spencers, her hatred to her husband, and her love for Roger Mortimer, a baron in the Welsh marches.

2. How did she execute her project?

A. She went over to France, under another pretext, and carried with her her son Edward, who did homage to the French king for Guienne and Ponthieu.

2. What encouragement did she meet with at the French

court ?

A. Charls: the Fair, her brother, who then fat on the throne of that kingdom, being assamed to support the queen and prince, against the authority of a husband and father, \*\*Jabella was obliged to apply essewhere; and she therefore betrothed her fon, \*\*Edward, to \*\*Philippa, daughter of the count of \*\*Holland\* and \*\*Hainault\*, from whom she obtained a strong body of forces, under the command of earl \*\*John\*, his brother.

2. How did she employ these troops?

A. She carried them with her into England; and pretending that her fole intention was to remove the two Spencers, file was, immediately upon her arrival, joined by most of the barons.

2. What did the king do in this extremity?

A. Deferred by his friends, and purfeed by his enemies, he attempted to fly into fridand; but being drive back by contrary winds, he concealed himself in Neath-abbey in Wales, where he was foon after difcovered, and committed coloe prisoner to the called of Kenikwerth. The two Spencers were at the fame time feized, the one in Briflot, the other in Wales; and both of them were inflantly hanged.

2. What sleps did the queen take with regard to her

hushand?

A. She fummoned a parliament, which being initiely under her influence, formally depofed him, and proclaimed his fon Edward monarch in his flead. Deputies were then fent to the dethroned king to require him to refign the crown.

2. Did he comply with their request?

A. He fainted away at the first intimation of it; and then
G 5 shed-

fhedding a flood of tears, submitted quietly to whatever was demanded of him.

D What became of him afterwards?

A. He was committed to the care of Henry earl of Laneaster, who, touched with pity for his unhappy condition, not only used him with great lenity, but was even sufpected to have entertained thoughts of restoring him to the throne. The queen, therefore, and Mortimer, her favoorite, who now lived in the most scandalous familiarity, being determined to make away with him, ordered him to be removed from the castle of Kenilworth, to that of Berkley, where he was entrusted to the charge of Sir Thomas Gournay, and Sir John Mautravers, who treated him with every species of indignity; hoping, by that means, to break his spirit, and thus put a period to his life.

2: Can you give any instance of their cruel treatment

of him?

A. It is reported, that one day, when he was to be fhaved, they ordered cold and dirty water to be brought from the ditch for that purpose; and when he defired it to be changed, and was still denied his request, he burlt out into tears, which bedewed his cheeks; and he exclaimed, that in spite of their insolence, he should be shaved with clean and warm water.

2. Did these means of laying Edward in his grave prove

A. No; and the ruffians, therefore, had recourse to another method flill more barbarous and cruel. They entered his apartment; threw him on his bed; held him down forcibly with a table, which they flung over him; thrust into his fundament a red hot iron, which they inferted through a horn: and though the outward marks of violence upon his person were prevented, by this expedient, the horrid deed was discovered to all the guards and attendants, by the screams with which the agonizing king filled the caltle, while his bowels were confuming within him. He expired on the 21st of September 1327, in the fortythird year of his age, and the twentieth of his reign.

2. Did not his murderers meet with condign punish-

ment?

A. Gournay perished by the hands of the executioner; but Mautravers having found means to perform some important fervice to Edward III, received a pardon. The fate of the queen and Mertimer will be feen in the next chapter. 2. Describe the person and qualities of Edward.

A. He is faid to have resembled his father in the lineaments of his face, as well as in the elegance and regularity of his shape; and he followed his example in a strict observance of the conjugal duties, to which he met with a very ungrateful return : but he was utterly destitute of all those great and noble qualities, which rendered the other the most illustrious hero of the age. Of a warm and benevolent heart, he was strongly inclined to friendship; but of weak and narrow intellects, he had not discernment to choose a friend : of a lazy and indolent disposition, he took up with the first that fortune threw in his way; and of strong and violent passions, when once he had fixed his choice, he could never be persuaded to withdraw his attachment; and to this cause are supposed to be owing all the calamities that befel him in his life, and the untimely death to which he was at last brought.

2. How many children had Edward?

A. By his queen Isabella of France, he had two fons, and two daughters, viz. Edward III. his eldeft fon and fuccessor; John, created afterwards earl of Cornwall, who died young at Perib; Jane, married to David Bruce, of Gueldres.

Q. Was not England, during his reign, afflicted with a

prievous famine ? three years.

A. So grievous, that men are faid to have devoured one another. This famine, according to some historians, lasted

2. Did any remarkable events happen about this period,

in the other kingdoms of Europe?

A. The order of knights-templars was abolished in France; and the example of that kingdom was foon after had greatly degenerated from the virtues of their predethe immense wealth which they h d every where acquired, and which excited the avidity of the Christian princes.

# CHAP. XXIX.

EDWARD III. XXX'th King of England.

#### From 1327 to 1377.

Popes.		Kings of Denmar	k.
JOHN XXII.	1316	CHRISTOPHER II.	1318
BENEDICT XII.	1334	VALDEMAR III.	1340
CLEMENT VI.	1342	OLAUS V.	1375
INNOCENT VI.	1352		
URBAN V.	1362	Kings of Sweden	
GREGORY XI.	1370	MAGNUS SMEEK	1310
Emperors of the Ea		ALBERT of Mecklenb	
Andronicus II.	1283		
Andronicus III.	1332	Kings of Portugal	
JOHN V.	1341		
JOHN VI. Emperors of the W	1355	ALONSO IV. PEDRO I.	1324
FREDERIC III.		FERDINAND	1357
Lewis IV.	1314		1145
CHARLES IV.	1330	Kings of Scotland.	
Kings of France.	1347	ROBERT BRUCE	1306
CHARLES IV.	1322	DAVID II.	1330
PHILIP VL.	1328	EDWARD BALIOL.	1332
JOHN I.	1355	DAVID II. restored	1542
CHARLES V.	1364	ROBERT II. (Stuart)	1370

7 HO fucceeded Edward II.

A. Edward III. his eldeft fon, who ascended the throne in 1327.

2. What were the first acts of his reign?

A. As he was yet a minor, being only in the fourteenth year of his age, a council of regency was appointed, confifting of five prelates and feven lay peers; but the chief authority was engrossed by the queen-dowager, and her favourite, Mortimer, who fill lived in the most fcandalous familiarity.

2. How did they conduct the affairs of the nation? A. Very

A. Very ill; though no worse than might be expected from persons of such abandoned characters.

2. Pray give me some instances of their conduct.

A. They bestowed all places of trust and profit upon their own creatures; concluded a difadvantageous peace with the Soats; brought the earl of Kent, the king's uncle, to the block; overawed the proceedings of parliament; plundered and oppressed the people; till, at length, the king, moved by the cries of his subjects, who called aloud for justice, and by a regard to the honour of his own family, which was fo deeply affected by the behaviour of the queen and Mortimer, caused the latter to be seized, tried, and executed, and confined the former to his house at Rifings, during the remainder of her life. Mortimer was hanged at Elmes, in the neighbourhood of London, where his body remained two days on the common gibbet.

2. How did Edward behave after taking the reins of

government into his own hands?

A. It must be observed, that, before the death of Mortimer, the Scots had made an irruption into the northern counties of England; upon which, Edward affembled an army of fixty-thousand men, and marched out in quest of the enemy; but though he overtook them, and even continued in fight of them for feveral days, he was not able to bring them to a battle; and the Scots, after ravaging the country, returned home with an immense booty. Soon after a peace was concluded with that people; and, in order to render it more lasting, Jane, king Edward's fifter, was given in marriage to David Bruce, eldest fon of the Scottiff king.

2. Did not the war with Scotland break out afresh ?

A. Yes.

2. Upon what occasion?
A. The king of Scots having refused, contrary to the articles of the late peace, to restore such of the English barons as had formerly poffeffed effates in Scotland, to the enjoyment of their lands, these noblemen resolved to do themselves justice by force of arms. With this view they fent for Edward Baliol (son of the late king John) who then refided in France as a private person, and placing him at their head, invaded Scotland with an army of twenty-

five thousand men, defeated the regent, Marre, in two pitched battles; their fleet, at the fame time, obtained a victory over that of the enemy; and thus the kingdom being in effect subdued, Baliol was solemnly crowned at Scone; and young David and his confort were obliged to fly into France. This revolution happened in 1332.

2. Was Paliol able to maintain himself on the throne? A. No; for having difmiffed the greatest part of his Englifb followers, he was fuddenly attacked by Sir Archibald Douglas, and chaced into England in a most miserable con-

Q What reception did he meet with at the English court?

A. Edward, who had hitherto favoured him in fecret, though he was ashamed to support him openly against a minor king, and a brother-in-law, now refolved to espouse his cause in earnest, provided that Baliol should do him homage for his crown; a condition to which the other readily agreed. Accordingly he marched into Scotland with a numerous army, defeated the natives in a great battle at Halidown-bill, and re-established Baliol on the throne; but he had no fooner departed, than that prince was driven once more into England. Edward returned into Sectland with a flill more formidable army, and restored Boliol, who, immediately upon the departure of the English, was a third time expelled the kingdom; and as Edward's attention was now engaged by affairs of greater confequence, Baliel was never able to recover possession of

2. What engaged the attention of Edward at this time? A. The war that he was carrying on against France.

A. The claim which Edward had to the crown of that kingdom.

Q. What title had he to it?

A. He was the fon of Habella, daughter of Philip the Fair (who died without male iffue) and fifter of Charles the Fair, the last king of France, to whom Philip de Valois, the present sovereign, was only cousin; but Philip was fprung from a male branch, Loward only from a female. . 2. Was this title well founded i

A. It was contrary to the Salie law, by which all females, and all the descendants of semales, are excluded from the

2. What was the origin of this law?

A. Historians are not agreed as to this particular; but the most probable opinion is as follows: The word Sala, or Sals, fignified anciently the caltle or house of a great lord; and from thence was derived the name of Salians, by whom were meant the great lords of the kingdom, who belonged to the court, or the king's household. In proportion as the French kings established themselves in Gaul, and extended their conquelts, they affigned lands to the Salians, that is, to the principal men of their Sale or court, on condition that whoever poffeff d thefe lands, should serve in person in the wars; and for this reason they could never be possessed by women, whose sex rendered them incapable of bearing arms. A law was therefore enacted for this purpole, and expressed in the following terms; "No part of the Salic lands, that is, lands given to a Salian, can be inherited by a woman; but all such lands shall descend to the males only."

2 Did this law regulate the fuccession to the crown, as

well as that to private poffessions?

A. Not originally; though it came in time, and long before the period of which we are now speaking, to be applied likewife to that important article.

2. Would Edward's title have been well founded, had

he not been excluded by the Salie law?

A. No; for the three last kings, Lewis Hutin, Philip the Long, and Charles the Fair, brothers to Edward's mother, had all left daughters, who were still alive, and who stood before Edward in the order of fuccession. But Edward afferted, that though his mother, Ilabella, was excluded by the Salic law, he himfelf, who inherited through her, was not liable to any fuch objection, and might therefore claim by the right of propinquity.

2. Would his title have been good upon that suppo-

fition

A. Not even then; for Charles, king of Navarre, descended from the daughter of Lewis Hutin, would have had a preferable title: so that Edward's claim; in whatever

light it is viewed, was altogether groundless. Nevertheless, he assumed the title of king of France, which his successors still retain.

2. Did he proceed immediately to affert it?

A. No; for as he was fill a minor, and had made no preparation for undertaking a war, he thought it prudent, to conceal his intention. He was even obliged to go over to France, and do homage to Philip for Guiema and Ponthius, in prefence of the kings of Navaure, Majorca, and Bobenia; but he took the precaution, before he left England, to protest privately against this ceremony.

2. When did he begin the war against France?

A. In 1339; though nothing remarkable happened in the first campaign. On the thirteenth of June, of the fueceding year, he obtained a great naval victory over the French, who lost, in the action, two hundred and thirty ships, thirty thousand men, and two of their admirals.

2. Was the war carried on without interruption? A. No: but in 1246, Edward invaded France a second time, with an army of thirty thousand men; and being on the 26th of August, overtaken by the French king in the neighbourhood of Creev, with an army of one hundred and twenty thousand, he gave him a total defeat. The honour of this victory was chiefly due to Edward, prince of Wales, surnamed the Black Prince, from the colour of his armour, who was then only in the fixteenth year of his age, and now made his first campaign. The loss of the French in this battle was great; for besides 1200 knights, 1400 gentlemen, 4000 men at arms, and 30,000 men of inferior rank, there fell the duke of Alencon, king Philip's brother, the dukes of Lorrain and Bourbon, the earls of Flanders, Blois, Harcourt, Vaudemont, Aumale, and the kings of Majorca and Bobemia; which last was blind from age, and died fighting for France, agreeably to his wish. His creft was three offrich feathers, and his motto these German words, Ich dien, I ferve; which the prince of Wales adopted in memory of this fignal victory, and the same has been retained by all his fuccessors. 'Tis related by some historians, that the English first employed cannon in this great battle; and that though the use of this engine was known

in France, as well as in England, Philip had neglected to bring his artillery with him.

2. How did Edward improve this victory?

A. He was not fo elated with it as to think of penetrating into the heart of France, but contented himfelf with undertaking the flege of Calair, which after having blockaded for a twelvemonth, he at last reduced by famine.

2. Did not *Philip* endeavour to raise this siege?

A. Yes; and that with an army of 200,000 men; but

A. les; and that with an army of 200,005 men; but he found the English fo firongly intrenched, that it was impossible to attack them, without the most imminent danger.

2. Was not this fiege attended with a remarkable cir-

cumftance?

A. "Tis fail that Edward was fo exaferated at the long and oblinate refilance of the garrifon, that when they offered to capitulate, he infilted that fix of the principal burghers should come to his camp with the keys of the place in their hands, and halters about their necks; and that he ordered these bave citizens (one of whom was called Pieres & St. Euglace) to be led to immediate execution; when he was happily prevented from committing foch a barbarous action by the entreaties of his queen, Philippa, who not only procured them a pardon, but, after giving them a plential repail, and making them a prefer of money and cloaths, sent them back to the town in fafety.

2. Was not the war with Scotland renewed about this

time ?

A. Yes; and equally to the honour and advantage of the English; for the Scass having recalled their king, Devid Brace, from France, invaded England with an army of 50,000 men, and carried their ravages to the gates of Durbam, where being attacked by queen Philippa, at the head of 12,000 men, they were defeated, with the lofs of 15,000 of their troops; and their king himless was the priloner. He was detained in captivity for the space of eleven years, and then resolved to freedom in consequence of a treaty, which was concluded between the two nations.

2. When did Edward renew his attempt against France? A. Though feveral petty enterprizes were undertaken and executed in the interim, no remarkable event happened till the year 13:6, when Edward prince of Wales made an invasion into France, with an army of 12,000 men; and coming up with king John, fon and fuccessor of Philip, who had affembled an army of 60,000 men, at a place called Maupertuis, near Poitiers, he put the enemy to a total route; took king John himself, and Philip, his fourth son, prisoners, and killed about 6000 of his men; among whom were the duke of Bourbon, the conflable of France, and fifty of the greatest noblemen of the kingdom, The glory, which the prince of Wales gained by this victory, was only exceeded by the fame he acquired from his generous treatment of the captive monarch, to whom he behaved with fuch profound respect and deference, as extorted tears of joy and admiration from all the French prisoners. The example of prince Edward was followed by the king his father, who treated his royal captive with the greatest humanity; but being determined to make the most of this incident, he carried him over into England, and committed him prifoner to the palace of the Savoy, 2. What use did Edward make of this victory?

A. He perfusded Jobs to agree to a treaty, by which Leward was to be reflored to all the provinces, which had been ptiffelfed by Heinry II. and his two fons; and which were to be annexed to the crown of England, without any edited to homage or feathy on the part of the English

monarch.

2. Did the French ministry affent to this treaty?

A. Charles, the dauphin, a prudent and Iggacious prince, who, during his father's captivity, had affumed the reins of government, abfolutely refufed to agree to a measure, which would have dimembred the monarchy; upon which Edward invaded France with an airmy of 100,000 men: but the dauphin took such excellent precautions for the fecurity of the kingdom, that Edward, having in vain attempted to reduce the city of Rhims, and ravaged the country to thevery gates of Paris; and finding it impossible to draw the dauphin from that place, in which he had taken.



The Black Prince waiting on the



his station, he was obliged to consent to a peace, which was concluded at the village of Bretigni.

2. What were the conditions of this peace?

At It was dipulated, that John hould pay as his ranfom three millions of crowns of gold; about 1,500,000 pounds of our prefent money: that Edward Inouid for ever renounce all claim to the crown of France, and to all provinces formerly poffielde by his ancellors; and fhould receive in exchange the provinces of Gaizene, Paisus, Xaintongue, Paframs, Peripord, the Limplin, 2017, Rawwige, Paframs, Peripord, the Limplin, 2017, Rawwige, Paframs, London, Patricia, without being obliged to perform any homage to the Franch moments. It would be tedious to enumerate the other articles of the treaty, which was figned on the eighth day of May, 1500.

2. Was this treaty faithfully executed?

A. King John was fet at liberty in confequence of it, after having been a prisoner for upwards of four years; and that monarch performed all the articles, to far as depended on himfelf with the utmost punctuality. He even came over to England for that purpole, novinithanding the remonstrances of his courtiers; and he foon after fickened and died in the Savoy, the place of his former confinement. He expired on the eighth of April, 1364.

2. Did Charles V. his fon and successor, observe the ar-

ticles of the peace with the fame fidelity?

A. No: he attacked the English provinces in France with fuch fucees, that he foon reduced most of them under his subjection; and all this he performed by means of his generals, without stirring himself once out of his palace; to that in 1370. Edward was obliged to agree to a true, after having lost almost all his ancient possession in France, except Bayonne and Bourdeaux; and all his conquests, except Calais.

2. Was Edward engaged in any other wars?

A. He esponsed the cause of his son-in-law Jabo count of Montfort, against that of Charler of Blais, nephew to the French king, who, both of them, laid claim to the dukedom of Brittany; and though he was not so fortunate as to put an end to the war in person, when he crossed into Brittany in 1342, he had yet the satisfaction to see it concluded.

cluded agreeably to his wishes; for Charles lost at once both his dukedom and his life in a battle which was fought at Auray, in 1364, in confequence of which Montfort succeeded to the dutchy.

2. Did Edward perform no other remarkable actions? A. On the 20th of August 1200, he attacked a fleet of Spanilo thips, which greatly infested the English coasts; defeated them entirely : took twenty-four of their veffels :

and either funk or difperfed the reft.

2. Did not he inflitute the order of the garter?

A. Yes, but of this we have given a particular account

in chap, XI.

2. Did not Edward and the Black Prince undertake an

expedition into Spain?

A. Yes; in 1367, in order to reftore Peter the Cruel to the throne of Caftile; from which he had been expelled by his natural brother, Henry de Translamare; and he finished this enterprize with his usual glory and good fortune.

2. How long did he furvive this expedition?

A. About nine years; though during the greatest part of that time he was in a very bad state of health. He died, after a lingering illness, on the eighth day of June 1376, in the forty-fixth year of his age; and left behind him a character diftinguished by every great and amiable virtue. His valour and military talents formed the smallest part of his merit: his generofity, humanity, affability, and moderation, gained him the affections of all the world, and rendered him at once the delight of the court, and the darling of the people.

2. Was he ever married?

A. Yes; to his cousin Joan, commonly called the Fair maid of Kent, daughter and heir of his uncle, the earl of Kent, who was beheaded in the beginning of this reign. She had been formerly married to Sir Ibomas Holland, by whom the had children. She likewife bore two fons to Edward, viz Edward, who died at feven years of age, and Richard, who succeeded to the crown of England.

9. Where did king Edward III. die?

A. At his palace of Shien, now called Richmond, on the 21st day of June 1377, in the fixty-fifth year of his age, and the fifty-first of his reign. And this great monarch,

who had been fo much courted during his life-time, had the cruel mortification, before he expired, to fee himfelf abandoned by all the world, Alice Pierce, his favourite mistress, when she saw his end approaching, seized the most precious things she could lay her hands on; and tearing the ring from his finger, went off. His courtiers, and even his chaplains, treated him with the fame indifference and neglect.

2. What is the character of Edward?

A. He was certainly one of the greatest and most accomplified princes that ever filled the English throne, whether we confider him as a warrior or legislator, as a monarch or a man. In his stature he was about fix feet high, of an elegant shape, and robust constitution: his limbs were finely turned; his eyes were quick and piercing; his vifage was fharp and aquiline; [and his] whole air fuch as at once engaged affection, and commanded esteem. Nor were the qualities of his mind inferior to those of his body. He was brave, active, and enterprizing; shrewd, sensible, and sagacious; severe, but impartial in the execution of justice; liberal, not profuse, in his expences; and what contributed, in a peculiar manner, to endear his memory to the English, it was in his reign, that they first began to acquire over France, their rival and national enemy, that superiority in warlike atchievements, which, almost without interruption, they have ever fince maintained.

2. How many children had Edward?

A. By his queen Isabella, he had, besides the Black Prince, fix fons and five daughters, viz. William of Hatfield, who died in his infancy; Lionel duke of Clarence; John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, from whom fprung that branch, which afterwards mounted the throne; Edmund, duke of York; William of Windsor, who died young; and Thomas, duke of Gloucester. His daughters were, Isabella, married to Ingelram de Coucy, earl of Bedford; Joan, betrothed to the prince of Castile, but died in her journey to Spain; Blanche, who died in her childhood; Mary, efpouled to John de Montfort, duke of Brittany; and Margaret, wife to John Hastings, earl of Pembroke. 2. Were

. Q. Were not some attempts made in this reign, towards

a reformation of religion?

142

A. Yes; the celebrated John Wickliffe began about this time, to declaim in his fermons against the doctrine of the real prefence, pilgrimages, purgatory, monastic vows, and other fuper litions of the church of Rome; and he had foon the good fortune to make a great many profelytes, particularly the duke of Lancafter, king Edward's fon. But notwithflanding the protection of this powerful patron, he was cited to appear before the bishop of London; and his tenets were folemnly condemned in an affembly held at Oxford. He escaped, however, the malice of his enemies; and died peaceably in 1385 at his rectory of Lutterworth, in the county of Leicester. He seems to have been a man of parts and le rning; and has the honour of being the first person in Europe who publicly called in question those doctrines, which had univerfally passed for certain and undisputed during fo many ages, His followers were called Wickliffites, and fometimes Lollards.

2. What was the most remarkable law passed in this

reign?

A. That which limited the cases of high treason, before vague and uncertain, to three principal heads, win, the conspiring against the life of the king, the levying war reainst his person, and the adhering to his enemies; and

this law still remains in force, without any alteration.

2. Did Edward leave any confiderable monuments be-

hind him?

A. He built the magnificent castle of Windfer; and his method of condeding that work may ferve as a fpecimen of the condition of the people in that age. Inflead of alluring workmen by contracts and wages, he affected every country in England to find him to many matons, tilers, and carpenters, as if he had been levying an army.

2. Was not England vifited with a dreadful plague du-

ring this reign ?

A. Yes, as well as all the other kingdoms of Europe; and this malady is fuppoled to have fwept away above one fourth of the inhabitants in every country which it attacked. Fifty thousand souls are faid to have perished by it in Lendon alone.

Q. Was

valent at this period?

A. More, perhaps, than in any age which either preceded or followed it. The following is a remarkable inflance to this purpose. A dispute having arisen between the English and the Bertens, which of them had the fairy? instruction of the property of the base of the same duel of thirty knights of the one nation against thirty knights of the other. After a bloody combat the Britons prevailed; and gained for their prize full liberty to boast of their mifressia beauty.

#### CHAP. XXXI.

# RICHARD II. XXXI" King of England.

#### From 1377 to 1399.

Sovereions of Denmark.

GREGORY XI. 1370	OLAUS V. 1375
URBAN VI. 1378	MARGARET. 1387
BONIFACE IX. 1389	King of Sweden.
Emperors of the East.	ALBERT of Meclenb. 1363
JOHN VI. 1355	Sovereign of Denmark and
EMANUEL II. 1391	Sweden.
Emperors of the West.	MARGARET 1391 * Kings of Portugal.
CHARLES IV. 1347	FERDINAND 1367
	JOHN I. 1385
King of France.	Kings of Scotland.
CHARLES V. 1364	ROBERT II. 1370
CHARLES VI. 1380	ROBERT III. 1300

2. W H O succeeded Edward III.

A. Riebard II. grandfon to that monarch, and fon to Edward the Black Prince of Wales. He afcended the throne in 1377, being then only in the eleventh year of

<sup>\*</sup> In the course of this year, the crowns of Sweden, Denmark, and Norway, were united in the person of the samous Margaret of Denmark, commonly called, on account of her courage and capacity, the Semiramia of the North.

#### 144 HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

his age. 'Tis at his coronation, which was performed on the 16th of July of the same year, that historians fifth mention the champion coming into Woftminster-ball, and giving his challenge; but this custom is supposed to be of greater antiquity.

2. How was the government conducted during his mi-

nority?

A. By a council of nine persons, confissing partly of prelates, and partly of lay-peers; but the chief authority was engrosted by the king's three uncles, the dukes of Lau-caster, York, and Gloucester.

2. Was not a dangerous insurrection raised in the be-

ginning of this reign ?

A. Yes, in 1381, on account of a poll-tax, or an imposition of three groats upon every person above fifteen years of age. The first disorder arose from a blacksmith in a village of Effex. The tax-gatherers came to this man's shop, while he was at work, and demanded payment for his daughter, whom he affirmed to be below the age affigned by the statute. One of these fellows offered to produce a very indecent proof to the contrary, and at the fame time laid hold of the maid; which the father refenting, immediately knocked out the ruffians brains with his hammer. The bystanders applauded the action, and exclaimed, that it was full time to take vengeance on their tyrants, and to affert their native liberty. They inflantly flew to arms; and increasing every day in their numbers, they foon amounted to one hundred thousand men, who assembled on Black-beath, under their principal leaders, Wat Tyler, and Jack Straw. As their intention was to reduce all men to a level, they murdered every gentleman whom they could lay hold of; expressed a particular animosity against the lawyers and attornies; and the king's mother happening to pass through the midst of them, in her return from a pilgrimage to Canterbury, some of the most insolent among them, in order to shew their purpose of destroying all rank and deflinction, forced kiffes from her, though they committed no farther violence upon her perfor.

2. What was the iffue of this infurrection?

A. Part of the rebels having received a pardon, and obtained a charter for the abolition of flavery, laid down their

their arms, and returned peaceably to their habitations. But Wat iyler, at the head of another body of them, entered into a conference with the king in Smithfield, where that demagogue made fuch extravagant propofals, and even lifted his fword with such a menacing air, that Walworth, him a furious blow on the head, and laid him dead at his feet. Jack Straw, his companion, was foon after feized and executed; and the rebels, being thus deprived of their leaders, were obliged, every where, to submit to the government.

2 Did the reft of Richard's reign pass in tranquility? A. No; it was almost continually disturbed by the factions and cabals of the barons, particularly of the duke of Gloucester, his uncle.

2. What gave occasion to these cabals?

A The king's attachment to favourites, who diverted his attention from ferious bufinefs, engaged him in low pleasures and amusements, and encouraged him in pillaging

2. Who were the chief of these favourites?

A. Robert de Vere, earl of Oxford, whom Richard created marquis of Dublin, and duke of Ireland; and Michael de la Pole, son to a merchant of London, who was made earl of Suffolk, and chancellor of England.

where Suff. Ik was tried, and deprived of his office. They were foon after tempted to rife in arms, and drive the duke of Ireland out of the kingdom. They likewife compelled the king to refign the fovereign power into the hands of a certain number of commissioners, most of them of their own party: and when Richard obtained, from Sir Robert Trefilian, and the other judges, a folemn decision, declaring this commission illegal, and extending the royal prerogative beyond its usual bounds, the barons called the judges to a fevere account, condemned Sir Robert Tresilian to death, and banished his affociates to Ireland. This last event happened in 1388.

2. Did affairs remain long in this fituation?

A. No: in less than a twelvemonth, Richard annulled the commission he had granted, resumed into his own hands the fovereign authority, and in 1307 (for nothing remarkable passed in the interval) he caused the duke of Gloucester, who was plotting against the government, to be fuddenly arrested, and conveyed to Calais, where he was basely smothered. Not satisfied with this sacrifice, he proceeded to take vengeance on all the rest of his enemies ; Richard Fitz-Alan, earl of Arundel, was brought to the fcaffold; his brother, the archbishop of Canterbury, was deprived of his fee; Thomas Beauchamb, earl of Warwick, was doomed to perpetual exile in the Ifle of Man; and the dukes of Hereford and Norfolk were banished the kingdom; the former for ten years, the latter for life.

2. Did the punishment of these noblemen put an end to

the public diffurbances?

A. No; for Henry, duke of Hereford, who, upon the death of his father, had now succeeded to the title of Lancaster, being highly provoked at Richard's injustice in driving him into banishment, and seizing his estate, contrary to the most express engagements, resolved to do himself right by force of arms; and with this view, embarking at Nantz in Brittany on the 4th of July, 1399, he fet fail for England, and after a quick paffage, landed fafely at Ravenfour in Yorksbire.

2. Did he, at his first arrival, discover his intention of

feizing upon the crown?

A. On the contrary, he took a folemn oath, that he had no other purpose in this invasion, than to recover the dutchy of Lancaster, unjustly detained from him; and this circumflance, joined to his great popularity, procured him fuch a number of friends, that his army, foon encreased to 60,000 men.

2. Did he meet with no opposition?

A. No; Richard was at that time absent in Ireland, suppressing a rebellion which had broke out in that kingdom; and Edmund, duke of York, the king's uncle, who was regent of England, finding it impossible to make head against Lancafter, difmiffed his forces, and retired to his own palace.

2. What steps did Richard take upon the news of this

invasion?

A. He hastened over to England with an army of 20,000 men; but being deferted by his troops, he took refuge in

the Isle of Anglesea, where he was foon after secured, and conveyed first to Flint-Caftle, and thence to London, where a parliament was affembled, who brought him to a trial, and folemnly deposed him, on the 28th of September, 1300.

2. What were the crimes that were laid to his charge?

A. He was accused of having devolved the whole fovereign power upon a fet of worthless favourites, who plundered and oppressed the people; of having violated, in a most arbitrary manner, the laws of the land; brought a great number of his nobility unjustly to the block; of having cruelly and treacherously put to death his uncle, the duke of Gloucefter; in a word, of having been guilty of almost every crime that constitutes the character of a tyrant.

2. What became of him after his deposition?

A. He was imprisoned in Pomfret-Cafile in Yorksbire, where he foon after died. The manner of his death is variously related. Some pretend, that Sir Piers Exton, and others of his guards, fell upon him unawares, and murdered him with their halberts. But the most probable opinion is, that he was starved to death, by order of the duke of Lancaster; and after all subfiltence was denied him, he prolonged his unhappy life, it is faid, for a fortnight, before he reached the end of his miseries. This account is more confilent with the story, which fays, that his body was exposed in public, and that no marks of violence were observed upon it. He died in the thirty-fourth year of his age, and the twenty-third of his reign.

2. What is the character of Richard?

A. He was certainly a weak, vain, and contemptible prince, and altogether unqualified for managing the reins of empire. He was violent in his temper, profuse in his expences, fond of idle show and magnificence, devoted to favourites, and addicted to pleafure; pattions, all of them, the most inconfishent with a prudent ceconomy, and confequently dangerous in a limited and mixed government.

2. Had he any children?

A. No; though he was twice married, first to Anne of Lux mburgh, fifter to the emperor Wincestaus; and afterwards to Ijabella of France, daughter of Charles VI. but his marriage with this last lady was never confummated. H 2 2. Were

2. Were the English engaged in any wars during his

reion i

A. Yes; both with France and Scalland; but neither of them were attended with any remarkable event. A fhapp fkirmilli, indeed, was fought at Otterbone, between Douglas and Piercy, farmand Hospar; in which the former was flain, and the latter taken prifoner.

#### CHAP. XXXI.

# HOUSE of LANCASTER.

HENRY IV. furnamed of Bolingbroke, XXXII<sup>d</sup> King of England.

Popes.

# From 1300 to 1412.

King of France.

BONIFACE IX. 1389	CHARLES VI. 1380
INNOCENT VII. 1404 GREGORY XII. 1406	Sovoreigns of Denmark and
	Sweden.
ALEXANDER V. 1409	
TOHN XXIII. 1410	MARGARET 1391
Emperor of the East.	Eric 1411
EMANUEL II. 1391	King of Portugal.
Emp rors of the West.	JOHN I. 1385
WINCESLAUS 1378	
ROBERT LE PET 1400	King of Scotland.
SIGISMUND 1410	ROBERT III. 1390

2. W H O succeeded Richard II?

A. Henry, duke of Lancaster, furnamed of
Bolingbroke, from the place of his birth. He began his reign
on the 28th of September, 1399.

2. Whose som was he.

A. Of John of Gaunt, the late duke of Lancaster, third fon of Edward III.

2. Was

2. Was he true heir to the crown?

A. No; Mortimer, earl of Marche, defrended from Linel, dake of Clarnice, fecond fon of Edward III. had a preferable ritle; but as he was then only a boy of feven years of age, his claim was everlooked upon this occasion.

2. Did Henry enjoy his claim in tranquility?

A No; he was almost continually disturbed by rebellions and insurrections, which, however, he found means, in the end, to supprefs; but, in the course of these hostilities, he was obliged to put to de-th such a number of noblemen, as foll thin the popularity which he had formerly possessing.

2 Pray mention the most remarkable of these insurrec-

tions.

A. The first was raised in 1400, but was immediately quelled; and the earls of Kint, Saliflury, and Huntington, block. The fecond was excited, the year following, by Owen Glendour or Glendourdy, descended from the ancient princes of Walis, who endeavoured to restore the independence of his country. Henry marched against him with a numerous army; but was forced to abandon the enterprize on account of the badness of the weather, which was so stormy, that the English imagined Glendour had made a compact with the devil. A third infurrection was raifed, in 1403, by the earl of Northumberland. Henry attacked the rebels at Sbrewfbury, and defeated them in a great battle; in which Harry Piercy, furnamed Hotspur, was flain, and the earl of Worcefter, his uncle, was taken and executed. Northumberland himself was pardoned for the present; but was soon after killed in another engagement.

2. Had Henry any foreign wars to maintain?

A Yes; both against France and Scotland; but neither of them was diffinguished by any confiderable actions. In 1473, Henry obtained an advantage over the Scots, which ferved, for a long time, to keep that people in tranquility. Robert III. King of Scotland, having lost his eldelt fon, David, by the treachery of his brother, the duke of Albany, who flarved him to death, embarked his only furviving fon, James, on board a ship, with a view of fending him to the court of France; but the prince being thrown, in the courte of his voyage, upon the coalt of England, was there feized,

п 3

and detained in captivity, notwithstanding the truce which Subfilled between the two nations. Henry, however, made ample amends for this breach of hospitality, by giving the prince an excellent education, which qualified him afterwards, when he mounted the throne, to reform, in some measure, the barbarous manners of his subjects,

2. Were not some laws enacted in this reign against the

Lollards, or the followers of Wickliffe?

A. Yes; and William Sawire, rector of St. Ofithes, in London, was condemned and burned for this kind of herefy. He was the first person that suffered in England on account of religion.

9. Of what difease did Henry die?

A. Of a leprofy, according to some authors; though others fay it was an apoplexy. He expired in the Jerusalem Chamber in Westminster-Abbey, according to a ridiculous prophecy of the times. His death happened on the 20th of March, 1412, in the forty-fixth year of his age, and the fourteenth of his reign.

2. What is the character of Henry IV?

A. He was a prince of a middle flature, and handsome make; and was perfectly skilled in all the exercises of arms and chivalry. His countenance, like his disposition, was ferious and fedate: he was equally proof against the smiles and frowns of fortune, neither elated with prosperity, nor dejected with adverfity. His personal courage and military prowefs were unquestioned; and had he possessed the crown by a just title, and, of consequence, been free from the numerous infurrections to which he was exposed, he might possibly have passed his life with as much honour to himself, and as much advantage to the nation, as any other monarch that ever filled the English throne. But the means by which he attained that high dignity were altogether unjudifiable; and the deposition and murder of his lawful sovereign and near relation will ever remain an indelible stain in the men.orv of this prince.

9. Had he any children?

A. By his first wife, Mary de Bobun, daughter and heir of the earl of Hereford, he had four fons, Henry, his fuccesfor on the throne; Thomas, duke of Clarence; John, duke of Bedford; and Humpbrey, duke of Gloucester : and two daughters.

ters, Blanche and Philippa; the former married to Lewis Barbatus, Elector Palatine of the Rhine; the latter to Eric, king of Denmark and Norway. His fecond wife, Jane, whom he married after he was king, and who was daughter to the king of Navarre, and widow of John V. duke of Britanny, brought him no issue.

2. What eminent men flourished under this reign ? A. William of Wickham, bishop of Winchester, Sir Robert Knolles, and Sir Richard Whittington, lord mayor of London, dittinguished themselves greatly by their works of charity and their public foundations. Geoffrey Chaucer and John Gover rendered themselves famous for their poetry; and are justly considered as the first reformers of the English language.

# CHAP. XXXII.

# HENRY V. Surnamed of Monmouth.

# XXXIII4 King of England.

#### From 1413 to 1422.

King of Denmark and Swe-TOHN XXIII MARTIN V 1417 ERIC Emperor of the East. King of Portugal. EMANUEL II. TOHN I. Emperor of the West. King of Scotland. SIGISMUND King of France. ROBERT III. 1390 CHARLES VI.

2. TATHO fucceeded Henry IV? A. Henry V. his eldest son, born at Monmouth in 1388, and declared prince of Wales, or heir apparent of the crown of England in 1399. He begun his reign in

2. How did he pass the earlier part of his life? A. In a very diffolute manner; for being prevented, by the jealoufy of his father, from engaging either in civil af-HA

fairs, or in military enterprizes, his active spirit broke out into a number of extravagances, which were altogether inconssistent with his high shation. It is even faid, that, among other pranks, he attacked the passengers on the streets and high-ways, and robbed them of their property. One of his chief companions in these frolicks was Sir John Follfoff, a gallant captain of that age; upon which Sokelfeer has sounded the samous character of Sir John Fallfoff, though in this, as well as in many other inflances, he has departed greatly from the truth of history.

2. Did not Henry, amidst all his irregularities, give a fig-

nal proof of his submission to the laws?

A. Yes, for having infulted Galciagna, the chief juttice, while he was prefiding on the bench, that magistrate ordered him to be carried to prison for his rude behaviour; and Hanry, to the joy and surprize of all the spectacors,

fubmitted quietly to the fentence pronounced against him.
2. How did he behave after his ascending the throne?

A. He became at once, from a prolligate prince, a most excellent fovereign; called together his former companions, acquainted them with his intended reformation, exhorted them to imitate his example, but frielly forbat them, till hely had given proofs of their fincerity in this particular, to appear in his prefence; and he then difmiffed them with liberal orefents.

Q. Did he adhere to this wife resolution ?

A. With the greatest steadiness during the whole course of his reign.

2. How did he conduct himself with regard to ecclesiastical affairs?

M. He judged it neceffary to check the enthusiasm of the Lallard or Wichissian, whole principles were at that time deemed dangerous to the civil government. Accordingly, Sir John Oldiaslit, Lord Cobbam, a nobleman of great merit and popularity, and one of the chief of these fectaries, was frized by the king's order, and imprisoned in the Towor. He citaped from thence, and endeavoured to raise an insurrection; but being afterwards retaken, he was hanged as a traitor, and his body burned for herefy. This man, who had, in various parts of his life, given signal proofs of courage and intrepidity, supported the last scene with his





usual fortitude, and died with great constancy. He was the first, among the English nobility, who suffered upon a religious account.

2. Had Henry any wars to maintain?

A. He was engaged in a war against France, and one that was attended with the most surprizing success.

2. What gave occasion to this war i

A. Henry demanded of Charler VI. king of France, the relitution of all those provinces which his ancestors had possessed in that kingdom; and upon Charler's refusing to comply with his request, he renewed the claim which Edward III. had hald to the crown of France.

2. How did he support this clair

A. He landed at Harfter on the 14th of Jayoff, 1415, with an army of 30,000 men; - and having, after a thort free, reduced that place, he peopled it with Englify. During this frees, above half his forces were either out off by the Fretch, or finathed away by various diseases.

2. What enterprize did he undertake next?

A. As the feafon was now far advanced, he refolved to return to England, and with this view began his march for Calais; but he had no fooner croffed the Soume, than he observed a French army, amounting to upwards of 60,000 men, drawn up before him in the plains of Lincourt, and determined to oppose his passage.

2. What did he do in this extremity?

A. He offered to reftere the town of Harfhur, provided he might be allowed to continue his much to Caluin; but this propofal being rejected, the two armies came to an engagement on the 2xth of O.32ber, when, after a vigorous fittingle, the English obtained a complete victory. Above ten thousand French are faid to have fallen in this battle, whereas the loss of the English off on texceed five hundred men, among whom were the duke of Tirk and the earl of Suffelk. In the heat of the affine, then years from the calculation of the crown, which he wore upon his helmet; but the king returned the blow in fuch a manner as laid his enemy breathlefter at his feet. During this engagement, the English were affalted with fich a violent defentery, that they are faid to have fought without breeches, in order to fave themselves the thoulke of naturaling.

2. What use did Henry make of this victory?

A Instead of improving his advantage against the enemy, he continued his march towards Calais, and from thence went over to England.

2. When did he renew his attempts against France?

A. In 1417, he invaded that kingdom afresh with an army of 25,000 men; and meeting with no considerable opposition, he soon reduced Falais, Cherburg, Eureux, Carn, and Pont & FArche; he took Rouen after an oblinate sege; and before the close of 1419, he made himself malter of the whole province of Normanify, two hundred and fifteen years after that country had been wrested from king John by Phi-lip Angulau, and re-united to the crown of France.

2. Did not several circumstances contribute to facilitate

the progress of the English arms?

A. The violent diffensions which prevailed in the French ministry, particularly between the dukes of Burgundy and Orleans, and queen Islabella and her son Charles, the dauphin, tended greatly to this purpose.

2. What was the confequence of all Henry's successes?

A. He obliged the enemy to agree to a treaty, which was very advantageous to him, and which was concluded at Trore in Champagne, on the 20th of May, 1420.

2. What were the terms of this treaty?

A. It was fipulated, that Hary floud efpouse the princess Catharine, daughter to Charla VI: that this last monarch thould, during his life time, enjoy the title and dignity of king of France: that Harry floud be declared and acknowledged heir of the monarchy, and beentrulled with the present administration of the government: that that kingdom floud la past to his heirs whatsever; and that both kings should unite their arms to Subdue the adherents of Charles, who was called the pretended dauphin.

2. Was this treaty duly executed?

A. Henry espoused the princess Caulsurins, was recognized regent by the states of the kingdom, and was put in possible from of the city of Paris, which he secured with a good garrison. And though the dauphin strongly opposed his arms, and even, by the affiliance of some Scatish auxiliaries under the earl of Buchan, defeated his troops at Bange, where the dake of Clarence, his brother, was slain, 'its more than pro-

ble-

bable, that Henry would have maintained the destination which his father-in-law had made in his favour, had he not been carried off by a bloody flux, at Bois de Vincennes, on the 31st day of August, 1422, in the thirty-fourth year of his age, and the tenth of his reign.

2. How long did Henry's father-in-law survive him? A. Only fifty days; and the death of that prince produced an entire revolution in the affairs of France.

2. Describe the person and qualities of Hinry.

A. His stature was fomewhat above the middle size; his countenance beautiful; his limbs genteel and flender, but full of vigour. He excelled in all warlike and manly exercifes. He was hardy, patient, laborious, and more capable of enduring cold, hunger, and fatigue, than almost any foldier in his army. Religious without fuperstition, just without rigour, and complaifant with a becoming dignity; he was alike qualified to engage the affections, and command the efteem of all around him. Not more tenacious of the prerogatives of his crown than tender of the privileges of his people, he enjoyed, during his whole reign, the most uninterrupted popularity. His abilities appeared equally in the cabinet and in the field; and the boldness of his enterprizes was no less remarkable than his personal valour in conducting them.

2. Had Henry any children?

A. By his queen Catharine of France he left only one fon, named Henry, whose misfortunes, in the course of his life, furpaffed all the glories and fuccesses of his father. Henry's widow, foon after his death, married a Welch gentleman, called Sir Owen Tudor, and faid to be descended from the ancient princes of Wal es : the bore him two fons, Eamund and Jasper, of whom, the eldest was created earl of Richmond; the fecond ear! of Pembroie. The family of Tudor, first raised to distinction by this alliance, mounted afterwards the throne of England.

# CHAP. XXXIII.

HENRY VI. Surnamed of Windsor,

# XXXIVth King of England.

From 1422 to 1461.					
Popes.		Kings of France.			
MARTIN V.	1417	CHARLES VII.			
EUGENIUS IV.	1431	Lewis XI.  Kings of Denmark			
NICHOLAS V.	1447	Sweden.	ana		
CALIXTUS III.	1455	ERIC	141		
	1458	CHRISTOPHER III.			
Emperors of the I		CHRISTIAN I. Kings of Portuga			
EMANUEL II.		John I.	138		
JOHN VII. CONSTANTINE III.		EDWARD	143		
Emperor,	1448	ALONSO V.	143		
Emperors of the V	Veft.	Kings of Scotland			
SIGISMUND		JAMES I.	139		
ALBERT II.		JAMES II.	143		
FREDERIC IV.	1440	JAMES III.	146		

2. WHO succeeded Henry V? A. Henry VI. his only fon, furnamed of

months old.

Windfor, from the place of his birth, being then but nine 2. Who was intrufted with the government of the king-

dom, during the minority of the infant prince?

A. John, duke of Bedford, his uncle, was appointed protector or guardian of the realm; and, in the absence of that prince, Humphrey, duke of Gloucester, his other uncle, was invested with the same authority; while the person and education of the young king was committed to Henry Beaufort, bishop of Winchester, his great-uncle, the legitimated fon of John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster. 2. What

2. What was the flate of this prince's dominions at the time of his accession?

A. England and Ireland enjoyed a profound tranquility; and the duke of Bedford, who commanded the English forces in France, feemed to be in a fair way of making an entire conquest of that kingdom. Young Henry had been recognized king of France as well as of England. Charles VII. indeed had succeeded his father on the 'th one of France; but he scemed altogether unable to maintain himself in that

2. Did the event answer these expectations?

A. The English defeated the French, first at Crevant, then at Verneuil, and afterwards in the battle of Herrings, and dispossessed them of so many fortresses, that Charles was almost driven to the other fide of the Loire; and had they been able to reduce Orleans, they would probably have obliged him to abandon the kingdom?

2. When did they lay siege to that city?

A. On the 12th of October, 1428, and carried on their attacks with fuch vigour and fuccess, that the place feemed just upon the point of being surrendered, when it was effectually faved by a most unexpected incident.

2. What was that?

A. One Joan & Arc, a country girl of twenty-feven years of age, born in the village of Domremi in Lorraine, and fervant in a small inn in that place, came to Chinon, where king Charles refided, and told him, that she was fent exprefly by heaven, in order to raife the fiege of Orleans, and afterwards to conduct him to Rheims, and cause him to be crowned in that city.

2. What credit did Charles give to her declaration?

A. 'Tis hard to fay, (fuch was the ignorance of the age) whether he was convinced of the truth of her mission, or only thought her a hot-headed enthuliast, who might be of some service to his cause; certain it is, he affected to believe her, especially as her pretensions were declared to be well-founded by an affembly of divines, and by the parliament of the kingdom. Accordingly, he gave the Maid of Orleans (for by that name the is known in history) a strong body of forces, at the head of which she penetrated through the English camp, entered Orleans in triumph, and having driven

driven the enemy from all their redoubts, in which engagements they loft about 6000 men, the compelled them, May 8th, 1429, to raise the siege with great precipitation. The defeat of the English was principally ascribed to the unaccountable panic with which they were feized, from the groundless apprehenfion that they were to encounter a witch.

2. What enterprize did the Maid of Orleans next under-

take?

A. She purfued the English with the utmost expedition; dispossessed them of Jergeau, Troye, Chalons, Laon, Soissons. and Provins; defeated them at Patay, and feveral other places; took Suffolk, Talbot, and Scales, their generals, prifoners; and having conducted Charles to Rheims, the caused him, agreeable to her promise, to be crowned in that city. In a word, the face of affairs between the two nations was now entirely reversed; and the French were become as formidable to the English, as the English had formerly been to the French.

9. What became of this heroine afterwards?

A. She was taken prisoner in a fally made upon the Englifb at the fiege of Compeigne the 25th of May, 1430, and being carried to Rouen, the was there tried by an affembly of French prelates, who condemned her to be burnt as a witch; and this fentence was accordingly executed in the market-place of Rouen, the 14th of June, 1431. Her death, however, produced no alteration in the state of affairs in France, as her enemies had at first imagined.

2. What steps did the duke of Bedford take in order to

check the progress of the French arms?

A. He did every thing that could be expected from the most accomplished general, and the most consummate politician. He held all the English garrifons in a posture of defence; he restrained the natives from breaking out into infurrections; he carried over young Henry to Paris, where he was folemnly crowned king of France in the church of Notre-Dame, the 17th of December, 1430; he reinforced his army with fresh levies from England and Ireland; and he renewed the alliance with the duke of Burgundy, whose friendship was of fo much importance. But that prince having foon after abandoned the English interest, and concluded a treaty with Charles at Arras, and the inhabitants of Paris returning EQ. to their allegiance under their natural fovereign : the English, from that moment, loft ground every day; and, to compleat their misfortunes, the duke of Bedford, the regent, a nobleman of great merit and popularity, died at Rouen the 14th of September, 1435.

2. Who succeeded him in the regency?

A. Richard, duke of York, who was foon after followed by the earl of Warwick, and this last by Edmund Beaufort, earl of Somerfet, during whose government the English lost all their possessions in France,

2. When were they loft?

A. Normandy was subdued in 1450, and Guienne in 1451, after this last province had been subject to England for upwards of three hundred years.

Q. Did not the English endeavour to recover Guienne?

A. Lord Talbot, the first earl of Shrewbury, was fent into it with a strong body of forces; he made himself master of Bourdeaux, and some other places; but having been defeated and killed near Castillon in 1453, the English were again expelled the province, and indeed were deprived of all their other possessions in France, except Calais, Guyens, and their dependencies.

2. Did the rest of Henry's reign pass in tranquility?

A. No; it was continually diffurbed by factions and infurrections, arifing partly from the ambition of the queen. partly from the discontents of the people, but chiefly from the pretentions of Richard, duke of York.

2. Who was Henry's queen ?

A. Margaret of Anjou, daughter of Regnier, titular king of Sicily, Naples, and Ferufalem. She was a princels of exquifite beauty, of a bold and daring genius, of an extensive capacity and folid understanding; and, besides, was endowed with fuch a masculine bravery and intrepidity, as would have reflected honour on the greatest captains of the age.

2. How did she behave after her arrival in England?

A. Having first obtained an entire ascendant over the weak mind of her husband, she took upon herself the administration of affairs, and entered into close connections with the bishop of Winchester, and the dukes of Somerset, Suffolk, and Buckingham, who were all of them mortal enemies to the good Humpbrey, duke of Gloucester.

2. How

#### 160 HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

2. How did they express their resentment against this

virtuous prince?

A. They fifth ad his wife tried for witchcraft, of which, it is fitd, the was found guilty, and file was accordingly condemned to do public pernance, and to fuffer perpetual banthment: they then cau'ed himself to be feized and affaffinated, on the groundless pretence of his having formed a defign against the life of his lovereign. Several other perforal likewise were accorded as his accomplices, and were condemned to be hanged, drawn, and quartered. They were hanged, and cut down; but just as the executioner was proceeding to quarter them, their pardon was produced, and they were recovered to life. A striking instance of the barbarity of the age!

A. William de la Pole, duke of Suffale, was advanced to the dignity of prime miniter; but this nobleman having from after fallen a facrifice to popular fary, his place was fupplied by Edmund Benufers, duke of Sumer's a man, if possible, fill more odlous to the English nation, because, during his re-

gency, they had loft all their possessions in France.

2. What was the confequence of thefe violent measures? A. The people were inflamed with fact a fpirit of discontent, that they role up in arms under one Tohn Code, an active of Ireland, who afformed the name of John Marsine, a circumfunce that revived the pretentions of the Took family.
2. What were the pretentions of Richard, duke of York?

A. He was fon to kichard, earl of Cambridge, beheaded for rebellion in 1415, and grandion to Edmand de Langidg, dake of Farb, the fifth fon of Edward III. He was likewife, by the mo.her's fide, follo heir of the house of Maritime, or Marche, which house defended from Liouzel, duke of Clarnies, fecond fon of Edward III. and elder brother to John of Caust, duke of Lansaffer, from whom the prefent king was figung. Thus, in right of his father, he was first prince of the blood; in right of his mother, he was true lineal heir to the crown.

Q. What fleps did he take to make good his pretentions? A. He collected a body of forces, and, without coming to an action, compelled the king to declare him protector; but being foon after deprived of this authority, he re-afternation. bled his troops, attacked and defeated the royal army at St. Alban's on the zad of Mop, 1455, took king Home prifoner, and caused himself to be reitored to the protectorship, not thinking it safe to proceed any farther.

2. How long did he continue in this high flation?

A. The queen, referring the indignity which had been offered to her hidband, allembled, in a little time, a good body of troops, marched forth against the duke and his adherents; and after lessing two battles, the first at blore-braid, the 21 of September, 1450, the second at Northompton, the 1-th of July, 1460: the attacked and defeated the Torkilla at Westphill, the 14th of December of the same year, kill, the 14th of December of the fame year, kill, who was then but seventeen years of age, and ordered their heads, together with that of the earl of Salishury, to be fixed on the walls of Yerk.

2. Did this victory put an end to the war?

A. No; Edward, earl of Marabo, eldelt fon to the late dake of Yirk, and who had fucceeded his father in that title, and Richard Nevil, the brave earl of Warwick, affembled the remains of the feattered army, with which they routed the royal forces at Mortinar's Croft; but this advantage was foon counter-balanced by a defeat they futlatined in a fecond battle which was fought as \$15. Alban's, where the Torkifts are faid to have loft above 2000 men, and the king fell again into the hands of his own prity. Novertheleft, Edward, having compelled the queen to retire into the northern countries, proceeded directly to Leadon, where, on the 5th day of March, 1451, he was proclaimed king of England.

2. How old was Henry at the time of his being dethroned? A. Thirty-nine years and about three months. By his queen Margaret he had only one fon, named Edward, who was in the ninth year of his age when his father was depoted.

2. What is the character of Henry?

A. He was a prince of the molt fimple and inoffensive manners, but possessed of such weak and narrow intellects as utterly disqualised him for the high station in which he was placed.

2. What were the most remarkable events that happened

in the other parts of Europe during this reign?

A. In 1453, Mabimet II. invested Confiantinople with an

army

army of 400,000 men, and after a fiege of fifty-four days, took it by affault. This put an end to the Grecian or eastern empire.

# HOUSE of TORK.

# EDWARD IV. XXXV th King of England.

## From 1461 to 1483.

L'opes.	Kings of Denmark and
Prus II. 1458	Sweden.
	CHRISTIAN I. 1448
SIXTUS IV. 1471	JOHN 1481
Emperor.	Kings of Portugal.
FREDERICK IV. 1440	ALONSO V. 1438
Lewis IX. 1461	JOHN II. 1481
Sovereigns of Spain.	King of Scotland.
FERDINAND and ISABELLA	JAMES III. 1460
* 1470	JAMES 121
14/9	

Q. D I D Edward enjoy his crown in tranquility?

A. No; queen Margaret having levied an army
of 60,000 men in the northern counties, began to advance
towards the fouth, but being met at Tauton by Edward and
the earl of Warnuich, at the head of 40,000 men, the two
armies came to an engagement, on the 20th day of May,
1,61, when, after a fence and bloody battle, in which that
are failed to have fallen above 56,000 men, Edward obtained
a complete victory, Margaret and her hulband being both
of them obliged to by into Seculand.

2. What reception did they meet with in that kingdom?

A. A very favourable one; though this she purchased by delivering up Berwick to James III. king of Scots, who was

\* At this period, the crowns of Arrayse, Lees, and Coffile, were, united on the heads of Ferdinand and Ifabella, who assumed the title of king and queen of Spain.

then a minor.

163

2. Did the procure any affiltance from that prince?

A. She received a good body of troops, which having reinforced with a party of French, the entered Northumber-land in 1464.

2. What was the issue of this enterprize?

A. She was attacked at Hexbam, on the 15th of May, by John Nevil, baron of Montacute, who, though at the head of a much inferior army, entirely defeated her forces.

Q. What became of queen Margaret afterwards.

A. Her fate was very fingular: she fled with her son into a forest, where she endeavoured to conceal herself, but was befet, during the darkness of the night, by robbers, who stript her of her rings and jewels, and treated her with the utmost indignity. The division of this rich booty raised a quarrel among them; and while their attention was thus engaged, she took an opportunity of making her escape with her fon into the thickell of the forest, where she wandered for fome time, over-fpent with hunger and fatigue, and funk with terror and affliction. While in this wretched condition, fhe faw a robber approach with his naked fword; and finding no means of escape. she suddenly embraced the resolution of trufting entirely for her protection to his faith and generofity. She therefore advanced towards him, and presenting to him the young prince, called out to him, Here, my friend, I commit to your care the safety of your king's son. The man, who, notwithstanding his vicious course of life, had ftill some sentiments of humanity, was struck with pity for her unltappy condition, and vowed, not only to abstain from all injury against her person, but to devote himself entirely to her fafety. He accordingly concealed her for some time in the forest, and at last conducted her to the sea-coast; whence she made her escape into Flanders, and thence to the court of the duke of Amou, her father.

2. What became of king Henry, her husband?

A. He too endeavoured to conceal himself in England; but being discovered and seized, he was thrown into the Tower

2. Did this victory establish Edward in the quiet possession

of the throne?

A. It would probably have done so, had it not been for an improdent step which he soon after took.

2. What was that it.

A. His

A. His marriage with Elizabeth Widewille, widow of Sit John Gray, of Greby, and daughter to Jugueline de Luxemburg, dutches dowager of Besjörd, and Sir Richard Widewille, afterwards created earl Rivers and contlable of England.

2. What gave occasion to this marriage?

A. Edward having gone to pay a viiit to the dutchest downger of Busped, was to thruck with the beauty of her daughter Elizabeth, that, finding it impossible to couguer his pallion, or to gratify it in an unlawful manner, he immediate viriled her to his bed and throne.

2. What rendered this marriage so imprudent?

A. The difgust which it gave to the nobility in general, and particularly to the earl of Warwick, to whom Edward owed, in a great measure, his advancement to the throne.

2. How did it difguft the nobility in general?

A. They were provoked to see all power and credit en-

A. They were provoked to fee all power and credit engroffed by the queen and her relations, and themselves and their friends entirely excluded

2. How did it dignit the earl of Warevick in particular the A. That nobleman had, a little before, been fent by Edward to Paris, in order to demand for him in marriage Jena of Sweep, fifter in-law to Lewis XI. of France; but just as the naptials were upon the point of being concluded, Edward, who had now efpound Elizabeth Wilewille, fent orders to the earl to break off the freaty; a circumflence, which provoked Warevick to fach a degree, that he refolved to take vengeance for the affiost put upon him. With this view, he entered into an affociation with the marquis of Montaeute, and the archbifthop of York, his brother, and with the duke of Clarace, his fon-in-law, and brother to king Edward.

2. What steps did the confederates take in order to exe-

cute their project?

A. "Fis difficult to fay what were the fift meafures they embraced. There is no part, indeed, of the English history fo obtcure as that of the war between the two kojis (for fo the war between the house of York and Lancaffer was called, the former having assumed the White Fock, and the latter the Red, as badges of distinction.) Historians, in particular, have fallen into the grossest miskes at this very period.





2. What are these mistakes?

They fay, that in 1459, Edward was taken prisoner by Clarence and Warnick, and was committed to the cuft tody of the archbishop of Tork; but being allowed to take the diversion of hunting by this prelate, he made his escape, and afterwards chazed the rebels out of the kingdom. But that all this story is falle appears evidently from Rymer, one of the best authorities of that age.

2. What is the most probable account?

A "Tis certain, that in 4470, Warsuick and Clarine, railed troops in their own name, and iffued a declaration against the government; but not being joined by the other confederates, they were obliged to dislove their army, and to fly into France.

2. Did this difuppointment effectually ruin their feheme? A. No; they returned towards the latter end of the fame year, and having affembled an army 60,000 flrong, they compelled king Edwards to By into Hilland, and rellored Heary to the throne on the 14th day of Oxfebr. They then fummoned a parliament, in which Edward was declared an utopper; he and his adherents were attainted, and all their

offessions confiscated

2. Did affairs remain long in this fituation?

A. King Edward returned to England on the asth day of March, 1471, and landing at Ravenjue in Torofibrie with a body of 2000 mer, he was from able to levy an army, with which he encountered Warwick at Barn 1 on the 14th of Artl 16th and 18th and

2. Did the Lancastrians make no farther attempt to re-

trieve their affairs ?

A. Queen Margaret was fill at the head of a powerful army, commanded by her fon, prince Edward, a promifing youth of eighteen years of age, the duke of Sumerful, the earls of Devenfoire and Pembrake, the lords Wenlock and St. John, and other perfons of dillindion.

2. What fuccess did they meet with?

A. On the 4th day of May, they were attacked by Edward near Tewkellary, and entirely defeated. Edward, prince of Wales, with the queen his mother, were made prioners; the earl of Dewaybire and lord Weulock were killed in the battle; the duke of Som yfet was taken and beheaded; and the earl of Pembraks, with his nephew, the young earl of Richmond, was obliged to 8th into Brittany.

2. What became of the royal family after this disfalte? A. The prince of Wales was barbaroully murdered by king Edward's brother, the duke of Glouesfler, and others: queen Margaret was thrown into the Tewer, and detained in that prison till the year 14.75, when the recovered her liberty, at the intercession of Lewis, king of France, who engaged to pay 50,000 crowns for her ransom. As to king Hamy himself, he died in the Tower, immediately after the battle of Tewhyliwy. His death was sudden, and, asis generally supposed, violent: the common opinion was, that

the duke of Gloucester killed him with his own hands.

2. Was king Edward latisfied with these facrifices?

A. No; as he was of a cruel disposition, he put to death

a great number of gentlemen (fome fay, no lefs than 1400) for adhering to the interest of the Lamaghrian party, and, to complete the measure of his savage barbarity, he caused his own brother, the duke of Clarmee, to be thrown into

the Tower, and drowned in a butt of malmfey.

2. For what reason?

A. Because he had spoke, in the heat of passion, with too much freedom against the measures of the government, and had even restlected on Educard's legitimacy. Add to this, that he had incurred the hatred of his filter-in-law, queen Elizabeth, and of his brother, the duke of Glacesser, who, as he aspired to the crown after the death of king Edward, now took this method of preparing his way for the attainment of that high dignity.

2. Did Edward perform any other memorable actions?

A. He obliged James III. king of Scots, to deliver up
Berwick, which Hony VI. had put into his hands; and he

afterwards invaded France with a powerful army.

Q. What fucces did he meet with in this enterprize? A. It was very unequal to the expence of the expedition; for the count de St. Pol, constable of France, who had promised mifed to affil him, having broke his word, and Charles, duke of Bargandy, who had likewife engaged to join him, having failed in his promife, Edward was easily perfuaded to agree to a peace; the first overtures of which were made by Leguis XI. and which was concluded in their interview at Pequipal, the 29th of Auguifs, 1475. The principal articles of it were, that Leeuis flould immediately pay to Edward, the fum of 75,000 crowns; that he flould continue, for the future, during the term of their joint lives, to pay him an annuty of 50,000 crowns; and that the dauphin, when of age, flould marry Edward's eldeft daupher.

2. Did Lewis perform these articles?

A. No; upon which Edward began to prepare for a fecond invation of France; but just as he was upon the point of fetting out on the expedition, he was feized with a violent fever, which put a period to his life on the oth of Api-1,483, being the forty-fecond year of his age, and the twenty-third of his reien.

2. What is the character of Edward IV?

A. He was a prince of the most elegant person and infinuating address; his courage was greater than his prudence, and his good fortune greater than both: in times of prosperity, he devoted himself entirely to his pleasures; in adverse circumstances, no man was capable of exerting greater spirit, vigour, and activity: in nine battles, where he sought in person, he was victorious in all: but, as he was of a cruel and vindictive temper, the laurels, which he gained in the field, were shained and disgraced by the streams of noble blood, which he shed upon the seaffold.

2. How many children had Edward?

A. By his queen Elizabeth, he had three fons and feven daughters, viz. Edward, who fuceceded him on the throne; Richard, duke of Tirk; Conge, who died in his infancy; Richard, died in his infancy; Richard, died in his infancy; Bitsabeth, afterwards married to Henry VII. king of England; Many, betwothed to the king of Domands, who died an infant; Anderson of the Miller Many of the Manyard, who died an infant; Anderson married to Sir John Kyne; Manyard, who died an infant; Anderson, married to Teams Heuward, duke of Narfolk; Bridget, who took the well; and Catherine, married to William Catherine, seried to William Catherine, seried to William Catherine, seried to William Catherine, seried to William Catherine, married to William Catherine, married

nabeth Plantagenet, married to Thomas lord Lumley; another Elizabeth, by Catharine Clowenger; and Ijabel Mylbery, married to a brother of lord Auceley.

2. Had not Edward several mittresses?

Z. Yes; but he was particularly enamoured of three, of whom Jan: Shore was the chief. The first (the faid) was the merriest woman in the world, the second the most witty, and the third the most holy, because she never stirred out of church, except when he sent for her.

### CHAP. XXXV.

# EDWARD V. XXXVI's King of England.

2 HO fucceeded Edward IV?

A. Edward V. his eldeft fon, who began his reign in 1483, being then only twelve years of age.

2. How long did he reign?

A But two months and fixteen days; both himself and his brother being barbaroully murdered by their uncle, the protector, Richard, duke of Glaucester, who afterwards usurped the throne.

Q. What steps did Gloucester take in order to effect his

wicked purpose?

A. He first engaged in his interest H ney Stafford, duke of Buckingham, a man of ample possessions, of great alliances, and of shining parts, but of a profilingate and abandoned character. He then put to death lord Hastlings, the chamber of the stafford shadows the shadows are supported by the shadows and the shadows are supported by the shadows are supported by





berlain, because he refused to concur in his measures. And he asted the same part towards the earl of Rivers, the king's uncle, Sir Richard Gray, his maternal brother, and Sir John Vaughan.

Q. What did the queen do upon receiving the news of

these violent proceedings?

A. She took fanchuary in Wolmington Abboy, with heriton, the duke of York, and all his other children; but being throughy folicited to deliver up the duke, and even threatened with violence in cafe of refuils, the what furrendered him, though with extreme reluctance, into the hands of the minitlers.

2. How did Gloucester dispose of the two princes?

A. He carried them to the Tower, where the kings of England used commonly to reside before their coronation; but he had no fooner lodged them in that fortress, than he determined at once to accomplish his scheme.

2, In what manner?

A. He fpread a report, to the difgrace of his mother, who was fill alive, and was a prince of the molt irreproachable virtue, that the late king, and the duke of Clauence, his brother, were the fruit of her unlawful amours; that he hintelf was the only legitimate fon of the duke of Fork, and ought therefore to fucceed him; and he further added, that the princes, his nephews, were either spurious, or of very doubtful birth.

2) Did he use any particular means to inforce this topic. A. He employed Dr. Sabaro, the mayor's brother, to preach on the subject in St. Paul's church, boping, that when he himself entered the affembly, he people would fainte him king; but, to his great surprize, they observed a profound sleence. He then ordered the duke of Buckling-kom to harangue the citizens, (who were affenbled by the mayor) in expectation, that, moved by his great's eloquence, they would proclaim him king; but this attempt proved as unsuccessful as the former. At last, some of the meanest apprentices, incited by the protector's and Bucking-tan's servants, raised a feeble cry of God Save King Reichard, and this was immediately laid hold of as a general and national content.

2. What did the protector's friends next do?

A. They repaired to Baynard's castle, where that prince refided, and made him a tender of the crown. At first he affected to reject the offer; but being strongly importuned by Buckingham and the mayor, he was at last persuaded to comply.

What became of the two young princes?

A. They were barbarously murdered a few days after

the coronation.

D. Relate the particulars of this horrid action.

A. Richard fent orders to Sir Robert Brakenbury, constable of the Tower, to put the princes to death; but that gentleman refufing to have any hand in the infamous office. Richard commanded him to refign the government of the Tower to Sir James Tyrrel, for one night only. This ruffian, choosing three affociates, Slater, Dighton, and Forrest, came in the night-time to the door of the chamber where the princes were lodged, and fending in the affaffins, he bid them execute their commissions, while he himself flaved without. They found the young princes in bed, and fallen into a found fleep: after fuffocating them with the bolfter and pillows, they flewed their naked bodies to Tyrrel, who caused them to be buried at the stair-foot, deep in the ground, under a heap of tiones. These circumstances were all confessed by the actors in the reign of Honry VII. and they were never punished for their crime. The bones of the princes were discovered in 1674, and were removed, by order of Charles II. to Westminster-Abbey, where they were interred among the remains of the English monarchs, A monument was afterwards erected to their memory.

### C H A P. XXXVI.

# RICHARD III. furnamed Crook-back,

## XXXVIIth King of England.

## From 1483 to 1485.

SIXTUS IV. 1471	King of I	Denmark and
INNOCENT VIII. 1484	Јони	1481
FREDERIC IV. 1440		Portugal.
King of France. CHARLES VIII. 1482	JOHN II.	1431
Sovereigns of Spain. FERDINAND and ISABELLA		Scotland.
TERDINAND AND ISABELLA	JAMES III.	1460

2. W HAT were the first acts of Richard the Third's

A. The bestowing favours upon those who had helped him to usurp the crown, particularly on the duke of Bucking-bam, who obtained possession of the whole Hereford estate.

2. Did harmony long subfift between the king and this

powerful subject ?

A. No; Buckingbam, being offended at fome flight he had received from Richard, concerted a Cheme for depoting that tyrant, and raifing to the throne Henry, earl of Richmond, who was then in Bretagne.

2. From whom was the earl of Richmond sprung?

A. He was the fon of Margaret, countels of Richmond, grand-daughter of John of Ganut, duke of Lamagher, by a spurious line, but legitimated by all of parliamet; and as all the legitimate branches of the Lamaghrian race were now extinct, Richmond was thus the fole representative of that royal family.

2. What was the nature of Buckingbam's scheme?

A. The chief circumstance of it was, that Richmond should espouse the prince's Elizabeth, eldest daughter of Edward IV, by which means the titles of York and Lancaster would be happily united.

2. Did this scheme prove successful ?

A. It did in the end, though it at first met with a very untoward accident.

2. What was that ?

A. King Richard having difcovered the plot, fummoned the duke of Buckingham to repair to court, when that nobleman, instead of obeying the orders, assembled his forces; but being deserted by his army, he took refuge in the house of an old servant of his, named Banusler, who betrayed him; after which he was carried to Sbrewsshury, and there beheaded.

2. What did the earl of Richmond do upon receiving the

news of this difafter?

A. Not in the least discouraged by this intelligence, he resolved immediately to come over to England. With this view, he procured a body of 2000 onen from Charles VIII. of France, to whose court he had gone; and setting fail from Harstew on the 30th of Tuly, 1485, he landed, after a sife passage, at Missing-Hawan in Water.

2. What reception did he there meet with?

A. He was infantly joined by a good body of Welfs forces under the command of Sir Thomas ap Rice. The Jord Standing too, and his brother Sir William, raifed an army of 7000 men, with which they proposed to assist him.

2. How did the king act when he heard of this invafion? A. He immediately marched out to encounter the enemy, and coming up with them at Befourth near Litighte, on the 22d of August, 1482, a battle enfued, in which king Richard's army was routed, and himself 10th his life.

2. What became of his body?

A. Being found among the dead, flark-naked, and covered with wounds, filth, and blood, it was thrown carelefly across a horfe, was carried to Leicofer, amidst the shous of the inflying jeechators, and was privately inserted in the Gray-Frary' church of that place; though

Menry, in respect to his family, ordered a monument to be creeted over his grave.

Q. What is the character of Richard?

A It is but too evident from the history of his reign. He was one of the most cruel, treacherous, and blood-thirsty tyrants that ever digraced the English throne. Nor were the members of his body less deformed than the qualities of his mind. His stature was small, his afpect cloud, severe, and forbidding; one of his arms was withered, and one shoulder higher than the other, whence he acquired, the surmane of Greek-back. It must be owned, however, that he had great personal courage; possessed an uncommon degree of sagacity and penetration; and caused justice to be exactly administered, except where his own interest was concerned.

2. Was Richard ever married?

A. Yes; to Janu, fecond daughter of Richard Newil, the great earl of Warwick; the died in 1484, of poilon, as is fuppoied, given her by her hufband. Richard had by her one lon, named Edward, whom he created prince of Walex, and who died a little before his mother. He had likewife two illegitimate children, John of Gluzeffer, and Catharine, married to William, earl of Hunington.

Q. Did not Richard inflict some severe punishment on

Jane Shore ?

A. He accused her of having practised against his life by forcery and witchcraft; but finding it impossible to convict her of this crime, he ordered her to be tried in the spiritual court, for her adulteries and lewdness; and she accordingly did penance at St. Paul's church, in a white sheet, and with a burning taper in her hand, before the whole people.

## 474 HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

### C H A P. XXXVII.

# UNION of the Houses of YORK and LANCASTER.

Or Kings of the Family of TUDOR.

HENRY VII. XXXVIIIth King of England.

### From 1485 to 1509.

Popes.		Sovereigns of S	pain.
INNOCENT VIII.	1484	FERDINAND and I	SABELLA
ALEXANDER VI.	1492		1479
Pius III.	1503	King of Denma Sweden.	rk and
Julius II.	1503	Sweden.	
Emperors.		JOHN	1481
FREDERIC IV.	1440	Kings of Port	ugal.
MAXIMILIAN I.	1493	JOHN II.	1481
Kings of Franchick CHARLES VIII.	ce.	EMANUEL	1495
	1483	Kings of Scotl	and.
LEWIS XII.	1498	JAMES III.	1460
		JAMES IV.	1489

# 2. WHAT was the confequence of the battle of

A. The earl of Richmond, immediately after the action, was faluted king by his whole army; and this title he thenceforth assumed under the name of Henry VII. He began his reign in 1485.

Q. What pretentions had he to the crown?

A. He was (as I have observed in the preceding chap-

A. He was (as I have observed in the preceding chapter) the fole reprefentative of the house of Lancagir; and by his marriage with the princes Elizabeth, cledit daughter of Edward IV, which he celebrated foon after his coronation, he united in his posterity the titles of the two rival families.

2. Did not Henry introduce a novelty at his coronation?

. He

A. He inflituted a body-guard of fifty archers, called yeomen, to be in continual attendance upon his person; and this band has been kept up by all his fuccessors, who have encreased the number to one hundred, besides seventy supernumeraries; and when any one of the hundred dies, his place is filled up from among the seventy.

2. Did Henry enjoy a peaceable reign?

A. No; it was continually diffurbed by factions and infurrections, which were chiefly fomented by the friends of the York party, who employed, as their influenents, two adventurers, called Lambert Simmel, and Perkin Warbeck.

2. Who was Lambert Simnel?

A. He was a young fludent in the univerfity of Oxford, and fon to a baker. As he was a youth of bright parts, and genteel addrefs, and refembled extremely the young earl of Warwick, (Ion to the late duke of Clarency) who was imprifoned in the Tower, it was determined to make him perfonate that nobleman. For this purpole, one Richard Simon, a pried of Oxford, a man of fome fubtlety, and fill more ambition, undertook to infruch him. When he was thought to be properly qualified for the part he was to act, he was carried over, by his tutor, to Ireland, where he was inflantly recognized as seri of Warwick's and the people, who adored the memory of Clarence, who had been their lord-lieutenant, not fatisfied with this mark of attachment to his fuppoled fon, proceeded immediately to crown him king of Expland, at Christ-Church in Dublin.

2. Did not this declaration of the Irifb in his favour

procure him fome other friends?

A. He was acknowledged by Margarer of Fork, dutches, dowager of Burgundy, and filter to Edward IV. a profield enemy to the house of Lancaster, who sent him a body of 2000 German; and he was Ioon after joined by John dt lancaster, but the Lancaster, distributed to the carlot Supplik, and to lancaster, eldeft filter of Edward IV.) as also by the lord Landa distributed to the carlot supplies and the landa distributed to the landa distributed to

2. How did he improve these advantages?

A. Finding himself at the head of a considerable army, he passed over to Englans in 1487, but being encountered by the king's forces, at a village called Stoke in Nottinghamssire, his troops were entirely routed, and himself and

his tutor taken prisoners. The tutor was committed to close custody, and was never heard of more. As to Simnel himself, he was too contemptible to excite either the apprehension or refentment of Henry. He was therefore pardoned, and made a fcullion in the king's kitchen, whence he was afterwards advanced to the rank of a falconer.

Q Who was Perkin Warbeck?

A. He was the fon of one Ofbeck or Warbeck, a converted Yew of Tournay, and of Catharine de la Fare, who, having come over to England about some business in the reign of Eaward IV. had there this child born to them, to whom they gave the name of Peter, corrupted, after the Flemish manner, into Peter-kin or Perkin. The youth fpoke the English language with great fluency, had an elegant shape, a beautiful countenance, a graceful air, and a courtly addrefs; in a word, was possessed of so many accomplishments, that it was refolved, by the friends of the York party, to pass him upon the world for Richard, duke of York, brother to Edward V. both of whom (we have obterved) were murdered in the Tower, by order of their uncle, Richard III. It was now pretended, however, that the affaffins, after putting to death the elder brother, were ftruck with fuch remorfe for the crime they had committed, that they fpared the life of the younger, and allowed him to efcape.

2. Who laid the plan of this imposture?

A. The dutchess dowager of Burgundy, who, rather irritated than discouraged by the bad success of Simnel's adventure, refolved to make one effort more for diffurbing the government of Henry VII. whom she mortally hated.

What steps did she take for this purpose?

A. She instructed Perkin in the part he was to act; and when she thought he was properly qualified, she fent him into Portugal, the better to conceal her defign from the world.

2. How long did he continue in that kingdom?

A. About a twelvemonth; at the end of which a war breaking out between Henry VII. and Charles VIII. of France, the latter invited Perkin to his court, where he was received as duke of York; but a peace being foon after concluded. Perkin was obliged to abandon that country. 2. Whither 2. Whither did he retire next?

A. He went to the dutches of Burgundy in Flanders, and craving her protection and affiftance, offered to lay before her all the proofs of his royal birth.

2 How did the dutchess behave upon this occasion?

A. She affected to be entirely ignorant of his pretentions ye even put on the appearance of diffruit; feemed to examine every circumfiance with the most feropulous nicety; affecd him a great many queflions; pretended attendiment at his answers; and, at last, after long and fevere ferutiny, burst out into joy and admiration at his wonderful delivery, embraced him as her nephens, the true image of £dward, the fole heir of the Plantagentts, and the levil intare fuscession of the Public throne.

2. Did she give him any affidance?

- A. She enabled him to make a defent, first in Kint, and afterwards in Ireland; but Perkin not succeeding in either of these enterprizes, retired, with a few followers, into Scalland.
- Q. What reception did he meet with in that kingdom §—A. A very favourable one. James IV. who then governed Searland, seems even to have believed the story of his birth, and gave him in marriage Carharine Gordon, daughter to the earl of Huntley, and a near relation of his own. He likewise milited him in making two invasions into Fundand; but both these attempts proving unfuccessful, James ordered Perkin to depart his kingdom; and concluded a peace in 1407.

2. Whither did the impostor next go?

A. Into Ireland; where hearing the year following, that inhabitants of Corwood had taken up arms, he repaired into that county, affembled a body of 3000 men, and laid fiege to Exeter; but being informed, that the king was advancing with an army, he fuddenly abandoned the enterprize, and took fancuary in the monaftery of Etuley, in: the New Forest.

2. What became of him after this?

A. He was perfuaded, upon promife of pardon, to leave the fanctuary, and was committed to clofe cuftody. He afterwards made his estape, and took refuge in the monaging of Shype: he was againataken prigners, and throwns

into the Tower; but having entered into a confpiracy with the earl of Warwick, (who was confined in the fame place) to effect his eleape, by murdering the lieutenant, Perkin was hanged at Tyburn, and the earl beheaded. Henry pretended, that he had facrificed this young nobleman merely to fatisfy the jealoufly of Ferdinand of Arragon, who refuced to bettow the infanta Carbarine, his daughter, on the prince of Wales, while Warwick remained alive. But this apology, inflead of leffening, ferved only to increase the odium of the action.

2. What other remarkable events happened in this

reign?

A. The people of the North, provoked at a tax which was imposed rather to gratify the king's avarice than to answer the demands of the government, role up in arms, and setting Sir John Egremons at their head, marched towards London; but they were vanquished by the earl of Surry, and forced to submit. Sir John Egremon fled into Britain; some of the other ringleaders were taken and executed. The inhabitants of Cornecual likewise raised an infarrection on the same account, elected lord Anally for their general, and advanced quite to Blackbands, where they were defeated. The people were dismissed without any unishment. Analers and two others were executed.

2. Had Henry any foreign wars to maintain?

A. He pretended to engage in a war against France, in order to prevent Charle VIII. from efposing the heirest of Brittany, and thereby acquiring possession of that rich dutchy. He actually went over to France, with an army of 17,000 men; but as his fole intention was to procure money from his parliament, he accepted of the first offers that were made him by Charles, and concluded a peace in 1402.

2. Of what disease did Henry die ?

A. Of a confumption, the 22d of April, 15c9, in the twenty-fourth year of his reign, and the fifty-fecond of his age. He was interred in Wellminfer Abboy, in a chapel which he himself had built for that purpose, and which is justly looked upon as the finest structure of the kind ia England.

Describe the person and qualities of Henry.

A. He was tall, strait, and well-shaped, though slender of a grave aspect, and saturnine complexion; austere in address, and referved in conversation, except when he had a favourite point to gain, and then no man was ever more eloquent or persuasive. He loved peace, without searing war; though filled with the continual fuspicions of his fervanta and ministers, he discovered no timidity either in the conduct of his affairs, or in the day of battle; and though often severe in his punishments, he was commonly less actuated by revenge than by the maxims of policy. He poffessed, in a peculiar manner, the art of turning all his domestic troubles, and all his foreign disputes, to his own advantage: hence he acquired the appellation of the English Solomon, and was univerfally allowed to be the wifest crowned head at that time in Europe. It must be confessed, however, that, with all his good qualities, he was infatiably covetous; and this passion he gratified always at the expence of his people's happiness, sometimes to the endangering of his own peace and tranquility.

2. Who were the chief instruments of his extortions? A. Empson and Dudley, two attornies, who were brought to condign punishment in the ensuing reign.

2. How many children had he?

A. By his queen Elizabeth, of the house of York, eldes daughter of Edward IV. he had four fons, and as many daughters, viz. Arthur, who died before him, in the feventeenth year of his age; Henry, who succeeded him on the throne; and Edmund and Edward, who both died in their infancy. Of his daughters, Elizabeth and Catharine, died in their childhood; Margaret espoused James IV. king of Scotland; and Mary, who had been betrothed to Charles, archduke of Auftria, was married to Lewis XII, king of France: and after his death, to Charles Brandon, duke of

2. What were the most remarkable laws enacted in this

A. Many falutary statutes were made; but the most im . portant was, that, by which the nobility and gentry were allowed to break the ancient entails, and alienate their estates; by which means the great fortunes of the barons

were diminished, and the property of the commons considerably encreased.

2. Did not a strange disease break out in England about

this time?

A. The fweating-sickness prevailed, a malady unknown to any other age or nation, and which commonly carried off the patient in less than twenty-four hours, and made dreadful havock in London, and other parts of the kingdom. But after having raged for a few weeks, it gradually difappeared.

2. Did Henry leave any confiderable monuments behind

him?

A. Befides the chapel in Wellminster-Abbey, which I have already mentioned, he rebuilt, in 1408, the royal palace of Shene, which had been confumed by fire, and gave it the name of Richmand, which it still retains. He likewise founded a chapel in Windfor, and feveral monasteries of Dominicans and Franciscans. He turned into an hospital the palace of the Savoy, built under Henry III. by Peter, earl of Savoy: and he expended 14000 l. in building a large vessel, called the Great Harry, which was, properly speaking, the first ship in the English navy.

2. What other remarkable events happened in this

reign?

A. In 1402, Christopher Columbus, who was a Florentine, engaged in the fervice of the court of Spain, discovered the western world, or America. A few years after, Valouez de Gama, a Portuguefe, passed the Cape of Good Hope, and opened a new passage to the East-Indies. And in 1408, Sebastian Cabot, a Venetian, dwelling at Briftol, fet fail for the west, and discovered Newfoundland and North-America, from whence he brought home some native Indians.

### C H A P. XXXVIII.

# HENRY VIII. XXXIXth King of England.

### From 1500 to 1547.

		717
Popes.		Kings of Denmark and
Tulius II.	1503	Sweden.
Leo X.		John 1481
ADRIAN VI.	1522	() **
CLEMENT VII.		
	1523	Kings of Denmark.
PAUL III.	1534	FREDERICK I. 1524
Emperors.		CHRISTIAN III. 1533
MAXIMILIAN I.	1403	King of Sweden.
CHARLES V.	1519	GUSTAVUS VASA * 1522
Kings of France.		Kings of Portugal.
Lewis XII.	1498	
FRANCIS I.	1515	
		JOHN III. 1523
Kings of Spain.		Kings and Queens of Scotland.
FERDINAND	1479	JAMES IV. 1493
CHARLES I.	1516	JAMES V. 1514
		MARY 1542

2. WHO fucceeded Henry VIII?

A. Henry VIII. his only surviving son, who began his reign on the 22d of April, 1509, being then in the eighteenth year of his age.

2. What were the first acts of his government?

A. He immediately affembled his council, in order to deliberate whether he should confunmate his marriage with Carlbarine of Arragan (daughter of Ferdinand, king of Spain) who had formerly been married to his elder brotter, Arthur; and upon the death of that prince, which happened about fix months after his nuptials, had been betrothed to Henry, Pope Julius II. having granted the necessary dispensations.

<sup>\*</sup> At this period, Gustavus Vasa delivered Sweden from the Danish yoke, to which it had been so long subject; and, in reward of his ferjices, was raised to the throne of that kingdom.

Q. What was the occasion of this strange marriage?

A. It was owing to the avarice of Heary VII. who, rather than part with Catharine's dowry, amounting to 200,000 ducats, obliged his fecond fon to espouse that princes.

2. What was the opinion of the council?

A The majority declared for the completion of the marriage; though this measure was strongly opposed by Warham, archbishop of Cauterbury, who affirmed, that the marriage was not allowable by any laws, human or divine. His opinion, however, was over-ruled; the marriage was folemnized in the month of June, 1509; and the opposition of the archbishop served only as a handle for obtaining a divorce, as will be seen in the sequel.

2. Was Henry engaged in any wars?

A. In 1512, he entered into a confederacy with pope Julius II. the Spanierds, and Ventians, against Lewis XII. of France; but the king of Spain deceived him, and employed the English forces in the conquest of Navarra. Henry, however, made another league with pope Lax. the emperor Maximilian, and Ferdinand, king of Spain; but he was imposed upon a second time, and obliged to carry on the was fingly in Fizards, against the French.

2. Did he perform any memorable action there?

A. Not to mention the great honour he received by entertaining in his pay the emperor Maximilian, who inilited in his fervice, wore the cross of St. George, and accepted a hundred crowns a day, as one of his fubjects and capatians, he took Terouans, Tourney, and fome other places; and defeated the enemy in that engagement called the hat-tle of the Spurs, fought in the neighbourhood of Guingate, the 18th of August, 1413.

2. Why was it so called?

A. Because the French made more use of their spurs than of their swords or military weapons.

2. How was this war finished?

I le was this was minerally articles of in were, that Hony flood I receive a million of crowns to indemnify him for his expences; and that his filter, Mary, though only fixteen, though only fixteen, though only fixteen, though of the French monarch, who was to have the control of the manner of the manner of the manner.

the fifty-third year of his age. The marriage accordingly was folemnized, and confummated about two month after, 2. Did Henry live in peace with France during the re-

maining part of his reign?

A. He declared war against that kingdom in 1522; but it was not attended with any remarkable event. In 1544, having entered into a confederacy with the emperor Charles V. in order to attack France, he landed at Calais with an army of 20,000 men, and laying fiege to Boulogne, took it the 14th of September, by the cowardice of Vervins. the commander, who was afterwards beheaded for his pufillanimity. A peace, however, was concluded in 1546.

2. Had Henry any other wars to maintain?

A. The Scottifb king, James IV. having invaded England with an army 60,000 strong, was met near Flodden by the earl of Surry, at the head of 26,000 men, with which, on the 9th of September, 1513, he attacked the enemy, threw them into confusion, killed their king, and obtained over them a complete victory.

2. Was not the war with Scotland renewed?

A. James V. had promifed to come to York, and there confer with his uncle, king Henry; but not having kept his word, Henry was so incensed at this mark of neglect, that he ordered his forces to march into Scotland; when the Scotch army flying, the English took a great number of prifoners. The king of Scotland was fo deeply affected with this loss, that he died of grief the 14th of December,

2. Was not Henry engaged in a dispute with the see of

A. Yes; and one that was attended with the most important confequences.

2. When did this dispute begin?

A. Not till the eighteenth year of his reign; for, in the beginning of it, Henry was so zealous for the papacy, that he wrote a book against Luther, concerning the seven sacraments; a performance, which gained him the title of Defender of the Faith, which was bestowed upon him by pope Leo X. in 1521; and this title has been retained by all his fucceffore

9. What gave occasion to the abovementioned dispute? A. The pope's refusit to gratify king Henry, with regard to the divorce from his queen Carbarine; a circumflance, which provoked that monarch to such a degree, that he threw off all dependence on the Romijo church,

9. What prompted Henry to feek for a divorce?

A. Some alledge, that he was troubled with scruples of conscience for having married his brother's widow, with whom, however, he had cohabited eighteen years, and had had by her three children: others pretend, that he was infligated to this measure by the advice of cardinal Wolfey, who wanted to revenge himself on the emperor Charles V. queen Catharine's nephew, who had twice disappointed him in his designs upon the papal chair: a third fet think, that Henry, who was naturally fickle, was very much tired of being fo long married to. one woman; and, befides, that he was defirous of having male iffue, all the children whom queen Carbarine bore him having died in their infancy, except one daughter. Perhaps all these motives concurred in determining Henry. to this measure; and he was farther actuated by a passion which he foon after conceived for Anne Bol rn. a young lady of the most amiable endowments. She was daughterto Sir Thomas Boleyn, and grand-daughter of the duke of Norfolk, and the earl of Ormand.

2. What steps did Henry take in order to accomplish

his purpose?

A. He applied for a divorce to pope Clement VII. who, it was generally imagined, would grant his demand, the rather as that pontif had been very ill afed by the emperoc-Clemetr V. who had feized his person, and thrown him into prison, after having caused Rome to be facked by his

2. Did the pope comply with his request?

A. He gave him at first some hopes of success, and evenndustied him privately to marry another wife, promising,
that when that step should be taken, he would ratify the
measure; but his scruples to dissolve a marriage of eightren years continuance, concluded by virtue of a dispestrong that the step of the step of the step of the step
diston from a former pope, and blessed with three children, together with the scar of exasperating still farther so
forms.

formidable an enemy as the emperor Charles; these considerations induced his holiness to alter his resolution. Nevertheless, he granted a commission to cardinal Campengio. an Italian, and to cardinal Wolfer, Henry's prime minuter and favourite, to hold a court in England, and to judge of the affair.

2. What decision did these prelates give?

A. After keeping the matter, for some time, in suspence, Campergio, who acted as the principal judge, prorogued the court, and the pope foon after evoked the cause to Rome.

2. Was not king Henry incenfed at this flep?

A. So much, that he threatened to break off all connection with the Romifs church. In the mean time, by the advice of Dr. Thomas Cranmer, a fellow of Jehrs College in Cambridge, he confulted all the universities of Europe with regard to the validity of his marriage; and these learned bodies agreed in declaring, that it could not be justified by any laws, human or divine. Encouraged by this decision in his favour, Henry privately, on the 14th of November, 1532, espoused Anne Boleyn, whom he had already created marchioness of Pembroke, and who was crowned the 1st of June, 1533. The king's marriage with Catharine of Arragon was annulled by a fentence pronounced the 23d of May of the same year, by Granmer, now raised to the archbishopric of Canterbury; Henry resolving to be no longer amused by the dilatory proceedings of the court of Rome.

2. What followed next?

A. Several flatutes were made, by which it was enacted. that, for the future, no person should appeal to the court of Rome in any cause whatsoever: but that all causes ecclefiastical should be determined by the prelates within the realm : that neither first-fruits, annates, nor St. Peter's pence, should be any longer paid into the Apostolic chamber; nor palls, nor bulls for bishopricks, nor dispensations of any kind, procured from the fee of Rome: and that whoever dared to infringe these statutes, should incur the penalties of the act of præmunire.

2. What did pope Clement do when he heard of these

proceedings?

A. He threatened Henry with the sentence of excommunication, unless that prince would acknowledge his fault. by taking back his wife, queen Catharine, and replacing every thing on its former footing. Nevertheless the French king, Francis I. interposed his good offices, and in the interview which he had with the pope at Marfeilles, he prevailed on his holiness to suspend the excommunication till fuch time as he should have used his influence with the Englifb monarch, in order to make him submit to the papal

2. Did his endeavours prove successful?

A. John de Bellay, bishop of Paris, whom he sent over to London for that purpose, executed his commission with fo much prudence, that Henry promised not to separate himfelf from the church of Rome, provided the pope would delay the excommunication. Bellay, having carried this point, immediately fet out for Rome, in order to persuade the pope 10 agree to this condition.

2. Did he confent to it?

A. Yes; but infifted that king Henry should fign a written agreement to the fame purport; and he fixed a day for the return of the courier, who was dispatched to England for this inftrument; but the messenger not returning at the time appointed, and news being brought to Rome, that a farce had been acted before Henry in derifion of the pope and cardinals, Clement entered the confistory in a rage, and by a precipitate fentence, confirmed the marriage of Henry with Catharine, and declared that prince to be excommunicated, in case he refused to adhere to it. This sentence was pronounced the 23d of March, 1534.

2. What was the consequence of this violent measure? A. It proved fatal to the interests of the Romish church; for though, upon the arrival of the courier, which happened two days after the passing of the sentence, the pope repented heartily of his hasty proceedings, he found it would be impossible to appeale king Henry, who now threw off all dependence on the fee of Rome, and resolved to abolish the papal authority in England. With this view he affembled a parliament, which declared the king supreme bead on earth of the church of England; gave him a right to the first-fruits and tenths of benefices, which had formerly merly been paid to the court of Rome; granted him the power of nominating to bifnoprics; fubjected monafteries to his vifitation and government; moderated the law for punifhing heretics; and likewife passed another act, depriving all persons charged with treason of the privilege of fanctuary.

Q. What was Henry's next measure?

A. He profecuted fuch as refused to acknowledge his fupremay; particularly, the learned and virtuous Sir Toemas More, late lord chancellor, and John Fisher, bilings of Rochester, who had been the king's tutor, and just advanced to the dignity of a cardinal; both of whom were, for this inflance of contumacy, condemned and beheaded.

2. How did the court of Rome receive the news of these

proceedings ?

A. Paul III. who had now fucceeded Clement VII. on the papal throne, was fo enraged at the execution of Fifber, that he cited Henry to appear at Reme, within ninety days, in order to answer for his crimes; and no regard being paid to this fummons, he lifted the fenence of excommunication against that monarch, and caused it to be fixed up in all the usual places. This event happened in 1535; and thus the church of England was finally delivered from its flavish subjection to the fee of Rems.

2. How did the people of England relish these innova-

tions ?

A. The laivy in general, and even the fecular clergy, were very well pleafed with them; but the monks, who forefaw their own ruin as the neceffary confequence of these alterations, raised such a furious outery against the king, that they insligated the populace to make three insurections, which, however, were soon suppressed, and some of the rineleasers severely nunshed.

the ringleaders severely punished.

2. What were Henry's religious principles?

A. In every thing, except that of acknowledging the fovereignty of the Roman pontiff, he was a most bigotted catholic; and he even caused several protestants to be burnt for embracing the new doctrines.

2. How many wives had Henry?

A. No less than fix.

2. Who was his first wife ?

A. Catharine of Arragon, whom we have already mentioned, and who brought the king three children, of whom the princess Mary alone survived. After the divorce, she retired to Kimbolton, in the county of Huntington, where she fived till the year 1536, when she died in the fiftieth year of her age.

2. Who was Henry's fecond wife?

A. Anne Bolyn, whom we have likewise mentioned, and who bore to the king the princess Elizabeth.

2. What became of this lady?

A. She fell a facrifice to the jealoufy of Henry; being beheaded on Tower Hill the 19th of May, 1:36. She was accused of incest with her brother, the viscount Rochford, as also of a criminal commerce with four of her own fervants: but no proof could ever be produced of the justice of this charge; and all impartial persons have agreed in believing her innocent.

2. Who was Henry's third wife?

A. Jane Seymour, daughter of Sir John Seymour, and maid of honour to the late queen. Henry married her the very day after the execution of Anne Boleyn; and this circumflance inclined most people to think, that it was merely to gratify this new passion that he had facrificed that unfortunate lady. Jane Seymour was delivered, the 12th of October, 1537, of a fon, named Edward, who fucceeded his father on the throne, and of whom his mother died in child-bed.

2. Who was Henry's fourth wife?

A. Anne of Cloves, fifter to the duke of Cleves. This lady had been represented to Henry as one of the most beautiful women of the age; but the first fight he got of her he was fo difgusted with her person, that he swore she was a great Flanders-mare, and that he could never bear her any affection. The marriage, however, was confummated, but was dissolved about five months after. Anne continued, nevertheless, to live in England till the day of her death. Q. Who was Henry's fifth wife ?

A. Caiharine Howard, niece to the duke of Norfolk, and coufin-german to Anne Bouleyn. As she was a lady of great beauty, she obtained an entire afcendant over the mind of the king: but her empire was of short duration. She was accused accused and convicted of adultery. Derbam, Mannock, and Culpepper, confessed, that they had often lain with her; and they were all three condemned and executed. The queen owned, that the had led a diffolute life before marriage; but denied, on her falvation, that she had ever defiled his majesty's bed. She was condemned, however, by bill of attainder, and was beheaded upon Tower-Hill, the 12th of February, 1542. The viscounters of Rochford, who had conducted her fecret amours, was executed at the fame time; and as this woman was known to be the chief inftrument who brought Anne Boleyn to her end, people were the more confirmed in the favourable fentiments which they had entertained of that unfortunate queen.

2. Who was Henry's fixth wife ?

A. Catharine Parr, relict of the lord Latimer. As the was a woman of spirit as well as beauty, she maintained to the last her influence over the king, though her attachment to the doctrine of Luther had once exposed her to the most imminent danger.

9 In what manner

A. She had been so imprudent as to express her dissent from the king in fome theological questions; and as this was a liberty which he would not allow to any person, not even to his own confort, he ordered the chancellor to draw up articles of impeachment against her; but Catharine, being privately informed of the matter, exerted herfelf with fo much prudence and address, that Henry was induced to revoke the order; and the death of that prince, which followed foon after, freed her from all future apprehensions of the like nature.

2. When did Henry die?

A. On the 28th day of January, 1547, in the fifty-fixth year of his age, and the thirty-eighth of his reign.

2. What were the qualities of Henry?

A. His conduct was fo different in the different periods of his life, that it is difficult to give a just description of his character, which may be best learned from his history, In his youth, he was fincere, open, gallant, and liberal; in his more advanced years, he became rapacious, arbitrary, froward, fretful, and fo cruel, that he feemed to delight in the blood of his fubjects. His arrogance and prefumption

prefumption rendered him a dupe to the flattery of his own courtiers, and to the artifice and intrigues of foreign princes. As he was but a fecond fon, and had originally no hopes of ascending the throne, he applied to his studies in his earlier years, with great industry and success; and had made confiderable proficiency both in philosophy and divinity. He was likewise perfectly well skilled in musick, as appears from some compositions of his, which are still extant. He was handsome in his person, but grew too corpulent in the latter part of his life.

Q. How many children did he leave behind him? A. Two daughters. Mary and Elizabeth; and one fon. named Edward. The first he had by Catharine of Arragon, the second by Anne Boleyn, and the third by Jane Seymour.

2. How did he fettle the fuccession?

A. He bequeathed the crown to his fon, prince Edward, and his issue; failing which, to his daughters, Mary and Elizabeth; in default of whom and their issue, to the heirs of his nieces, Frances and Eleanor, daughters of the duke of Suffolk, and of his fifter, Mary, late queen of France; after them, to the next lawful heir; fo that the children of his eldest fifter, Margaret, queen of Scotland, were supposed to be excluded.

2. Who were the chief instruments of delivering the church of England from its dependence on the fee of Rome?

A. Thomas Wolfey, Thomas Cromwell, and Thomas Cranmer.

2. Who was Thomas Wolfey?

A. He was the fon of a butcher at Isswich, in the county of Suffolk, and was born in 1471. Having had the advantage of a learned education, and being endowed with excellent parts, he foon began to distinguish himself in the world. He had been, in the preceding reign, rector of Lymington in Hampsbire. Fox, bithop of Winchester, introduced him to court, as a rival to the earl of Surry, who had eclipsed that prelate in the royal favour. In a little time, Wolfey supplanted both the earl of Surry and the bishop. He was first appointed almoner to the king, and then dean of Lincoln.

2. Did not he attain to higher dignities?

A. Hony VIII. who had a great opinion of his abilities, created him a member of his privy council, and made him prime minifler. Some time after he advanced him to the fee of Lincoln, then to that of York; and by the interest of Francis! he was pr-moted to the rank of a cardinal. Henry afterwards declared him lord high chancellor, and obtained a commission from the pope, nominating him legate a latter. Besides the see of York (for he resigned that of Lincoln) he possessed that the possessed him lord by the seed of York (for he resigned that of Lincoln) he possessed as very low leases, the revenues of Bath, Wescessed, and Hereford, as well as those of Taurnay in Flander. In a word, his income is faid to have been equal to that of the king, and he spent it in a no less royal manner.

2. Did not these preferents satisfy his ambition?

A. No; he aimed at the papal throne, to which Charlet V, had promifed to raife him; but that emperor having difappointed him in two elections, Welfy was so enraged, that, in revenge to Charlets, he promoted the scheme of Hemp's divorce, an affair which afterwards proved his

ruin.

2. In what manner?

A. As he was not able to obtain the pope's confent to the divorce, a favour which he had flattered Hurry he could easily procure, that prince conceived fuch an aversion towards him, that being farther infligated by the continual complaints of the people against Wolfy, and the repeated folicitations of Anne Belzyn, who was his enemy, he feized all his furniture, papers, and money, and even caused him to be impeached of high treasion; a misfortune, which affected him to such a degree, that he died of grief at Licitative. Abby, the 28th of November, 1530.

2. Who was Thomas Cromwell?

A. He was the fon of a blackfmith at Patny. From the rank of a domelic of cardinal Wolfy, he rofe to be fecretary of flate, then vifitor of the monalteries, afterwards vice-gerent in matters ecclefialtical, and, last of all, earl of Effex. But having oftended Harry by his too open attachment to the proteinant doctrines, and by his activity in promoting the king's marriage with Anne of Cheves, he was condemned by bill of attainder, and beheaded the 28th of July 1540,

A. He was born at Maction in Nortinghamfhire, and educated at Moha College, in the univerfity of Camiridge. Having travelled into Germany, he had there read the books of Luther, and embraced the opinions of that reformer. It was he (as we have observed) who fuggethed the method of confulting the univerfities of Europe with regard to the validity of the king's marriage. Being afterward raifed to the fee of Canterbury, he annulled the king's marriage with Carbarine of Arregon: he had even the courage to excommunicate the pope; and he prefided over all ecclefiadical affairs during the remaining part of Honry's reign, and that of his fuccessor.

2. What happened to him under the reign of queen

Mary?

A. Having espoused the cause of lady Jane Gry, in opposition to that of queen Many, this latter, upon her ascending the throne, caused him to be seized, and condemned to the slames for herefy. Crammer unhappily recasted, hoping by that means to save his lies; but he soon after recovered from his weakness, and received the crown of mattyrdom.

2. Did no other remarkable events happen under king

Henry VIII.

A. He suppressed all the monasteries in England; the leffer ones in 1536, the greater ones two years after; the whole amounting to fix hundred and forty-five, befides ninety colleges, two thousand three hundred and seventy-four chantries and free chapels, and one hundred and ten hospitals. The annual revenues of these establishments were valued at 161,100 l. but it is difficult to fav what they would amount to at present. The whole lands and possessions of England were then rated at three millions a year; fo that the revenues of the monasteries did not much exceed the twentieth part of the national income. The king likewife found in the monasteries, goods, chattels, and plate, to the value of 100,000 l. Out of the spoils of these religious houses, Henry erected fix new bishoprics, Westminster, Oxford, Peterborow, Briffol, Cheffer, and Glouceffer; of which the last five subsist at this day.

2. What were the chief complaints against the monas-

teries?

A. The people alledged, and with great justice, that they were receptacles of idleness, superstition, imposture, lewdness, and debauchery.

2. Can you give any instances of their imposture?

A. At Hales in the county of Gloucester, the Monks had pretended to flew, during feveral ages, the blood of Christ, brought from Jerusalem. This blood was not visible to any one in mortal fin, even when fet before him; and, till he had performed good works fufficient for his absolution. it would not deign to discover itself to him. At the disfolution of the monastery, the whole contrivance was difcovered. Two monks, who were let into the fecret, had taken the blood of a duck, which they renewed every week: they put it into a phial, one fide of which was thin and transparent chrystal, the other thick and obscure. When any rich pilgrim arrived, they were fure to shew him the dark fide of the phial, till maffes and offerings had expiated his offences; and then finding his money, or patience, or faith, near exhausted, they made him happy by turning the phial.

2. Can you give any other instance?

A. At Boxley in Kent, there was a miraculous crucifix, which bore the appellation of the Rood of grace. The lips and eyes, and head of the image, moved on the approach of its votaries. Hilfey, bishop of Rocbester, broke the crucifix at St. Paul's crofs, and thewed the whole people the springs and wheels, by which it had been fecretly moved.

#### CHAP. XXXIX.

## EDWARD VI. XLth King of England.

#### From 1547 to 1553.

Popes.	King of Denmark.	
PAUL III, 1534	FREDERIC II. 1547	
Julius III. 1550 Emperor and King of Spain.	King of Sweden. Gustavus Vasa. 1522	
CHARLES V. 1519	King of Portugal.	
King of France.	JOHN III. 1522	
HENRY II. f547	Queen of Scotland.	
	MARY 1542	

2. TX7 H O fucceeded Henry VIII?

A. Edward VI. his only fon, who was then in the tenth year of his age.

2. How were the affairs of the nation conducted during

his minority?

A. They were committed, in pursuance of king Hong's will, to the management of fixteen executors or regents, and twelve counfellors; who, in order to support the dignity of the government, elected a protector, and conferred that office on Edward Segment, earl of Hersford, uncle to the king, and one of the regents, and who was soon after created duke of Somerfet.

2. How did Somerfet behave in this high station?

As he had embraced the opinions of Luther, he infilled those principles into the mind of the young king, with whose education he was entrusted; and was no sooner possessed to the protectorial authority, than he applied himself, with great diligence, to complete the work of she reformation, which had been left unfinished in the foregoing reign.

2. What steps did he take for that purpose?

A. He abolished private masses, and restored the cup to

A. He abolithed private manes, and retored the cap of the laity; removed all images out of churches; commanded the old mass to be laid aside, and a new one to be compiled; permitted priests to marry; and, in a word, established the whole

E 548

whole form of church government upon pretty nearly the same footing as we find it at this day.

2. Did not these innovations occasion some disturbances?

A. The common people, inflamed by the monks, who were driven out of their monasteries, and who, being obliged to work, had reduced the price of labour, by the great increase of hands, and being still farther provoked by many other circumstances, they rose up in arms in several counties of England: but after having been defeated in various encounters, they were glad to submit, and to accept of a general pardon. It was in confequence of these insurrections, that Lords Lieutenants of counties were first appointed.

2. Was not one of these insurrections more dangerous

than the rest?

A. The inhabitants of Norfolk affembled, to the number of 20,000 men; and fetting one Ket, a tanner, at their head, they proposed to destroy the gentry, and to bring all men to a level. Ket erected his tribunal under an old oak, thence called the oak of reformation : and fummoning the gentry to appear before him, he gave such decrees as might be expected from his character and fituation. He repulsed the marquis of Northampton, who ventured to attack him; but being afterwards defeated by John Dudley, earl of Warwick, he was hanged at Norwich-Caffle; and nine of his followers suffered on the boughs of the oak of reformation.

2. Was England engaged in any foreign wars during

this reign ?

A. The Scots, having refused to grant their queen, Mary Stuart, in marriage to young king Edward, to whom the had been promifed, the protector entered Scotland with an army of 18,000 men, and coming up with the enemy, who were double his number, at Muffelburgh, on the 10th of September, 1547, he attacked them with great bravery, killed above 10,000 of them, took 1500 prisoners, and obtained over them a complete victory. At the same time, the earl of Lennox and lord Wharton, invaded Scotland by the western marches, and after taking and plandering Annan, they spread devastation over all the neighbouring counties. 2. Had England no other foreign wars to maintain? A. H.m. A. Henry II. king of France, taking advantage of the diltractions, which had now broke out in the English councils, refolved to recover those places which had been conquered from him by Henry VIII. With this view, he fell fuddenly upon the Bushmais, took the cattles of Sellacques, Blackness, and Ambitensis; but though he laid tage to the town of Bushgers, he was not able to reduce it.

2. What was the occasion of those disorders that were

now broke out in the English councils?

A. They were owing to the factious temper of lord Themas Symmour, the protector's brother, who having maried queen Cathenius Parr, the late king's widow, had been created baroo Dudley, and lord high-admiral, and who, not content with these great preferments, aspired to the fole direction of affairs, and endeavoured to supplant his brother in the royal favour. The jealous' between the two brothers was artfully somented by Dudley, carl of Warshick, a man of instatiable avarice and unbounded ambission, who, at last, instance the quarret to such a pitch, that the admiral was accused of a conspiracy against the government; and though this charge was never sufficiently proved, he was yet condemned to lose his head by bill of attainder, a sentence which was accordingly executed on the 20th of March, 1,149.

2. Did the death of this nobleman restore harmony in

the council?

A. No; the earl of Warwick, lately created duke of Notbiumberland, being determined to rain the protector, whom he mortally hated, and whom he confidered as an invincible obfacle to the accompliment of his ambitious projects, cauted him first to be deprived of his office, and then subjected him to so many infults, that Some/sr was tempted to enter into a plot against the life of his adversary. Northumberland having gained this point, caused Somer/sit to be thrown into the Tower, to be brought to his vial, to be condemned as a felon, for conspiring the death of a privy counfellor; and the sentence was actually executed on Tower-Hill, the 2xd of Towaray, 1522. The king discovered an extreme reluctance to sign the warrant for his annels' execution; but he was at last overcome by the importunities of Northumberland.

- 2. How did this nobleman employ the authority he had gained?
- A. He concluded a peace with Henry II. of France, in 1550, by virtue of which Boulogne was to be restored to that monarch, upon his paying to Edward 200,000 crowns of gold. Scotland was likewife comprehended in the peace; and as the Seots had lately fent their queen to France. where the was foon after espoused to the dauphin, king Edward defisted from all pretentions to a marriage with that princess; and he even restored to the Scots the towns which he had taken from them.

2. What further use did Northumberland make of his

authority? A. He represented to king Edward, who was extremely

attached to the new doctrines, that the protestant religion would be in the most imminent danger, should his fifter, Mary, who was a bigotted Catholic, succeed to the throne : that he could not possibly exclude that princes, without excluding, at the fame time, his other fifter, Elizabeth: that the queen of Scots stood excluded by the will of the late king; that after these three princesses were set aside, the crown belonged of right to the lady Frances, murchionels of Dorfet, and afterwards dutchess of Suffolk, daughter to Mary, Henry the Eighth's fifter, and queen dowager of France, by her second husband, Charles Brandon, duke of. Suffolk: that the next heir to the marchioness of Dorfet. who was her eldest daughter, the lady Jane Grey, a lady every way worthy of a throne, and by her affection for the protestant religion, the most likely to support the reformation, which Edward had so happily completed.

2. What effect had this reasoning upon the mind of

young Edward?

A. So great, that he actually difinherited his two fifters. Mary and Elizabeth, and appointed, by letters patent, the lady Jane Grey, his heir and successor.

2. Of what advantage was this fettlement to the duke of Northumberland?

A. That nobleman had taken care to marry his fourth. fon, lord Guilford Dudley, to the lady Jane Grey, by which means, had the scheme succeeded, his own family would have been placed upon the throne.

2. How long did king Edward furvive these transactions? A. But a very few days. He died of a consumption,

the 6th of July, 1553, in the fixteenth year of his age, and the feventh of his reign.

2. What is the character of Edward?

A. He was endowed with every qualification that could recommend him to the love and efteem of his subjects: beauty of person, probity of mind, sweetness of temper, an attachment to equity and justice; and he was bleffed with fuch a quickness of apprehension, that, young as he was at the time of his death, he had made confiderable progress in most branches of learning. He was pretty well skilled in the Latin and French languages, and had even acquired some knowledge of the Greek, Spanish, and Italian.

2. Did he leave any monuments behind him?

A. He confirmed the grant, made by his father, to the city of London, of Christ's and St. Bartholomew's hospitals ; and he founded those of Bridewell and St. Thomas, together with feveral schools. The duke of Somorfet built the palace now called Somerfet-bouse in the Strand, which, upon the death of that nobleman, was forfeited to the crown, and still belongs to the royal family.

#### CHAP. XL.

### MARY, XLI" Sovereign of England.

#### From 1553 to 1558.

Popes. King of Denmark. FREDERICK II. MARCELLUS II. King of Sweden. GUSTAVUS VASA Emperor and King of Spain. Kings of Portugal. CHARLES V. JOHN III. 1552 King of France. SEBASTIAN HENRY II. Queen of Scotland. King of Spain. PHILIP II.

2. WHAT steps did the duke of Northumberland take

A. As he knew it would be impoffible to execute that monarch's will, without getting the princeffet Mary and Elizabeth into his power, he fent them orders, in the king's name, (whose death he thought proper to conecal) to come to court; and they accordingly fet out on their journey for that purpose, when the princels Mary, who had arrived within a few miles of London, receiving intelligence of her brother's death, and Northumberlands designs, from the earl of Arundel, immediately withdrew to Framlingham-Cafile, in the county of Surfale.

2. What did Northumberland do upon this disappoint-

ment?

A. Finding it in vain to diffemble any longer, he instantly published king Edward's will, and caused the lady Jane Grey, in spite of all her remonstrances, to be proclaimed queen of England, the 19th of July, 1553.

2. What course did the princess Mary take in the mean

time?

<sup>3</sup> In the beginning of this year, Charles V. refigned the crown of Spain to his fon, Dan Philip.

K 4

A. Being

A. Being joined by the inhabitants of Suffolk, and of feveral other counties, the fent a meffage to the council, in which the promifed them a pardon for their path offences, required them to return to their duty, by acknowledging her title, and fignified her refolution of advancing towards London, in order to fupport it by force of arms.

2. What effect did this message produce?

A. The duke of Northumberland marched out against her at the head of a small army: the counsellors being freed from the terror of this nobleman, who had hitherto kept them in awe, declared for the princes Mary, and, together with the lord mayor and aldermen of London, solemnly proclaimed her queen. Even the duke of Suffile, lady Janvafather, who commanded in the Tower, surrendered that for trees; and lady Janv herfelf, after wearing the vain pageantry of a crown during ten days, returned to a private life, with much more fatisfaction than she felt when the royalty was tendered to her.

9. What became of Northumberland?

A. Deferted by his troops, and defpairing of fuecels, he made a merit of necellity; and finding it impossible to escape from the kingdom, he was the fift, when quen Mary was proclaimed at Cambridge, to throw up his cap, as a mark of his lovality and submission.

2. Did this diffimulation fave him?

A. No, is was arrefted, brought to his trial, condemned to lofe his head, and was accordingly executed on Towart-Hill, the 22d of August. Sentence was likewise pronounced against the lady Tane Grej, and lord Gailiffeed Dudley, but without any prefern intention of putting it in execution; and they

were therefore remanded back to the Tower.

2. What became of the duke of Suffolk, lady Jane's fa-

ther?

A. He was fet at liberty; but having foon after joined in a confpiracy with Sir Thomas Wyar, who entered London, at the head of Gooo men, when he was furrounded and taken; Suffolk was once more feized, and thrown into the Tower.

2. What was the consequence of this rebellion?

A. It proved stal to the lady Jane and her husband, both of whom were executed the 12th of February, 1554. The lady Jane behaved with great constancy and fortitude; and

after

after feeing the headless body of her husband pass by, she fubmitted herfelf to the executioner with a steady and serene countenance. Her father was executed the 21st of the same month; Sir Thomas Wyat the 11th of April; and about fifty other persons suffered in London alone.

2. How did queen Mary conduct herself with regard to

religion ?

A. As the was a bigotted Roman Catbolic, the restored all the deprived Popils prelates to their respective sees; threw a great many protestant bishops into prison, and granted a. general liberty of conscience till the meeting of parliament, by an act of which the exercise of any other communion, besides the Roman Cathelic, was forbid; the statutes of Edward VI. in favour of the reformation, were repealed; and the national religion was replaced on the same footing on which it stood at the death of Henry VIII.

2. Did the queen give any other proof of her zeal for

Popery ?

A. Having strengthened herself by her marriage with Philip II, king of Spain, which was celebrated at Wincheft ter, the 25th of July, 1554, the affembled a new parliament, in which cardinal Pole, descended from the royal family of England, (a man of great moderation, but extremely attached to the Catholic religion, and who now acted as the pope's legate) made a foeech, exhorting the two houses to reconcile themselves and the kingdom to the Apostolic see. This advice was taken in very good part; the two houses made an act, suppressing the protestant, and re-establishing the catholic religion; and the cardinal, having gained this point, gave the parliament and kingdom absolution, . freed them from all censures, and received them again into the bosom of the church.

2. Did the parliament take any other steps in favour of

Popery ?

A. They revived the old fanguinary laws against heretics; and these laws were executed by the queen and her ministers, with such unrelenting severity, that, in the space of three years, during which the perfecutions lasted, no less than two hundred and feventy feven perfons suffered by fire; besides those who were punished by imprisonments, fines, and confiscations. Among those who suffered by fire, IG 5:

were five bifhops, viz. Crammer, archbifhop of Canterbury; Ridley, bifhop of Lendon; Latimer, of Worcefter; Hooper, of Glouceffer; and Ferrar, of St. David's; twenty-one clergymen, eight lay-gentlemen, eighty-four tradefinen, one hundred hulbandmen, fervants, and labourers, five women, and four children.

2. How did these martyrs support their punishment?

A. With such invincible fortitude as excited the assonish-

ment even of their perfecutors; and, instead of diminishing, tended only to encrease the number of protestants.

2. Can you give any instances of their fortitude, and of

the cruelty of their persecutors?

A. Most of them, when tied to the stake, had a pardon offered them, which they nobly rejected. Rogers, who was the first that suffered, was so little terrified with his approaching fate, that the jailors waked him from a found fleep, when the hour of his execution came. One Thomas Hawkes had agreed with his friends, that if he found the torture tolerable, he would make a fignal to that purpose, in the midst of the flames. Accordingly, when the fire attacked him, he firetched out his arms, the fignal agreed on; and in that posture he expired. Cranmer had been prevailed on by the fond hope of life, to fign a paper, containing his recantation; but he afterwards recovered from his weakness, and being determined, that his hand, which had betrayed his heart, should first pay the forfeit of its offences, he held it in the flames till it was entirely confumed; and he foon after expired. A woman, in Guernsey, being near the time of her labour, when brought to the flake, was, thrown into fuch agitation by the torture, that her belly burft, and the was delivered in the midst of the flames. One of the guards immediately fnatched the infant from the fire, and attempted to fave it; but a magistrate, who stood by, ordered it to be thrown back, being refolved, he faid, that nothing should survive, which sprung from such an obstinate and heretical parent.

2. Who were the chief instruments of these cruel persecu-

2. Was queen Mary engaged in any wars? A. Yes;

A. Gardiner, bishop of Winchester, and Bonner, bishop of London, whose names have ever since been held in the utmost horror and detestation.

A. Yes; in order to oblige her confort, king Philip, she came to a rupture with France (contrary to the articles of her marriage contract) and, in 1557, fent to his affiltance, in Flanders, an army of 10,000 men, which contributed greatly to the victory obtained by the Staniards at St. Quintin. She likewise supplied him, in the course of the succeeding year, with a fleet of an hundred and forty fail, which had a confiderable share in defeating the French at the battle of Gravelines

2. Did the English gain any thing by this war?

A. On the contrary, they loft Calais, Guienes, Ham, and every other place they then held in France, which were con quered by the duke of Guife, the French general, in the fpring of 1558.

2. Did not these losses occasion great discontents in En-

A. So great, that the people exclaimed loudly against the queen's administration; and her grief, it is said, on that account, together with the indifference which her husband, Philip, shewed her, contributed no less than the dropsy, with which she was afflicted, to bring her to her end, which happened the 17th of November, 1558, in the forty-third year of her age, and, after a fhort and inglorious reign of five years, four months, and eleven days.

2. What is the character of Mary?

A. She feems to have possessed few qualities, either estimable or amiable; and her person was as little engaging as her behaviour and address. Bigotry and cruelty were the principal, if not the fole ingredients of her character; and to this cause ought to be ascribed all the shocking barbarities that diforaced her reign.

2 Had queen Mary any children?

A. No; the imagined once, indeed, that the had conceived; but after she thought she was gone several months with child, the was delivered of a substance, known by the name of a mola.

## CHAP. XLI.

## ELIZABETH, XLIId Sovereign of England.

### From 1558 to 1603.

Popes,		King of Spain.	
PAUL IV.	1555	PHILIP II.	1556
Pius IV.	1559	Kings of Denma	
Pius V.	1565	FREDERIC II.	1558
GREGORY XIII.	1572	CHRISTIAN IV.	1588
SIXTUS V.	1585	Kings of Swede	
URBAN VII.	1500	GUSTAVUS VASA	1522
GREGORY XIV.	1591	ERIC XIV.	
INNOCENT IX.	1591	IOHN	
CLEMENT VIII.	1592	SIGISMUND	1590
Emperors.	*39"	Kings of Portug	1,390
FERDINAND I.	1558	SEBASTIAN	
MAXIMILIAN II.	1564	HENRY	1557
RODOLPHUS II.	1576	Kings of Spain and Po	
Kings of France	-3/0	PHILIP II.	regar.
HENRY II.	1547	PHILIP III.	1200
FRANCIS II.	1559	Sovereigns of Scotl	and 97
CHARLES IX.	1560	MARY	
HENRY III.		JAMES VI.	1542
HENRY IV.	1589	Justes AT.	1567
ALENKI AV.	1200		

2. W HO fucceeded queen Mary?
A. Her fifter, Elizabeth, daughter of Henry
VIII. and Anne Boleyn, who ascended the throne in 1558,
being then twenty-five years of age.

2. How did this princes behave in her high station?

A. With admirable sagacity and prudence; so that her reign, as it was one of the longest, is also one of the most glorious that is to be found in the English annals.

2. How did she conduct herself with regard to religion?

About this time, the crowns of Spain and Portugal were united on the head of Philip II. who, upon the death of Henry of Portugal, made a conquest of that kingdoms.
A. She

A. She repealed the laws enacted by her fifter in favour of Popery; re-established the protestant religion; declared herself supreme governess of the church, both in spirituals and temporals; and, in a word, restored every thing to the same footing on which they flood at the death of Edward VI.

2. Did she do nothing else in favour of the new doc-

trines?

A. She supported the protestants in France, Scotland, and the Low-Countries, and was univerfally confidered, all over Europe, as the chief bulwark of the reformation.

9. What assistance did she give to the protestants in

France? -

A. That kingdom had long been torn with domestic factions, arifing from the animofity between the catholics and protestants. Elizabeth supported the latter both with money and troops, and enabled Henry IV, who was a protesttant, though he afterwards changed his religion, to mount the throne, in 1500.

2. What affiftance did she give to the protestants in the

Low-Countries?

A. These provinces, which were subject to Spain, had suffered fuch cruel perfecutions on account of religion, that no less than 50,000 persons are said to have been put to death for herefy. The people, enraged at these barbarities, revolted from the Spaniards, and imploring the protection of Elizabeth, offered her the fovereignty of their country. provided she would undertake their defence. 2. Did she accept their offer ?

A. No: but the generously took them under her protection, and supported them so effectually, that they were at last able to shake off the Spanish yoke, to affert their native liberty, and to erect the famous commonwealth of the united provinces. 2. What assistance did the give to the protestants in Scot-

A. She enabled them, partly by money, and partly by her forces, to make head against the catholics, and at last to obtain over them a complete victory; though this conduct engaged her in a quarrel, which was attended with the most fatal confequences to Mary, queen of Scots, and even fixed a stain on the memory of Elizabeth. 2. Who

2. Who was Mary, queen of Scots?

A. She was daughter to James V. king of Scotland, and to Many of Lorrain, eldeft daughter to Claude, duke of Guifs, and widow of Lewis, duke of Longuevills. This young princefs had married Francis II, king of France; and, at the infligation of her uncless, the princes of Guifs, the affumed, on the death of Mary, of England, the title of queen of that kingdom, pretending, that Elizabeth was illegitimate, and had no right to the throne.

2. What were queen Mary's pretensions to the crown of

England?

A. She was grandaughter to James IV. and to Margaret, eldest daughter to Henry VII. and it was in virtue of this right, that her son, James Stuart, upon the death of Eliza-

beth, ascended the throne of England.

2. Did she take any steps to support her pretensions? A. No; she knew that England was in such a posture of defence, and that Elizabeth was so beloved by the people in general, that it would be altogether in vain to make any attempts against her. Mean while, being left a widow by the death of her husband, she returned, in 1561, into Scotland, her hereditary kingdom. This country was at that time divided into two factions, the one composed of protestants, the other of Roman catholics, with the latter of whom, the queen, who had been bred a Papift, joined; and finding it impossible to support herself against the former, who were headed by her natural brother, James Stuart, earl of Murray, the espoused Henry Stuart, lord Darnley, her own coufin-german, and fon to the earl of Lennox, esteemed, at that time, the handsomest man in Britain; and she even honoured. him with the title of king.

2. Did she live in harmony with her husband?

A. Yes, for some time; but as Hang, who was of a profligate character, soon began to treat her with the utmost
neglest, the queen became, in her turn, as indifferent about,
him. Hengy, on the other hand, grew jealous of the queen,
on account of her intimacy with one David Rivatio. This,
man, who was an Italian by birth, and an excellent musician, had infinuated himself so effectually into the queen's
good graces, that the first appointed himself private fecretary, and afterwards advanced him to be her prime minifier.

fler. She even indulged him, if Buchanan may be credited, in the most scandalous familiarities; and though this imputation feems to have been altogether groundless, Rizzio's enemies encouraged the report, in order to inflame the fuf-

picions of king Henry.

2. What was the confequence of this prince's jealoufy? A. Being determined, at all events, to make away with the minion, he formed a conspiracy for the execution of his project, with George Douglas and others. Having thus laid his scheme, he entered the queen's apartment, while she was at Supper: the affaffins feized Rizzio, who fat at table : and, notwithstanding the entreaties of her majesty, who endeavoured to fave him, they instantly put him to death. The queen was confined, during fome months, in her palace; but having, by her careffes, gained the confidence of her hufband, the privately made her escape with him; and having thus recovered her liberty, the fuddenly deferted him. and treated him ever after with the most supreme contempt.

2. Had queen Mary no other favourite after the death of

Rizzio?

A. Yes; the earl of Bothwell, a man of the most abandoned principles, foon acquired over her the same unlimited influence which Rizzio had possessed.

9. Was the ever reconciled to her hufband?

A. No; though she seemed to be so, the better to serve her purposes; she paid him a visit; attended him during an illness, with which he was feized; conversed with him cordially; lay feveral nights in a room below his; but, on the 10th of February, 1067, king Henry was killed by the artifices (as was suspected) of Bothwell; and not without the queen's confent and connivance; a supposition which was further confirmed by her marrying Bothwell about three months after

2. Did not these barbarous and shameful proceedings ex-

cite the indignation of the Scottill nobility?

A. So highly, that the earls of Aroyle, Morton, Mar, Athol, Glencarne, and others, who bore an irreconcilable hatred to Bothwell, raifed an army, in order to annul his marriage. The queen marched out against them, at the head of an army; but her troops deferting her, she was obliged to fubmit to the confederate lords, who carried her

to Edinburgh; and, next day, committed her close prisoner to the castle of Lochlevin, from whence she escaped the 2d of May, 1568. Bothwell likewife made his escape, and withdrew, first to Dunbar, and then to the Orkneys, where he turned pirate; but being driven from that station, he retired to Denmark, where he was thrown into prison, lost his fenses, and died miserably about ten years afterwards; an end worthy of his flagitious life.

2. Whither went queen Mary after her escape?

A. She repaired to Hamilton, where the was prefently foined by several of the nobility, who brought to her assistance about 6000 men : but the earl of Murray, her natural brother, who had lately retired to France, returning about this time to Scotland, affumed the supreme authority, in the name of the young prince James, queen Mary's fon, by Henry Stuart; and marching out against the queen's army, he entirely defeated it, and obliged that princess to fly into England.

2. What reception did she meet with in that kingdom? A. A very unfavourable one; queen Elizabeth commit-

ting her to prison, where she continued above eighteen years, 2. What was Elizabeth's motive for treating her in that

manner ?

A. It was partly to revenge the affront, which queen Mary had put upon her, in assuming the title of queen of England, and representing Elizabeth as an vsurper, and a bastard; but chiefly to render her for ever incapable of taking any steps to support that title, or deprive queen Elizabeth of the crown of England, to which, the Roman catholics alledged, queen Mary had a better right than Elizabeth herfelf.

2. Did nobody espouse the cause of this unfortunate.

princess ?

A. Most of the princes of Europe, particularly the kings of France and Spain, interpoled in her favour, and employed both menaces and entreaties in order to procure her liberty; but all their endeavours for this purpose proved ineffectual.

2. What reason did oneen Elizabeth assign for detaining

queen Mary in prison?

A. She accused her of having been an accomplice in thehorrid crame of her hufband's murder; an accusation, against

2. What became of this unhappy princess afterwards?

A. Being naturally of a high and losty spirit, she was so
provoked at the harsh treatment she had met with, that she
entered into a conspiracy, formed by one Babington, against
quene Ekzabeth's life. Ekrabeth had her tried for this crime,
and condemned to lose her head; and this severe sentence,
was accordingly executed, the 8th of February, 1587, in
Fetheringay-Casse, notwithstanding all the remonstrances
made by her fon James VI. of Scotland, and Henry III. of
France; though Editeore, it is said, the French ambassador,
had private instructions to solicit the execution of the senence; a report, which was the more readily credited, as
Henry bore an implacable hatred to the duke of Guife, queen
Mary's kindman.

2. How did queen Elizabeth behave when she received

the news of queen Mary's death?

A. She affected to be filled with the deepeft forrow and affliction; proteiled, that though file had figned the warrant for her death, file had no defign of earying it into execution: fine chaced her ministers and counfellors from her prefence, and even gave orders for their being profecuted in the star-chamber.

2. Did not queen Mary's imprisonment in England oc-

casion some disturbances in the kingdom?

A. Two infurrections were raised on her account, but neither of them of any great confequence. One of them was extited by the earl of Nertbumberland, who, for this attempt, was brought to the fcaffold. The fame was the fate of the duke of Narfalk, who had formed a fcheme for refcuing and marrying queen Mary.

2. Had queen Elizabeth any wars to maintain?

A. None, but what the undertook for the support of her allies; nor do any of these deserve to be mentioned, except that which she waged against Spain.

2. What were the most remarkable events of this war? A. Philip II. of Spain, provoked at the affithence which Elizabeth had given to his revolted subjects in the Lewe-Counttries, refolved to retaliate that injury, by invading England, in 1588, with a powerful atmament, which he stiled the Invin.

Invincible Armada. It confifted of one hundred and thirtytwo large ships, exclusive of twenty caravels for the fervice of the army, and ten falves with fix oars a-piece; having on board 8766 failors, 2088 galley-flaves, 21,855 foldiers, and 3:65 pieces of cannon; and furnished with ammunition and provision for fix months. The fleet was commanded by the duke de Medina Sidonia; and the duke of Parma was to join it with an army of 34,000 men from the Low Countries; but the ports of Flanders were fo effectually blocked up by forty men of war, Dutch and English, that that officer found it impossible to execute his purpose.

2. What preparations did Elizabeth make for repelling this invasion?

A. She affembled all her thips of war, and reinforcing them with others, furnished her by the merchants, intrusted the command of them to lord Howard of Effingham, the lord high-admiral; and under him to Drake, Hawkins, and Forbifber, the most renowned feamen in Europe. She likewife raifed three great armies, and stationed them in different parts of the kingdom; and she even appeared on horseback in the camp at Tilfburg, where the main army was posted; and by her looks and exhortations inspired such courage into the foldiers, that they feemed to wish for nothing more than the arrival of the enemy.

2. What was the iffue of this great enterprize?

a. The Spanish Armada was overtaken by a storm before it reached the coast of England: it was then attacked by the English admirals, who, besides doing great damage to the rest of the fleet, took or funk about fourteen of their vessels, among which was a great ship of Biscar, and the great galleon of Andaluzia, each of them containing a large fum of money. The Spanish admiral, disheartened by this lofs, and finding it impossible to succeed in his attempt, refolved to make the best of his way homewards; but as he could not fafely pass through the channel (the wind being contrary) he determined to fail northwards, and doubling the extremity of Scotland, return to his own country by the ocean. During his voyage, he was encountered by another ftorm, which dispersed and destroyed the greatest part of his fleet; fo that of 132 ships, which he carried out with him, he was able to bring back no more than 53. Philip,

who was an excellent hypocrite, no fooner heard of the failure of his enterprize, than he fell on his knees, and returned thanks to God, that the misfortune was not greater. Elizabeth, with much better reason, made a procession to St. Paul's, and returned thanks to God for the fignal deliverance he had vouchfafed her. At the same time, the fettled a pension on the admiral, and liberally rewarded all those who had distinguished themselves on this occasion.

Q. Did not some disturbances happen in Ireland during

this reign?

A. Å rebellion was raifed in that kingdom by Hugh O'Neale, earl of Tyrone, one of the Irifb chieftains, who had formed a defign to drive the English out of Iridand. The earl of Essex was sent against him in 1599, at the head of 20,000 foot, and 2000 horfe, which were afterwards reinforced by 2000 more; but this nobleman, not having answered the expectations of the court, was succeeded in his command by Charles Bluent, lord Montjey, who defeated Tyrone in several engagements, and obliged him to have recourse to the queen's mercy.

2. Was queen Elizabeth ever married?

A. Her policy and her love of liberty were so great, that the could never think of submitting to the yoke of matri-

mony.

2. How does her policy appear in this particular? A. All the young princes of Europe paid court to her; and though fine had taken feeredly a determined refolution againfle entering into the married flate, yet, as fine never gave any of her fuitors an abfolute denial, the fill kept them in expectation, and by that means fecured their friendflip. The fame motive feems likewife to have engaged all the young English noblemen to be conflantly about her person, and to serve her with a zeal, which was as much derived from a spirit of gallantry, as from a sense of duty.

2. Who were Elizabeth's chief favourites?

A. Her fift favourite was Robert Dudley, son to Dudley, duke of Northumberland, who was beheaded in the beginning of queen Mary's reign, and grandson to that Dudley who had been one of the instruments of king Henry the Seventh's extortions, and who was afterwards executed for his illegal practices. This man she created earl of Licepter, and

### 212 HISTORY of ENGLAND. 1601 .

loaded him with many other honours and preferments; and though he appears to have been destitute of every valuable quality, he continued, till his death, to enjoy the queen's friendship and affection. Her second favourite was Robert Devereux, earl of Effex (whom we have already mentioned) a young nobleman of the most generous fentiments, and the most distinguished abilities, but rash, headstrong, and violent in his temper. Being once engaged in a dispute with the queen, he fo provoked her by his difrespectful behaviour, that she gave him a box on the ear; upon which Effex clapped his hand to his fword, and fwore he would not bear fuch treatment, were it from Henry the Eighth himself. Nevertheless, he was, soon after, restored to her majesty's favour, and was fent over to Ireland against the carl of Tyrone; on his return from which expedition he was again diferaced, and subjected to so many indignities, that his impatient spirit breaking through all the restraints of duty and allegiance, he endeavoured to raise an insurrection; but failing in his attempt, he was seized, tried, condemned, and executed, the 25th of February, 1601. The queen shewed at first an extreme reluctance to sign the warrant for his death; but believing his obstinacy to be fo great, that he scorned to apply to her for a pardon, she was at last prevailed on to confent to his execution.

2. How long did fine furvive this transaction?

A hout two years; when sinding that Effex had actually solicited a pardon, but that the person employed by him for that purpose had designedly neglected to execute the commission, since we rejected all consolation; since even resured food and such chanace; and after languishing thus for ten days, she expired gently, without struggle or convulsion, the 24th of March, 1603, in the eventieth year of her age, and the forty-fifth of her reign. This account has been rejected by some hillorians, as romantic; but the proofs adduced in support of it by Dr. Birch, in his negociations.

and memoirs, feem to be fully fatisfactory.

Q. Describe the person and qualities of Elizabeth. A. She was tall, strait, strong-limbed, and masculine, with a high round forchead, fair complexion, sine white teeth, and yellow hair. She danced with great agility; her voice was clear and firong, her ear good, and five played excellently upon feveral mufical infiruments. Nor were the qualities of her mind inferior to those of her body; the possible of a found judgment, a tenacious memory, understood the dead and living languages, had made confiderable proficiency in the fciences, and was well read in history. The maxims of her government were wife and prudent; and she was extremely happy in the choice of her ministers.

2. Was this reign diftinguished by no other remarkable

transactions than those you have already mentioned?

A. In 1600, the queen granted the first patent to the East India company, whose stock was at that time 72,000l. In 1569, the concluded a treaty of commerce with John Basilowitz, great duke of Muscowy, together with a perfonal alliance, by which they engaged to give each other an afylum, in case either of them should be driven out of their dominions. In 1577, Sir Francis Drake set fail from Plymouth, and entering the fouth fea by the streights of Marellan, returned, in 1680, by the Cape of Good Hope, to Europe. He was the first Englishman who failed round the world; and the first commander in chief of any nation: for Magellan, whose ship performed the same voyage, died in his passage. In 1584, Sir Walter Raleigh discovered Wirginia, which name he gave it in honour of the virginqueen: he is supposed to be the first person who introduced the use of tobacco into England.

Q. Can you relate any thing else worthy of notice?

A. Some private adventurers, headed by Sir Francis Drake and Sir John Norris, and affifted by the queen, undertook, in 1589, to raife Don Jotonis, prior of Cate, a natural fon of the royal family of Peringal, to the throne of that kingdom, which had lately become vacant; but, after meeting with fome fucces, they finally failed in the enterprize. In the course of this reign, Sir John Graffoan light, at his own charge, the magnificent structure of the Exchange, for the reception of merchant: the queen visited it, and gave it the name of the Royal Exchange.

2. Did any memorable events happen in the other king-

doms of Europe during this period?

A. A scene of cruelty was acted in France, which has fixed an indelible stain on the character of that nation, and

in the Catholic religion. The Papifts of Parit, not only the cannivariee, but even by the express order, nay uner the immediate direction of their fowereign, that treaches tyrant, Scharle IX. Took upon the Proteilants, and attacking them unawares, in the night of the 2nd of Angull, 572, put about 10,000 of them to the foword. The like mafare of the Proteilants was committed in Roses, Lyons, and hany other cities of the kingdom. On the first of Angull, 589, Hamy III. of Fance was flabbed by the hands of a religious madman, one Jaques Clement, a Dominician monk, who was inflantly hewed in pieces by the courtiers.

### CHAP. XLII.

The Union of the Crowns of England and Scotland.

### JAMES I. XLIII King of England, And First of Great Britain:

#### From 1603 to 1625.

Popes.		LEWIS XIII. 161	0
CLEMENT VIII.	1592	Kings of Spain and Portuga	1
LEO IX.	1605	PHILIP III. 159	2.0
PAUL III.	1605	PHILIP IV. 162	/
GREGORY XV.	1021		0
URBAN VIII.	1623	King of Denmark.	
Emperors.		CHRISTIAN IV. 158	8
RODOLPHUS II.	1576	Kings of Sweden.	
MATHIAS I.	1012	SIGISMUND 159	0
FERDINAND II.		CHARLES IX. 160	
Kings of France.		GUSTAVUS ADOLPHUS 161	
HENRY IV.	1589	GUSTATUSABOLFHUSTOI	

2 W HO succeeded queen Elizabeth?
A. James VI. king of Scotland, and first of
England; fon to the unfortunate Mary, queen of Scots, and
Honry Stuart, lord Darnley.

What title had he to the crown?

A. He was the great-grandson of Margaret, daughter of Henry VII. and, on the failure of all the male iffue of that prince, his hereditary right remained unquestionable. Queen Elizabeth too, with her dying breath, had declared him her directfor; and the English in general were 'so well pleased with the prospect of his accession, that many of them had begun, even before the death of that princes, to make their court to him.

2. When did he ascend the throne of England?

A. In 1603, being crowned at Westminster, the 25th of

July, on St. James's day of the same year.

2. How old was he at the time of his accession?

A. Thirty-feven years of age, being born at Edinburgh-Caillet, the 19th of June, 1966. He was baptized a Roman catholic, but afterwards educated in the proteflant religion, the famous historian Buchaman being the tutor. His mother being depoled in 1967, Junes was crowned immediately after, and committed to the guardianship of the earl of Murray, who acted as regent of the kingdom. That nobleman, being assalfasinated in 1670, was succeeded in the regency by the earls of Lomox, Mar, and others, till 1578, when James saftumed the reiss of government.

2. How did this prince behave upon his ascending the

throne of England?

A. He promifed to execute, with the utmost flrichnefs, the feveral laws which were enacted by quene Bickecheth against the Roman catholics; and commanded all popish priests and Jesuits immediately to leave the kingdom. He was, however, a little too lavish in bettowing titles of honour; for, in fix weeks time after his arrival in England, he is faid to have conserved kinghthood on no lefs than 27 persons; so that the wits affixed a pasquinade to S. Paul's cathedral, in which they promised to teach an art very necessary to assist in memories, in retaining the names of the new nobility.

2. What title did king James affume, in order to put an end to the disputes between the English and Scots?

A. That of Great Britain.

2. What was the first remarkable event of this reign?

A. A conspiracy was formed by some malecontents to subvert the government, and raise to the throne the lady

Arabella

Arabilla Stuart, coufin-german to the king; and fome of the confipirators were executed for this crime. The brave and learned Sir Walter Ralaigh, one of the chief ornaments of his age and nation, was accused, but upon very flender evidence, of being concerned in this plot; and this gallant gentleman, after having been confined twelve years in the Towur (where he wrote his excellent history of the world) was beheaded the 29th of Odober, 1618. Arabilla Stuart died in that fortrefs.

2. Was not another conspiracy hatched in this reign?

A. Yes: fome Reman Catholics, Catefly, Pirey, Digly, and others, provoked at the feverity exercifed against those of their profession, by James, from whom they had expected better treatment, entered into a scheme for blowing up the parliament house with gun-powder the 5th of November, 1605, when the king should be haranguing the two houses but the plot was happily discovered by means of a letter. Several were executed; and among the rest, Gay Faculori, who was to set fire to the train. Catefly and Piercy, slanding on their defence, were killed with one shot.

2. How were the affairs of Scotland conducted during this

reign?

A. With great order and tranquillity. The king's authority was so thoroughly established in that country, that he was able to introduce into it some of the ceremonies of the church of England, though contrary to the sentiments of the greater part of the nation

Q. Was king James engaged in any wars?

A. As he was naturally of a pacific difposition, he fludioufly avoided every thing that might involve him in quarrels with any of his neighbours; and it may be safely affirmed, that, nowithflanding the blame thrown upon him on that account by several hillorians, the same conduct, which was agreeable to him, was in the highest degree advantageous to his people. He gave, however, some affishance to the Dutch, and to Frederic, elector palatine, and king of Bobmia, his son-in-law, when the emperor Ferdinand and the king of Spain were dispositely significant of the seven came to a rupture with Spain a little before his death; but it was not attended with any important consequences. 2. What was king James's usfall coupation?

A. The





A. The study of divinity, in which, it must be owned, he made considerable progress, and to which he was so attached, that he feems to have preferred it to the cares of government. He called at Hampton-Court a conference of divines, in order to put an end to the disputes between the episcopalians and the diffenters in his kingdoms; and he likewise wrote several pieces for the same purpose.

2. Who were the king's chief favourites?

A. Robert Car, earl of Somerfet, and George Villiers, duke of Buckingbam. The former, having been convicted of being concerned in the murder of Sir Thomas Overbury, was condemned to lose his head, but obtained a pardon. The fate of the latter will be feen in the next chapter.

2 Of what disease did king James die?

A. Of a tertian ague, in his palace at Theobalds, on the 27th of March, 1625, aged fifty-nine years, of which he had reigned twenty-two over England, and fifty-eight over Scotland.

2. Describe the person and qualities of king James.

A. In his flature he was of the middle fize, inclining to corpulency; his forehead was high, his beard fcanty, his address aukward, and his appearance slovenly. He was possessed of considerable virtues; but no one of them pure, or free from the contagion of the neighbouring vices. His generofity bordered on profusion, his learning on pedantry. his pacific disposition on pusillanimity, his wisdom on cunning, his friendship on light fancy and boyish fondness,

2. Had he any iffue?

A. By his wife, Anne, daughter of Frederic II. king of Denmark, and of Sophia of Mecklenburgh, he had seven children, viz. Henry Frederic, prince of Wales, who died in 1612, and whom the English writers of that age represent as one of the most accomplished princes in Europe; Robert, who died in his childhood; Charles, by whom he was succeeded on the throne : Elizabeth, married, in 1613, to Frederic V. elector palatine, and afterwards king of Bohemia, from whom descended the illustrious family now on the throne; the princess Sophia, mother of George I. being their issue; Margaret, Mary, and Sophia, who died infants.

2. Who were the most eminent writers of this and the

preceding reign?

### 218 HISTORY OF ENGLAND. 1625

A. Spencer, Sidney, Donne, Shakefrear, Johnson, Raleigh, Bacon, Fairfax, Harrington, and Cambatm. Kimg James himself was an author: he wrote the Brillicon Doron; the true law of free monarchies; a treatife on witches and apparitions; and a commentary on the Revelations, in which he proved the pope to be Antichrift.

2. Was the reign of king James distinguished by any

other remarkable events?

A. It was properly during this reign, that the first English colonies were planted in America; for queen Estimates had one little more than give a name to the continent of Firginia. It was likewife during the same period, that Sir Hubb Middleton made the new rivet.

2. Did any thing memorable happen in the other king-

doms of Europe during this reign?

A. In 1610, Henry IV. of France was stabbed by an en-

#### C H A P. XLIII. -

## CHARLES I. XLIV th King of England.

### And Second of Great-Britain:

### From 1625 to 1649.

Popes.		King of Spain and Portugal:
	1623	PHILIP IV. 1620
INNOCENT X.	1644	King of Portugal.  JOHN IV. 1640 *
Emperors.		Kings of Denmark.
	1619	CHRISTIAN IV. 1589
FERDINAND III.	1637	FREDERIC III. 1648
Kings of France.		Sovereigns of Sweden.
LEWIS XIII.	1610	GUSTAVUS ADOLPHUS,
Lewis XIV.	1643	CHRISTINA, 1618
		CHRISTINA, 1633

2. WHO fucceeded king James I?
A. His eldest surviving son, Charles I. who ascended the throne in 1625, being then twenty-five years

of age.
2. What were the first acts of his reign?

As his father left him engaged in a war with Spain, he fent, a few months after its accellion, a fleet to the coaft of that kingdom, in order to intercept the galleons; but ire-turned, in a little time, without being able to effect its purpole.

2. Had he any other foreign wars to maintain?

A. He was induced, or rather, indeed, compelled, by the earneft importunity of the duke of Buckingham, who was now become as great a favourite with Charlet, as he had been with James, to declare war against France, in 1627.

<sup>\*</sup> At this period, the Portuguese recovered the independency of their country, and placed the crown upon the head of Jobn, duke of Bragans, known by the name of Jobn IV.

Buckingham conducted an armament to the relief of the proteflants, who were that up in Rechells, and were follely befieged by a Franch army; but he failed in the enterprise. In the fucceeding year, the earl of Denbigh, the duke's brother-in-law, made a like attempt, and with the fame bad fuccess. Buckingham was preparing for another expedition, when he was flabbed at Perifimeth, on the 23 of Aggs/R, 1628, by one Felton, a lieutenant in the army; and though the fleet was carried over to Rachelle by the earl of Lindels's, who fucceeded Buckingham in the command, he was prevented, by a barricado, from entering the harbour; and the town was obliged to furrender in his prefence. A peace was from after concluded both with France and Spain.

2: What were the next measures of the king?

A. He was now engaged in a violent contest with the parliament, which proved fatal to himself, and to many of his subjects.

2. What gave occasion to this contest?

A. The rifing fpirit of the age, which prompted the parliament to abridge the exorbitant prerogative of the crown; and the fevere and inflexible temper of the king, which induced him, not only to fupport that prerogative, but even, as fome think, conderably to extend it.

2. What fleps did the parliament take, in order to effect

their purpose?

A. They obtained, or rather extorted from the king the petition of right; and though Charles was obliged to agree to that measure, he was fo difgusted with the necessity imposed on him, that he resolved to govern, at least for some time, without a parliament.

2. Did the two parties immediately come to a rupture?

had it not been for the disturbances in Scotland.

Whence did these disturbances arise t A. From the attempt, which king Charles made, to perfect the scheme, which his father had formed for establishing episcopacy in Scotland.

2. What kind of church government prevailed in that

kingdom?

A. The presbyterian; the general affembly having solemnly approved, in 1566, the discipline of the church of Switzer-

land

land, as also an equality among the ministers of the church. Queen Mary, it is true, had publickly restored the archbishop of St. Andrewn, and some other prelates: and king James had endeavoured to establish the Scattife church upon the same footing with that of England; but had not been able to accombish his purpose.

2. In what manner did king Charles propose to complete

this project?

A. He fent down to Edinburgh, in 1617, the canons and liturgy, with a view of having them introduced in the church of Sociated: but the dean of Edinburgh had no fooner begun to read the new fervice, than the people rofe in a termultuous manner, floned the biflops, infulted the privy-council; and being prefently joined by fome noblemen and genilemen, who had fereity encouraged the riot, they at laft fabferibed that famous league, which they called the covenant. This covenant, befides a follown renunciation of popery, contained a bond of union, by which the fabribers obliged themselves to refit all religious innovations. The general affembly met foon after at Glasgew, and formally abolished episcopacy.

2. What measures did the king take for suppressing

these disorders?

A. He marched towards Sestland with an army of 20,000 foot, and 3000 horfe, attended by a fleet having 5000 land forces on board; but though equal, if not superior to the enemy in number of troops, he agreed to a peace, which was no lefs difhonourable to him than advantageous to them.

2. Was this peace lasting?

No: the king having determined to prevent the abolition of epifcopacy in 8stland, which he plainly foreiaw mult be the necessary consequence of the treaty he had made, affembled another army in 1640, in order to invade that kingdom; but the 8sets, who had expected no lefs, were in arms before him, and entering England with superior forces, obliged him once more to consent to a peace, which was signed the 7th of Angust, 1641.

2. How did these disturbances in Scotland promote the

designs of the English malecontents ?

3 A. A.

A. A parliament being affembled in 1640, the members, encouraged by the near neighbourhood of the Scotch rebels. who still remained at Newcastle, compelled the king to declare them perpetual, or, at least, that they should not be disfolved without their own consent. Having carried this important point, and rendered their authority co-ordinate with the king's, they proceeded to redrefs every grievance, whether real or pretended, of which the nation at that time complained. They abolished the arbitrary courts of high-commission and star-chamber; deprived the king of the power of levving any taxes without the concurrence of parliament; attacked his most favourite ministers and fervants: attainted archbishop Laud, and the earl of Strafford, who were both executed; expelled the bishops from their feats in the upper house; demanded the sole direction of the militia for a limited time; and finding it impossible to perfuade the king to agree to this request, began openly to prepare for war. The king, on his part, feeing all hopes of accommodation loft, and that the fword alone must decide the controverfy, embraced the fame refolution.

2). What was the consequence of these hostile disposi-

tions?

A. Several battles were fought, with various fuccefs, between the king's forces and thefe of the parliament, from the year 1642 to 1645. The king was victorious at Bradockdown, Stratton, Rowndway-down, Cropredy-bridge, 'and Atherton-moor: the parliament had the advantage at Wakefield, Gainsborough, Horn-Castle, Torrington, and the second battle of Newbury. The battles of Edgebill and Lanfdown, and the first at Newbury, were drawn battles. But no decifive action happened till the army commanded by prince Rupert, the king's nephew, was routed at Marston-moor, by the earl of Manchester, the parliamentary general. The king himself was defeated at Naseby, the 14th of June, 1645, by the lord Fairfax; and this prince, after making fome vain attempts to retrieve his affairs, and finding himfelf deflitute of all kind of resource, went over to the Steech army at Newark, the 5th of May, 1646.

Q. What reception did the Scots give him?

A. They shewed him all the exterior respect due to his dignity; but, at the fame time, put a guard upon him, under

der colour of protection, and made him, in reality, a pri" foner.

2. How long did he continue among them?

A, 'Till the 23d of January, 1647, when they delivered him up to the commissioners of the parliament, who conducted him to Holmby, where he was closely confined.

2. What became of him afterwards?

A. He was seized by Joyce, a cornet of horse, whom Cromwell had fent for that purpose, and who conducted him

to the army.

1647

2. Was this done by the confent of the parliament?

A. On the contrary, they were surprized to the last degree, and the rather as they were upon very bad terms with the army, which began to arrogate to itself the whole sovereign power.

2. Of whom was the parliament composed?

A. Of moderate royalists, presbyterians, and independents. 2. What were the principles of these three parties?

A. The moderate royalists were for reducing the prerogative within proper bounds, but for preferving monarchy and episcopacy: the presbyterians were for preserving monarchy, but abolishing episcopacy: and the independents were for abolishing both monarchy and episcopacy, and establishing a republic.

2. Which of these parties prevailed in the end?

A. The independents; chiefly by the assistance of the

officers of the army, particularly of Cromwell, who was one of that party, or rather made use of this pretence, in order to accomplish his ambitious projects.

2. What steps did he take for this purpose? A. He garbled the parliament, or, as it was then called,

purged it, by expelling all the moderate royalifts and prefbyterians; and having thus got a parliament entirely to his mind, he formed the bold and criminal resolution of bringing his fovereign to a trial. 2. How was this trial conducted?

A. The house of commons (if we must so call about fifty or fixty independents, for the house was now reduced to that fmall number) established a pretended high court of justice, in order to try the king, though contrary to the ad-L 4 vice

vice and opinion of the lords, who refused to have any share in this scandalous proceeding; and they nominated, as judges, General Pairian, Oliver Cremwell, Henry Ireton, Sir Hardroff Waller, Colonel Harrifon, Philip Shippon, and others, amounting, in all, to 133 perfons; though no more than 70 ever attended. Bradflowu, a lawyer, was chosen prefident. Coke was appointed folicitor for the people of England. The court sat in Wishinister-ball.

2. Of what crimes was the king accused?

 $\vec{A}$ . The charge againft him was expressed in these terms: that he, Chexis Starat, being admitted king of Eng/asd, and intrusted with a limited power, yet nevertheless, ou of a wicked design to erect an unlimited whom they represented a partial star and the people whom they represented; and was therefore impeached as a tyrant, traitor, murderer, and a public and implicable enemy to the commonwealth. The charge being read, the president directed his discourse to the king, and told him, that the court expressed his answer.

2. Did he comply with their request?

A. No: he refused to acknowledge the authority of the court, and of those who composed it. He said, that he could perceive no appearance of the upper house, so essential a member of the conflitution; that the house of commons was no court of juffice; and that even the commons. whose authority was pretended, were subdued by lawless force, and bereaved of their liberty; that he himself was their native hereditary king; nor was the whole authority of the flate, though free and united, intitled to try him. who derived his dignity from the supreme majesty of heaven. The court, however, over-ruled his objections, and, after having obliged him to appear before them four feveral times, at each of which he refused to plead, they at last pronounced fentence against him the 27th of January, 1649. He seemed very anxious, at this time, to be admitted to a conference with the two houses; and it was generally supposed, that he intended to have refigned his crown in favour of his fon, prince Charles.

2. Did nobody interpose in his behalf?

A. Yes: the French, the Dutch, the Scots, the queen, and the prince of Wales, exerted their utmost efforts in order to fave his life; but all their endeavours proved ineffectual. The king was beheaded the 30th of January, on a scaffold raifed in the street, near the windows of the banquetinghouse, Whiteball. He underwent his fate with great conflancy and resolution, and without discovering the least symptom either of fear or surprize. His body was embalmed; and, after having been exposed publickly during fome days, in one of the apartments of Whitehall, it was carried to Windfer, and interred there in St. George's chapel. In a few days after the king's death, his flatue in the Royal Exchange was thrown down; and on the pedeftal these words were inscribed: Exit tyrannus, regum ultimus; " the tyrant is gone, the last of kings."

2. What is the character of king Charles?

A. Lord Clarendon, who knew him well, and was an excellent judge, fays, " that he was the worthielt gentle-" man, the best master, the best friend, the best husband, " the best father, and the best christian of the age in which " he lived:" and this character, indeed, he appears to have deserved. It must be owned, however, that he had been bred up in too high notions of the prerogative; suffered himself to be guided by weak and worthless ministers; and paid too great deference to the opinion of his confort, who was bigottedly attached to the catholic religion: and hence feem to have proceeded all these instances of misgovernment, which involved him in so many caramities during his life, and at last brought him to an untimely end.

2. Had king Charles any children?

A. Yes; by his queen, Henrietta, daughter of Henry IV. of France, he had four fons, and five daughters, namely, Charles James, who died in the cradle; Charles, prince of Wales, by whom he was succeeded; James, duke of York; Henry, duke of Gloucester, who died after the restoration; Mary, who espoused William of Naussau, prince of Orange, father to king William III. Elizabeth, confined by the parliament in Cariforook Caftle, where the died; Anne and Cam tharine, who died in their infancy; and Henrie ta Maria, who was carried in her infancy, by the dutchess of Dalkeith, into France, where she married Philip, duke of Anjou and Ls Orleans.

Orleans, brother to Lewis XIV. This lady died in 1670. 2. Did any other remarkable events happen in this

reign ? A. In 1635, there was prefented to the king, one William Parr, who enjoyed a perfect state of health, though 152 years of age, being born the last year of Edward IV. In 1641, the catholics in Ireland broke out into a furious

rebellion, and maffacred about 40,000 English.

## C H A P. XLIV.

## INTER-REGNUM.

### The COMMONWEALTH of England. From 1640 to 1652.

Popes.	Kings of Portug		al.
INNOCENT X.	1644	JOHN IV.	1640
ALEXANDER VII.	1655	ALONSO VI.	1656
		King of Denmarl	
LEOPOLD	1658	FREDERICK III.	1648
King of France. Lewis XIV. King of Spain.	1643	Sovereigns of Swe	
ising of opaini		CHAICTING.	, , ,

PHILIP IV. 1620 CHARLES X. 2. WHAT measures did the parliament take after

the tragical death of king Charles I? A. They issued a proclamation, declaring it high treason for any one so acknowledge Charles Stuart, eldest son of the late king, as fovereign of England. And they even passed an act, abolishing the kingly power, as useless, burdensome,

and dangerous. 2. What kind of government did they establish?

A. The house of commons (for so we must henceforth term a small and inconsiderable part of that assembly) abolished the house of lords, and decreed, that the state should, for the future, be governed by the representatives of the p cople.

people, fitting in parliament, under the form of a commonwealth. On their feal, which they called the Great-Seal of England, was this inferipion, In the figh year of Freedom, by Gad's bliffing refored, 1648. They named a council of flate, confiling of thirty-eight persons, to whom all addresses were made, who gave orders to all generals and admirals, who executed the laws, and who digested all business before it was introduced into rarisamen.

2. Did all parts of the British dominions submit quietly

to their government ?

A. No: the Scots and the Irifb recognized Charles II. as their king, and refolved to support his cause by force of arms.

2. What steps did they take for that purpose?

A. The Irifo, headed by the marquis of Ormond, reduced feveral places belonging to the parliament; and even threatened Dublin with a fiege: but Jones, the governor of that city, having received a reinforcement of four thouland men, attacked Ormond on the fecond of August. 1049, and gave him a total defeat.

2. What was the consequence of this overthrow?

A. Oliver Cromwell, who had lately been elected lieutenant of Ireland, arrived at Dublin with an army of twelve thousland men, and falling upon the royalifa with irrefilible fury, he routed them in feveral engagements; took Tredaby, Mrspfred, Kishemy, and other places, where he put the garrifons to the fword; and proceeded in his conquelts with fuch incredible rapidity, that in the space of nine months he almost intirely fubdued the island. Above forty thousland Ir \( \beta \) are faid to have abandoned their country, and gone into forcien fervices.

2. What where the Scots doing in the mean time?

A. They recalled Charles II. who, fince his father's death, had refided for the most part in France or in Hol-

land; and they instantly put him at the head of a considerable army.

2 What fuccess did he meet with?

A. Olivar Cromwall, who had returned from Freland, and affuned the command of the parliamentory forces, in the room of general Fairfax, marched inducedly into Scaland with 16,000 men, and attacking the royalifis at Danbar, on the third of September, 16,00, he put them to

a total rout. He then took possession of Leith and Edin-

2. Did not this defeat ruin king Charles's affairs?

2. Did not this defeat ruin king Charlet's affairs?

A. No: he was folenmly crowned at Sense the first of January, 1651; soon after which he assembled an army of 14,000 men, and encamped at Torwood, in the neighbourhood of Sterline.

2. Was he able to maintain his ground?

A. Cremwell marched against him, and endeavoured to bring him to a battle; but finding it impossible to draw him from his intrenchments, he passed the Forth, and cut off the king's communication with the northern countries.

2. How did Charles extricate herself from his present

difficulty?

A. Seeing the way open into England, he entered that country, and advanced as far as Worcester, where he was honourably received.

2. Was not this an overfight in Cromwell?

A. Yes: but he quickly repaired it by his vigilance and activity. He followed the king with hafty marches, and being contiaually reinforced by the militia of the counties through which he paffed, he fell upon Warcyfler, the third of Supender, 1651, with an army of 40,000 men, and, after meeting with a faint refiltance, made himfelf mafter of the place. The whole Seatch army were either killed or taken prificeners. The king was obliged to feek his fafety by flight.

2. What became of him afterwards ?

A. He dressed himself in the habit of a pensant; and in this dispusse, travelled through several parts of the kingdom, being frequently in danger of being seized by his enemies, especially as a price was set upon his head. The better to elude the researches of his pursuers, he passed as whole day on a tusted oak at Boslobel in Stassories, he passed as whole day on a tusted oak at Boslobel in Stassories, then called The Royal Oak; where he saw pais under him some persons on horseback, who expressed, in his hearing, their earnest withen that he might fall into their hands. At last, after a concealment of forty-one days, he embarked in a vessel at Shoreham in Sussies, and landed sfelly at Fosciamp in Normandy, the twenty-second of October, 1651. No less

than forty men and women had at different times been privy to his escape.

2. Was the commonwealth of England engaged in any

1652

foreign wars? A. Yes, with Holland; and feveral furious battles were fought with various fuccess between the fleets of the two nations, in 1652 and 1653. One battle, in particular, lasted three whole days. The principal commanders on the fide of England were Blake, Monk, and Aylcue; on that of Holland, Tromp and De Ruyter.

2. How long did the commonwealth continue?

A. Till the spring of 1653, when the commons endeavouring to disband some of the forces, Cromwell, who was at the head of the army, and knew that his authority depended folely on that support, suddenly dissolved the parliament on the twentieth day of April. To gratify the nation, however, with the appearance of a parliament, he appointed 144 persons (known by the names of Barebone's parliament) to meet at Westminster, and manage the affairs of government. But these being chosen from the very dregs of the populace, foon found themselves exposed to the derifion of the public : they therefore refigned their power into the hands of Gromwell, who caused himself to be proclaimed Protector of the kingdoms of England, Scotland, and Ireland, the 16th of December, 1653.

#### OLIVER CROMWELL, Protestor.

#### From 1653 to 1658.

2 WHOSE fon was Oliver Cromwell? A. Of Mr. Robert Cromwell, a gentleman of good family and fortune in the county of Huntington; and of Elizabeth Stuart, daughter of Sir Richard Stuart, of the Isle of Ely, remotely allied, as is by some supposed, to the royal family. With regard to the story of his being descended from Cromwell, earl of Essex, in the reign of king Henry VIII. it feems not to be supported by any good authority.

2. Pray give some farther account of Cromwell.

A. In his youth he had led a very diffolute and licentious life; and confumed in gaming, drinking, debauchery, and country riots, a considerable part of his patrimony. About the age of twenty, however, he fuddenly reformed, entered into the married state, affected a grave and composed behaviour, and became a most zealous and rigid puritan. Being chosen a member of the long parliament, he was one of the most violent of the country-party, and strenuoufly promoted every thing that could tend to widen the breach between the king and the people. When the civil wars began, he raifed a troop of horse, which he afterwards augmented to a regiment; and he first introduced that difcipline, and inspired that spirit, which rendered the parliamentary armies in the end victorious. In all the great battles, which were fought during the course of the civil wars, he had a principal and diftinguished share. Endowed alike with courage and prudence, and possessed at the same time of the most consummate hypocrify, he rose, by his merit, no less than, by his cunning, to be the first in the army; and, foon after, to be the first in the state.

2. Were not the people enraged at Cromwell's usurpa-

tion?

A. Not fo much as might have been expected. Their harred against the Leng, or, as it was now called, by way of derision, the Rump Parliament, was fo great, that they were willing to submit to any other kind of government, 9. How did Cromwell behave in his high station?

A. With as much equity, and even lenity, as could be expected from one in such delicate circumstances. He was obliged, however, for his sown safety, and for the ske of preserving the public tranquility, to make some examples and he put to death Gerard and Nevul, who had conflict against his person. He likewise condemned some other royalitis, who had formed a design to overturn his authority.

2. Was Cromwell's protectorship acknowledged by the other states of Europe?

A. The Dutch, the French, and the Spaniards feemed to vie with each other in courting his alliance.

2. Did he accept their proffers of friendship? A. He concluded a peace with the Dutch, which was figned at London the 15th of April, 1654; though not before he had compelled that people to come under an obligation to banish all the enemies of the English commonwealth; to punish those who had been concerned in the massacre of Amboyna; to yield the honour of the flag to England; to pay 85,000 l. as an indemnification for the losses which the English East-India company had sustained; and to deliver to that company the island of Polarone, in the East-Indies.

2. Did he act in the same manner towards France?

A. Yes: he concluded a peace with that nation, which was proclaimed at London the 23d of October, 1655; and the French were glad to agree to this treaty, without obtaining the least reparation for the infult they had received from the English commonwealth, who, in 1652, had intercepted the French succours that were failing to Dunkirk, then closely befieged by the Spaniards, and which, for want of that fupply, fell into the hands of the enemy.

2. How did Cromwell behave towards Spain?

A. In a less friendly manner, notwithstanding the manifest partiality, which that court had discovered in favour of the English parliament. But Cromwell hated the Spaniards on account of their bigotted attachment to the catholic religion: he was acquainted too with the extensive dominions, and yet extreme weakness of that great monarchy; and he was defirous, if possible, to make some important conquest, which might transmit his name with honour to posterity. With this view he fitted out an armament in 1654, and fent it, under Pen and Venables, to make a descent upon the island of Hispaniola. The attempt miscarried; but the commanders, in their return, attacked Jamaica, and took it the 16th of May 1655. Some time after, Blake and Montague made prize, near Cadiz, of two Spanish galleons, valued at two millions of pieces of eight; and funk five others. Blake likewife burnt fixteen galleons in the bay of Santa Cruz in the Canaries; but this admiral died in his return to England, and just when he was within fight of land; and Cromwell, who had a great regard for him, honoured him with a pompous funeral at the public expence, and

caused his remains to be deposited in Henry the VIIth's

chapel, in Westminster-Abbey. 2. Did Cromwell obtain any other advantages over the

Spaniards? A. He affifted the French in their war against that nation; and when the confederate army took Mardyke and Dunkirk in 1658, both these places were put into his hands.

2. Did he give any other proof of his vigour and reso-

Iution ?

A. In 1654, Don Pantaleon Sa, brother to the Portuguese ambassador, and joined with him in the same commission, affaffinated a gentleman upon the Royal Exchange, and took refuge in his brother's house. The populace surrounded the house, and threatened to fet it on fire. Cromwell fent & guard, who seized the criminal. He was instantly brought to trial; and, notwithstanding the remonstrances of the ambassador, who pleaded the privileges of his office, Don Pantaleon was executed on Tower-bill. The Portuguese complained loudly of this violation of the laws of nations; but as they were in no condition to revenge the injury, they agreed foon after to a treaty of peace and alliance.

2. How many parliaments did Cromwell affemble?

A. Two, befides Barebone's; and though the first of these was somewhat refractory, he yet obliged the second, partly by force, and partly by artifice, to confirm his protectorship, and even to make him a tender of the crown, This offer, however, he thought it most prudent to reject.

2. Of what disease did Cromwell die?

A. Of a tertian ague, the 3d of September, 1658, being then in the fifty-ninth year of his age. His body was interred with regal pomp in Henry the VIIth's chapel, in Westminster- Abber; though some think it was either funk in the Thames, or buried in Naseby-Field. A little before his death he named his fon Richard his fuccessor.

2. What is the character of Cromwell?

A. Cardinal Mazarine was wont to call him a fortunate madman : father Orleans stiles him a judicious villain : lord Clarendon, a brave wicked man : and Gregorio Leti fays, that he was a tyrant without vices, and a prince without virtues. His courage, indeed, and his conduct as a foldier. were unquestionable; but his abilities, as a statesman, were

by no means proportionable: for, after he had, by his canning and capacity, obtained the fupreme power, he feems fill to have been at a lofs what kind of government to ethablin. He continued, it is true, till the day of his death, to ack only as protector; but the extent of his authority was never precifely determined; and it is generally allowed, that, had it not been for the well-grounded apprehension of being opposed by the army, he would willingly have accepted the title of king.

2. Had he any children ?

A. By his wife, Elizabeth, daughter of Sir James Bouchier, he had two fons, and four daughters, viz. Richard, who fucceeded him in the protectorlin; Henry, who, at the time of his father's death, was lord-lieutenant of Ireland; Bridget, married first to Homy Ireton, and afterwards to lieutenant-general Fleewoods; Elizabeth, his favourite, married to Mr. Calpaple; Many, espoused to the lord viscount Falcombridge; and Frances, matched first with Mr. Rich, grandson and heir of the earl of Warwick, and afterwards with Sir John Russel.

# RICHARD CROMWELL, Second Protestor.

2. WHO succeeded Oliver in the protectorship?
A. Richard, his eldest son, who was proclaimed without opposition, the 4th of September, 1658, the day immediately following his father's death.

2. What were the first acts of his government.

A. He fummoned a parliament, which met at Weftminfler the 27th of January, 1659; and which, after confirming his authority, were proceeding to other matters, when their deliberations were fuddenly interrupted by the cabals of the army.

2. Whence arose those cabals?

A. Richard had unwarily given his confent to the calling a council of officers. Thele were no fooner affemble, than they prefented a petition to him, requefling the power of chufing their own general. The parliament, alarmed at this demand, voted, that there should be no council of officers.

officers during its fitting. This vote brought matters im-mediately to a rupture. The officers hastened to Richard, and compelled him to diffolve the parliament; and by the fame act, the protector was by every one confidered as effectually deposed. Soon after, he figned his deposition in form. He only defired that his debts should be paid by the public; but even this favour he could not obtain. His brother Henry was at that time governor of Ireland; and as he was extremely beloved, both by the army and people, he might have made (had he pleased) considerable refistance; but being naturally of a moderate temper, and being likewise threatened by Waller, Jones, and other officers, he very quietly refigned his command. Both of them remained fafe after the restoration. Richard, however, thought proper to travel for a few years; and returning to England, he continued to live in privacy and retirement, till the latter end of queen Anne's reign, when he died.

2. What followed upon the deposition of Richard?

A. The council of officers, headed by Fleetwood, a weak

man, and Lambert, an ambitious one, feized upon the government; and after a fhort anarchy, restored the Long or Rump Parliament, which had been diffolved by Oliver in 1653.

2. What course did this parliament pursue?

A. They were concerting measures for subjecting the military to the civil authority, when Fleetwood, Lambert, and other officers, fuspecting their defigns, expelled them the house the 12th of Ostober: and appointed twenty-three persons, whom they called a Committee of Safety, and to whom they pretended to entrust the fole administration of affairs, which, nevertheless, they were determined to retain in their own hands. 2. How were these revolutions relished by the people?

A. Very ill : particularly by the presbyterians and royalifts, who composed the bulk of the nation; and who, in order to free themselves from such a succession of tyrants, entered into a defign of restoring the king; and with this view, endeavoured to engage in their interest, general

Monk, who at that time commanded in Scotland, 2. Did they succeed in their endeavours?

A. Monk, it is probable, had already formed the fame defign; but as he knew it could not be accomplished without observing the most profound secrefy, he affected to difregard the applications that were made to him. He would not even enter into a correspondence with the king, or with any commissioned by him, till affairs should be brought into a more favourable fituation.

2. How did he conduct his project ?

A. He pretended to espouse the cause of the expelled parliament; and in order to restore them, as he said, to their authority, he began his march immediately for England. The greatest part of the army followed his example: Lambert, who was the foul of the opposite party. was thrown into the Tower: and thus the Rump Parliament, being now freed from all kind of restraint, assembled once more, the 26th of December, 1650.

2. Did Monk flop his march upon receiving the news

of this fresh revolution?

A. No: he continued to advance, though still under the pretence of supporting the authority of parliament. He knew that the people in general were fo enraged at the tyranny of the rump and the army, that the affembling of a free parliament, (which he was determined to effect,) and the restoring of the king, was one and the same meafure. Accordingly, upon his arrival in London, he restored to their feats all those members, who had been expelled the house in 1648, a little before the late king's trial. These being re-admitted, appeared to be the majority; and after giving orders for the affembling of a free parliament on the 25th of April following, they immediately diffolyed themfelves. This measure had been previously concerted with the general ?

Q. What step did Monk take next?

A. He fent a message to his majesty, who was then in Spain, exhorting him to retire into Holland, and to depute fome person to lay his proposals before the parliament.

2. Was not this scheme like to have been overturned by

an unforeseen accident?

A. Yes: Lambert escaped from the Toquer, and began to affemble forces; but he had been able to collect only four troops of horse, when, being closely pursued by colonel Involdiby.

Ingoldsby, he was taken prisoner with very little diffi-

culty.

2. What followed after this?

A. The parliament affembling on the day appointed, general Mask informed them, that Sit '96sh Granvulle was arrived with a letter from his majefty. Sir John was introduced into the house: his majelty's letter was read and approved: a prefent of \$0,000 l. was conferred on the king; 10,000 l. on the duke of York, \$000 l. on the duke of Glauesfer: a deputation was fent to invite his majefty to return: and he was accordingly proclaimed king of Great-Britain, the Sth of May, 1660.

2 Where was Charles at this time?

A. At Breda in Holland, whence he immediately departed; and embarking at the Hague, on Wednesday the 23d of May, landed at Dover on Friday, accompanied by the dukes of York and Gloucester, and great numbers of the nobility and gentry. On his landing, he was met by general Monk, whom he cordially embraced, honouring him with the appellation of father; and upon his arrival at Canterbury, he invested him with the order of the Garter. On the 20th of May, which was his birth-day, he entered London, and proceeded to his palace at Whitehall, amidst fuch shouts and acclamations of the people, that the king had reason to say, it must surely have been his own fault, that he had not fooner taken possession of the throne; fince he found every body fo zealous for promoting his happy restoration. 2. What eminent writers flourished during this period?

A. Milton, Waller, Cowley, Denbam, Harrington, Hobbes, Clarendon, and Harvey, who first discovered the circulation

of the blood.

2. Did not a great many religious fects spring up in England about this time?

A. So many, that it would be almost impossible to enumerate them. That of the Quakers was the most remarkable. Its founder was one George Fox, born at Drayton, in Lancabires, in 1624. He was the ion of a weaver, and had been bound apprentice to a shoe-maker.

#### CHAP. XLV.

## CHARLES II. XLVth King of England, And Third of Great-Britain:

### From 1660 to 1685.

110111 1000 10 1003.					
Popes.		Kings of Spain.			
ALEXANDER VII.	1655	PHILIP IV.	1620		
CELESTIN IX.	1667	CHARLES II.	1665		
CLEMENT X.	1670	Kings of Portugal.			
INNOCENT XI.	1676	ALONSO VI.	1656		
	/-	PEDRO II.	1683		
Emperor.		Kings of Denmark			
LEOPOLD	1658	FREDERIC III.	1648		
771 A.W		CHRISTIAN V.	1670		
King of France.		King of Sweden.	,		
Lewis XIV.	1643	CHARLES XI.	1660		
	10				

2. WHAT were the first steps king Charles took upon his ascending the throne?

A. After having fettled his ministry, he passed an act of indemnity, out of which forty-nine of the late king's judges were excepted. Twenty-nine of these were condemned to death. Ten only were executed. The ref were reprieved, and dispracted into several prisons. The bodies of Cramwall, Ireton, Bradshow, and Prids, were taken out of their graves, hung up at Tyburn, and interred under the callows.

2. What were the king's next measures?

A. He repealed all the laws which had been enacked againft a kingly government; rewarded those who had adhered to his interest; restored the episcopal clergy to their livings, of which Crowword! had deprived them, in order to beslow them upon the pressyreins; and, in a word, replaced every thing upon the same frotting on which is stood before the commencement of the civil wars.

2. Was Charles engaged in any foreign wars?

A. In 1664, he declared war against Holland, upon very frivolous pretences. The chief reason alledged, was the

taking

taking of two English ships by the Dutch, the Good-Hote and the Bonaventure; and even with regard to these, the

Hollanders offered to make proper fatisfaction.

2. Was this war attended with any remarkable action? A. Several naval battles were fought with great courage and obstinacy on both sides, and, at the same time, with considerable bloodshed. In the first of these, which happened the 3d of June, 1665, the duke of York, who commanded the English fleet, obtained a complete victory over the Dutch, who were commanded by Obdam. During the heat of the engagement, Obdam's ship blew up, and himfelf perished in it. The Dutch lost nineteen other ships with about 6000 men; and fome affirm, that their whole fleet might have been destroyed, had not orders been issued to flacken fail, by Brounker, a gentleman of the duke's bedchamber, who pretended authority from his mafter. The duke disclaimed the orders; but Brounker was never sufficiently punished for his rashness.

2. What was the iffue of the other battles?

A. One of them, which happened in June, 1666, lasted four days, and the event was not decifive. The English, commanded by prince Rupert, and by Monk, now created duke of Albemarle, defeated the Dutch in the month of July following. They even entered the road of Ulie, and burned 142 ships, together with Brandaris, a large and populous village on the coast. In revenge for this injury, the Dutch entered the Thames in 1667, failed up the Medway, and burned feveral thips at Chatham. Both fides, however, were now equally weary of the war; and a peace was accordingly concluded at Breda, on the 10th of July of the fame year.

2. What was the next foreign transaction of Charles?

A. He concluded the famous treaty, called the Triple League or Alliance, between the English, the Swedes, and the Dutch. It was figned at the Hague, the 14th of Januarv. 1668.

9. What was the intention of this alliance?

A. To check the ambition of Lewis XIV, king of France, who, under pretence of supporting the rights of his wife, who was a daughter of Spain, had invaded the Spanish Netherlands, made himself master of a great many places, and seemed plainly to aspire to universal monarchy.

2. How long did Charles persevere in this wise con-

2. How long did Charles persevere in this wise conduct?

A. 'Till 1670, when actuated, partly by his own fickle temper, partly by the advice of his wicked ministers, he broke the triple league, and entered into an alliance with France.

2. What was the scope of this new alliance?

A. It was never certainly known; but it is generally thought, that Charles engaged to affilt Lewis in making a conquest of Holland, and Lewis promised to enable Charles to render himself absolute in his own dominions.

2. What steps did they take in order to execute these

projects?

A. They both declared war againt Halland, in March, 1672; and their example was from after followed by the elector of Golga, and the bilings of Mamfer; to that the Datch were fuddenly and unavares attacked by four different powers at once.

2. How did they behave in this desperate extremity?

A. They were at first thrown into the utmost conference tion; but having foon recovered their native spirit, whe exerted themselves with so much vigour and activity, that they not only repelled all the attacks of their enemies, but at last obliged them to agree to reasonable terms of peace.

2. What were the principal events of this war?

A. Four great naval battles were fought, between the Dutch on the one hand, and the combined fleets of England and France on the other, between the years 16-22 and 10-24; but none of them were dective. The English were commanded by the duke of Virk, prince Rupert, the earl of Sandwich, and Sit Edward Sprognes, the Dutch, by de Rayler and Tromp, fon of the famous admiral of that name; and the French, by Martfold & Bibber. Peace was concluded between England and Helland the 28th of February, 15/4. Charler would willingly have continued the war longer; but finding, that the commons, falpicious of the deligns which Lewis and he had formed, would grant him no fupplies, he was obliged, though with great reluctance, to put an end to holdfilties.

2. What

2. What were the operations of the war at land? A. The king of France invaded the Dutch with a numerous army; and meeting with little or no opposition, and being affifted by the elector of Cologn and the bishop of Munster, he soon made himself master of all the united provinces, Holland and Zealand excepted: nor could even these be saved but by laying them under water. The Dutch, confounded at the progress of the enemy, were flruck with fuch a pannic, that they had actually once entertained thoughts of abandoning their native country, and transporting themselves and their effects to their settlements in the East-Indies. At length, however, they affumed courage from despair, and resolved to expend the last drop of their blood, in defending against their haughty and infulting foes the two provinces, which still remained to them. The people rose in arms; they displaced their magistrates, who then ruled the state; they murdered the De Wits, who were supposed to be attached to the French faction; they invested the prince of Orange with the office of fladtholder: and being foon after joined by the Spaniards and Imperialifts, they continued, for the fpace of fix successive campaigns, to make such a steady and vigorous refistance against the whole power of the French monarchy, that Lewis, being deprived of all hopes of accomplishing his ambitious projects, was glad to agree to a peace, without retaining any of the conquests which he had made from the Dutch, except Grave and Maestricht. He preserved, however, a good number of places which he had taken in the Spanish Netherlands. The treaty was figned at Nimeguen, the 3 th of June, 1678.

2. Did not the parliament exhort king Charles, towards the latter end of this war, to engage in an offensive alliance with the Dutch, and to put a flop to the propers of

the French arms ?

A. So earneflly, that he at last seemed to yield to their entreaties. The prince of Orange too, who came over to England, in order to espouse the princes Many, eldest daughter of the duke of York, whom he married the 4th of November, 1677, exerted all his influence for the same purpose; and Charles was at length prevailed on to promise, that if Lewis should refuse the conditions of peace, which which

. .

which he offered him, he would infantly declare war againt that monarch, and compel him to accept of readonable terms. He even went to far as to affemble a forminable terms. He even went to far as to affemble a forminable fleet, and raise an army of 50,000 men; but, after all these mighty boats and preparations, he suddenly changed his refolution; and the allies, shading they could have no dependance upon the promises of Chantis, concluded a peace upon the best terms they could obtain.

2. How did Charles conduct the domestic administra-

tion of his kingdoms?

A. In a very unpopular manner; being almost continually at variance with the parliament, particularly with the commons.

2. What gave occasion to this misunderstanding?

A. The suspicion they entertained of the king's designs to render himself absolute; and the danger they apprehended from the succession of the duke of York, who had declared himself a Raman catholic.

2. What steps did they take, in order to guard against

this danger?

A. The commons brought in a bill for excluding the dake of 2rd from the throne; and, after a long and violent debate, it passed by a great majority of voices; but being sent up to the lords for their concurrence, it was thrown out by a majority of thirty-three.

2. Whom did the commons propose to appoint the

king's fuccessor on the throne?

A. Some had in view the prince of Orange; but others had fixed their eyes upon James, duke of Mommuth, a natural fon of the king, by one Lusy Walters, whom the partizans of that nobleman alledged had been married to his majefty. The king, however, thought proper to contradict this report, and to declare in full council, that he had never been married to Mrs. Walters.

2. Was there not a popish plot hatched in England

during this reign?

A. Yes; at leaft, if the depositions of Oates, Bedles, and others, may be credited. These men declared, upon oath, in 10;8, that a conspiracy was formed, by the Yelist, for assaining the king, subverting the government, ment,

ment, extirpating the protestant religion, and establishing popery: that Thomas Whitebread, provincial of the Jesuits in England, was at the head of this conspiracy: and that the defign was fecretly favoured by the king of France, the duke of York, and feveral of the nobility and gentry. 2. What credit was given to this information?

A. The king paid no regard to it, and treated the plot itself as a mere fiction; but the parliament, having taken the matter into confideration, and farther examined the witnesses, resolved, that the evidence they had produced was well founded, and that a plot had actually been formed.

2. What was the confequence of this refolution?

A. Whitebread, Fenwic, Gavan, Turner, and Harcourt, all of them Jesuits, together with Coleman, the duke of York's fecretary, were tried, condemned, and executed.

Q. What are the fentiments of different historians with

regard to this plot?

A. Some maintain it to be true in all its circumstances : others admit its truth only with regard to the defign of subverting the government, and deltroying the protestant religion; but deny its reality with respect to the project of murdering the king; while a third fet represent it as false in every particular, and contrived on purpose to distrefs the court, and render the duke of York odious.

9. Was there not likewise a protestant plot carried on

in this reign?

A. Yes, in 1683. The principal conspirators seem only to have proposed the excluding the duke of York from the throne, in case of his brother's decease; but some of the inferior fort, it is faid, had embraced more criminal and dangerous resolutions. They had, it is affirmed, laid a regular plan for murdering both the king and the duke, in their return from Newmarket. This affaffination they intended to commit at a place called the Rye-house, near Hodfon in Hertfordsbire; whence this conspiracy is commonly known by the name of the Rye-house plot. It is further added, that the king escaped the danger merely by accident; and that the house, in which he lodged at Newmarket, happening to take fire, he returned to London fooner than was expected; by which means the confpi-

conspirators were disappointed, Whatever be in this, certain it is, that feveral persons were accused of being concerned in this conspiracy, and were tried, condemned, and executed. Among these were lord Russel, one of the most popular noblemen in the kingdom, and Algernon Sidney, the famous republican. The earl of Effex too was committed to the Tower, where he was foon after found with his throat cut. The duke of Monmouth likewise was impeached of the same crime, and was some time in difgrace, but afterwards obtained a pardon. The earl of Shafilbury fled to Holland, and there ended his days.

2. Did you not fav, that king Charles was almost con-

tinually at variance with his parliaments?

A. Yes; and this misunderstanding rose at length to fuch a pitch, that the king continued, during the latter part of his reign, to rule without parliaments; and being thus freed from the falutary restraint of these national assemblies, he proceeded to invade, without fear or remorfe, the most valuable privileges of his subjects. The city of London, and most of the corporations in England, were deprived of their charters; and though these were afterwards reftored, yet was it upon fuch precarious conditions, as left the liberties of the people entirely at the king's mercy.

2. Was not Charles suspected of being secretly attached

to the catholic religion ?

A. Yes; and not without reason: for, though he made profession, during his whole life, of the protestant faith, he vet died in the Romillo communion.

2. What steps did he take in favour of the Roman ca-

tholics?

A. He published, in 1672, a declaration for liberty of conscience, which was chiefly calculated for their benefit : but he was obliged, by the parliament, in 1673, to revoke this indulgence.

2. What was the most remarkable law enacted in this

reign?

A. The act of Habeas-Corpus (passed in 1679) by which the subject is secured against arbitrary imprisonment; one of the most important laws that ever was made fince the commencement of the English monarchy; and so much the M 2 more

more valuable, as nothing of the like nature has place in any other government in the world.

2 Did any thing memorable happen in the other parts

of the British dominions during this reign?

A. The rigour exercited against the presentains in Scar-And excited great disturbances in that kingdom. The prefbyterians role up in arms; they murdesed Dr. Sharpe, archbishop of St. Andrews: and they even fought two battles with the king's frees, one at Pentland-bill, the other at Bubwall bridge; in both which, however, they were easily deseased.

2. When did king Charles die ?

A. The other of Odeber, 1685, in the fifty-fifth year of his age, and the twenty-fifth of his reign. He was carried off by a fir tefembling an apoplexy; and a fufpicion prevailed, that he had been poiloned by the Jufaits: but this, according to the most impartial historians, was altogether groundlets.

2. Describe the person and qualities of Charles.

A He possessed a period and quantes of constructions.

A He possessed a period and though his features were harsh, yet was his countenance, in the main, lively and agreeable. Franks, open, astable, and polite, he engaged the affections of all who approached him. He was endued with a ready wit, a quick apprehension, and a folid judgment; but his last quality he was prevented from exercising to any useful purpose, by his love of ease and his love of pleasure, which seem to have been his ruling passions. During his seitle, he had applied himself! to the study of several parts of mechanics, particularly the art of shipbuilding, in which he had made considerable proficiency.

2. Was he ever married?

A Yes; May 21, 1662, he espouled Catharine, daughter of John IV. king of Partugal. That princess had, for her portion, two millions of crusades, or about 300,000 l. Rerling, together with two fortreffles, Tangiers in Africa, and Bombay in the East Indies.

9. Had Charles any children?

1. None by his queen; but several by his mistresses.

2. Pray give an account of them.

A. By Mrs. Lucy Walters, he had James Scot, afterwards created duke of Monmouth: by Elizabeth Killigrew, viscouptess Shannon, he had Charlotte-Jemima-Maria, married to the earl of Yarmouth: by Mrs. Catherine Peg. he had Charles Fitz-Charles, commonly called Don Carlos, created earl of Plymouth, married to the heirefs of the duke of Leeds, and killed at Tanviers in 1680 : by Barbara Villiers. dutchess of Chweland, he had Charles Fitz-Roy, created duke of Southampton; Henry Fitz-Roy, created duke of Grafton; and George Fitz-Roy, duke of Northumberland; Anne Fitz-Roy, married to the earl of Suffex; Charlotte Fitz Roy, matched with the earl of Litchfield; and Barbara, who became a nun at Pontoise in Frame: by Louisa at Dueronaille, dutchess of Portsmouth, he had Charles Lenox, duke of Richmond: by Mrs. Eleanor Gawyn, an actress, he had Charles Beauclerk, duke of Et. Albans, and James Beaut clerk, who died in his infancy : and by Mrs. Mary Davis. a lady of the fame profession, he had Mary Tudor, married to the earl of Deraventavater.

2. Was this reign diftinguished by no other remarkable

evente?

A. In 1661, the Royal Society was founded. In 1662, king Charles fold Dunkirk to the French for 400,000 1. In 1665, London was visited by a dreadful plague, which swept away, in the space of a year, above 100,000 of the inhabitants. This was succeeded, the year following, by a calamity, if possible, still more terrible. A fire, breaking out at a baker's house near the bridge, raged with such violence, that, before it could be extinguished, it confumed 400 streets, 80 churches, and 13,200 private houses. The ruins comprehending 406 acres of ground, extended from the Tower along the river to the Temple Church, and from the north-east gate as far as Holborn-Bridge. In 1671, one Blood, a disbanded officer of the protector, formed a design of carrying off the crown and regalia from the Tower : and he had very near succeeded in the attempt. He had bound and wounded Edwards, the keeper of the leweloffice, and had got out of the Tower wish his prey, when he was overtaken and fecured with fome of his affociates. In 1683, king Charles received two ambassadors; one from the emperor of Fez and Merocco, the other from the M 3 king 246 HISTORY of ENGLAND. 1685 king of Bantam. This reign is likewife remarkable for the fift fife of the two famous parties, called White and Tories.

#### CHAP. XLVI.

JAMES II. XLVIth King of England, And Fourth of Great-Britain.

#### From 1685 to 1688.

King of Portugal. Pope. INNOCENT XI. 1676 PEDRO II. 1633 Emperor. King of Denmark. LEOPOLD King of France. CHRISTIAN V. 1670 1613 Lewis XIV. King of Sweden. King of Spain. 1665 CHARLES XI. 1660 CHARLES II.

2. WHO ascended the throne after Charles II?

A. His brother James, duke of York, who assumed the title of James II. He began his reign in 1685, being then fifty-two years of age.

2. Did he succeed with the unanimous consent of the

nation ?

A. Yes, at least in appearance: the tories were his fast friends; and the whigs, who, in the preceding reign, had endeavoured to exclude him from the fuccession to the throne, were become so unpopular on account of the share they had in the Rye-key/e conspiracy, that they were able to make little or no opposition.

9. What were the first acts of his reign?

A. He affembled the privy council, where, after beflowing some praises on the memory of his predecessor, he declared his firm resolution to maintain the established government, both in church and state.

2. Did he adhere to this wife resolution?

A. No: he iffued a proclamation for levying the duties of the customs and excise, without the consent of parliament;

ment; though these duties had been voted only during the life of the late king.

2. Did not the parliament resent this stretch of autho-

A. No: that affembly meeting the 19th of May, granted king James an annual revenue of above 2,000,0001. fterling. The Scotch parliament too were no less liberal, in proportion to their abilities; for, besides annexing the duty of excise to the crown for ever, they voted an yearly subfidy of 260,000 l. sterling. About this time Titus Oates, being tried for perjury in the affair of the popish plot, was condemned to pay a fine of 2000 marks, to be whipped from Aldgate to Tyburn, to be imprisoned during life, and to be pilloried five times every year. He furvived, however, till the reign of king William; when he received from

that prince a pension of four hundred pounds. 2. Was not a rebellion raised in the beginning of this

reign ?

A. James, duke of Monmouth, natural fon to the late king, returning from the Low-Countries, whither he had been banished, landed at Lime in Dorfeisbire the 11th of Tune 1685; and though he brought not with him above one hundred followers, he foon affembled an army of fix thousand men.

2. What reason did he alledge for this insurrection? A. He pretended that his mother had been married to Charles II.; and, of consequence, that he himself was legitimate. He was therefore come, he faid, to affert his right to the crown, and to prevent king James (whom he stiled only duke of York) from subverting the government, and extirpating the proteflant religion.

2. What success did he meet with in this enterprize?

A. He advanced first to Taunton, and thence to Bridgewater, where he was folemnly proclaimed by the title of James II.; but being encountered by the king's forces under the earl of Feuersham, he was deseated with the loss of 1:500 men.

2. What became of him afterwards ?

A. Being hotly pursued, he was discovered, two days after the battle, lying in the bottom of a ditch, and covered with fern; and having only fome raw peas in his pocket, MA

which he had gathered in the fields to fustain life. He was thence carried to the Tower, where he was committed to close custody, and beheaded the 15th of July 1685. 2. Did no body act in concert with Monmouth?

A. The earl of Argyle, who had been unjustly condemned to death in the preceding reign, and had made his escape into Holland, returned now from that country; and landing in Scotland, the 20th of May, endeavoured to perfuade the people to rife up in arms : but though he was able to collect a few of his own vaffals, he was fuddenly deferted by them, and was foon after taken prisoner, and beheaded at Edinburgh, upon his former attainder.

2. Did the death of these two noblemen satisfy the ven-

geance of the court?

A. No: the earl of Feversham, immediately after the battle, hanged up above twenty prisoners without form or trial. But this cruelty was nothing in comparison of what was exercifed by colonel Kirke, a foldier of fortune, who had long ferved at Tangiers, and contracted, from his commerce with the Moors, an inhumanity less known in European countries. This barbarian, at his first entry into Bridgewater, hanged nineteen without the least inquiry into the merits of their cause. As if to make sport with death, he ordered a certain number to be executed, while he and his company should drink to the king's health, or to the queen's, or to judge Jefferies's. Observing their feet to shake in the agonies of death, he cried, that he would give them musick to their dancing; and he immediately commanded the drums to beat, and the trumpets to found. One execution was attended with fuch circumstances of treachery, as well as barbarity, as to merit a particular relation. A young maid pleaded for the life of her brother, and flung herfelf at Kirke's feet, armed with all the charms, which beauty and innocence, bathed in tears, could bestow upon her. The tyrant was inflamed with defire, not foftened into love or clemency: he promifed to grant her request, provided that she, in her turn, would be equally complaifant to him. The maid yielded to the condition; but, after she had passed the night with him, the wanton favage, next morning, shewed her, from the window, her brother, the darling object, for whom she had facrificed her

virtue.

virtue, hanging on a gibbet, which he had fecretly or-dered to be erected for his execution. This shocking and unexpected fight had fuch an effect upon her, that she was instantly seized with a phrensy, and never after recovered her fenfes.

2. Was Kirke the only instrument in these barbarities?"

A. No: he was succeeded by the cruel chief-justice Jefferies, who made a circuit through the western counties, and shewed the people, that the rigours of the law might equal, if not exceed, the ravages of military tyranny. caused nineteen persons to be executed at Dorchester; eighty at Exeter; a great number at Taunton and Wells; and, in a word, besides those butchered by the military commanders, 271 are computed to have fallen by the hand of justice. The whole country was strowed with the heads and limbs of traitors. Every village almost beheld the dead carcass of a wretched inhabitant. And the favage Jefferies boasted, that he had hanged more men than all the judges of England fince the time of William the Conqueror.

2. What were the most remarkable of these executions? A. Those of Mrs. Gaunt and lady Life, who were both put to death for harbouring rebels. Mrs. Gaunt was an anabaptift, noted for her beneficence. The person she had concealed, hearing of a proclamation, which offered an indemnity and rewards to those who discovered criminals, was fo base as to betray his benefactress, and to bear evidence against her. He received a pardon for his treachery: the was burned alive for her charity. Lady Lifle was widow of the lord Lifte, one of the judges of king Charles I. Three times did the jury bring her in Not quilty: they were as often fent back with menaces by Tefferies: and at last were compelled to give fentence against her. She was above eighty years of age, when she suffered. About the fame time alderman Cornish, one of the sheriffs of London, was tried for being concerned in the Rye House conspiracy; and, though the depositions of the witnesses contained the most glaring contradictions, he was nevertheless condemned. and executed as a traitor.

2 What was the great object of James's ambition?

A. To establish the popish religion, and by that means to erect a despotic government.

2. What were the first steps he took for this purpose?

A. By the teft-act, all persons who enjoyed any place, whether civil or military, under the government, were obliged to conform to the established religion. This was considered as the grand barrier against popery, and the chief security of the constitution. James despensed with last win favour of his catholic subjects, several of whom he introduced into the army, and even into the privy-council.

2. Did the parliament approve of this despensing

nowe

A. No: and for that reason it was diffolved. The king, however, prevailed upon the judges to give it as their opinion, that his majelfy could dispense with the test, in case of necessity, and that he alone was the proper judge of that necessity.

2. What were the next measures which the king em-

braced?

A. He iffued orders to the inferior clergy, forbidding them to preach upon controvered points of divinity; but few of them paid any regard to this prohibition. Dr. Sharps, in particular, rector of St. Giler's, and afterwards archbihop of York, expoled, in his fermons, with great force of reasoning, the errors of popery; a conduct, which gave so much offence to his majetly, that he sem positive instructions to the bishop of Lendon, his diocesian, to suspend the doctor.

2. Did the bishop obey these orders?

A. No: and for this refulal he was furmoned before the high court of ecclefialtical commiftion (which had been abolifhed in the reign of king Charles I. and which Yames had again illegally erected) and both the bithop and doctor were furpended from their office. Mr. Yohnflen too, a clergyman, having addreffed a writing to the army, was pilloried, whipt cruelly, and fentenced to pay a fine of 500 marks.

Q. Was James guilty of no other acts of violence?

A. He invaded the privileges of the two universities of

A. He invaded the privileges of the two universities of Oxford and Cambridge, particularly those of the former, into which he endeavoured to intrude several Roman catholics.

2. What steps did he take next?

A. He issued a proclamation, in 1687, suspending all the penal laws in ecclefiastical affairs, and granting a general liberty of conscience to all his subjects; but, though this indulgence was feemingly calculated for the benefit of diffenters of every denomination, yet was it well known, that it was chiefly intended in favour of the papifts...

2. How was this declaration received?

A. With great joy by all the diffenters, who thanked his majesty in repeated addresses for this mark of his goodness. The members alone of the church of England were diffa-

tisfied with it.

2. What other proofs did the king give of his attachment to the catholic faith?

A. He fent Roger Palmer, earl of Castlemain, to Rome, in order to reconcile his three kingdoms to the Holy See; but the pope, who perceived that James's imprudence would ruin the whole scheme, gave the ambassador a very cold reception. Nevertheless, he sent count Ferdinand Dada, as his nuncio, into England; and though any communication with the pope was, by the laws of the land, declared, hightreason, James gave the nuncio a public audience at Windsor. Four catholic bishops were solemnly consecrated in the king's chapel, and fent out, under the title of vicars apoflolical, to exercise the episcopal function in their respective dioceses. Even the monks began to appear at court; and some of them were so insolent as to boast, that, in a little time, they hoped to walk in procession through the capital.

2. Did not king James publish a second declaration for

liberty of confcience?

A. Yes; and he likewise ordered, that, immediately after divine fervice, it should be read by the clergy in all the churches.

2. Did the clergy obey this order?

A. No: they all refused to comply; about two hundred excepted. Some of them went even farther : Sancroft archbishop of Canterbury, Lloyd bishop of St. Asaph, Ken of Bath and Wells, Turner of Ely, Lake of Chichester, White of Reterborough, and Trelawny of Briffol, presented a petition to the king, in which they affigned the reasons of their refufal, M 6

2. Was the king fatisfied with their apology?

A. On the contrary, he ordered them to be committed to the Tower, and to be tried in the court of King's-Bench, for having composed, as he pretended, and uttered a seditious libel.

2. What was the iffue of this trial?

A. The bishops were acquitted to the inexpressible joy of the whole nation.

2. What happened during this interval?

A. The queen was faid to be delivered of a prince the 10th of June, 1688; an event, which was as agreeable to the catholies, as it was alarming to the protestants. The former hoped, that, as the young prince would be educated in their religion, they should be able to establish it, in spite of all opposition; and the latter, being thus driven to defpair, began to cast their eyes towards foreign assistance.

2. To whom did they apply?

A. To William, prince of Orange (grandfon of king Charles I.) who had married the princess Mary, eldest daughter of king James. The whigs and tories, the epifcopalians and diffenters; all parties concurred, except the catholics, in inviting him to England, in order to fecure their religion and laws.

Q. Did he accept their invitation ?

A. Yes: and he immediately began to make preparations for that purpose?

2. What did king James do when he heard of these

preparations?

A. He instantly altered his conduct, and retracted all the measures which had given fo much offence. He annulled the court of ecclefiaftical commission; restored the charter of London, and of all the corporations; removed the popish magistrates, and put protestants in their place; took off the bishop of London's suspension; re-instated the expelled prefident and fellows of Magdalen-College, Oxford; and he even condescended to caress those bishops, whom he had so lately persecuted and insulted. At the same time, he affembled his army, and collected his fleet, which confifted of fixty ships of war. The command of the former he intrusted to the earl of Feversham; that of the latter, to the

earl of Darimouth. The king of France too offered to affilt him with a powerful navy, and m army of 30,000 men; but this offer he rejected by the advice of the earl of Sunderland, who was either engaged in the prince of Orange's intereft, or elfe thought that his mafter would not need any foreign aid.

2. Did these measures induce the prince of Orange to

abandon his enterprize?

A. No: being determined, at all events, to execute his project, he fet fail from Hilvest-Shites, the 19th of Oxfober, 1688, accompanied by the earls of Shreughury and Maccia-fald, the lords Merdaunt, Willbire, and Paulet, admiral Herbott, mathal Schomberg, Mell'st. Averguerque, Bentinch, and others; and attended by a fleet of near 500 veifiels, having on board above 144,000 men.

2. Had he a prosperous voyage?

A. He had fearee come out of port, when he was encountered by a florm, which flattered fome of his flips, and drove the rell back into the harbour; but this damage being foon repaired, he again put to fea on the ift of Nocomber, and meeting winh no oppointion (for the fame wind, which carried him through the first to Dover, detained the English fleet in the river) he landed his forces fafely at Torboy the 5th of the fame month, the anniverfary of the Gun-Powder-Treason.

2. What were the first steps he took after his landing?

A. He published a declaration, in which, after enumerating all the instances of James's misgovernment; such as his dispensing with the laws; his erecting a court of eccle-statical commission; his filling all offices with catholics; his annuling the chatter of Lendon, and other corporations; in a word, his endeavouring to usurp an arbitrary power, and extirpate the protesiant religion; he added, that he had come over to England, at the request of great numbers of the nobility and gentry of that kingdom, in order to refrest these and the like grievances, and to have the rights of the subject distinctly ascertained in a free parliament.

2. How was this declaration received by the people?

A. With fo much joy, that they immediately began to

form affociations for affilling the prince in the execution of

his purpose. Even the army was insected with the same fpirit. Several officers of diffinction informed Feversbam, their general, that they could not, in conscience, fight against the prince of Orange. Nay some of them deserted to him; and carried with them a confiderable number of their troops. Lord Colchefter, fon to the earl of Rivers, was the first who took this step; and his example was soon after followed by Lord Cornbury, fon to the earl of Clarendon, and by lord Churchill, the king's chief favourite, and afterwards the immortal duke of Marlborough.

9. How did the king act in these alarming circumstances? A. He repaired to his army, which then lay at Salifbury; but finding it was filled with fuch a spirit of discontent, that no dependance could be placed upon it, he suddenly left it, and returned to London; and, during his journey thither, he was deferted by the dukes of Ormand and Grafton ; and even by prince George of Denmark, his fon-in-law, and the princess Anne, his own daughter; an event, which affected him to fuch a degree, that he cried out, in the extremity of his grief, " God help me; my own children have forfaken me !"

9. What measures did the king take after his return to-

A. He called a council of the few peers and prelates, who were then in that city; and, by their advice, iffued writsfor fummoning a free parliament, which was appointed to meet on the 15th of January. At the same time he difpatched commissioners to make proposals of accommodation. to the prince of Oranges

9 How did the prince relish these proposals?

A. Very well; and made such offers in his turn, as the king feemed willing to accept, when having confulted, as is supposed, with his popish savourites, he was persuaded by them to withdraw into France. The fame men also infpired the queen with fuch apprehentions for her personal fasety, that she fled into France with her son, the prince of Wales; and was received at Verfailles by Lewis XIV. with the strongest marks of sympathy and regard. She extorted. however, before her departure, a politive promise from the king, that he would immediately follow her, 2. Did he keep his word?

A. He left London the 12th of December about four in the morning, attended by Sir Edward Hales, a new convert, and went on board of a ship, which waited for him at the mouth of the river; but having put in at Feversham for ballast, he was seized by the populace, who, mistaking him for a prieft, used him very roughly, and even robbed him of his money and jewels; though, upon discovering their error, they reflored the booty, and humbly asked pardon for the rudeness of their behaviour.

2. What happened after this ?

A. The earl of Winebellea, lord lieutenant of the county, arriving, advised his majesty to return to London; and an invitation being fent him to the same purpose by the lords, who were at Whitehall, he fet out for the capital, which he entered the 16th of December; when the populace, moved by compassion for his unhappy fate, and actuated by their own levity, received him with fuch shouts and acclamations, as if he had been returning from a fignal vic-

2. What induced his majesty to abandon the kingdom?

A. He imagined, that his absence would throw every thing into confusion, and would oblige his subjects to restore him to his throne upon more favourable terms, than perhaps they might otherwise be inclined to grant him. The prince of Orange, however, entertained a very different opinion, and thought, that nothing would more contribute to the public fettlement than his majesty's abfence; and that if once he was gone, the people would never more think of him. He therefore used every expedient to intimidate the king, and to push him into that measure, which, of himself, he seemed sufficiently disposed to embrace.

2. What means did he employ for that purpose?

A. He ordered his forces to take possession of Whitehall; and fent a meffage to his majefty, which was delivered at midnight, defiring him to leave London next morning, and retire to Ham-House in the neighbourhood of Hampton,

2. Did the king comply with this order?

A. He defired leave to withdraw to Rochester; and the prince, perceiving that the stratagem had taken effect, readily gave his affent. Mean while, the prince entered London

London the 18th of December: and his arrival was celebrated with public rejoicings.

2. Did king James remain long at Rochester ?

A. No: for leaving his chamber privately the 23d of December, and being accompanied only by his natural fon, the duke of Berwick, and by Messirs Sheldon and Abbadie, he rode to the fea-fide; where embarking on board a frigate, he landed safely at Ambleteuse in France, and repaired thence to St. Germains, where he was received by Lewis with the utmost cordiality.

2. How long did he furvive his abdication?

A. About thirteen years, during which he made two fruitless attempts for the recovery of his crown. He died at St. Germains the 16th of Settember, 1701, in the fixtyeighth year of his age.

2 Describe the qualities of James II.

A. His private deportment was as unexceptionable, as his public conduct was blameable. A kind husband, a tender parent, an indulgent master, a generous benefactor, a steady friend, and a dutiful subject; such was the character, with which the duke of York ascended the throne of England. But he had no fooner attained to that high dignity, than he gave full scope to his arbitrary principles, and continued, for the space of three years, to make such rude and violent attacks upon the religion and conflitution of his country, as totally deprived him of the affections of his people, and at last obliged him to abandon that crown, which he had been found from experience, fo unworthy to wear.

2. Had James any children ?

A. By his first wife, Anne Hyde, daughter to Edward Hyde, earl of Clarendon, and lord high-chancellor of England, he had four fons, who died in their infancy; and four daughters, two of whom only arrived at the age of maturity, viz. Mary, born in 1662, and married in 1678, to William Nassau, Prince of Orange, afterwards king William the IIId. and Anne, born in 1664, and married in 1683, to prince George of Denmark, fon to Frederic III. king of Denmark, and Sophia Amelia of Lunenburgh. By his second wife, Maria Tosepha of Este, fister to the duke of Modena, he had a fon, named Charles; and another (James,) called the prince of Wales, born the 10th of June, 1688. By the fame

fame lady, he had three daughters in England; and a fourth in France, born at St. Germains in 1692; but she died foon after her father. He had likewise four natural children; three by Arabella Churchill, fifter to the duke of Marlborough, and one by Catharine Sedgley, countels of Dorchefter.

## INTER-REGNUM.

From the 25th of December, 1688, to the 13th of February, 1680.

2. WHAT followed upon king James's leaving the kingdom?

A. The peers, as being possessed of hereditary jurisdiction, refolved to act as the guardians of the public. They accordingly presented an address to the prince of Orange, defiring him to fummon a convention by circular letters; to assume, in the mean time, the administration of the government; and to concert measures for the security of Ireland.

2. Did he comply with their request?

A. He seemed unwilling to act upon an authority, which might be deemed so imperfect; and expressed his desire of obtaining, if possible, a more full declaration of the public confent.

2. What expedient was fallen upon for that purpose? A. All the members who had fat in the House of Commons during any parliament of Charles the Ild. (the only parliaments whose election was regarded as free) were invited to meet; and to them were added the mayor, aldermen, and fifty of the common council of London. This was judged the most proper representative of the people. which could be fummoned during the present emergence. They unanimously voted the same address with the lords; and the prince, being thus supported by all the legal authority which could then be obtained, wrote circular letters to the counties and corporations of England, defiring them to return members for a convention, which was appointed to meet the 22d of Fanuary.

D. What were the proceedings of this convention?

A. The commons, a few days after their meeting, passed, by a great majority, the following memorable vote, "That king James the Ild. having endeavoured to subvert the constitution of the kingdom, by breaking the original contract between the king and people; and having, by the advice of Jesuits, and other wicked persons, violated the fundamental laws, and withdrawn himself out of the kingdom, has abdicated the government, and that the throne is thereby vacant." This vote they fent up to the lords for their concurrence.

Q. Did the lords agree to this vote?

A. Not 'till after a violent dispute, and a conference held with a committee of the commons; but, at last, through the prevalence of the whig-party, the vote was passed, though by a fmall majority. 2. What further steps did the convention take?

A. Several forms of government were proposed. Some

infifted on a regent : others were defirous of bestowing the crown on the princess of Orange solely, and that her hufband should reign in her right; but the prince declaring, thar, if either of these plans of settlement were adopted, he would return to Holland, the convention thought proper to alter their resolution. The princess also, who arrived in London, the 12th of February, wifely joined her interests with those of her husband. Mention was made but once of the prince of Wales: fome lords moved to enquire intohis birth; but this proposal was presently rejected. All parties, therefore, being fully agreed, the convention paffed a hill, by which they fettled the crown on the prince and princess of Orange, the sole administration to remain in the prince: the princess of Denmark to succeed after the death of the prince and princess of Orange; her posterity after those of the princess; but before those of the prince, by any other wife. Accordingly, the prince and prince's of Orange were proclaimed, the 13th of February, king and queen of Great-Britain, by the names of William III. and Mary II. and their coronation was performed the 11th of

2. Did the convention bestow the crown on the prince

and princess of Orange, without any conditions?

A. No; they annexed to the fettlement a declaration of rights, where all the points, which had, of late years, been disputed between king and people, were finally determined; and the powers of royal prerogative were more narrowly circumscribed, and more exactly defined, than in any former period of the English government.

2. Pray give me the substance of this declaration of

A. It imported, 1. That the king has no right to dispense with laws, or the execution of laws. 2. That all ecclesiastical commission courts are illegal. 3. That levying money for the use of the crown by prerogative, without grant of parliament, is not warranted by law. 4. That it is the right of the subjects to petition the king. 5. That a standing army, in time of peace, unless by con-fent of parliament, is against law. 6. That protestant subjects may have arms for their defence. 7. That the election of members of parliament ought to be free. 8. That freedom of debate in parliament ought to be allowed. Q. That excessive bail ought not to be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel punishments inslicted, 10. That jurors ought to be duly impanelled, and, upon trials for high-treason, ought to be freeholders. 11. That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures, before conviction, are illegal. 12. And that, for redress of grievances, frequent parliaments should be assembled.

2. Who were the most celebrated writers that flourished

during the two last reigns?

A. Wilkins, Wren, Wallis, eminent mathematicians; Hooke, an accurate observer by microscopes; Sydenham, the restorer of true physick; Boyle, deeply skilled in natural philosophy; and Newton, one of the greatest geniusses that ever appeared in this or in any other age or nation. Dryden bore away the palm in poetry. Rochester rendered himself famous for poignancy of fatire, and impurity of fentiment, Wycherley excelled in comedy; Otway in tragedy; the duke of Buckingham acquired great honour by his comedy, called the Rehearfal; the earls of Dorfet, Mulgrave, and Rescommon, wrote with ease, but negligence. The marquis of Halifax discovers a refined genius. Sir William Temple is an entertaining writer. Butler distinguished himself by his poem, named

named Hudibras. The most eminent divines were Stillingfleet, Tillotion, Tennison, Patrick, Lloyd, and Burnet,

#### CHAP. XLVI.

WILLIAM III. and MARY II. making the XLVIIth Sovereign of England.

And Fifth of Great-Britain.

#### From 1689 to 1702.

Popes.		PHILIP V.	1700
INNOCENT XI.	1076	King of Portug	12
ALEXANDER VIII.	1689	PEDRO II.	1683
INNOCENT XII.	1691	LEDRO 11.	1003
CLEMENT XI.	1700	Kings of Denmark.	
Emperor.	140	CHRISTIAN V.	1670
LEOPOLD	1658	FREDBRIC IV.	1699
King of France		Kings of Swed	lan
Lewis XIV.	1643		
Kings of Spain		CHARLES XI.	1660
CHARLES II.	166;	CHARLES XII.	1697

2. WHAT were the first acts of king William and queen Mary's reign?

A. The king repairing to the house of peers, delivered

a speech from the throne to both houses, and converted the convention into a parliament; though this step was not taken without a violent opposition from the tories. 2. Did all parts of the British dominions submit quietly

to their majesties authority?

A. Several Scottist noblemen and gentlemen, to the number of eighty, who were at London, met together, and chusing duke Hamilton for their president, made a tender to king William of the government of Scotland. This refolution was foon after confirmed by a convention, which met at Edinburgh, the 14th of March, and which fettled the crown crown on king William and queen Mary, who were folemnly proclaimed, the 11th of April. At the same time the convention deputed three of their members to administer to their majesties the coronation-oath, which was accordingly done at Whitehall, the 11th of May, 1680.

D. Were the people of Ireland equally submissive?

A. No: most of them, headed by the earl of Tyrconnel. adhered to the interest of the late king. King William's party prevailed only in the northern counties, where they feized the towns of Kilmore, Coleraine, Inniskilling, Londonderry, and fome other places

2. Did not James come to the affiftance of his friends in

Treland?

A. He landed at Kinsale, on the 14th of March, and towards the latter end of the same month, he entered Dublin in triumph. On the 20th day of April, he laid fiege to Londonderry; but this place, of which Mr. Walker, a clergyman, was chosen governor, made such a brave and obstinate defence, that James was obliged to abandon the enterprize with the lofs of 9000 men. The inhabitants of Innisskilling too diffinguished themselves greatly by their

A. Did king William take no steps towards succouring

the protestants in Ireland?

A. He fent the duke of Schomberg into that kingdom, with an army of 10,000 men. The duke arrived at Carrick-fergus, on the 13th of August, and took it after a defperate siege. King Tames marched against him with a superior army; but after having in vain endeavoured, during the whole fummer, to bring him to a battle, he returned to Dublin, in order to spend the winter.

2. How did he employ his time during that interval? A. He prevailed on the Irifh parliament to pass an act of attainder against such a number of protestants as exceeded even the famous proscription at Rome, during the last trium-

virate. 2. Was he able to maintain his footing in the kingdom? A. No; king William, attended by prince George of Denmark, the duke of Ormand, the earls of Oxford, Scarborough, and others, went over to Ireland, and arrived at Carrickfergus, the 14th of June, 1600. Having joined his forces

to those of duke Schomberg, he advanced towards Dublin, with an army of 36,000 men, and 60 pieces of cannon.

2. Was he allowed to proceed unmolested?

A. He was met by king James, at the pass of the Boyne, with an army of nearly the fame force; and both monarchs being equally eager for action, a battle was fought on the Ift day of July, 1690.

2. What was the iffue of this battle?

A. After an obstinate dispute, king William obtained a complete victory, with the loss of about 500 men. King James loft three times that number. Among those who perished in this action was the brave duke of Schomberg, one of the most renowned generals of the age. After the battle, king James, instead of rallying his broken forces, as he might easily have done, retired to Dublin, where staying but one day, he proceeded to Waterford, and thence fet fail for France. King William undertook the fiege of Limerick; but meeting with a more vigorous refistance than he expecled, he abandoned the enterprize, and returned to England the 6th of September. Soon after Corke, Kinfale, and other places, were reduced by the earl of Marlborough.

9. What were the transactions of the next campaign?

A. In 1601, the king of France fent a fresh body of troops into Ireland, which joining those of king James, composed a confiderable army. The English were commanded by general Ginckle, an excellent officer; the French and Irilb by M. St. Ruth, who was not much inferior. Several battles were fought, and all to the advantage of the English; particularly that of Agbrim (July 12) in which M. St. Ruth was flain. Athlone was furprized by a most daring stratagem : Limerick surrendered upon very honourable terms the 3d of Odober, 1691 : and thus the reduction of Ireland being happily completed, Ginckle returned to England, where he was honoured with the title of earl of Athlone. Twelve thousand Irih abandoned their native country, and entered into the fervice of France.

2. Were king William and queen Mary engaged in any

foreign wars ?

A. The grand object of William's ambition was to humble the pride of the French king, and prevent him from executing the scheme he had formed to establish an univerfal monarchy. With this view he entered into a confederacy with the emperor, the king of Spain, the United Provinces, the duke of Savoy, the elector of Brandenburg, and most of the princes of the empire; and all these potentates, by common confent, declared war against Lewis, King William's declaration was published the 7th of May, 1689.

2. What were the principal events of this war?

A. Nothing remarkable happened during the two first campaigns, except the reduction of Mons, which was taken by the French. In the month of January, 1691, king William went over to Holland, accompanied by the duke of Ormand, the earls of Devensbire, Dorset, Portland, &c. and was received at the Haque amidst the shouts and acclamations of the people.

2. What actions did his majesty perform in Flanders?

A. Having fettled the operations of the enfuing campaign, he returned to England on the 13th of April. In the month of May, however, he went back to Holland, and put himfelf at the head of the army; but after having in vain endeavoured, during the whole fummer, to bring the duke of Luxemburg, the French general, to a battle, he refigned the command of the forces to prince Walder, and fet fail for England.

2. What were the transactions of 1692?

A. In the month of May, admiral Ruffel, affifted by a Dutch fleet, obtained a complete victory over a French fquadron in the neighbourhood of La Hoque, where the enemy loft one and twenty of their largest ships, besides two frigates, and fome fmaller veffels.

2. What fuccefs had his majesty in Flanders?

A. Very indifferent : he was prevented, by the badnefs of the weather from coming to the relief of Namur, which was taken by the French king in person, after a vigorous fiege. He was likewise defeated in the battle of Steenkirk, notwithstanding his own bravery, and that of all his officers and foldiers, who difputed the day with fo much obstinacy, that the French, though they kept the field, reaped little or no benefit from the victory.

2. Was king William more fuccessful in the ensuing

campaign ?

A. No: he had again the misfortune to be worsted in the battle of Landen, which was fought on the 18th of July, 1693; though here, as in all his former overthrows, he rose still more terrible from his defeat; for, in a few days, he put his army upon such a respectable footing, that he was once more able to offer the enemy battle. The loss of the French in this engagement was double of that of the allies King William exerted himself greatly upon this occasion, and was frequently exposed to the most imminent danger. His peruke, the fleeve of his coat, and the knot of his fearf, were penetrated by three different musket-bullets. Even the enemy bore testimony to his valour. " Had they such a king," they faid, " they would make themselves masters of Christendom." The prince of Conti, in a letter to his princess, declared, "That he faw king William exposing himself to the greatest dangers; and that fuch valour richly deserved the peaceable possession of the crown which he wore." The loss of this battle, however, was followed by that of the town of Charlerov, which furrendered on the 11th of October.

2 What were the most memorable transactions of the

year 1694?

A. Nothing material happened, except the reduction of Huy, which the confederates took in the month of September.

2. What passed in 1695?

A. On the 3d day of July, king William laid lege to the typ of Name. This place was originally eldeemed one of the frongeft in Europe; and the Frack, fince they had become mailers of it, had improved the fortifications to fuch a degree, that it was now confidered as abfolutely impregnable. Add to this, that marfial Bunffers, fortelening king William's deligns, had thrown himfell into the town with fuch a powerful fuccour, as increased the garrion to 15,000 men. Notwithlanding all these discouraging circumflances, king William carried on his operations with fuch unremitting vigour, that the place furrendered on the 1st of Spirmber. Marshal Fillersy, at the head of 100,000 men, attempted to raise the singe; but king William had made such excellent dispositions, that the enemy were affaid to attack him in his eatmendments.

2. Was this war diftinguished by any other remarkable events?

A. No: the two next fummers were chiefly spent in negotiations; and at last a treaty of peace was figned the 20th of September, 1697, at a place called Ryswick, belonging to king William, fituated between the Hague and Delft in Holland.

2. What were the conditions of this peace?

A. The principal articles of it, relating to king William, were, that he should recover possession of the principality of Orange, and be acknowledged king of Great-Britain.

2. Were not several conspiracies formed against king William ?

A. Yes: the first, which was headed by Sir James Montgomery and others, was hatched in 1690, while the king was in Ireland. A French fleet appeared upon the coast, in order to favour the infurgents : but the plot was discovered and defeated by the vigilance and activity of the queen, who governed the kingdom during her husband's absence. Nevertheless, the French fleet beat the combined squadrons of England and Holland on the 30th of June of the fame year. Another conspiracy was carried on, in 1602, by the papifts of Lancasbire, who had inspired king James with such hopes of success, that that prince had actually come to La Hogue, in order to make a descent upon England; but admiral Ruffel having, as we have above observed, defeated the French fleet, the whole defign was rendered abor-

9. Was not another conspiracy formed against king

William in 1602?

A. Yes: Grandval, a captain of dragoons in the French fervice, undertook, at the infligation of the court of Verfailles, to affaffinate king William in Flanders; but the horrid plot was happily discovered; and Grandval being feized, and convicted, was executed as a traitor at Evndenboven, the 13th of August.

2. What was the fourth conspiracy formed against king

William ?

A. It was carried on during the years 1694, 1695, and 1696, and was of a more dangerous and alarming nature than any of the former. The defign of it was to af-

faffinate

fassinate king William, and restore king James to the throne.

Q. What preparations were made for the execution of this plot?

A. Sir George Bareloy engaged to affaffinate king William; having, it is faid, received a commiffino from king James for that purpole: but as Bareloy escaped, it could never be proved, that any such commission had been granted. At the same time, king James repaired to Calais, where an army of 20,000 men was affembled, in order to make a descent upon England; but when everything was ready for the intended invasion, the plot was discovered by captain Fisher, Pendergras an Irish officer, and La Rue a Frenchman.

2. What was the confequence of this discovery?

A. King Jamis, feeing the scheme was defeated, returned to Sl. Germains, overwhelmed with despair: the French forces were dispersed into the garrisons from which they had been draughted: several of the configurators were apprehended in England; but all of them received a pardon, except Charack, King, Keys, Sir John Friend, Sir William Perkins, Rockwood, Cranbura, Louvich, and Sir John Frenvick, who were all executed.

2. Who governed the kingdom during king William's

absence in Holland?

A. The queen, and, after her death, a council of regency.

2. When did the queen die ?

A. She was carried off by the small-pox, on the 28th of December, 1694, in the thirty-third year of her age, and the fixth of her reign.

Q. What were the qualities of queen Mary.

A. In her perfor the was tall and well proportioned; per thape, while princets of Orange, was eafly and genteel; her complexion a light-brown, with an oval viage, lively eye, agreeable features, a mild afpect, and a majetic mien: her apprehention was quick, her memory retentive, and her though the was easloully attached to the procedient religion, and ferupulouily exact in all the duties of devotion. In a word, the was an affectionate wife, a warm friend, an indulgent mildrefs, a gracious fovereign.

and one of the best and most accomplished princesses that ever sat upon the English throne. The king was so deeply afflicted with her death, that, for several days after, he could neither fee company, nor attend to bufinefs.

2. Did nothing else remarkable happen under the reign of king William?

A. That prince, forefeeing that the death of Charles II. king of Spain, who was in a very declining state of health, would involve Europe in fresh troubles, resolved, if possible, to find out some expedient for preventing these calamities. The competitors for the Spanish crown, upon the death of king Charles, were, the electoral prince of Bavaria, the archduke Charles, and the dauphin of France, who were, all of them, related to the royal family of Spain. King William would willingly, had it been in his power, have procured the whole Spanish monarchy for the archduke Charles, upon whom, indeed, it had been fettled by the late king, Philip IV. but fentible of the utter impossibility of accomplishing such a scheme, he thought it most ad-visable to chuse the least of two evils. With this view he entered into a negociation with the French ministers for making a partition of the Spanish monarchy; and, after feveral consultations, the affair was settled in such a manner, that the electoral prince of Bavaria was to succeed to the crown of Spain, while the other two competitors were to obtain a confiderable part of its extensive dominions. This treaty (which was called the partition-treaty) was figned the 10th of August, 1698.

2. Did it take effect ?

A. No: the electoral prince dying in the mean time, a new partition-treaty was made, by which the archduke Charles was to inherit the crown of Spain, and the dauphin to receive a proper equivalent.

2. Did the king of France adhere to this fecond treaty?

A. No: and it is natural to think, that he never had any other intention in agreeing to it, than to amuse king William and the United Provinces; for he exerted his interest with fo much success at the court of Madrid, that, being assisted by cardinal Portocarrero and others, he prevailed upon king Charles to make a will in favour of the duke of Anjou, fecond fon of the dauphin.

Q. Did Lewis accept of this will?

A. Yes: the death of the king of Spain, which happened the 18 of November, 1700, was no fooner known, than he fent his grandfor, the duke of Anjou, into that kingdom, and prepared to support his cause by force of arms.

2. What pretence did the French ministers alledge for

this gross violation of the partition-treaty?

A. They faid, that the chief defign of that treaty was to maintain the peace of Europe; that that peace could not be fo well maintained by any other means as by the method which their fovereign had now adopted; and that he had, therefore, only departed from the letter, that he might the better adhere to the spirit of the treaty.

2. Was king William satisfied with this apology?

A. On the contrary, he was inflamed with the highest indignation. He shought it products, however, to conceal his refentment, until he should be able to express it in a more effectual manner. With this view, he formed, in 1701, a mew alliance with Holland and the Empire; and was preparing to chastize the perfudy of Lewis XIV. and to settle the ballance of power in Europe, when a fall from his horse, near Hampton Courts, threw him into a fever, which put a period to his life the 8th of March, 1702, in the fifty-second year of his age, and the fourteenth of his resion.

Ö. Deferibe the person and qualities of this monarch. A. King William was of a middle stature, a thin body and delicate constitution, subject to an assistance of the practice of the property of the property of the property subject to the property of the property of the property of the subject to the property of the property of the property of the property of the mon equanimity of temper. But what seem: chiefly to have dislinguished his character, and to have constituted his ruling and predominant passion, was a fincere regard to the natural rights and liberties of mankind. Animated by this

godlike ardour, he bravely undertook, and fuccefsfully accomplished, even in his most tender years, the delivery of his native country, when it was not only threatned with

immediate

immediate fubjection, but was almost involved in utter ruin and destruction. Prompted by the same generous principle, he rescued the inhabitants of this island from the dreadful calamity of civil and religious slavery, to which, without his timely affishance, they would, in all probability, have been sinally reduced. Influenced by the like benevolent spirit, he commenced the champion of the general liberties of Europe, which he not only preserved from impending ruin, but established upon a firm and fold foundation. It must be acknowledged, however, that, with all his good qualities, William was not free from follots. He was naturally incapable of bearing contradiction, though by no means fond of fattery. His address was cold, and his manner disgusting; and, though liberal of money upon some occasions, he possible when the viewe of true generosity.

2. Was this reign distinguished by no other remarkable

events?

A. In 1689, the epifeopal religion was abolified in Scotland, and preflytery ellablified. In 1692, Ernff Aggifts, duke of Hanever, ancellor of his prefent majelty, was created an elector of the empire. The year following the bank of England was ellablified. In 1694, a bill was paffed for triennial parliaments; and this continued in force till the acceffion of George I. when feptennial parliaments were reforced. In 1697, Peter the Great of Mylovny, who had already vifited moth of the kingdoms of Europe, came over to England. An elegant house was fitted up for him in Weifminfler; but the chole rather to live among the failors in Wasping, as his chief intention was to learn the art of hip-building. In 1700, the fuccession of the crown was fettled upon the princes Saphia, electres dowager of Hanever, and the protestinat heirs of her body.

2. How did William conduct the domestic administra-

tion of the kingdom?

A. With great prudence and fuccefs, notwithflanding the numerous mortifications he received from the alternate opposition of the Whigs and Tories. The former, particularly provoked him, at one time, to fuch a degree, that he had actually formed a refolution to abandon the kingdom.

2. Did any thing memorable happen in the other states

of Europe during this reign ?

A. In 1700, Charles XII. of Sweden, though only nineteen years of age, and at the head of no more than 8000 men, defeated, at Narva, the czar of Musewy, who commanded an army 100,000 fitting.

### CHAP. XLVII.

Queen Anne, XLVIIIth Sovereign of England,

## And Sixth of Great-Britain:

In whose Reign the two Kingdoms were united.

#### From 1702 to 1714.

Pope.		Kings of Portugal.
CLEMENT XI.	1700	PEDRO II. 1683
Emperors.	1658	JOHN V. 1706
JOSEPH	1705	King of Denmark.
CHARLES VI.  King of France.	1711	FREDERIC 1699
Lewis XIV. King of Spain.	1643	King of Sweden.
PHILIP V.	1700	CHARLES XII. 1697

HO fucceeded king William.III.?

and the illnffinous confort of George, prince of Denmark, to
whom fine was married in 1683. She afcended the throne
in 1702, being then thirty-eight years of age.

What were the first acts of her reign?

Having settled her ministry, she called a privy-council, in order to deliberate whether she should prosecute the design, which her predecessor had formed, for humbling the pride

pride of the Bourbon family, and refloring the ballance of power in Europe.

2. What was this defign ?

1702

A. To compel the king of France to adhere to the fecond partition-treaty, by which it was flipulated, that the archaduke Charles, fecond ion to the emperor Leopold, should inherit the crown of Spain; and the dauphin should receive, as his share of the succession, the kingdoms of Naples and Scidy, the islands of St. Stephane, Perte Hercele, and other depending provinces of the Spanific monarchy. Such was the purport of the grand alliance, which king William had concluded, in 1,701, with the emperor and the lates-general; and to which the kings of Pruffia and Portugal, the duke of Savys, the elector of Hanover, and several other princes, afterwards acceded.

2. What refolution did the privy-council come to?

A. They were unanimously of opinion, that the queen

should adhere to the grand alliance; but they differed with regard to the method fhe should do fo. Some proposed, that the should engage in the war only as an auxiliary; others infifted, that, agreeable to king William's plan, the should enter in it as a principal. The former opinion was adopted by the Tories, who were headed by the earl of Rochester, the queen's maternal uncle : the latter was espoused by the Whigs, the chief of whom were the dukes of Devonshire and Somerfet, the earls of Marlborough, Pembroke, and others. A violent dispute ensued, and many arguments were urged on both fides of the question; but at last the Whigs, whose opinion was more agreeable to the bulk of the nation, prevailed in the contest: and accordingly war was proclaimed against France by the English, the emperor, and the states-general on the 4th day of May, 1702. The duke of Marlborough was declared captain-general of her majesty's forces both at home and abroad; and the queen's husband, prince George of Denmark, was appointed lordhigh-admiral of England and Ireland.

2. Was this war successful ?

A. More perhaps than any that is to be found either in

ancient or modern flory.

2. What were the principal military transactions of 1702?

A. The old duke of Zell, affifted by his nephew, the elector of Brunfwick, difarmed the dukes of Wolfembuttle and Saxe-Gotha, and compelled them to renounce their engagements with France, and accede to the terms of the grand alliance. Keyferfwaert was reduced by the imperial general. The French, who were commanded by marshal Boufflers, were disappointed, by the vigilance of the earl of Athlone, in the defign they had formed of furprifing Nimequen : and prince Lewis of Baden invested Landau, and took it by affault. Such was the fituation of affairs when the earl of Marlborough arrived in Flanders, and assumed the command of the allied army; and this he had no fooner done, than he used every expedient to bring the ememy to a battle; but finding it impossible to succeed in his endeavours, he reduced Weriz, Venlo, Ruremonde, Stewen-Swaert, Liege, and expelled the French intirely from the Spanish Guelderland.

2. What were the naval transactions of this year?

A refolution having been taken to execute a project, formed by king William, for forming the town of Cadiz, the combined foquadrons of England and Holland failed towards that place in the latter end of June, having on board an army of about 14,000 men. The army was commanded by the duke of Ormand; the fleet, by Sir George Roots. The troops were landed, and took port St. Mary; but, whether it were owing to the mifconduct of fome of the officers, who feemed more intent upon plunder than conqueft; or to the miftinderstanding which arose between the general and admiral, the attempt upon Cadiz unhappily proved abovrive.

2. Did this fleet perform no action of importance?

A Yes: the admiral being informed, that twenty-two Fpamiß galleons, richly laden, under convoy of a Frands figuadron of thirty men of war, had taken shelter at Vigo, he immediately sailed thither; and forcing his way into the harbour, with great gallantry, the 12th of Odeben, in spite of all the obstructions, which the enemy had raised to prevent his entrance, he either took or destroyed the whole navy, and geturned to England with plunder to the value of above a million sterling. In the W-st-Ladies, the brave admiral Benueva, being treacherously deserted by his captains,

maintained, with his fingle ship, for four days successively, a desperate fight against ten French men of war.

2. What happened in 1703?

A. The duke of Marlborough (for he had now been advanced to that title) opened the campaign in April, with the fiege of the important town of Bonne, which furrendered on the 14th of May. He then chaced the French, who were commanded by Boufflers and Villeroy, within the lines of Brabant; and having reduced the town and castle of Huy, he proposed attacking the enemy in their lines; but this being opposed by the deputies of the states-general, he was obliged to finish the campaign with the fiege and conquest of Limburgh. In the course of this year, the archduke Charles, who had lately affumed the title of king of Spain, arrived in England, and was received by the queen with great cordiality and respect.

2. Were the allies as successful in all other parts as they

had been in Flanders?

A. No: the duke of Savoy, who, by this time, had entered into the grand alliance, was in the utmost danger of being expelled his dominions by the superior power of the French monarch, had he not been succoured, in an almost miraculous manner, by count Staremberg, the imperial general, who, by one of the most masterly marches that ever was performed, came to his affiftance with an army of 15,000 men. Count Tallard had reduced the town of Brifac, and retaken the strong fortress of Landau, after defeating the German army at Spire; and the elector of Bavaria, having, either from a principle of ambition or refentment, espoused the cause of the French king, had feized the imperial cities of Ulm, Ratifbon, Augsburgh, and Passau. The Hungarians too, oppressed by their governors, and infligated by the emissaries of France, had role up in arms, and demanded a redress of their grievances, Vienna was threatened with a fiege on both fides; and had the Hungarians and Bavarians acted in concert, it must infallibly have been loft. In this forlorn condition the emperor implored the affiftance of her Britannic majefty; and that generous princefs, after fome confultations with her ministers, embraced the bold and magnanimous resolution of faving the empire from impending ruin.

2. What steps did she take for that purpose?

A. The duke of Marlborough, who was the foul of this project, went over to Holland, in 1704, and having perfuaded the states general to concur in the design, he put himself at the head of the confederate army; marched into Germany with incredible rapidity; drove the French and Bawarians from their entrenchments at Schellenberg; took the town of Donawert on the Danube; and being afterwards joined by a confiderable body of forces under the command of prince Eugene of Savey, these two illustrious heroes, on the 13th of August, 1704, attacked the French and Bawarians at Blenbeim or Hockstadt, and after a fierce and obstinate dispute, gave them a total and most terrible overthrow. This was one of the most glorious and complete victories, that ever was gained in any war, or by any general; 10,000 French and Bavarians were killed upon the fpot; the greater part of thirty squadrons of dragoons were drowned in the Danube; 13,000 men were made prisoners, including 1200 officers; 100 pieces of cannon were taken, together with twenty-four mortars, 129 colours, 171 ftandards, seventeen pairs of kettledrums, 3600 tents, thirtyfour coaches, &c. After this battle, the allies meeting with no farther refistance, drove the French out of Germany, reduced Landau, and conquered all Bavaria.

2. What reward did the duke of Marlborough receive for

the important services he had done the empire?

A. Not to mention the principality of Mindalckien, which the emperor beflowed upon him; he had no fooner returned to England, than he was honoured with the thanks of both house of parliament; and the queen made him a prefent of Weodfleck-Park, where the caused to be built for him, at her own expence, a magnificent palace, distinguished by the name of Blankein-Joseph, in order to perpetuate the memory of his victory. This year, on the 14th of 7s/s, the throng fortress of Gibralcke was taken by Sir George Reole, who, on the 13th of the following month, fought a drawn battle with the Frazeh fleet off Malagac.

Q. What fuccefs had the allies in other parts this year? A. Very indifferent; for though the Portuguese received furcours both from England and Holland, they were yet unable to prevent the Spaniards from making some petty con-

queno;

quests; and the French dispossessed the duke of Savoy of

several strong holds in Italy. 2. What were the most remarkable events of 1705?

A. In the month of June, the duke of Marlborough marched, with the best part of the confederate army, towards the Mofelle, in order to execute, in conjunction with the imperialitis, a grand project, which he had formed on that fide; but prince Lewis of Baden, who commanded the imperial troops, having failed in his engagements, the duke was obliged to return into Flanders, without effecting his purpose.

2. What passed in Flanders during this interval?

A. The French, taking advantage of the duke's absence, reduced Huy, and invested Liege; but the duke, coming fuddenly upon them, recovered the former place, and drove them from the latter; forced their lines of Brabant, expelled them from their entrenchments, and would have fought them in a pitched battle, had he not been prevented by the deputies of the states-general. Soon after the towns of Levee and Sanlvliet were taken; by which means the Dutch frontiers were effectually fecured.

2. What happened in Germany?

A. Nothing of importance. The French took Homburg ; the imperialists reduced Drusenheim and Hagenau, and forced the enemies lines at the place last mentioned.

2. What were the transactions of the war in Portugal?

A. The allies met at first with considerable success in that kingdom: they took Salva-terra, Marwan, Sarcas, Valencia, D'Alcantara, and Albuquerque; and in the month of September, by the advice of the earl of Galavar, who had succeeded duke Schomberg in the command of the English forces, they invested the town of Badajex; but that nobleman having loft his right-hand by a cannon-ball, the direction of the fiege devolved to baron Fagel, who was compelled to raife it by the marshall De Teste.

2. Did any thing memorable happen in Spain?

A. Not only the city of Barcelona, but the whole province of Catalonia was reduced under the dominion of king Charles III. This grand project had been formed by the prince of Heffe D' Armfladt; and though that gallant foldier was unfortunately flain in the attack of fort Monipuic, yet N 6 the

the earl of Peterborough, who commanded the English forces, pushed on his operations with so much vigour, that Barcelona furrendered in a few days, and the submission of the province followed foon after. In the course of this enterprize, the earl performed one of the most gallant exploits that ever was atchieved by any general. With a force not exceeding 1000 infantry, and 200 dragoons, he compelled the Conde de las Torres, who had invested San-Matheo, to raise the siege of that place, though at the head of an army of 6000 men.

D. What were the events of the war in Italy?

A. The French had bent their attention fo much to that quarter, that it is the less surprising if their arms were there crowned with the most fignal fuccess. They had taken Chiwas, Nice, and feveral other fortresses: in a word, they had dispossessed the duke of Savoy of every place of confequence in his dominions, except Coni and Turin; and even these he seemed little able to maintain, as his army was now reduced to 12,000 men. But, notwithstanding these multiplied calamities, the duke adhered to his engagements with invincible resolution; and this honourable conduct was the more meritorious, as his dutchess, his clergy, and his fubjects in general, importuned him, without ceasing, to vield to the necessity of the times.

2. What were the chief military transactions of 1706? A. This year, the French had determined to make the most vigorous efforts in Spain, in Flanders, and in Italy; but, notwithstanding their mighty preparations, all their ambitious projects were ruined and disappointed. In Spain, king Philip had invested Barcelona, and the count de Touloufe blocked it up by fea: but Sir John Leake, arriving with the English fleet from Portugal, compelled the French admiral to retire with precipitation; and king Philip, being harraffed by the earl of Peterborough, who had affembled a fmall army, was obliged, foon after, to abandon the fiege. This event happened the 12th of May; a day very remarkable on account of the fun's fuffering a total eclipse. In Flanders, the duke of Marlborough, the 23d of the same month, entirely defeated, at Ramillies, the French army, commanded by the elector of Bavaria and marshal Villeroy; and this victory was immediately followed by the furrender of Louvaine, Mechlin, Bruffels, Antwerp, Ghent, Bruges, Oftend, Menin, Dendermonde, and Aeth, which submitted to the allies. In Italy, the duke of Orleans and marshal de Marfin had invested Turin, the capital of the duke of Savoy's dominions; and that prince's affairs were now reduced to the most deplorable condition, when prince Eugene, having, by one of the most surprising marches that ever was performed, joined the duke with a good body of troops; these two princes, on the 7th of December, attacked the French army even in their trenches, and, after an obstinate dispute, put them to a total rout. The duke entered his capital in triumph, which had fuftained a vigorous fiege for the space of four months; and the French, in a little time, were driven out of every place they possessed in Piedmont and Italy, except Cremona, Valenza, and the castle of Milan, which were blocked up by the confederates.

2. Did the allies make a proper use of their successes

in opain

A. No: for had they done fo, it is more than probable. that they might, in a few months, have subdued the whole kingdom. The marquis de las Minas, indeed, and the earl of Galway, who commanded the confederate army, after reducing some inconsiderable fortresses, marched immediately to Madrid, which, on the 21th of June, acknowledged king Charles III. But that prince (for what reason is not known) instead of repairing to the capital, where he would have been received with open arms, continued to loiter away his time in Catalonia, from the beginning of May to the end of July; when, the Portuguese army having exhausted itself to no purpose at Madrid, king Philip had leisure to assemble his scattered forces, and return into Spain with a fuperior army; a circumflance, which obliged the allies to abandon the capital, and retire into Valencia with the utmost precipitation.

2. What were the most memorable transactions of

170

A. Fortune feemed this year to change fides, and proved now almolf as fivourable to the French, as the had formerly been to the allies. In Spain, the earl of Galuop having, contrary to the advice of the earl of Peterberagis, attacked at Almanza, the Spainly army, much inperior to his own, and

# 278 HISTORY OF ENGLAND. 1707

commanded by the duke of Berwick; he was, though not till after an obstinate dispute, intirely defeated the 25th of April, and forced, with the shattered remains of his army, to abandon the kingdom of Valencia, and retire into Catalonia. The Spaniards, encouraged by this great victory, laid siege successively to Serpa, Moura, Cividad Rodrigo, and Lerida, all of which they reduced. On the Upper Rhine, marshal Villars, having forced the lines and intrenchments of the Germans at Bichl and Stolhoffen, proceeded in his conquests with such surprizing rapidity, that he would would probably have reflored the elector of Bavaria to his dominions, had he not been opposed by the Imperialists under the elector of Hanover, afterwards king George I, of Great-Britain; or rather had he not been obliged to fend off large detachments of his troops, in order to succour Toulon, which was closely invested by the duke of Savoy and prince Eugene, affifted by the English fleet under Sir Cloudesty Showel. This last attempt, however, unhappily proved abortive; and the English were the more affected with the failure of this enterprize, as the dake of Marlborough, with all his skill in generalship, had not been able, during the whole fummer, to bring the French army in Flanders to an engagement. The weakness of the allies in Germany was chiefly owing to this circumstance, that the emperor had employed the best part of his forces in reducing the kingdom of Naples, which he actually subdued.

D. Were the French as successful in 1708, as they had

been in the preceding campaign? A. Lewis XIV. knowing that the queen of England was the foul of the grand confederacy, determined to undertake some enterprize, which might particularly distress that princefs. With this view, he proposed to make a descent upon England, and establish the pretender, whom he stiled James III, upon the throne of that kingdom. This scheme, however, met with the fate which might naturally be expected. The pretender, indeed, embarked in the expedition, and actually arrived upon the coast of Scotland: but being hotly purfued by an English fleet of forty men of war, under the command of Sir George Byng, who took one of the enemy's veffels with fome officers on board, the pretender was afraid to attempt a landing, and he immediately returned into the harbour of Dunkirk. Nor was Lewis more successful in another project he had formed, which was to over-power the allies with superior numbers in Flanders, and disposses them of all the conquests they had made in those quarters fince the battle of Ramillies, He affembled, it is true, a prodigious army for this purpose, which was commanded by the dukes of Vendome and Burgundy; and these two generals, assisted by the interest of the elector of Bavaria, and of count de Byrgbyck, who had great influence in the Low-Countries, made themselves masters of Ghent, Bruges, and other places. They even laid fiege to Oudenard, hoping to reduce it before the allies could come to its relief. But the duke of Marlborough, being now re-inforced by 30,000 Imperialists under prince Eugene, these two heroes, though still inferior to the enemy in numbers, attacked them the 11th of July, in the neighbourhood of Oudenard; and, after a short but obstinate engagement, defeated them with great flaughter; and had not night intervened, to fave the shattered remains of the French army, few of them would have escaped to carry to their friends the news of their overthrow. By favour of the darkness, however, they effected a retreat, first to Ghent and Bruges, and afterwards to Lovendegen, where they threw up intrenchments. The princes of France, and the Pretender, were the fad eye-witnesses of this shameful defeat : while the electoral prince of Hanover (afterwards king George II. of Great-Britain) who was in the confederate army, fought at the head of Bulau's dragoons, with the most undaunted courage. His horse was shot under him. and colonel Luky killed by his fide. 2. Did the allies improve the advantage they had

gained ?

A. They immediately laid fiege to Lifle, the strongest town in the Netberlands, provided with all necessaries, and a garrison re-inforced by one and twenty of the best battalions of France, headed by marshal Boufflers in person. But, notwithstanding these discouraging circumstances, the confederates carried on their operations with furprizing vigour and activity. The fiege was conducted by prince Eugene; the covering army commanded by the duke of Marlborough, The duke de Vendome made several motions, as if he meant ol

to attack the allies; but, though fuperior to them in point of number, he was afraid to hazard an engagement. He found means, indeed, to cut off their communication with Antwerp, from whence they had hitherto received their provisions, fo that they were now obliged to bring all their fupplies from Oftend, and that too along a narrow causeway, exposed to the attack of an army more numerous than their own. In spite, however, of all these obstructions, they actually brought feveral convoys from Oftend; and, in one of these enterprizes, general Webb, who guarded a convoy with 6000 men, defeated at Wynendale monfieur de la Motte, though at the head of an army 24,000 ftrong. Six thousand of the enemy were killed upon the spot ; the convoy arrived fafe in the camp of the allies; the city of Lifte furrendered a few days after, viz. the 23d of October, 1708; and the garrison retired into the citadel, which they feemed resolved to hold out to the last extremity.

2. Did the French make no farther attempt for the re-

lief of this place?

A. The elector of Bavaria, at the head of 15,000 chosen men, marched to Bruffels, and attacked that city; but he was repulfed by the garrison, under the command of general Paschal, and fled with the utmost precipitation when he heard that the duke of Marlborough was advancing against him. Thus marshal Bouffiers, being deprived of all hopes of fuccour, furrendered the citadel the 10th of December, and was conducted with his garrison to Doway. The French generals, imagining, that the allies, content with the reduction of Life, would undertake no other enterprize during the remaining part of the reason, separated their army, and returned to Paris. But the confederates were determined to crown their conquests with the recovery of Ghent. which they accordingly invested the 20th of December, and took it after a fiege of ten days, though defended by a parrison of thirty battalions, and nineteen foundrons. The enemy, alarmed at this event, abandoned Bruges, Placendabl, and Leffingen ; and, on the whole, it may be affirmed, that this campaign, as it was one of the longest, was also one of the most glorious and successful that is to be found in modern flory.

2. Were the allies as fortunate in every other quarter? A. Yes: for, in spite of all the vigilance and activity of marshal Villars, who commanded the French forces in Italy, the duke of Savoy made himself master of the important fortresses of Exilles and la Perugia, and of the valley of St. Martin and Fenestrelles; so that, by the end of the year, he had fecured a barrier to his own dominions, and opened a paffage into the French provinces; after having made a diversion in favour Charles III. by obliging the enemy to send a large detachment from Rouffillon to the affiftance of Villary. In Spain, indeed, the duke of Orleans took the city of Torvofa, and the chevalier Dasfield that of Denia. But thefe losses were more than compensated by the conquest of Sardinia and Minorca: the former being reduced by Sir John Leake to the obedience of king Charles; and the latter subdued by general Stanhope with about 3000 men; and at the conclusion of the war, it was added to the dominions of Great-Britain. On the Upper Rhine, the electors of Bawaria and Hanover were opposed to each other; but they were, both of them, fo weak, that neither could attempt any enterprize of importance. On the 28th of October, 1708, died prince George of Denmark, in the fifty-fixth year of his age. His death was owing to an afthma and dropfy, with the first of which he had been afflicted almost from his infancy. He was a prince possessed of many amiable and engaging qualities, brave, generous, modest, and humane; but destitute of great talents, and little qualified for making a figure in the world. He had always lived in great harmony with the queen, who, during the whole course of their marriage, and especially in his last illness, approved

2. What were the transactions of 1709? A. The French king was by this time reduced to fuch a flate of despair by the numerous losses he had sustained, and a fevere winter, which compleated the mifery of his fubjects, that he refolved to facrifice all the confiderations of pride and ambition to the re-establishment of the public tranquillity; or, to speak more properly, he determined to counterfeit such a disposition, in order to amuse and cajole the allies, hoping, by that means, either to break the confederacy, or, at least, to prevent them from taking the field

herfelf a pattern of conjugal fidelity and tenderness.

fo early, as they might otherwife, perhaps be inclined. With this view, he dispatched the prefident Reuillé and the marquis de Torgo to the Hagare; and these ministers, in conjunction with those of the emperor, of Great-Britain, and of the States-General, agreed, the 28th of May, to certain preliminary articles; but as it was flipulated, in one of these, that king Philip thould quit Spain in two months, Lewis XIV. rejected the conditions with dislain, and declared, that he would expend his last farthing rather than agree to such dissonances to footh dissonances to the dissonances of the dissonan

2. How did the allies bear with this equivocal con-

duct ? A. They were so much provoked, that they resolved to bring Lewis to reason, if not by strength of argument, at least by force of arms. Accordingly, prince Eugene and the duke of Marlborough, having affembled the allied army, advanced towards the enemy; but finding them so strongly intrenched in the plain of Lens, under marshal Villars, that they could not be attacked with any prospect of success. they invested the important city of Tournay, which, after a fiege of two months, furrendered the 3d of September. On the 11th of the same month, they attacked the Frencharmy, which was advantageously posted, and intrenched almost up to the teeth at Blaregnies or Malplacquet, under the command of Villars and Boufflers. The fight was for some time maintained with great oblinacy, and dreadfuld havock was made among the Dutch infantry; but, at last, the enemy were obliged to yield to the superior valour of the English forces, who, led on by the earl of Orkney, general Withers, the gallant duke of Argyle and others, rushed forward with irreliftible fury; drove the French from their strongest intrenchments, and obtained over them a complete victory. This advantage, however, was dearly purchased with the los of 18,000 men, who fell in the action; among whom were count Lottum, general Tettau, the marquis of Tullibardine, and other officers of diffinction. The enemy loft about 15,000 men, and their number of wounded was fo confiderable, that they were altogether unable to give any relief to Mons, which furrendered to the allies the 20th of Ottober.

2. What were the events of the war in other parts? A. In Germany nothing of importance happened, except one sharp action between a detachment of the French army and a body of the Imperialifts, in which the latter were worsted. The campaign in Piedmont was equally inactive, owing, as was supposed, to a dispute that had arisen between the courts of Vienna and Turin, concerning a claim which the duke of Savoy had laid to certain dependencies of the Milaneze. On the 7th of May the English and Portuquele were defeated at Caya by the Spaniards, under the command of the marshal de Bay. About the same time, general Stanhope arrived with a fleet upon the coast of Spain, in order to succour the castle of Alicant, defended by two English regiments, which had sustained a desperate siege during the whole winter; but finding it impossible to land his men, he capitulated with the Spanish general for the garrison, which was conveyed to Minorca. On the frontiers of Catalonia, general Staremberg, who, the year before, had checked the progress of the dukes of Orleans's arms, reduced Balaguer, where he took 900 prisoners. 2. What happened in 1710?

A. The French court had, for some time, maintained a correspondence with M. Petkum, the Holstein envoy at the Hague, and by means of this gentleman, they now renewed the negociations for peace; but these conferences, which were held at Gertruydenburgh from March to July, proved as ineffectual as those of the Hague; upon which the French ministers withdrew, after having expressed their resentment at the ungenerous treatment which they pretended they had received.

2. What terms did the two parties propose? A. Lewis XIV. offered (though probably without any defign of performing his engagements) to abandon the cause of his grandson, Philip, provided that that prince, in lieu of Spain, should receive the kingdoms of Sardinia and Sicily; and though he would not confent to affift with his forces in expelling him his dominions, he yet agreed to furnish the confederates with a monthly sum of money, towards defraying the expence of driving him out of Spain, in case he should refuse to quit it voluntarily. But the allies, convinced of the infincerity of these professions, replied.

plied, that the reftoration of Spain to the house of Auftria. was the grand object, for which the war was undertaken; that as Lewis had placed his grandfon on the throne of that kingdom, it was certainly in his power to make him refign it; and that, without this condition, no treaty could be concluded. All hopes of accommodation being thus extinguished, the conferences at Gertruydenburgh broke up the 13th of July, 1710.

2. What encouraged Lewis, after so many misfortunes.

to adhere to the cause of his grandson?

A. He was informed by the Abbe Gautier, and others, that a change of ministry would soon take place in England, and that the new ministers would certainly be more favourable to his interest than the old.

2. What occasioned this change of ministry?

A. The distractions excited by the famous Dr. Sachewerel, rector of St. Saviour's, Southwark, who, in a fermon preached before the lord-negvor of London, and which was afterwards published, had advanced certain positions contrary to revolution-principles, to the prefent government, and the protestant succession. The House of Commons, having examined this discourse, voted it a scandalous and seditious libel, and impeached the doctor before the House of Peers, who, by way of punishment, prohibited him from preaching for three years, and ordered his fermon to be burned by the hands of the common hangman. This affair, affifted by the arts of defigning men, raifed fuch a flame in the nation, that the people were at last brought to believe, that the church of England was in danger; and as the Whigs were supposed to be low churchmen, and the Tories high churchmen, the current of popular favour rang frongly towards the latter party. The queen too, though she had hitherto employed a Whig-ministry, had always, it is said, entertained, in her heart, a greater regard and affection for the Tories. But these causes did not immediately produce their full effect.

2. What steps did the allies take upon the breaking up

of the conferences at Gertruydenburgh?

A. They refolved to profecute the war with the utmost vigour; and accordingly prince Eugene and the duke of Marlborough, having affembled the army in the month of April.

April, took the cities of Doway, Bethune, Aire, and St. Venant; but they were not able, during the whole summer, to bring the enemy to a battle.

2. What passed in other parts this year?

A. The campaign in Germany was intirely enactive, nor was any thing of moment transacted in Italy. The duke of Savoy being indisposed, and out of humour, the command of the forces was vefted in count Thaun, who attempted to cross the Alas, and force his way into Daubhine; but the duke of Berwick had cast up intrenchments in the mountains, and taken such precautions to defend them, as baffled the defigns of the Imperial general. Greater achievements were performed in Spain, where both parties were conquerors and conquered in their turn. General Stanhope, at the head of fifteen squadrons of horse and dragoons, attacked, the 27th of July, the whole cavalry of the enemy at Almenara. Stanbope charged in person, and with his own hand flew general Ameffaga, who commanded the guards of Philip. The Spanish horse were intirely defeated, together with nine battalions of foot, that escaped by favour of the darkness; and the main body of the army retreated with the utmost precipitation to Lerida. General Staremberg pursued them to Saragossa, where he found them drawn up in order of battle ; and an engagement enfuing, on the 9th of August, the enemy received a total defeat. Five thousand of their men were killed, 7000 taken, together with all their artillery, and a great number of colours and flandards. King Charles entered Saragoffa in triumph, while Philip, with the remains of his army, retired towards Madrid. Having fent his queen and fon to Victoria, he repaired to Valladolid, in order to affemble his scattered troops, so as to compose another army. Stanbope proposed, that a body of troops should be posted at Pampeluna, the only pass by which the French could send forces into Spain. But this prudent measure was neglected; and Charles proceeded to Madrid, which was abandoned by all the grandees; and he had the mortification to find that all the Castilians were attached to his competitor.

2. Did affairs continue long in this fituation?

A. No: Charles was not able to maintain the superiority he had gained. The king of France sent the duke of Ven-

dome to take the command of the Spamife army, which was, at the same time, reinforced by detachments of French troops. Frendome was a general of great reputation, and many volunteers stocked to his standard. The Cajillams, too, exerted themselves with great industry, in order to support their sovereign: so that in lefs than three months after his defeat at Saragoffa, Pabife was in a condition to go in quest of his rival. Charlet, on the other hand, was entirely neglected by those whose interest it was to support the support of England was too much engaged in party-disputes to have leisure to attend to foreign transactions; and the emperor was fo apprehensive of a war between the grand Signor and the Czar, that he was afraid to diminish his samy in Hawaers?

2. What happened afterwards?

A. In the beginning of November, Charles marched back to Sarageffa, and cantoned his troops in the neighbourhood of Cifucutes, where Saraemberg fixed his head-quarters. General Standoge was quartered in the little town of Brings, where he found himfelf fuddenly furrounded by the whole Spanifo army. He immediately fent an account of his fituation to Staremberg; and that general was no fooner apprized of his danger, than he affembled his troops, and fet out to his relief. But, before his arrival, Standoge, being attacked by the enemy, was obliged to furrender himfelf and all his forces prifoners of war to the amount of 2000 men.

Q. What measures did Staremberg embrace?

A That general, being ignorant of Stunbapt's misforume, continued to advance towards Bribuage; but the roads were fo bad, that night overtook him before he reached the heights which commanded the town. The troops lay on their arms; and, on the 29th of Nevember, they were attacked by the enemy, who were double their number. Starosberg's right wing was entirely routed, all the infantry that composed it, being either killed or taken: but the victors, instead of purfuing the blow, begun to plunder the baggage; and Starosberg, with his right wing, fought their left with the most oblinate valour till night. Then the enemy retired in diforder, leaving him master of the field of battle, and of all their artillery. Six thousand of them

fell upon the spot; but the allies had suffered so severely, that the general could not maintain his ground. He therefore returned to Suragessa, and from thence marched into Catatoria. Thither he was followed by Feadum, who reduced Badeguer, and obliged him to take refuge under the walls of Barcelona. About the same time, the duke at Novilles laid steps of the season, which he took notwithstanding the severity of the season; is other than the surface of the season in the season was considered to the sungle province of Cataloria, and even that lay open to the incursions of the enemy.

2. What effect did these misfortunes produce in Eng-

A. They gave great uneafiness to the duke of Murl-borough's friends, and infinite joy to his enemies.

2. Why were his enemies overjoyed?

A. They knew, that, as his credit and influence had first been raised, and was fill fopported by his military atchievements, the most effectual way to ruin both, would be to put an end to the war; and the late ill fuccess of the allies in Spain furnished them with a plausible pretence for alledging, that a peace was now become absolutely necessary.

2. Who was at the head of this pacific cabal?

A. Robert Harley, Esq; (afterwards earl of Oxford) a man of great abilities and the most infinuating address. He had been brought into office by the interest of the duke of Marlborough and lord Godolphin, who had procured for him the post of secretary of state, from which, however, he had been dismissed, upon his endeavouring to undermine his benefactors. He continued, nevertheless, to enjoy the confidence of her majefly, to whom he had free, though private, access, by means of one Mrs. Masham, a new favourite of the queen, and one of her bed-chamber-women. She was a near relation of the dutchess of Marlborough. who had refcued her from indigence and obscurity, kept her some time in her own family, recommended her to the fervice of her majefty; and had now the mortification to fee herfelf supplanted by the person whom she had thus gemerously patronized.

What method did Mr. Harley take, in order to accomplish his purpose?

A. As he knew, that that could not be done without effecting a change of ministry, and procuring a Tory-parliament, he first resolved to execute these two projects. With this view, he represented to the queen, the absolute necessity of altering her measures: he said, that she was held in a flavish subjection by the Whigs, and was, in reality, but a cypher in the government; that the duke and dutchess of Marlborough, in particular, exercised over her the most cruel tyranny, and had engroffed to themselves the sole disposal of honours and employments: that the Tories were her only true and fincere friends, and were firmly attached to her person and government; and that she could never reign with ease and independence, till she had delivered herself from the hands of the Whigs, and intrusted her affairs to the management of the Tories. The queen, who, of herfelf, was fufficiently inclined to liften to these suggestions, immediately adopted his fentiments; and accordingly, in a little time, lord Somers was deprived of the prefidentthip of the council, the duke of Devonshire of the office of lord fleward, and the earl of Wharton of the lieutenancy of Ireland. Even Charles Spencer, earl of Sunderland, fon-inlaw to the duke of Marlborough, was removed from his post of fecretary of state; and the excellent earl of Godolphin, whose only son had married the eldest daughter of the aforesaid duke, was soon after deprived of his office of lord-high-treasurer. In a word, there was not a single Whip left in any office under the government, except the duke of Marlborough, and he too would have refigned his command, had he not been persuaded by his friends to refrain from taking a flep, which might prove prejudicial to the interest of his country.

2. What were the next measures which the Tories em-

braced?

d. Having feized into their own hands the whole power of the administration, they found it no dissoult matter to procure a parliament to their liking. Accordingly the old, or Whig-parliament was dissolved, and another funmoned, which proved a Tory one, and wholly at the direction of 1711

the Triumvirate, who governed the three realms, in the queen's name, till her decease.

2. Who composed this triumvirate.

A. Robert Harley, earl of Oxford, whom we have already mentioned: Sir Simon Harcourt, who was first and pointed lord keeper, then lord-high-chancellor, and at last made a peer of the realm : and Mr. Henry St. John, a man of diftinguished abilities, but of the most unbounded ambition, who was nominated fecretary of state, and afterwards created viscount Bolinobroke.

2 Was not the duke of Marlborough distatished with this

change in the ministry?

A. Whatever might have been his private sentiments, he resolved to act in such a manner, as might be most conducive to the interest of his country.

2. What exploits did his grace perform in 1711?

A. Prince Eugene being obliged to return into Germany with the Imperial and Palatine troops, in order to check the progress of the elector of Bavaria, who was advancing towards the Rhine with a confiderable body of French, all that the duke of Marlborough could do was to force the French lines on the Schelde and the Sanfet, which they had fortified fo firongly, as to render them, in the opinion of most people, altogether impenetrable. They used to call them, by way of bravado, the Ne plus ultra of Marlborough. That nobleman, however, by his admirable conduct, entered them without the lofs of a fingle man. Soon after he took the important, and, as it was then esteemed, the impregnable fortress of Bouchain, which surrendered the 13th of Sectomber, in fight of the enemy, though 100,000 ftrong.

2. Was this year diftinguished by any other military transactions?

A. No: the duke of Argyle indeed, an officer of approved courage, was advanced to the command of the British troops in Portugal; but he was fo entirely neglected by the ministry, who forgot to fend him the promised remittances, that he could not attempt any enterprize of importance. An expedition too, which was undertaken against the French settlements in the West-Indies, was so ill concerted, and fo feebly executed, that it proved utterly abortive.

Q. What happened in Germany this year?

A. Nothing remarkable, except the death of the emperor Joseph, who was carried off by the small-pox, the 17th of April, 1711. Soon after, his brother, Charles III. was elected and crowned emperor of Germany in his room.

2. What influence had this event upon the state of affairs

in Europe ?

A. It furnished the English ministry with a more plausible pretext, than any they had as yet found, for accepting a peace upon the conditions offered by monfieur Menager in the French king's name, and the preliminaries of which were figned the 27th of September.

2. Were these preliminaries approved by the parlia-

ment ?

A. They were readily approved by the lower house; but were frongly opposed in the upper: and the queen, therefore, created, at once, no less than twelve new peers, which effectually cast the ballance in favour of the ministry. The duke of Marlborough, however, refused to agree to these measures; for which reason he was, on the 31th of December, removed from all his employments, and the command of the army bestowed upon the duke of Ormand.

2. How did this new general behave in 1712?

A. As he was a nobleman of real merit, he would probably have acted with equal spirit and sagacity, had he followed the dictates of his own judgment; but fuffering himfelf, though with fome reluctance, to be governed by those who had raifed him to power, he had no opportunity of displaying his military talents. The English ministers were now bent upon a peace, and they therefore fent orders to Ormand to refrain from all acts of hostility. In obedience to these orders, the duke refused to concur with prince Eugene in attacking the French army, or besieging the town of Landreci; drew off the English forces from the confederates; published a suspension of arms with France the 16th of July; and feized Ghent and Bruges for the use of his sovereign: a conduct, which was attended with the most fatal confequences to the allies, who, being now deprived of the affiftance of the English, were defeated at Denain, obliged to raise the siege of Landreci, and soon afand Quesnoy.

ter expelled from the important towns of Doway, Bouchain, 2. What were the principal transactions of 1713?

A. The English ministers had long carried on a private negociation with the court of France, in order to fettle the terms of peace; and every thing being, at length, in some measure, adjusted, they now resolved publickly to conclude a formal treaty. For this purpose, notwithstanding the remonifrances of the emperor, of the elector of Hanover, and of the states-general, a congress had been formed at Utrecht. which was opened the 29th of January, 1712; and to which the confederates at last confented, however unwillingly, to fend their respective plenipotentiaries. Strong objections were made by these ministers to the terms offered by France : but the queen of England, and Lewis XIV. having previously agreed upon the conditions of peace, they compelled all the allies, who composed the grand alliance, to accede to them all. I mean, except the emperor, who was afterwards obliged to conclude a separate treaty with France at Boden.

2. What were the terms of this treaty of Utrecht?

A. Not to mention the less material articles, king Philip was left in quiet possession of the Spanish throne; and thus, the great object, for which the war had been originally underiaken, and profecuted for the space of nine years with fuch fignal fuccess, was finally disappointed. This peace was figned the 11th of April, 1713.

2. What happened during the remaining part of this

reign ?

A. The attention of the public was wholly engrossed by the violent disputes between the Whigs and Tories, who feized every opportunity of displaying to the world their mutual hatred and antipathy. The queen herfelf was harraffed and diffurbed by the furious altercations of her miniflers and counsellors. Oxford and Bolingbroke, in particular, had conceived against each other the most implacable refentment; and they carried their animofity to fuch an intolerable height, that, forgetting the reverence due to majefty. and the obligations they owed to their royal benefactress, they broke out into open invectives, even in the queen's prefence. Oxford was removed from his post of lord-high. treasurer; and Bolingbroke expected to become prime minif.

rer: but all his hopes were blafted by the fudden death of the queen, who, oppreffed with the burden of her bodily infirmities, and further worn out with anxiety of mind, was feized with a kind of lethargic diforder, of which the expired on the 1ft day of Maguel, 1714.

2. Had queen Anne any children?

A. She bore to her hufband, prince George of Denmark, two fons and four daughters; all of whom died in their infancy, except William, duke of Chuedrar, who attained to the eleventh year of his age, when he was carried off by a malignant fever, to the inexpredible grief of the whole nation.

2. Describe the person and qualities of this princess? A. Queen Anne was of the middle stature, and well proportioned. Her hair was of a dark brown colour, her complexion fanguine and ruddy, her features ftrong and regular, her countenance rather round than oval, and her aspect more comely than majestic. Her voice was remarkably clear and harmonious, as appeared in the graceful delivery of her speeches to parliament. She had a tolerable ear for music, and performed indifferently on the guitar, an instrument then greatly in vogue. Her character, viewed in a private light, is one of the most amiable that is to be found in history. She was a pattern of conjugal fidelity and affection, a tender mother, a warm friend, an indulgent mistress, and a munificent patron. Her public conduct, though not altogether free from weakness, was, in the main, laudable and praise-worthy. She seems, indeed, to have been deficient in that vigour of mind, which might preserve her from the mares of sycophants and favourites; but her regard for the happiness and prosperity of her subjects was never called in question. She felt a mother's fondness for her people, by whom she was beloved with a warmth of affection, which even the prejudice of party could not abate. Her reign may be faid to have been bloodlets, no person having been executed, at least beheaded, for treason, during the whole course of her administration; a circumstance, which cannot be affirmed of any other English monarch since the time of Edward the

firft.

2. Was this reign distinguished by any other remarkable

A. The year 1706 will ever be memorable for the union of the two kingdoms of England and Scotland; by which treaty it was flipulated, that Scotland should be represented in the parliament of Great-Britain by 16 peers, and 45 commoners. In 1707, Sir Coudestey Shovel was shipwrecked upon the rocks of Seilly.

2. What happened in the other kingdoms of Europe

during this period? A In 1708, the elector Palatine was restored to the possession of the Upper-Palatinate, with the rank and title which had been vested in the house of Bavaria, by the treaty of Munster: the duke of Savoy received the investiture of Mantua and Montferrat: and, by the good offices and powerful folicitations of Great-Britain and the States-General, the succession of the principality of Neufchatel was decided in favour of the king of Pruffia. In 1709, king Charles XII. of Sweden, having penetrated into the heart of Ruffia, was attacked, at Pultowa, on the 8th of Tidy, by the Czar in person, who defeated the Savedes with great flaughter, and compelled Charles to fly for shelter to Bender in Turkey, where he met with a very hospitable reception. In 1714, died the princess Sopbia, electress and dutchess dowager of Hanover, in the 84th year of her age.

#### CHAP, XLVIII.

# GEORGE I. XLIXth King of England,

And Seventh of Great-Britain.

#### From 1714 to 1727.

Popt.  CLEMENT XI. 1700 INNOCENT XIII. 1721 BENEDICT XIII. 1723 Kings of France.  LEWIS XIV. 1643 LEWIS XV. 1715 King of Spain. Philip V. 1701	King of Portugal. JOHN V. 1706 King of Denmark. FREDERIC IV. 1699 Sourcigm of Sweden. CHARLES XII. 1697 ULRICA ELEANORA 1718 FREDERIC 7220
--	--

2. TTTHO fucceeded queen Anne?

A. George, elector of Hanower, or Brunfwick-Lumenburgh, who ascended the throne in 1714, being then in the fifty-fifth year of his age.

2. Of whom was he descended?

A. He was the elden fon of Ernsft Augustus, first elector of Bransfusch Lauraniurgh, by the princes Suphia, daughter of Freduric V. elector Palatine, and king of Behamia, and of Elizabeth, eldest daughter to James I, king of Great-Britain; and, as all the pospin branches of the royal family were excluded from the throne by the act of fettlement, he was thus the true lineal heir to the crown.

Q. Who governed the kingdom till his arrival in England?

A. The lords-juffices, who had been appointed by the

late queen, together with those who were added by his majesty.

Q. What steps did the lords-justices take?

A. Queen Anne had no fooner refigned her breath, than they issued orders for proclaiming king George in England,
Scotland.

Scotland, and Ireland, which was accordingly done with the usual solemnity. They dispatched the earl of Dorset to Hanover, where the king then refided, to acquaint him with the queen's death, and his own accession, and to defire him to fet out, with all convenient speed, on his journey for England. At the same time, in order to provide for the fecurity of the kingdom, they fent the general officers, on whom they could depend, to their respective posts; they reinforced the parrison of Portsmouth; and appointed Toleph Addison, Esq: their secretary: while lord Bolingbroke was obliged to wait at the door of the conneil-chamber with his bag and papers, and was foon after removed, with evident marks of displeasure, from his post of secretary of state.

Q. What was done next?

A. The parliament meeting, agreeable to the act of fettlement, the lord chancellor made a speech to both houses in the name of the regency. In consequence of this, addreffes of condolence and congratulation were immediately transmitted to his majesty, humbly requesting, as soon as possible, his royal presence in England; to which the king returned a very gracious answer, declaring in the close of it, " that he would make it his constant care to preserve the religion, laws, and liberties of his subjects inviolate, and to advance the honour and prosperity of his kingdoms." The parliament likewise passed a bill " for the support of his majesty's houshold, and the dignity of the crown;" for which purpose they granted him the same revenue, which had been fettled upon the late queen; and to this bill the lords-juttices gave the royal affent.

2. Was no opposition made to his majesty's accession? A. None openly: for, whatever defigns might have been

formed by some persons, they were not ripe for execution. The pretender, indeed, upon advice of the queen's death, repaired from Lorrain to Verfailles; but finding it impossible to obtain an audience of the French king, he returned to the place of his former residence. Mean while, the remains of her late majesty were interred, on the 24th of August, with great funeral pomp, in Henry the VIIth's chapel; the dutchess of Ormand sin the absence of the dutchess of Somerset) walking as chief mourner.

2. When did his majesty set out from Hanover?

A. Having committed the government of his German dominions to a council, headed by his brother, prince Erneft, he departed, with the prince royal, from Herenbausen, on the 31st day of August; and in five days arrived at the Hague, where he was complimented by the deputies of the states, and by the foreign ambassadors. Embarking at Orange-Polder, September 15, under convoy of a squadron of English and Dutch ships, commanded by the earl of Berkeley, he landed fafe at Greenwich the 18th, about fix in the evening. There he was received by the earl of Northumberland, captain of the life-guards, and by the lords of the regency. From the landing place he walked to his house in Greenwick-Park, accompanied by a great number of the nobility and gentry, who had the honour to kiss his hand. It was observed, however, that some of the late ministry, when they came to pay their respects, met with but a very cold reception.

2. When did his majefly and the prince arrive in London J. A. They made their public entry, on the 20th of Softendors, with great pomp and magnificance; their coach being preceded by above 200 coaches and fix, of the nobliny, and others. The king was received by the lord-mayor, aldermen, Sec. of London; and by the high fleward, high-halliff, Sec. of Wefmingfer. His entry into London was proclaimed by the firing of the Tevure guns, and his arrival as St. James, palace by the firing of those in the park. Next day there was a numerous and spendid court; but the feltivity of the company was a little interrupted by an unfortunate accident. Mr. Alteworth, member of parliament, and colonel Couldiely, having quarrelled in the prefence-chamber, retired to Marybone Fields, where they fought a duel, when Mr. Althourth was killed upon the soci.

Q. What steps did his majesty take upon his arrival at

St. Fames's?

A. His first business was to fettle his minitry; and, as the late one was supposed to be not very favourably inclined to the protestlant foccession, an almost total change was made in every department under the government. The duke of Ornsond was deprived of the command of the forces, which the king reflored to the duke of Marshrough, whom he likewise appointed colonel of the farth regiment of foot-guards, and master.

of a late indisposition. 2. When was the king crowned?

A. October 20, with the usual solemnity; his maiesty having previously created several new peers, and advanced others to higher titles. The occasion was observed with the greatest demonstrations of joy in all parts of the kingdom; except at Bristol, and a few other places, where the rabble pose in tumults, and committed the most shocking disorders a breaking windows, pulling down meeting houses, &c. The

with the princesses Anne and Amelia, arrived in England; the princess Caroline not coming over till May, on account cry was, "Down with the whigs; high church, Sacheverelt" and Ormona, for ever." This factious fpirit in the people was supposed to be owing to a number of feditious pamphlets, which were published about this time, as well as to the unwarrantable conduct of certain clergymen, who neglected praying for the king in the usual form; but orders were islued for preventing this practice, and silencing all disputes about the Trinity, which had likewife raised some disturbance. The Pretender, encouraged by these marks of disaffection in the English, transmitted a declaration to the dukes of Markberungh, Shreughny, Argyle, &c. but no further notice was taken of it, than a proclamation's being published against Papiss and Non-iurors.

2. What were the most remarkable events of 1715?

A. The old parliament having been dissolved, and a new non fummoned, the Whigs and Tories exerted their utmost efforts in influencing the elections; but the former gained the superiority by a great number of voices. The parliament met the 17th of March, and Spencer Campton was chosen speaker of the House of Commons. The king made a speech to both houses, in which, among other things, he said, "the established constitution in charch and state shall be the rule of my government: "the happines, sease, and prosperity of my people, shall be the trule of my government of the their care of my life. Those who affit me in "carrying on these measures, I shall always esteem my belief friends; and I doubt not but I shall be able, "with your affitlance, to disappoint the designs of those, "who would deprive me of that bleffing, which I most I most

"value, the affection of my people."

D. What was done the first fession of this new par-

A. After granting the necessary sums for the service of the current year, and 700,0001. For the support of his maintain year, and 700,0001.

A. Mer granting us necessary to the fupport of his majefly's houhold, a committee of feerest was appointed, of which Mr. Robert Walpsle was chairman, to enquire into the conduct of the late ministry, when it was refolved, that Henry vifcount Balaghroise, Robert carl of Oxford, and James duke of Ormand, thould be impeached of high treation; and Thomas carl of Strafford,

of high crimes and misdemeanors. The charge against these noblemen may be reduced to the following particulars: 1. The clandestine negociations with Monf. Menager, the French agent; in confequence of which, two fets of preliminary articles were drawn; the one private and special for Great Britain only, the other general for all the allies. 2. The extraordinary steps taken to form the congress of Utrecht. 3. The trifling conduct of the French plenipotentiaries at Utrecht, by the connivance of the British ministers. 4. The negociations relating to the renunciation of the Spanish monarchy. 5. The fatal suspension of arms. 6. The feizure of Ghent and Bruges, to ferve the French interest. 7. The duke of Ormond's acting in concert with the French general. 8. Lord Bolingbrok's journey to France. Q. The duke of Shrewfoury and Mr. Prior's negociation there. 10. The hafty conclusion of the peace of Utrecht. 11. The facrifice made of the Catalans. 12. The promoting the pretender's interest, Lord Bolingbroke, dreading the impending florm, fled the kingdom, and left a paper behind him in vindication of his conduct. His example was foon after followed by the duke of Ormand. The earl of Oxford was thrown into the Tower. By the riot-act, which was passed this session. it was declared death for any persons, to the number of twelve, to continue together for the space of one hour, after proclamation being made for their dispersing. 2. What other steps did the parliament take?

A. The king having acquainted them, that defigns were carrying on, both at home and abroad, in favour of the pretender, they paffed a bill for fulpending the Habsas-Corpus act, during the space of fix months: soon after which, the duke of Pewis, the earls of Terify and Scarfidale, the lords Landisbourn, Dunbar, Sec. were taken

into custody; the coasts were strictly guarded, and an express sent to the Dutch, demanding the 6000 men, which they were bound by treaty to furnish to his majesty.

2. Were the designs of the Jacobius carried into execution?

A. Yes: for, though the death of Lewis XIV, which happened about this time, and upon whose promises of affistance they had built their chief hopes of

fuccess, tended considerably to depress their spirits; and though the duke of Orleans, who was appointed regent of France, during the minority of Lewis XV. maintained a friendly correspondence with his majesty, king George; yet, as they had been fo rash as to engage in this enterprife, they were determined to try their fortune in the field; and accordingly a rebellion was foon after raifed in Scotland, which was seconded by another in England. The former was headed by the earl of Mar; the latter, by Mr. Foster. The earl, during the late reign, had been secretary of state for Scotland, and was one of the first who made professions of their loyalty to king George; but not meeting with the encouragement he expected, and knowing that his defigns could not be much longer concealed, he withdrew privately to his feat at Kildrammy, in the Highlands : where, being joined by the marquiffes of Huntley and Tullibardine, the earls of Nithifdale, Mareschal, Traquair, Errel, and others, he openly erected the standard of rebellion, and proclaimed the pretender by the name of Tames VIII. about the 16th of September, in Aberdeen, Dundee, Perth, &c. The Jacobites, in the mean time, exerted themselves with so much industry, that he foon found himfelf at the head of an army 6000 itrong.

9. What measures did the government take, in order to

fuppress this rebellion?

A. The duke of Argyle, commander in chief of his majefly's forces in North Britain, immediately affembled all the regular troops, which were in that kingdom; and tho' they did not exceed 3,500 men, yet, with this fmall force, he ventured, on Sunday the 13th of November, to attack, at Sheriff-Moor, near Dumblain, the rebels, who amounted to good men, under the command of the earl of Mar. A bloody battle was fought, and both fides claimed victory. 'I his much is certain, that the left wing of both armies was defeated. The right of the duke's foon routed the left of the rebels; but, while his grace was pursuing them, the right of the rebels charged the left of the duke's with fuch impetuofity, that they advanced to the very muzzles of the muskets; and pushing aside the bayonets with their targets, and falling on furiously with their broad fwords, they committed fuch terrible flaughter among the king's forces, that these last, being consounded and terrified at this strange and desperate manner of fighting, were instantly put to slight. Upon the whole, however, it appeared that the king's army had the advantage, as the earl of Mar, immediately after the battle; retired with his forces to Perth. In this action the rebels loft about 800 men in killed and wounded, besides great numbers who were taken prisoners. The lose of the king's army did not amount to above 500 men. A little before this battle, lord Lovat dispossessed the rebels of Inwerness. This nobleman had hitherto appeared in the interest of the pretender, though he now declared for the government; and he afterwards joined the rebellion in 1745. when, being taken prisoner, he was executed on Towerbill. It is likewise to be observed, that an attempt had been made to surprise the castle of Edinburgh, but the defign miscarried.

2. What was the issue of the rebellion in England?

A. Mr. Foster, being joined by the earl of Deraventa water, and several English gentlemen, and by the earl of Widdrington, with a small body of forces from Scotland, proclaimed the Pretender at Morp th, Hexbam, &c. in the beginning of October. He then marched to Presson, where on the 13th of November he was attacked by the king's forces, under the generals Carpenter and Wills. His majetty's troops were, at first, very much galled by the shot from the windows; but general Carpenter threatening to fet fire to the town, the rebels furrendered at discretion. Of the king's forces about 120 were flain : how many of the rebels is uncertain. About 1400 of them were taken prisoners; among whom were general Forfer, the earl of Derwentwater, lord Widdrington, with 7 c English gentlemen : the earls of Nithildale, Wintown, and Carnavath: viscount Kennure, and other Scotch noblemen and gentlemen, amounting in all to about 143,

2. Did not the Pretender arrive in Scotland?

A. Yes, on the 22d of December, about five weeks after the battle of Sheriff-moor, by which all his hopes were blafted. This circumftance, however, was carefully concealed from his partifans, who were made to believe, 2

that he would foon be able to retrieve his affairs. On the 5th of January 1716, he entered Dundee on horseback, having the earl of Mar on his right-hand, and the earl of Mareschal on his left, and attended by about 300 gentlemen. On the 9th of the same month, he made his public entry into Perth, and retired in the evening to the palace of Scone, the place where the Scottifb kings were anciently wont to be crowned. Here he began to establish a regular council, and to perform several acts of state. He published fix different proclamations; one, for a general thankfgiving, on account of his fafe arrival; another, for praying for him in churches; a third, for the currency of all foreign coins; a fourth, for fummoning a convention of the estates; a fifth, ordering all fencible men to repair to his flandard; and a fixth, appointing his coronation on the 23d of January. He afterwards conferred various degrees of honour, and received addresses from the episcopal clergy and laity. He continued, for fome time, to exercise other acts of royalty; till hearing that the duke of Argyle, who had lately been reinforced by 6000 Dutch, was advancing against him, he suddenly quitted Perth, and proceeding first to Dundee, then to Monirole, he embarked, February 12, on board a French ship, accompanied by the earls of Mar and Melfort, lord Drummond, Mr. Buckley, Mr. Sheldon, and others; and fetting off with a fair wind, arrived fafe, about five days after, at Gravelins in France. The deluded rebels, being thus deferted by their leaders, dispersed immediately, and returned to their feveral habitations; fome submitted to the king's mercy, and others were taken prifoners. The Pretender, during his flay in Scotland, did not once attend the Protestant worship.

Q. What became of the rebels who were taken pri-

A. They were brought to London, and imprisoned in the Towar, Newpats, and the Flett. Among these were Forfart, their general, the earls of Dereuvatuater, Nithiflates, Carnwasth, and Wintown; viscount Kemmire, and the lords Widdington and Nairne. Those of the inferior for were pinioned at Barnet; and their horses being led by foot foldiers, they proceeded, in that manner, to their references.

202

spective prisons. On the 10th of January 1716, the impeached lords were brought from the Tower to Westminsterhall, where earl Cowper prefided as lord high-steward on that folemn occasion. They all pleaded guilty, and received fentence of death, except the earl of Wintown, who petitioned for a longer time to prepare for his trial. Lord Nithifdale escaped, in woman's apparel, the night before the execution. The lords Derguentquater and Kenmure were beheaded on Tower-bill, February 24. Both of them died with great composure: the former professed himself a Roman Catholic; the latter a member of the church of England. The earl of Wintoun was tried March 13, and, at last, found guilty; but he made his escape out of the Tower. Carnwath, Widdrington, and Nairne, were reprieved, and received the benefit of the act of grace, which was afterwards paffed.

2. What punishments were inflicted upon the other

rebels ?

A. General Foster, brigadier Mackinsch, and a few more, ectaped out of Newgast. The gooler was tried for his negligence, but acquitted. Some were executed in Lancaghire, eleven at Profes, fix at Wigan, and five at Manchofter. Colonel Oxburgh, Mr. Gassigns, William Paul, a clergyman, and Mr. Hall, who had been a justice of peace, were executed at Tyburn. In a word, great numbers were found guilty, of whom some were trainforted, and others reprieved: some were tried and acquitted; and those who remained untried, had the benefit of the act of indemnity. But the earls of Mar and Linitispeau, the marquis of Tulibardins, and lord John Drummond, were attainted by parliament.

2. What was done in parliament in 1716, besides at-

tainting these noblemen?

A. A bill passed, May 7, for discontinuing triennial, and establishing septennial parliaments. Triennial parliaments had subsided ever since the fixth year of king William and queen Mary.

2. What were the detached events of 1715, and 1716?

A. On the 22d of April 1715, happened the famous eclipse of the sun, when the stars appeared. About the

#### 304 HISTORY OF ENGLAND. 1716

fame time died bishop Burnet, the marquis of Wharton, and the earl of Hallifax, all of them men of distinguished abilities. The fecond left a fon, who afterwards became a duke, and was a nobleman of great wit and spirit; but having, by his extravagance, impaired his fortune, he first engaged in an opposition to the court, and afterwards espoused the cause of the Pretender. Soon after he retired to Madrid, where having, one day as he was riding in a coach, received a letter from his fovereign, commanding his return home, he threw it into the street, without deigning to open it. He died in Spain. The close of the year 1715, and the beginning of the next, were remarable for a most severe frost. The Thames was quite froze over, and whole oxen were roafted upon it. On the 21st of September 1716, were executed at the end of Salifburycourt in Fleet-Areet, five rioters, being part of a mob, who had endeavoured to pull down the mug-house in that court. These mug houses were so called from the vessel in which the liquor was fold. There were several of them in the city and suburbs, and all of them frequented by persons, who had formed themselves into clubs or societies. and were extremely zealous for the Hanover succession. His majefly vifited his German dominions this fummer, when a triple alliance was concluded between Great-Britain, France, and Holland. The spirit of disaffection being very prevalent at this period, guards were posted in feveral parts of the city to prevent the wearing of white roles on the 10th of June; and one Forden, a printer, was shot in Newgate-street, by a foot soldier, for his insolence. December 4. above 150 houses were burnt near Limebouje-bridge. Two days after, the prince of Wales, being at Drury-lane play-house, one of the guards, who attended him, was shot with a pistol in the shoulder (though the wound did not prove mortal) by one Mr. Freeman, who appeared to be a lunatic. Upon being fearched, however, he was found to have two or three other loaded piffols about him, and was fulpected of having a defign on the prince's life.

Q. What were the most remarkable events of 1.717?

d. England was threatened with an invasion from Swedin: the reason of which was said to be as follows,

The Danes having conquered the dutchies of Bremen and Verden from the Swedes, king George had purchased these two provinces from the former. Charles XII. of Sweden, provoked at this circumstance, resolved to make a descent upon England, and by the affiftance of the Jacobites, to establish the pretender upon the throne of that kingdom. For this purpose, Baron Gortz, his favourite minister, and his restdent in Holland, began to purchase arms, and make other necessary preparations. But the plot being discovered, Gortz was feized, at the instance of Mr. Leathes, the British envoy at the Haque; and, about the same time, count Gyllenburg, the Swedish ambassador at the English court, was likewife taken into cultody. The other foreign ministers at London complained of this step, as a manifest breach of the law of nations; but they feemed fatisfied, when they were told, that they should soon be informed of the reasons for taking fo extraordinary a measure. Soon after, a bill was paffed for prohibiting all commerce with Sweden; and a squadron of twenty-one British ships of the line, besides frigates and fireships, was sent to the Baltic, in order to obferve the motions of the Swedes. The king of Sweden, being thus disappointed in his designs, endeavoured to make a peace with his Britannic majesty; and this was at last accomplified by the mediation of the duke of Orleans, regent of France, who, for the greater fatisfaction of the British court, obliged the pretender to quit Avignon, and retire beyond the Albs.

2. What were the chief transactions in parliament this

year

A. A warm debate arose in the House of Commons, in consequence of a message from his majesty, demanding an extraordinary supply, for concluding some alliances, without informing the house of the particulars. Many of the courtiers declared against this method, as being altogether unpartiamentary and unprecedented. Several changes were immediately made in the ministry; and at last it was refolved, though only by a majority of four voices, that a sum, not exceeding 2c,000.6. Hould be granted to the king, "for enabling him to make good such engagements with other princes and states, as might prevent the present danger from Sourders, &c." The earl of Oxford, who had

now remained a prisoner almost two years in the Towers hearing of the divisions which prevailed among the ministry, resolved to take advantage of that favourable circumstance. With this view he petitioned for his trial; and a day was accordingly appointed for the purpose. On the 24th of June, 1717, he was brought, by water, to Westminster-ball, where earl Couper prefided as lord high-fleward on the occasion. A violent dispute arose between the two houses with regard to their respective privileges. The Commons refued to carry on the profecution: the lords determined to proceed with the trial. The earl of Oxford's accusers were fummoned to come forth; but no one appeared. His lordship was therefore acquitted by the unanimous confent of his peers. Nevertheless, at the request of the I ouse of Commons, he was excepted out of the act of indemnity, together with Simon, lord Harcourt, Matthew Prior, Thomas Harley, Arthur Moore, and a few others. The duke of Ormand and lord Bolingbroke had already been attainted. In the course of this session Mr. Shippen, a member of parliament, was committed to the Tower, for faying, " that the " fecond paragraph in his majesty's speech seemed rather cal-46 culated for the meridian of Germany than of Great-Britain; " and that it was a great misfortune that the king was a " ftranger to our language and conttitutions."

9 What paffed in the convocation?

A. The lower house drew up, by their committee, a representation against certain tenets advanced by Dr. Benjamin Housely, bishop of Benger, in a work of his, intitled, A Preservative against the Principles and Practices of the Nonjarars; and in a stemnon, preached before the king, intitled, The Nature of the Kingsom of Christ, Bewing it not to be of this World. The dispute was careful on with great vehemence both within and without doors; in print, as well as in convertation. The committee censured both these works; but his majethy, in order to allay the animosity of the parties, prorogued the convocation. The meeting of the convocation has been put off ever since by successive propagations; nor has it ever been allowed to fit to do any business.

2. What were the detached events of this year?

A. Some drummers, belonging to the guards, were imprisoned in the Marshalfea, for beating a point of war before the earl of Oxford's house, and congratulating him upon the recovery of his liberty. On the 2d of November the princefs of Wales was delivered of a prince, who was christened by the name of George-William, but died in the month of February following. The choosing of sponsors at the baptism of this prince occasioned a misunderstanding between the king and the prince of Wales; in confequence of which, the latter was ordered to depart from St. James's. Accordingly his royal highness, and his confort, went and refided at the earl of Grantbam's in Arlington-Street, and afterwards removed to Leicester-House ; but the children continued at St. James's. During the divisions in the royal family, the persons in the service of the king, and those in that of the prince, were not suffered to visit each other. His majesty afterwards, whenever he went abroad, intrusted the government in the hands of a regency. This year the finking-fund was established, and guineas were reduced to twenty-one shillings. The earl of Peterborough was seized at Bologna, upon a groundless suspicion of his having come thither with a defign against the life of the pretender; but he was foon fet at liberty; and received fatisfaction for this infult upon his honour.

2. What happened in 1718? A. In April, earl Cowper refigned the great-feal, which was given to Thomas, lord Parker, afterwards earl of Macclesfield. About the fame time, several other changes were made in the ministry. On the 22d of June, was figned, at London, the quadruple alliance, between the emperor, Great-Britain, France, and Holland. The defign of this treaty was to re-establish the tranquillity of Europe. which had been diffurbed last year by the king of Spain's invading the island of Sardinia. A little before this, another convention had been made between his Britannic majesty and the regent of France, for fettling the terms of peace between the emperor and the king of Spain, as also between the emperor and the king of Sicily (duke of Savey) afterwards king of Sardinia. Mean while the Spaniards. having fitted out a ftrong armament for the conquest of Sicily, made a descent in July upon that island, and soon reduced

reduced a great number of places; but while they were employed in the faege of Meffina, the capital of the illand, the British fleet, under Sir George Brug, attacking that of Spain off cape Paffara, July 21, obtained over it a complete victory. In a little time after, the king of Sixily acceded to the quadruple alliance. The Spaniards complained loudly of this breach of faith (as they called it) in the British court; but it was retorted upon them, that, by the invasion of Sixily, they themselves had violated the treaty of Utreach, of which England was one of the guarantees. On the 16th of December, was russ declared againt Spain.

2. What were the more private incidents of this year? A. On the 17th of March was hanged at Tyburn, for the murder of his footman, the marquis de Paleotti, an Italian nobleman, and brother to the dutchess of Shreaustury. Great intercession was made for him; but his character was so extremely bad, that the king refused to grant him a pardon. At the same place, and on the same day, suffered, for hightreason, one James Shepherd, a coach-maker's apprentice. This fanatic, who was but eighteen years of age, had formed a defign to affaffinate the king; which, in a letter to-Mr. Leake, a nonjuring clergyman, he called "Smiting the usurper in his palace." Mr. Leake informed against him; and he was immediately feized, and condemned todeath. So obstinate were his prejudices, that he triumphed in his fufferings. Mr. Edward Byle, rector of Porthbury in Somerfetsbire, was fentenced, by the court of King's-Bench, to be twice pilloried, to pay a fine of 600 / to be imprifoned four years, and to find fureties for his good behaviour during life. He had infolently affirmed, "that king " George was an usurper; and that we had had neither

"king, parliament, nor laws, these thirty years."

A In the course of this selsion the occasional conformity and schiss where repealed; and great debates arose concerning the bill for limiting the perage. This bill was begun in the House of Lords. The purport of it was, that the number of English peers should not be enlarged beyond six above the present number; and that, instead of the fixteen elective Seartish poers, twenty-five should be

made hereditary. The scheme, however, proved abortive. A bill was passed for prohibiting the wearing of printed, painted, or stained calicoes, &c. This bill was owing to the disturbances that were raised by the journeymen-weavers in London, who, being reduced to great straits, for want of employment, tore off the calicoe-cloaths from the backs of the women. The parliament gave his majefty the ftrongest afforances of fupport and affiftance against all the attempts of his foreign and domestic enemies. A plan had been formed by cardinal Alberoni, the king of Spain's primeminister, for an invasion of England, in favour of the pretender, who coming to Madrid, March 26, in one of his catholic majefty's coaches, and attended by his life-guards. was received with all the honours that are usually paid to a crowned head. The public funds fell feven or eight per cent. on this occasion. In order to guard against the impending danger, a fleet was equipped, and troops were commanded to march to the western parts of England, where it was thought most likely the enemy would endeavour to land. Forces were likewife fent for from Ireland and Holland; and the regent of France, and the governor of the Austrian Netherlands, caused troops to be kept in readiness. agreeable to treaty, to maintain the protestant succession. These preparations, however prudent, were altogether unnecessary. The Spanish sleet, confishing of ten men of warand about fifty transports, having 6000 regular troops on board, under the command of the duke of Ormond, was totally dispersed by a violent form near Cape-Finisterre. The marquis of Tullibardine, indeed, with the earls of Seaforth and Mareschal, and about 300 men, landed in April in Rcotland, and affembled about 2000 Highlanders. They made themselves masters of Donan Castle : but were foon dispossessed of it by captain Boyle; and general Wightman, coming up, drove them first from the pass of Glenshiel, then from that of 6trachel; and chacing them brifkly from rock to rock, obliged the rebels at last to disperse. The Spaniards surrendered themselves prisoners of war. Tullihardine, Marefebal, and Seaforth, retired to the Orkney Ifes, from whence they escaped to France. In the month of October lord Cobbam and admiral Mighels took the city of Vigo; an acquifition, indeed, of every little importance. On

the 6th of November, one John Mathews, a printer, in Little-Britain, a youth of about eighteen years of age, was executed for printing and publishing a treasonable libel, intitled, Vox Populi, Vox Dei. The author of this pamphlet afferted, that the majority of the people being for a change of government, it was just to attempt it, even upon Whig-principles. This year the king vilited his German dominions, and had an interview at Hanover with his Pruffian majefty. During his flay abroad, he exerted himfelf, with equal zeal and fuccefs, in favour of the protestants of Poland, Lithuania, and the Palatinate. About the same time, the Pretender married a daughter of prince Sobiefki, eldeft fon of John Sobiefki, king of Poland.

9. What happened in 1720?

A. The most remarkable transaction of this year, and indeed of this whole reign, was the famous affair of the South-lea scheme; the nature of which was as follows. A plan had been formed for paving off the national debt, by reducing all the public funds into one. The bank of England and the South-jea company delivered in their several proposals to the government, for effecting this purpose. The two companies endeavoured to outbid each other; but, at laft, the offers of the South-fea company were accepted. A bill accordingly was passed in their favour; and, in the month of April, it received the royal assent. Upon this Southfea flock rose surprisingly, and the number of buyers increased every day. Exchange Alley was filled with a confused crowd of statesmen and mechanics, clergymen and officers, churchmen and dissenters, whige, tories, physicians, lawvers, and even multitudes of females. All other professions and employments were utterly neglected; and the people's attention wholly engroffed by this and other chimerical schemes, which were known by the appellation of Bubbles. New companies started up every day, under the direction of fome of the prime nobility. 'I he prince of Wales was declared governor of the Welch copper company; the duke of Chandos was placed at the head of the York building company : the duke of Brid ewater formed a third, for building houses in London and Westminster. About an hundred such schemes were projected and set on foot, to the ruin of infinite numbers of families. They were afterwards, indeed,

suppressed by proclamation; but little regard was paid to this prohibition. The nation was fo transported with the spirit of adventure, that people become a prey to the most impudent impottors. An obscure projector, pretending to have formed a very advantageous scheme, which, however, he did not think proper to explain, published proposals for a subscription, in which he promised, that, in one month, the particulars of his project should be disclosed. In the mean time, he declared, that every person, upon paying two guineas by way of earnest, should be intitled to the annual fum of one hundred pounds for every hundred which he chose to subscribe. In one forenoon, this undertaker received a thousand of these subscriptions; and, in the evening, set out for another kingdom, leaving the subscribers to carry on the project, in the best manner they could. The enthufialm of the public was carried to fuch a height, that South-fea flock, after rifing gradually from 100 to 800, advanced, in June, to 1000. This project feems to have been borrowed from the famous Miffifippi-scheme in France, invented by Mr. John Law, a Scotchman; and it was attended at last with the same fatal effects. About the end of Auguft, South-fea flock began to fall, and funk, by Michaelmas day, to 150. The amazement of the subscribers is hardly to be expressed. Nothing was now heard but the ravings of grief, disappointment, and despair. Public credit received a terrible shock: the nation was thrown into a dangerous ferment: fuccessive expresses were dispatched to Hanover, representing to the king the state of affairs, and carnestly pressing him to hasten his return. He accordingly shortened his intended stay in Germany, and arrived in England, November 11. It is computed that, by all these iniquitous schemes, the people were robbed of near a million and a half sterling. Nor were they only prejudicial to public and private credit : they likewise tended greatly to corrupt the morals of the nation; for, at this time, there were feveral blasphemous assemblies, called Hell-fire clubs, for the suppressing of which, an order of council was published.

2. What was done in 1721?

A. The affairs of the South fea company were of too interesting a nature, to leave room, in parliament, for almost any other transaction. A motion being made, that the direc-

tors, &c. should immediately lay an account of their proceedings before the house of commons, a committee of thirteen persons was appointed to enquire into all matters relating to the South-fea act. Several persons were examined by this committee; and, among the rest, Mr. Robert Knight, the company's treasurer, and Sir John Blunt, the original projector of this wicked fcheme. Knight thought proper to retire out of the kingdom, and carried off with him the famous green book, containing the company's fecrets; and, though a reward of 2000 l. was offered for feizing him, he could never be apprehended. He lived afterwards in great fplendour in France; and, being pardoned about twentythree years after, was, for a paultry fum of money, suffered to return to his native country, where he died. His fon was even created a peer by title of baron Luxborough, in the kingdom of Ireland. Notwithflanding the lofs of the green book, the committee informed the house of commons, that they had already discovered a train of the deepest " villainy and fraud, that hell ever contrived to ruin a na-" tion, which, in due time they would lay before, the " house; and that, in the mean while, in order to enable " them to make farther difcoveries, they judged it highly " necessary to fecure the persons of some of the principal " directors, as well as to feize their papers." In confequence of this report, John Aislabie, Esq; chancellor of the exchequer, and Sir George Cafwell, were expelled the house, and imprisoned in the Tower; and, in the month of July, an act was passed, "to restrain the " fub-governor, directors, &c. of the South-lea company, " from going out of the kingdom for one year; for disco-" vering their effects, and for incapacitating them from " holding any office or place of trust, under his majesty, " &c." The parliament applied to the relief of the fufferers, the effates of the deputy-governor, directors, &c. allowing only to each fuch part of them as was judged proper. Those, who were supposed to be the most innocent, had the largest allowance. Sir Theodore Janssen, in particular, had 50.0001. His effate was valued at 242,244 l. A little before this, many hundreds of the proprietors of the short annuities, &c. came, in a riotous manner, to the door of

the

the house of commons, to demand justice. The house was alarmed; the justices and constables were ordered to attend, and protect the members; and the proclamation against riots was twice read : upon which the petitioners dispersed, crying out aloud, " that they first picked their pockets, and " then threatened to fend them to jail for complaining." On the 10th of August, the bill passed for restoring the public credit, which was chiefly done by means of a scheme proposed by Robert Walpole, Esq; for ingrafting nine millions of the South fea flock into the bank of England, and the like for into the East-India company, on certain conditions. Then the parliament was prorogued, and foon after diffolyed; and Mr. Walpole, as a reward of his fervices in this intricate and important affair, was again brought into full favour, was appointed chancellor of the exchequer, and first commissioner of the treasury, was afterwards created knight of the bath and of the garter, and continued prime minister during the remainder of this reign, and the first fifteen years of the next, when being obliged to refign, he obtained the title of earl of Orford. On the 15th of April, the princels of Wales was delivered of a prince, who was christened by the name of William Augustus, the late duke of Cumberland. About a twelvemonth before this, a reconciliation had been effected in the royal family, chiefly by the mediation of the duke of Devonsbire and Mr. Walpole. The prince was allowed his guards as usual, and they accordingly mounted at Leicester-bouse. This year the practice of inoculation for the fmall pox was introduced into England from Turky, and the experiment was tried, with fuccess, on seven criminals in Newgate. About the same time, the peace of the north was restored by the conclusion of a treaty between Russia and Saweden.

2. What were the principal transactions of 1722?

A. About 300 British subjects, who had been slaves in Marched in procession at hough the city to St. Paul's, to return thanks to heaven for their deliverance; and afterwards to St. Jame's, to thank the king for his interposition in their favour. His majestly gave them a bounty of 500 lt be prince of 150 l. A dreadful plague having broke out at Marfilles, a proclamation was published, forbidding any person to come

F

into England, from any part of France, between the bay of Biflay and Dunkirk, without certificates of health. On the 16th of June died the great duke of Burlowayb, one of the moit accomplifined generals that ever lived. His body was interred with valif funeral pomp in Wipinifier Abby. By his confort, Sarab, daughter to Richard Jenningt, Efg. in the county of Hersford, he had one fon, named John, who died at Cambridge, in 1703; and four daughters. On the 28th of August, there happened, at Peri-Royal in Jamaica, a most dreadful hurricane, which did infinite damage to the town and hipping. This fummer his majetly, accompanied by the prince of Wales, made a progress through the weftern counties. He gave 2000.10 to the poor of Salishury, and cool to the workmen at Persimonath.

2. When did the parliament meet this year?

A. October o, this being the first session of the second septennial parliament. The two parties had exerted themselves with great activity in influencing the elections; but the Whigs obtained a confiderable majority. The king, in his speech to both houses, told them, that a fresh conspiracy had been formed against his person and government, in favour of the pretender; upon which the babeas corpus act was fufpended; the earl of Orrery, Dr. Atterbury, bishop of Rechefter, the lords North and Grev, and the duke of Norfolk, were committed to the Tower for high-treason. Soon after, Dr. Friend, John Plunket, George Kelly, Dennis Kelly, and Christopher Layer, a counfellor at law, were likewise seized and imprisoned. All these, bishop Atterbury, Layer, Plunket, and George Kelly, excepted, were admitted to bail, and discharged. In the mean time, Stephen Neynoe, a clergyman, one of the chief evidences against the prisoners, was drowned in the Thames, upon his endeavouring to escape out of the messenger's house.

2. What became of the conspirators?

A. Bishop Atterbury was condemned to perpetual banishment; George Kelly and Plunket to be imprished for life; Layer to be hanged, drawn, and quartered; and this punishment he accordingly suffered at Tyburn, May 17, 1723, his head being fixed up at Temple bar.

2. What farther discoveries were made with regard to

this conspiracy?

A. The committee appointed to enquire into the plot, reported it as their opinion, that feveral persons of distinction at home, in conjunction with traitors abroad, had formed a defign for placing the pretender upon the throne of these kingdoms: that, for effecting this purpose, a body of foreign forces was to have been brought into the kingdom at the time of the late elections; but this project not fucceeding, the conspirators resolved to make the attempt when his majesty, it was supposed, would be absent in Hanover: that this scheme being likewise disappointed, by the king's putting off his journey, by the forming of a camp at London, by fending for troops from Ireland and Holland, and by the duke of Ormand's being hindered from coming over; the plotters determined to accomplish their wicked purposes by tampering with the officers and foldiers of the army, and endeavouring to debauch them from their allegiance to his

2. What were the other incidents of 1723?

A. In the month of February, the black all was paffed, for preventing the practices of certain persons, who used to go armed and disguised, with their faces blackened, into feveral forests, where they killed vast quantities of deer, and committed other outrages. This crime was made capital. A lottery had been established at Harburgh, in his majesty's German dominions, for opening a trade for the woollen manufacture between Great-Britain and Germany. This lottery was now declared by the commons to be an infamous and fcandalous undertaking. On the 22d of February was born the princess Mary, afterwards married to the prince of Helle. An act passed for suppressing the pretended privileges of that scandalous place, the Mint, in Southwark, where great numbers of debtors had taken sanctuary. The earl of Harold, only fon to the duke of Kent, was killed by the beards of an ear of barley sticking in his throat. This year died the duke of Orleans, regent of France. About the fame time the Protestants in that kingdom were exposed to a fevere persecution. Many of the preachers were hanged. and their hearers fent to the gallies. On the 12th of September, about seven miles from Calais, four Englishmen were murdered by robbers, two of whom were afterwards apprehended and broke upon the wheel. Wood's half-

pence raised great disturbances in Ireland; and lord Bolingbroke, having obtained a pardon, returned to his native country.

2. What was done in 1724?

A. Foreign ministers, peers, and members of parliament. were deprived of the privilege of granting written protections. Peers, however, have still a power of protecting their own fervants. The fum of 1,407,280 l. was raifed by the fale of the forfeited estates of the late South-fea directors, and 62,226 l. by that of the forfeited estates in Scotland. In May, the king instituted a professorship for the modern languages in both universities. Mr. Harris was nominated for that of Cambridge, Mr. Gregory for that of Oxford. Each of them had a falary of 400l . per annum. They were obliged to maintain two teachers in either university, where twenty pupils in each were to be instructed gratis. The establishment of the army was fixed at 18264 effective men. The land-tax was two shillings in the pound. The 21st of May died, Robert Harley, earl of Oxford and earl Mortimer; as did likewise the 15th of the next month, the noted Dr. Sacheverel. November 16, was executed, at Tyburn, John Shepherd, a notorious felon, who had feveral times broke out of Newgate, in a most surprizing manner. December 5 was born the princess Louisa, late queen of Denmark. This year Philip V. king of Spain, refigned his crown, which, however, he afterwards refumed upon the death of his fon.

9. What were the principal occurrences of 1725?

A. The trial of Thomas, earl of Macclesfield, lord highchancellor of Great-Britain, and the reversal of the attainder of the lord viscount Bolingbroke. The lord chancellor refigned the great-feal in the beginning of January, and was afterwards impeached of high crimes and misdemeanours, for receiving exorbitant fums from certain persons admitted mafters in chancery, and admitting others of small substance; in consequence of which, the effects of several suitors in that court had been greatly embezzled. The earl being tried by his peers, May 6, was found guilty, fentenced to pay a fine of 30,000 l. and be imprisoned in the Tower, till he should pay that sum; which having done about six weeks after, he was discharged from his confinement. The abuses, for

for which lord Macclesfield was tried, had long prevailed in the court of chancery, but had never been carried to so enormous a height as under his lordship. He was succeeded in the office of chancellor by lord King. May 31, the execution of the law was suspended with respect to lord Bolingbroke's forfeitures, as the king's pardon had suspended it with respect to his life. This year his majesty revived the ancient and honourable order of knights of the bath. The duke of Montague was appointed grand-mafter; and prince William received the ribbon of the order. The whole number, including the fovereign, was thirty-eight. The ceremony of the installation was performed, June 17, with great solemnity in Westminster-Abbey. About this time, the levying the malt-tax caused great disturbances in Scotland; particularly at Glasgow, where several of the rioters were killed : the clans in the Highlands were difarmed by Gen. Wade, In June, the king fet out for his German dominions, and returned to England the 9th of January following, when he met with a most stormy passage. A young savage, bred in the woods of Hanover, who used to walk on his hands and feet like a brute, was presented to his majesty. The Ostend company, established under the emperor, gave great offence to the English and Dutch; and produced the treaty of Hanower, or defensive alliance, concluded September 3, between the kings of Great Britain, France, and Pruffia. This treaty was defigned as a counterpoise to that of Vienna, concluded between the imperial and Spanish courts.

2. When did the parliament meet in 1726?

A. On the 20th of January, when his majefly, after making a speech to both houses, laid before them the treaties of Vienna and Hansver. Violent debates arose concerning the latter treaty. The anti-courtiers alledged, that it would involve Great Britain in a war, merely for the defence of his mightly German dominions, contrary to the acforce of the mightly German dominions, contrary to the acford of fettlement. But to this it was answered, that the true meaning of that act was not totally to deprive Hansver of fall affiliance from the British nation; for, in that case, his majesty, as cleen of Hansver, would be in a work condition after his accession to the throne of Great Britain, than he had been before it; but only to restrain him from engaging this nation in a war, to support his foreign dominions without

the confent of his parliament, whose business it was to determine whether such a war was necessary or not. A motion was therefore made to thank the king for communicating the treaty of Hanover to his parliament; and to affure him, that they would effectually support his majesty, in case any of his dominions, not belonging to the British crown, should be attacked. This motion was carried in the affirmative, by a majority of 285 against 107; and the lords presented an address to the same purpose.

2. What were the other transactions of this year?

A. The czar of Muscowy dying the 28th of January, was fucceeded by his confort, the empress Catharine. This princefs was ftrongly suspected of having formed a design to deshrone the king of Sweden, as also to make some attempt in favour of the pretender. The courts of Vienna and Madrid too were supposed to have concurred in this latter project. In order, therefore, to prevent the execution of these schemes, three foundrons were fitted out in England; one, to overawe the Russians; another, to alarm the coasts of Spain; and a third, to block up the plate-fleet in the West-Indies. The command of the first was given to Sir Charles Wager; the conduct of the second to Sir John Jennings; and that of the third to admiral Hofier. Sir Charles failed first to Copenhagen, where he was most graciously received by the king of Denmark. He afterwards proceeded to Stockholm, where he met with a like favourable reception from his Swedish majefty. He then directed his course to the island of Nargen, about three leagues from Revel, whence he dispatched an expostulatory letter from his master to the Czarina, in which his majesty told her, that he was fully apprized of the defigns she had formed, and had fent a fleet into those seas, in order to prevent her from carrying them into execution. The empress, finding her schemes disconcerted, affected to disayow her intentions; and Sir Charles Wager, having remained near Revel till the month of Odober, returned with his fquadron to England. The other two admirals executed their commission with the same vigour and success.

2. What happened in 1727?

A. The parliament affembling on the 17th of January, his majefty, in his speech to both houses, observed, that the fudden and unaccountable conjunction between the emperor and the king of Spain threatened the general liberties of Europe: that these two powers had formed a design to place the pretender upon the throne of this kingdom; and that the latter, in particular, had drawn together a great number of troops in the neighbourhood of Gibraltar, as if he intended to befiege that fortress; but that, it was his opinion, this armament was made merely with a defign to amufe the world, and to disguise the projected invasion in favour of the pretender. Addresses of thanks were presented by both houses, who promised to support his majesty with the utmost vigour against all his enemies, whether foreign or domestic. Twenty thousand failors were voted for the fervice of the year; the army was augmented to 26,383 men; and the land-tax was raised to four shillings in the pound.

2. What effect had these vigorous measures upon the

courts of Vienna and Madrid?

A. The emperor pretended to take so much offence at certain expressions, in his majesty's speech, that he ordered count Palm, his minister at London, to present a bold memorial to the king on that subject; and this, with a letter still more infolent from count Zinzendorff to the faid count Palm, being afterwards published, his majesty was so highly provoked, that he refused to acknowledge count Palm any longer as a public minister, and commanded him immediately to leave the kingdom. The two houses likewise joined in an address to his majesty, expressing their indignation at the affront that had been offered him; and affuring him, in the most solemn manner, that this audacious method of appealing to the people from their fovereign, and turning a memorial into a feditious libel, was a proceeding that excited in them the utmost abhorrence and detesta-

What elfe was done in parliament this fession?

A. While the commons were employed in debating on the malt bill, a motion was made by the fecretary of the treasury, that a clause of appropriation should be received, impowering the king to apply fuch fums as should be found necessary for defraying all the expences and engagements, that had been, or should be contracted before the 25th of December next; in concerting such measures, as might best P fecure fecure the trade and navigation of this kingdom, and preferve the general tranquillity of Europe. In support of this motion, it was alledged, that his majefly was so unwilling to put his subjects to any extraordinary expence, that he had demanded no more than was absolutely necessary for the current fervice of the year: but, in the prefent poslure of affairs, fome unforeseen accidents might happen, that might require a farther expence, for which no estimate could now be given in; the rather, as certain treaties, which his majesty had begun to negociate, were not yet compleated. To this it was answered, that this method of asking and granting supplies, without an estimate, was unparliamentary; that if any unexpected emergency should require a farther fupply, fuch supply might be granted in the usual manner, with less inconvenience, and with less danger of misapplication, than by such a delegation of an almost dictatorial authority to the ministers; that such unlimited power ought never to be given in a free government, except upon occasions of evident necessity, and when the very being of the commonwealth was in danger; that the departing from the common forms would infensibly render parliaments useless, and would tend, in time, to lodge in the crown, and in the ministers, an absolute power of raising money upon the people, which, by our wife constitution, is, and with fafety can only be, lodged in the whole legislature. The motion, however, was carried by 225 voices against 100.

Q. When was this fession of parliament finished?

A. May 15, when his majedly, after giving the royal affent to feveral bills, made a freech to both houfes, in which he informed them, that Girraltar was befieged; that the crown of 3-wafan had acceded to the treaty of Hanvor; and that a convention was actually figured of Hanvor; and the remaining frames, and Dommark. In order to support 3-wafan in her new alliance, a British fleet was fent into the Baltick, under the command of Sir John Nurri; and the examina dying on the 17th of May, an end was put to all the war-like preparations of the Russians. The jealousy between the British and the Spanish courts was about this time confiderably heightened, by the later's feizing the duke de Ripperda, late prime-minisher of Spain, who, upon his be-

ing disgraced, had taken refuge in the British ambassador's house at Madrid. Though Gibraltar was vigorously befieged by an army of 20,000 men; yet the garrison, headed by their governor, the old earl of Portmore, made so gallant a defence, that they fet the enemy at defiance; and the fiege was raifed by an amicable treaty, the preliminaries of which were figned at Madrid, about eight months after the king's death. March 20, died that great mathematician and natural philosopher Sir Isaac Newton, the glory of his age and nation. He was mafter of the Mint, and prefident of the Royal Society.

2. What happened after this?

A. As the king had not visited his German dominions for upwards of two years, he refolved to embrace the prefent opportunity of enjoying that pleasure. Accordingly, having appointed a regency, he embarked at Greenwich on Saturday the 3d of June 1727, accompanied by the earl of Islay and lord Townsbend. On the 7th he landed at Vaert in Holland, where he lay that night. Next day he proceeded on his journey to Hanover; and, on the 9th of June, between ten and eleven at night, arrived at Delden, in all appearance in perfect health. He supped there very heartily, and eat an orange, but no melon, as was reported; and, having rested very well that night, set out from Delden about four next morning. Between eight and nine he ordered the coach to stop, and perceiving, that one of his hands hung motionless, said, " I cannot move this hand." Monsieur Fabrice, who sat with him in the coach, rubbed it with both his, but to no effect; upon which he called the furgeon, who followed on horseback, and who rubbed it with spirits. In the mean time, the king's eyes began to flare, his mouth to be distorted, and his tongue to swell, fo that he was deprived of the faculty of speech. He was instantly seized with a fit of the palfy; and, though recovered a little by opening a vein, he foon after became lethargic, and was carried, in a state of infensibility, to the palace of his brother the duke of York, in Ofnaburg; where, about one next morning, June 11, 1727, he expired in the fixtyeighth year of his age, and the thirteenth of his reign. His body being wrapped in lead, was conveyed to Hanover, and interred among his ancestors.

2. What is the character of this prince?

A. George I. was plain and simple in his person and address; grave and composed in his deportment, though easy, familiar, and facetious, in his hours of relaxation. He had given a proof of his talents for government, before his arrival in this kingdom. Naturally inclined to justice and equity, though he was absolute and despotic in his hereditary dominions, he ruled them with all the lenity and moderation of a limited monarch. He confidered civil and religious liberty as the unalienable right of mankind; and therefore he indulged it to a people, who pleaded no other claim to it, than the known goodness and humanity of his temper. Possessed of these noble and generous sentiments, though his accession to the British throne enlarged his sphere of action, it did not alter his plan of conduct. That was uniformly and invariably the fame, both before and after his advancement to that high dignity. In a word, it may be affirmed, that no prince was ever better qualified to fway the fceptre over a free people; nor any, who ever exercifed virtues of a great and good governour with more distinguished ability or more uninterrupted fuccess.

2. Was king George I. ever married ?

A. Yes, to Sophia Dorothea, who died November 2, 1726. in the castle of Ablen, in the electorate of Brunfwick, where fhe had been confined many years. She was daughter and heires to the duke of Zell: was born in 1666, and married in 1681. She bore to the king one fon, named George, afterwards George II. of Great-Britain; and a daughter, called Dorothea Sophia, the late queen dowager of Prussia, born in 1687.

2. Who were the most eminent writers that flourished in

Great-Britain fince the revolution?

A. Asterbury, Clarke, and Whiston, able divines : Locke, well known for his Effay on Human Understanding : Shaftsbury, author of an elegant fystem of moral philosophy : Cudworth and Berkley, ingenious metaphyficians: Wallis, Halley, Flamstead, profound mathematicians and astronomers : Friend and Mead, learned physicians : Steele and Addison; the former the author of several comedies; the latter, of the tragedy of Cate; and both of them diffinguished for their excellent papers in the Spectator, Guardian,

and Tatler: Swift, noted for his fatirical writings, both in poetry and profe: Congreve, Vanburgh, Farquhar, and Rows, dramatic authors; the other most celebrated poets were Philips, Prior, Pope, Parmil, Garth, and Gay. Bent-Poy acquired a good share of fame by his criticisms and commentaries, and particularly by his fermons at Beyle's lectures. The most remarkable political writers were Boling-bryks, Dawman, Hare, and Wrnebard.

## CHAP. XLIX.

# GEORGE II. La King of England,

#### And Eighth of Great-Britain.

#### From 1727 to 1760.

L'opes.		FERDINAND 2740
BENEDICT XIII.	3723	CHARLES III. 1759
CLEMENT XII.	1730	Kings of Portugal.
BENEDICT XIV.	1740	JOHN V. 1706
CLEMENT XIII.	1758	JOSEPH 1750
Emperors.		Kings of Denmark.
	1711	
CHARLES VII.	1740	
FRANCIS STEPHEN	1745	CHRISTIAN VL 1730 FREDERIC V. 1746
King of France.		-11
LEWIS XV.	1715	Kings of Sweden.
Kings of Spain.		FREDERIC 1720
PHILIP V.	1701	ADOLPHUS FREDERIC 1758

HO fucceeded king George 1?

A. His only fon, George 11. who afcended the throne in 1727, being then forty-three years of age.
The ceremony of the coronation was performed on the 11th of Oddsor,

2 What were the first acts of his reign ?

A. On the 14th of June, his majesty declared in council, that he was firmly determined to maintain the religion, P 6

laws, and liberties of his kingdom, and to adhere to the alliances, which his father had contracted. The parliament meeting on the 27th of the same month, the Commons unanimously resolved to grant to his majesty the same civil lift, viz. 700,000 l. per annum, which the late king had enjoyed. In a few days after, a provision was made, by parliament, for her majesty, queen Caroline, in case of her furviving the king, viz. 100,000 l. per annum, together with the palace of Somerfet-House, and the lodge and lands of Richmond Old-Park. About the same time, the king was pleased to accept the governorship of the South-Sea company. The public business being finished, the parliament was diffolved by proclamation the 7th of August, and a new one fummoned.

Q. What was done next?

A. His majesty proceeded to settle his ministry, his council, and his houshold. The duke of Newcastle, and lord Townshend, were continued secretaries of state. Mr. Pelbam was appointed fecretary of war; the duke of Rutland, chancellor of the dutchy of Lancaster; lord Torrington, first lord of the Admiralty; the earl of Chesterfield, ambassador to the States-General; and lord Carteret, lord lieutenant of Ireland. Sir Robert Walpole, who was in no less favour with the prefent king than he had been with the late fovereign, retained the office of chancellor of the Exchequer, and first lord of the Treasury; and, by the union of these two great posts in his person, he was considered as primeminister. The character of this gentleman has been drawn by party-writers, in very different and even opposite colours. His enemies have treated him with the utmost feverity; his friends have defended him with no less zeal. The former accuse him of the most barefaced corruption; the latter extol his administration, as worthy of the highest praise. He possessed what is esteemed a competent share of learning for a man of fortune ; but cultivated it no farther than as he thought it might be useful to him as a minister. As a speaker in parliament, he was sensible, judicious, and so extremely dispassionate, that nothing could put him out of humour. He answered the most better invectives of his enemies with the greatest temper and moderation imaginabie. He spoke, perhaps, too frequently and too long for

a person of his distinction; but this might be owing to his thorough knowledge of business, which none of his friends, he believed, could fo well explain. On the Lord-Mayor's-Day, their majesties honoured, with their presence, the entertainment made on that occasion.

2. What were the transactions of 1728? A. The new parliament meeting on the 23d of January, the commons chose for their speaker, Arthur Onflow, Esq; knight of the shire for Surrey, a gentleman of distinguished abilities and incorrigible integrity, grave, eloquent, venerable, and every way qualified for the discharge of that important office; which he continued to enjoy till the 18th of March, 1761, when he refigned the chair, after having received from the house the most honourable testimony of their satisfaction with his conduct. His majesty, in his speech, expressed his hopes, that he should be able to restore the tranquillity of Europe. Loyal and affectionate addresses were presented by both houses. The land forces were fixed at 22,000 men : the number of feamen at 15,000. A fubfidy of 230,023 / was granted to the prince of Hesse-Cassel; one of 50,000 %. to the king of Sweden; and 25,000 l. to the duke of Brunfwick Wolfembuttle, with whom his majesty had lately concluded a treaty. The whole fupply amounted to about four millions. The land tax was three shillings in the pound. On the 28th of May his majefty put an end to the Ceffion. 2. What were the other events of this year?

A. On the 19th of June a congress was opened at Soissons for reconciling the jarring interests of the different princes of Europe; but, after long debates, the conferences broke up, without producing any effect. About this time the protestant interest in Germany sustained a considerable loss by the death of his royal highness, Ernest Augustus, bishop of Ofnaburg, duke of York and Albany, and brother to king George I. He died on the third of August, and was fucceded by the elector of Cologn. December the 4th, his majesty's eldest son, prince Frederic, arrived in England from Hanover, where he had hitherto refided; was introduced into the privy-council, and created prince of Wales. Signor Como, the duke of Parma's refident at London, was ordered to quit the kingdom, because his master had invited the pretender

pretender into his dominions, and paid him the honours and diffinctions due to the king of Great-Britain. The Spaniard had long committed the most cruel depredations upon the British linpping; and these barbarities they fittl continued to exercise, particularly in the Wys-Indias, where was an English squaron under the command of vice-admirtal Hossin. The queenos Spain, who expected to recover Gibraltar and Portmaken, and procure a settlement for her son in Italy, seemed cold and indifferent with regard to a pacsication with England: she had renewed a good understanding with France, and now strengthened her interest by a double marriage between the royal houses of Spain and Portwack.

2. What happened in 1729?

A. The parliament met the 21st of January, when the king made a speech to both houses, in which he seemed defirous of avoiding a war. Warm debates arose in the lower house, with regard to some words in the address to his majesty: the courtiers proposed this expression; " tofecure the commerce of the kingdom:" the anti-courtiers infifted on this phrase; " to restore the commerce of the kingdom:" but, after a long dispute, it was carried in favour of the ministry. The government, it was thought, was rather too averse to engage in a war. The depredations of the Spaniards in America were equally injurious, and difgraceful; and at last inflamed the nation to such a degree, that the merchants of London, Bristol, and Liverpool, prefented petitions to parliament on the subject. In consequence of these complaints, the commons addressed the king, humbly befeeching him to use his endeavours to obtain fatisfaction to the unhappy fufferers; and his majesty affured them, he would comply with their request.

2. What else was done in this session of parliament?

A. An enquiry was made by a committee of the lower house (chiefly at the instance of general Ogletborpe) into

houle (chiefly at the initance of general Ogiethorpe) into the flate of the public galois; and from this it appeared, that great cruelties had been exercised on the prisoners, particularly on Sir William Rich, baronet, who was found in the Fleet-Prifon loaded with irons, by order of the wardens. For these, and the like barbarities, Thomas Bambridge, the then acling warden, John Huggim, the late one, and several of their accomplices were committed to Newgate; and a bill was brought in, for regulating the Fiest-Prifin. As the immoderate use of gin or geneva made great havock among the common people, a duty of five fhillings per gallon, to the retailer, and twenty shillings per annum for a licence to retail it, was imposed upon that commodity. In cood. Were granted to make good the arrears of the civil list, and his majefly was enabled to purchase of the lords proprietors of Carelina all their title and interest in that province. The fession ended on the 14th of May, when his majefly declared his intention of visiting his German dominions, and leaving the queen as regent. His design in going to Germany was to compromise some differences, that had lately arise between the regency of Hanneur and the king of Prussia. About this time the duke of Mecklethungh was deposed by the emperor for his cruelty, tyvanny, and oppression.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. On the 9th of November was concluded at Seville, a treaty between their Britamie, most Christian, and Catholic majellies. The British plenjoentaintes were colonel Standope, afterwards earl of Harrington, (a man of probity and of great political knowledge) and Mr. Kenn. By this treaty all former conventions between Great-Britain and Spain were confirmed: 600-00 fibit entholic majerly's transpose were to gartifon Legbern, Ports-Ferrars, Parma, and Placentia, in order to fecure the fuccession of the infiant placentia, in order to fecure the fuccessing to the ships and effects, taken by sea on either side, was to be amicably decided by commissioners, and particularly the affair of the ship Prime Frederic, and her cargo. Such was the sub-face of this famous treaty, which, joined to other events that soon after happened, produced a considerable change in the state of affairs in Europe.

Q. What were the principal occurrences of 1730?

A The parliament meeting on the 13th of January, his majedy informed them, that he had concluded an ablo-lute peace with \$pain, and thereby prevented the calamities of war. Great exceptions were made to this treaty both within and without doors; but every queltion in parliament was carried in favour of the ministry. The emperor was greatly offended at this treaty, and began to make pre-parations for war; but, as he was in want of money, he fet

on foot a negociation for a loan in England, of 400,000 I. This circumstance alarmed the ministry, who imagined, that the money would be employed to disturb the repose of Great Britain: they therefore brought in a bill, to prevent the subjects of England from lending any money to foreign powers, without the king's licence for that purpose. The establishment of the army was at 17,709 men: the land tax was 2s. in the pound.

2. Please to mention the other proceedings of this sef-

fion. A. A pension-bill was brought in, for disabling persons from fitting in parliament, who enjoyed any place under the government, or received any pension from his majesty. This bill passed the lower house, but was rejected in the The charter of the East-India company was renewed and extended to the year 1766, notwithstanding the opposition of several eminent merchants, who endeavoured to lay this branch of trade open. The falt-tax was reduced; and a most excellent law made for the better regulation of juries. The fession was finished on the 15th of May. Great licentiousness prevailed at this time among all ranks of people, particularly among those of the lower class, who indulged themselves in every kind of wickedness; and, among other methods of injuring their fellow-subjects. circulated incendiary letters, demanding sums of money of certain individuals, on pain of reducing their houses to ashes. This species of villainy had never before been known in England. The Irifb were happy under the government of lord Carteret, and exerted themselves, with equal diligence and success, in promoting the trade and manufactures of their country. In the course of this summer. seven Indian chiefs were brought over to England.

2. What happened in the other kingdoms of Europe

this year?

A. The young czar of Mofeovy died, and was fucceeded on the throne by the princefs Anne I vanowna. The princeroyal of Pruffia attempting to escape from his father, was brought back and confined; and Katt, his favourite, beheaded. The famous Visicor Annalus, king of Sardinia, refigned his crown, which he would afterwards have re-

amed,

fumed, had he not been prevented by his fon. The grand fignor, Athm.t, was dethroned and imprifoned; and his ne-phew, Makemer, fublituted in his room. This revolution was effected without the leaf bloodfied. The infurrection was begun by one Patrona Alli, a Janiszary.

2. When did the parliament meet in 1731?

A. The 21st of January. Violent disputes arose in the lower house this session. The opposition was headed by Sir William Wyndbam; Mr. William Pulteney, afterwards earl of Bath; Mr. Shippen, Mr. Hungerford, and Mr. Daniel Pulteney. These gentlemen, not content with oppoling the measures of the ministry in parliament, attacked them in pamphlets and periodical writings, particularly in the Craftsman, a famous anti-ministerial paper, which appeared about this time. The professed author was one Amberft, a man of some wit, but little knowledge; but the principal writers were the lord Bolingbroke and Mr. William Pulteney, particularly the latter. This gentleman fought a duel, in the green park, with lord Hervey, on account of a remarkable political pamphlet. Lord Hervey was wounded, and narrowly escaped with his life. This Latin tongue was abolished in all law-proceedings, which were ordered for the future to be in English. An enquiry was made into the fees of the law-courts, which wanted reformation, it is faid, no lefs than the gaols. The par-

liament rose in May.

2. What were the other transactions of this year?

A trenty, called the fectord treaty of Firms, was figned March 16, between the empetor and his Britamick majefly. The States-general afterwards accorded to this treaty, by which the Öffend-company was abolified. The king of Spain now declared, that he confidered himfelf as no longer bound to observe the articles of the treaty of Seaille. His fubjects therefore renewed their depredations, and the English continued to complain of thele barbarities. Sir Charles Wager failed with a fleet to Barcelous, and the Charles Wager failed what figure to Bright of the depredation thence to be given; and having feen Dom Carles quietly feettled in Italy, he returned to England in Decongulation.

2. What were the principal incidents of 1732?

A. The parliament met on the 13th of January. Violent debates enfued about a flanding army, and feveral other measures. The chief speakers in the opposition were those we have already mentioned. The conduct of the ministry was defended by Sir Robert Walpole, Mr. Horatio Walpole, Mr. Henry Pelbam, and others. A bill was passed for reviving the salt-duties. Sir Robert Sutton and Sir Archibald Grant were expelled the house of commons for fome iniquitous practices they had been guilty of, in the affair of the charitable corporation; and serjeant Birch and Dennis Bond underwent the same punishment, for the embezzlements they had committed in the fale of the Derwentwater estate. Some words arising in the house between Mr. Pelbam and Mr. Pulteney, a challenge enfued, when both went out; but a reconciliation was effected by the interpolition of the house. The fession was finished the 1st of June.

29. When did the parliament meet in 1733?
A. The 16th of Yasuary, when his majefty made a fpeech to both houses. A bill was passed for preventing all trade between the British settlements in America and the French sugar colonies; a trade, which had been long carried on to the great detriment of the English signar-illands, 200,000 were borrowed from the sinking-fund, towards making up the annual supply. The land-tax was reduced to 1.8. in the pound. 10,0001 were granted for supporting the colony of Georgie, where a great number of Sashjöunghers, driven from their native country by perfectution, had set-the supporting the colony of Georgie, where a great number of Sashjöunghers.

tled under the conduct of Gen. Oglethorpe.

2. What was the most important affair that came before

the parliament this fession?

A. The famous excile-fcheme, projected by Sir Robert Walpole, for railing the duties on wines and tobacco, and preventing the frauds and abufes that prevailed in the cuftoms, to the prejudice of the fair trader, and the diminution of the revenue. It was brought into the lower house the 14th of March, and gave rife to one of the longed and most memorable debates that twer happened in that aftembly. The fcheme was defended by Sir Robert Walpole, Sir Julph 75th Jil. and Sir Philip Tarks, afterwards seal of Hardwick it was opposed by Mr. Alderman Perry, Mr. Pultenry, Sir.

William Wyndham, Sir John Barnard, and others. Petitions were prefented against it by the city of London, and by almost all the towns and corporations in the kingdom. The house of commons was furrounded by great crowds of people, who infulted the persons, and even endangered the lives, of all who appeared to favour the project; and Sir Robert Walpole, in particular, was fo eagerly preffed upon by the enraged multitude, that Mr. Cunningham, a Scotch member, was obliged to draw his fword, in order to keep off the mob. In the course of the debate, Sir William Wyndham compared Sir Robert Walpole to those rapacious ministers, Emplon and Dudley, Sir Robert made a very smart reply, and went fo far as to infinuate, that he looked upon the great crowds of people that befet the house as no better than flurdy beggars. The nation were wrought up to the highest pitch of resentment: they considered the bill as a prelude to arbitrary power; and, had it passed into a law, it would probably have given occasion to some dangerous infurrection. It was therefore dropped at the fecond reading. The event was celebrated with public rejoicings; the monument was illuminated: and the minister was burnt in effigy. The fession ended the 11th of June. 2. What were the other transactions of this year?

A. The death of Augustus II. king of Poland, which happened on the first of February, gave rise to a new war in Europe. The principal competitors for the vacant throne were the elector of Saxony, and Stanislaus, father-in-law to the French monarch. Both of them were fuccessively proclaimed king of Poland; but the former, at laft, kept poffession of the throne. An alliance had been contracted between Francs, Spain, and Sardinia, for raising Don Carlos to the throne of Naples and Sicily. This alliance was followed by a war between the contracting powers, on the one hand, and the emperor on the other, whose forces were very unsuccessful in several engagements. The English and the Dutch were equally averse to take any share in this war; and they therefore employed their utmost endeavours to effect a peace between the contending parties.

2. When did the parliament affemble in 1734?

A. The 17th of January; when his majesty made a speech, in which he took notice of the then critical flate of affairs in Europe. Warm debates were maintained this festion about the pacific conduct of the minister, the number of land-forces, the repealing the feptennial act, and the place and pension bill, which was again brought in and rejected. Mr. Pulteney having reflected, with great feverity, on the scandalous decisions of corrupt majorities, Sir Robert Walpole took occasion to make a very spirited speech in vindication of the ministry. A law was made, to continue for three years, for preventing the infamous practice of flock-jobbing. The parliament rose on the 16th of April. It was foon after dissolved, and writs were issued for electing a new one.

2. What were the other domestic occurrences of this

year?

A. Several changes were made in the ministry. The earl of Chestersield refigned his post of steward of the hous. hold; the duke of Bolton and lord Cobbam were deprived of their regiments; Mr. Talbot was constituted lord chancellor of Great Britain; Sir Philip Yorke, lord chief justice of the King's Bench; and both of them were honoured with a peerage. On the 14th of March, the prince of Orange espoused the princess royal of England, who had a fortune of 80,000 l. and an annual pension of 5000 l. during her life.

2. What happened in the other kingdoms of Europe

this year?

A. Augustus, elector of Saxony, having chaced Stanislaus out of Poland, established himself on the throne of that kingdom. The French triumphed in Germany, though their general, the duke of Berwick, was killed before Philifflurgh. Don Carlos defeated the imperialifts in the battle of Parma, where their general, Count Merci, was flain. Soon after, he made himfelf mafter of the whole kingdom of Naples, and began to prepare for the invasion of Sicily. Neither France nor Spain looked with a very favourable eye towards England. 'The Pretender's eldest fon ferved with peculiar marks of distinction in the army of Don Carlos.

2. When did the new parliament meet?

A. The 14th of January, 1735. The elections had been carried on with great zeal and industry by the two parties; but, notwithstanding the utmost efforts of the opposition, the ministry had gained a considerable majority. The king, in his speech to both houses, told them, that he had undertaken to mediate between the powers at war; had concluded a treaty with the crown of Denmark; and that it would be proper for Great-Britain to put herself in a posture of desence. 30,000 seamen were voted for the service of the year. The election of the fixteen Scottists peers gave rife to a warm debate in the house of lords; the ministry, it was alledged, having exerted an undue influence in that affair. Neither was the treaty with Denmark approved without dispute. In the course of this session, the mutiny-bill received a material alteration. As this bill formerly flood, any magistrate had it in his power to throw a poor fellow into a dungeon, if, after receiving the inlifting-money, while, perhaps, he was drunk, he refused to take the oaths, even though he offered to return the money, and to pay all charges. By the bill, as it was now altered, every officer, who inlifted men, was obliged, within a certain number of days, to carry the person inlisted before one of the next justices of the peace, where the man should be at liberty to declare his dissent, and, upon his returning the inlifting money, and paying all expences, he was to be discharged.

2. What else was done this session?

A. Some members complaining that their letters were opened at the polt-office, a committee was appointed to enquire into the matter; and this gave occasion to the dif-covery of a curious fcene. It appeared, that when the commons passed the bill, granting the post-office revenue to Charles II. they annexed to it a clause, referring to themselves the privilege of franking, in the fame manner as they enjoy it at present. When this bill was carried to the upperhouse, the lords, offended that no such provision was made for them, passed the bill without the clause annexed. This alteration would certainly have occasioned the miscarriage of the bill in the lower house, to which it was sent back, had not the ministry assure that the committee of the provision was for the first that the committee of the provision was sent to the single provision of the provision was sent to be considered to the provision of the provision was sent to be considered to the provision of the

the jealoufy, not of the crown, but of the two houses, the members of both were obliged to depend upon the fole will and pleasure of the sovereign, for the privilege of franking, which they meant to have referved to themselves by an express law. Nevertheless, they have always considered this privilege as their own proper and inherent right, and independent of the royal favour. Secretaries of state, however, have a right to open any letter, in order the better to discover conspiracies. The imprisonment of the magistrates of Haddington in Scotland made some noise. In the course of the debates, it appeared, that the Scotch laws were extremely defective with regard to the liberty of the fubject, and that the people in that kingdom had nothing equivalent to the babeas-corpus act. The fession was finished the 15th of May, when his majefly informed the parliament, that he intended to vifit his German dominions, and to leave the queen regent of the realm.

9. What was the state of foreign affairs at this time? A. A quarrel breaking out between the courts of Spain and Portugal, Sir John Norris failed with a strong British fleet for Lifton, in order to protect the Portuguese dominions. In Italy, the French, Spaniards, and Sardinians, were triumphant; and Don Carlos was crowned king of Sicily. Nevertheless, the famous cardinal Fleury, prime minister of France, was extremely desirous of peace. Certain preliminary articles were at last settled, importing, that the French should restore to the empire all the places they had taken from it: that the dutchy of Tulcany, after the death of the present duke, should be given to the duke of Lorraine, which last dutchy should be annexed to the monarchy of France; that Stanislaus should resign the crown of Poland in favour of Augustus, though he should still retain the title of king; and that Don Carlos should be ac-

knowledged king of Naples and Sicily.

What were the chief transactions of 1736?
The parliament assembled on the 15th of January,
when his majely made a speech to both houses. 15,000
failors were voted for the service of the current year. The
number of land forces was reduced to 17,704. The landtax was 2 s. in the pound. The national debt at Christ.

mas, 1735, amounted to 47,938,298 l. 3 s. 31d. and many schemes were now proposed for lowering the interest. The treaty of commerce, concluded December the 2d, 1734, between his majesty and the czarina was laid before the parliament. Several laws were enacted this fession : one, laying a duty on all spirituous liquors, in order to prevent their excessive use : another, to restrain disposition of lands in mortmain, or dead-hands; with an exception. however, in favour of the two universities, and the colleges of Westminster, Eton, and Winchester: a third, repealing the old statutes of England and Scotland against conjuration, witchcraft, and dealing with evil spirits : a fourth, to prevent smuggling in the tea-trade; and a fifth, to prevent bribery and corruption. An attempt was made to procure a law in favour of the quakers, with regard to the payment of tythes; but the bill, after paffing the lower house, was rejected in the upper. The fession was closed the 20th of May, when his majefty told the parliament, that he intended that summer to visit his German dominions, and to leave the queen regent of the kingdom.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. On the 27th of April, his royal highness, Frederic, prince of Wales, espoused Augusta, fister to the duke of Saxe-Gotha. The princess was conducted to England by the lord Delawar. Addresses of congratulation were prefented to their royal highnesses by the lord-mayor and aldermen of London, and by the two houses of parliament : when Mr. George (now lord) Littleton, and Mr. William (late fecretary) Pitt pronounced elegant panegyrics on the prince and his amiable confort. These two young members soon diffinguished themselves in the house by their eloquence and fuperior abilities. In the course of this year a remarkable riot happened at Edinburgh, occasioned by the execution of one Wilson, a smuggler. Porteons, captain of the cityguard, a man of a brutal disposition, and abandoned morals, being provoked at some infult he had received from the populace, commanded his foldiers to fire among the crowd; by which precipitate order feveral innocent perfons were killed. Porteous was tried and condemned to death; but obtained a reprieve from the queen, who was then regent. The mob, however, were determined to execute execute the fentence. They accordingly rofe in a tumuluous manner, forced open the prison-doors, dragged forth Perecusa, and hanging him on a dyer's pole, quietly dispersed to their feveral habitations. This affair a serwards became the object of a parliamentary enquiry. About this time, Thosdows, king of Corfea, made some noise. This person, whose original title was baron near Colegne in Germany. He now went over to Corfea, the inhabitants of which had, in 1730, revolted from their merciles sovereigns, the Geneese, and putting himself at the head of the infurgents, he was instantly elected and crowned their king; but failing in his promises of men, money, and ammunition, he lost the considerace of his new shujets, and son after left the island. His air was majeslie; but his parts, though show, were very topersein.

2. When did the parliament assemble in 1737?

A. The 1st of February, when, his majesty being indifposed, the session was opened by commission. forces were continued at their former number. A million of money was borrowed from the finking-fund. An act passed, disabling Alexander Wilson, Esq; lord provost of Edinburgh, from holding any place of magistracy in Great-Britain, and obliging the city to pay 2000 /. to the widow of captain Porteous, for the outrage committed, in putting that gentleman to death. In the lower house, Mr. Pulteney made a motion, that 100,000 l. per annum (instead of 50,000) should be settled upon the prince of Wales, in the fame manner as upon his royal father, while prince. The motion was rejected; but the attempt gave rife to a great mifunderstanding between his majesty and his fon. The prince had lately put himfelf at the head of the opposition, which tended still farther to encrease the king's jealousy. The minister and his friends having been grossy abused in fome fatirical comedies, a bill was now brought in, for limiting the number of play-houses, and subjecting all dramatical performances, exhibited on the flage, to the inspection of the lord-chamberlain, without a licence from whom no play should be suffered to be acted. This meafure was strongly opposed by great numbers in both houses, particularly by the earl of Chestersfield, who considered

2. What were the other transactions of this year? A. The king instituted a new post of honour, intitled Field-Marshal of the Armies of Great-Britain. In the month of February died that great and good man, the ford chancellor Talbot, and was succeeded on the bench by the lord Hardwicke. The republic of Venice having flewn great respect to the pretender's eldest fon, its resident in London was ordered to leave England in three days. The difference between the king and prince Frederic was confiderably heightened by the prince's carrying the princess of Wales, then near her time, from Hampton-Court (where their majesties refided) to St. James's, where, about two hours after her arrival, she was delivered of a daughter, the princess Augusta, now princess of Brunswick. The king was so provoked at this undutiful behaviour in the prince, that he ordered him, as foon as the princess should have recovered, to quit St. James's; and he and his confort accordingly retired to Kew. On the 20th of November queen Caroline died, of a mortification in her bowels, in the coth year of her age; regretted as a princess of uncommon sagacity, and a pattern of conjugal fidelity and affection. About the same time died the great duke of Tuscany, and was succeeded in his dutchy by the duke of Lorrain, who, on the 1st of February, espoused the eldest archdutchess of Austria. This year was likewise distinguished by the death

of prince Eugene, who expired at Vienna in the feventythird year of his age, leaving behind him the character of an invincible hero, and a confummate politician. 2. When was the fession opened in 1738? A. On the 24th of January, when both houses proceeded

to inquire into the affair of the Spanife depredations, which had lately been carried to an intolerable height, Petitions were prefented on this subject by the merchants of London, and by most of the trading towns and corporations in the kingdom. From these it appeared, that British ships had been unlawfully feized on the high-feas, in the direct course of their voyages to and from the English colonies; that the thips had been plundered and conficated; and the failure 0 robbed.

robbed, imprisoned, and enflaved, to the very great loss of the merchants; to the obstruction of trade; and to the dishonour of the nation. The debate was carried on with great warmth in both houses. The minister was unwilling to engage in a war: the opposition were eager to push him into that measure. The people exclaimed against the pufillanimity of the government, and were all on fire to revenge the infults they had received from the Spaniards. Their resentment too was still farther inflamed by the fate of one Tenkins, who was faid to have had his ears cut off by the crew of a Spanish guarda-costa; and who now appeared at the bar of the lower-house. In the upper, lord Carteret, in an excellent speech, demonstrated, that the basis of all European commerce in America consisted in each nation referving to itself an exclusive right to trade with its own colonies and plantations; and that therefore no thip. which was not actually in the ports or harbours of Spain, could, with any show of equity, be either searched or seized by the Spaniards. Both houses addressed his majesty, earneftly befeeching him to exert his utmost endeavours in procuring fatisfaction to the injured nation; and the king affured them he would comply with their request. An act passed for building Westminster-Bridge; and some farther regulations were made for preventing the abuse of spirituous liquors. The fession ended the 20th of May; and his majesty, perceiving that there would soon be a necessity for more vigorous measures, sent the brave admiral Haddock with a fleet into the Mediterranean.

Q. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. On the 24th of May, the princefs of Wale was delivered of a prince, who was chrillened by the name of George, now our most gracious fovereign, whom heaven long preferve! A refolution was taken to build the Monificant personages died this year, particularly the lord Windfer; the worthy earl of Cariffe; the accomplished lord Town-Bend; the amiable George Bailey, of Tervis-Wead in Scattand, a lord of the treastry; the excellent Sir Joseph Jelyil; and the celebrated Dr. Beerhauve. Haine, the printer of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the Craftinan, as foreign the conditions of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the conditions of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the conditions of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the conditions of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the conditions of the Craftinan, was feized, tried, fined, and imprintend the conditions of the craftinance of the c

at Gibraltar by order of general Sabine, the governour, now fued that gentleman, and obtained damages to the amount of 700 %. Never were the common people more licentious and diforderly. A riot happened at the theatre in the Hay Market, where a company of French strollers attempted to exhibit a comedy. Great diffurbances were excited in the western counties by some journeymen and fervants, who committed many outrages. One Buchanan, a failor, who had been condemned for murder, was cut down from the gibbet by his companions, who actually brought him to life, and carried him off in triumph. The act for suppressing gin gave much trouble to the government. The mob rose about Spittlefields, Shoreditch, &c. and were obliged to be difperfed by the guards. No less than 12,000 persons were convicted of having fold gin illegally. Near half that number were cast in the penalty of 100 % and about 2000 of them paid 10 / each, rather than be committed to the house of correction.

2. What were the principal events of 1739?

A. The king of Spain, intimidated by the vigorous refolutions of the British parliament, agreed to certain preliminaries, as the basis of a treaty; and these formed the substance of the famous convention, which afterwards made fo much noise, It was concluded at Pardo the 14th of January of this year, and figned by B. Keene and Sebastian de la Quadra. By this convention, the plenipotentiaries were to fettle the refpective pretentions of the two crowns, as well as those of their subjects; and the king of Spain was to pay to his Britannic majesty 95,000 1. sterling, as a balance due to the crown and subjects of Great-Britain. In opposition to this fum, however, his Catholic majefly flarted a claim of 68,000 1, which he pretended the South-Sea company owed him.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. The 1st of February, when his majesty informed them, that he had concluded a convention. This treaty was immediately submitted to the inspection of the commons, and a committee appointed to enquire into its contents. The most material objection to it was, that it left to a future and precarious discussion the most important rights of the British traders and subjects, that of pavigating their 0 2 fhips

ships from one part of his majesty's dominions to another, without being subject to fearch; and, by that means, rendered it questionable, whether they ought to enjoy any such right. Strong exceptions were taken to this clause, and indeed to the whole convention; petitions were presented against it by the West-India merchants, by the city of London, and by most of the towns and corporations in the kingdom. The people exclaimed against it, as a most shameful agreement : the general cry was, No fearch, No fearch; and, when a refolution was taken in parliament to approve of the convention, Sir William Wyndbam, and about fixty other members, retired from the house, and declared their intention of never returning to it more. An act passed, in the month of May, for fettling 15,000 l. per ann. on his royal highness the duke of Cumberland; and 24,000 l. per ann. on the princesses Amelia, Caroline, Mary, and Louisa. 75,583 1. were granted to enable his majesty to make good his engagements with the king of Denmark, who had promifed to keep in readiness, for the service of Great-Britain, a body of 6000 men. The parliament likewise gave 5000 l. as a reward to Mrs. Stephens, on her discovering, for the benefit of the public, a receipt for the cure of persons afflicted with the stone. The session ended the 14th of Fune.

Q. What were the other transactions of this year?

A. The ministry, provoked at the insolence of the Spaniards, who now instited upon the privilege of searching all British ships in the American seas, resolved to adopt more vigorous measures. They accordingly directed Mr. Kenne, the Englise envoy at Madrid, to inform that court, that, in case his catholic majesty did not immediately fulfil the terms of the convention, repriss should instantly be made by the English; and, in order the more powerfully to second his remonstrances, a large re-inforcement of ships was sent to admiral Haddeck in the Meditervancan.

2. What effect had these representations?

What effect had their representations?

A None: upon which letters of marque and reprifal were iffued July the 10th, against the Spaniards, who likewise began to prepare for war. The French, it was hipposed, would join the enemy; the rather, as they wanted to procure to themselves the affiento-trade, or the privilege of inpplying the Spaniar colonies with slaves, and to earry

on the trade of Spain in French bottoms. The Dutch, it was imagined, would be so overawed by the near neighbourhood of the French, that they would be obliged to remain neuter. Captain Vernon, a gentleman of spirit, and a good fea-officer, was appointed vice-admiral of the blue, and fent to annoy the Spanish settlements in the West-Indies; for which he fet fail, July the 20th, with nine ships of war and a floop.

2. What did the Spanish ministry do when they heard of

these proceedings?

A. They published a manifesto, importing, that the phrenzy of the people of England had forced the government into a war; upon which his Britannie majesty published, in his turn, a masterly declaration, explaining, with great accuracy, the unwarrantable conduct of the king of Spain, and proclaiming war against that monarch. The English had formed a delign to seize the Assogue-ships; but failed in the attempt. Admiral Haddeck took the St. Joseph, a Spanish thip of 800 tons burthen, and valued at 120,000 l. In the month of November, admiral Vernon reduced, with fix thips only, the town and caffle of Porto-Bello, on the isthmus of Darien. Both men and officers behaved with great gallantry on this occasion. The admiral blew up the fortifications with the powder taken from the Spaniards; and found more difficulty, it is faid, in demolishing those works, than he had experienced in taking them. The news Of this wiftons who ye aim admiras vernon was extolled as another Raleigh or Drake. Sir Robert Walpole was at this time so extremely unpopular in the city of London, that Sir George Champion, the fenior alderman next the chair, was, merely on account of his attachment to that gentleman, deprived of his turn of being chosen lord-mayor; and Sir John Salter advanced in his room. This year Mr. Whitfield's followers made their first appearance, under the name of Methodifts.

2. When did the parliament meet this winter?

A. November the 15th, when the king, in his speech, observed, that he had augmented his forces by sea and land; and complained of the continuance of heats and animolities. The two houses joined in an address to his majesty,

humbly befeeching him, never to admit of any treaty for a peace with Spain, except the right of the English to navigate the American feas, without being vifited or fearched, should first be acknowledged. The seceding members having now refumed their feats in the lower house. Mr. Pulteney undertook to vindicate their conduct. Sir Robert Waltole replied, that, if they were returned only to oppose and perplex, he should not be forry to see them secede again. A bill for registering seamen was brought into the house of commons by Sir Charles Wager; but it was rejected, as being of French origin. Forty thousand pounds were granted as a portion to the princess Mary, who, on the 8th of May following, was married to the prince of Helle Callet. The land-tax was fixed at 4 s. in the pound. The whole supply amounted to about 4,000,000 /. The fession was closed the 20th of April, 1740.

2 What were the transactions of 1740?

A. The king of Spain having by this time declared war in form against Great-Britain, and threatening to invade it with a powerful armament under the command of the duke of Ormand, Sir John Norris was fent with a flrong fleet to burn the Spanish ships in their harbours. The defign miscarried; but the Spaniards, instead of attacking England, fet fail for the West-Indies, having been, a little before, joined by a good number of French vessels. The duke of Cumberland served as a volunteer on board Sir John Norris's fleet. The French had not as yet come to an open their conduct, that they would take every opportunity of affilting the Spaniards, and distressing the English. Both they and the Dutch claimed the privilege of carrying Spanish property on board of their ships; alledging, as a reason, that free bottoms make free goods. Admiral Vernon, having complained that he was neglected by the ministry, and boatting, at the fame time, that if he was properly fupplied, he could perform some exploits of the utmost importance, a resolution was taken to fend him a strong reinforcement. Accordingly, on the 31st of October, Sir Chaloner Ogle failed from England with twenty-seven ships of the line, besides frigates, fire-ships, transports, &c. having on board about 6000 marines, and detachments from fome old

regiments, under the command of lord Catheart, a nobleman of great popularity, and an excellent foldier. His lordthip, on his arrival at Dominica, was unhappily carried off by a bloody-flux; and his death was supposed to be one of the chief causes of the miscarriage of the expedition. He was succeeded in command by general Wentworth, a man of courage, but by no means qualified for fuch an important office. About the fame time, commodore Anfon fet fail with a small squadron of ships for the South-Sea, in order to annoy the Spanish trade, and co-operate with admiral Vernon across the ithmus of Darien. The close of the year 1739, and the beginning of 1740, were diftinguished by as fevere a frost as had ever been known in England. The Thames was froze over ; and was covered with shops and carriages. Fowls and fishes were found frozen to death. Many poor people perished through the extremity of the cold; and, as the price of provisions was excessively high, many more must have perished of hunger, had they not been relieved by the benevolence of the rich, who supplied their wants with the utmost generofity. The Foundling-Hofpital, which had been erected the preceding year, was now opened.

2. What were the other incidents of this year? A. It was diftinguished by three events, which had a

confiderable influence upon the state of affairs in Europe. The first was the death of his Prussian majesty; a prince, by no means, amiable, either in his private or public character. He was succeeded on the throne by his eldest son, Frederick, the reigning king of that realm, who has rendered himfelf so famous both as a warrior and legislator. The second was the death of the emperor Charles VI. who, agreeable to the pragmatic fanction, was succeeded, in his hereditary dominions, by his eldest daughter, the arch-dutchess, Maria Therefa, married to the grand duke of Tufcany. The young king of Prussia was no sooner informed of the emperor's death, than he entered Silefia at the head of 30,000 men; and though he declared he had no intention to contravene the pragmatic fanction, he yet made himself master of the greatest part of that province. The third event was the death of the czarina, Anne Ivanawna, who named, for her successor, Ivan or John, son of her niece, the princels of Mecklenburgh; but this disposition was soon fet asida.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. His majefty, returning from his German dominions, where he had passed the summer, opened the session the 18th of November. Six Robert Walpole had been always opposed by a numerous party in both houses, who had now acquired fuch a degree of strength, that they resolved to make a perfonal attack upon him. Accordingly, on the 11th of February, Mr. Sandys informed him, that, in two days, he intended to bring a charge against him in public. Sir Robert received the intimation with great indifference, and quoted the following paffage of Horace;

Nil conscire fibi, nulli pallescere Culpa.

Mr. Pulteney, who fat upon the bench with him, faid, that that was falle Latin. Sir Robert wagered a guinea, that it was not; and, a copy of Horace being produced, and the words of the author appearing to be

nulla pallescere Culpa,

Mr. Pulteney took the guinea, and holding it up, faid with a fneer, that it was the only guinea of the public money he had received for feveral years. Mr. Sandys was as good as his word. On the 12th of February, he made a motion in the house of Commons, that a humble address should be prefented to his majesty, that he would be graciously pleased to remove the right honourable Sir Robert Walpole from his majefty's presence and councils for ever. A motion to the fame purpose was made in the upper house by the lord Carteret. Many arguments were urged both for and against this motion; but, after a long and violent debate, it was rejected by a great majority. Sir Robert Walpole himfelf, during the whole dispute, behaved with uncommon temper and moderation. He was the last that spoke on the subject; and, in the close of his speech, he made use of the following expressions: "Gentlemen have talked a great deal of patriotism; a venerable word, Sir, when rightly understood. But, I am forry, Sir, to fay, that, of late, it has been fo much hackneyed about, that it is in danger of falling into difgrace. The very idea of true patriotifm is loft; and the term has been profituted to the very worst of purposes, A patriot, Sir! Why, patriots spring up like mushrooms, I could raise fifty of them within the four

four and twenty hours. I have raifed many of them, Sir, in one night. It is but refusing to gratify an unreasonable or an infolent demand, and up starts a patriot. I have never been afraid of making patriots, Sir; but I disdain and despise all they can do."-The chief article of the charge against the minister, was the concluding the first treaty of Hanver. Several other motions were made this session by the anti-ministerial party; not with a view of having them carried, but merely with a defign of rendering the minister unpopular. The parliament rose the 25th of April. It was afterwards diffolyed by royal proclamation. and writs were issued for electing a new one.

2. What were the principal events of 1741?

A. By this time, the fleet under Sir Chalenor Ogle had joined admiral Vernon; and the combined squadrons now amounted to about 115 thips, with 15,000 failors, and about 12.000 land forces on board. With this mighty force, the admiral failed from Jamaica, in order to attack Carthagena; and, on the 4th of March, he cast anchor in Playa Grande, to the windward of that town, General Wentworth, who commanded the land forces, was a man of courage, but of no great capacity. Vernon, though a good officer, was proud, haughty, and imperious, and held the general in the utmost contempt. A mortal antipathy now broke out between these two gentlemen; and to this unhappy circumstance the failure of the expedition was, in a great measure, owing. They took, indeed, the forts-St. Jago, St. Philip, Bocca chica, St. Joseph, and Castillogrande; but they were repulsed from fort St. Lazar with considerable loss. In these several attacks above 1000 men were killed; and among the rest the colonels Dauglas and Wasson, and the gallant lord Aubrey Beauclerk, captain of the prince Frederic, and brother to the duke of St. Alban's. An enemy more dreadful than the Spaniards now began to affail the English army. Sickness raged among them to such a degree, that, according to the account of the general himself, no less than 3,445 men perished in the space of two days. This terrible calamity determined the council of war to return to Jamaica with the utmost expedition, Accordingly, the fortifications being entirely demolified, the fleet fet fail, and, on the 10th of May, arrived in Porte Royal.

### "346 HISTORY of ENGLAND. 1741

Royal, in that island. Such, to the astonishment of all Europe, was the conclusion of an interprize, the greatest that ever had been undertaken in those parts of the world. Vernon was afterwards re-inforced with four ships of war, and about 4,000 foldiers; but he performed nothing worthy of his great character; and the public began to suspect, that they had entertained too high an opinion of his abilities. Above 20,000 British subjects are supposed to have loft their lives in this fatal expedition.

2. What were the other transactions of 1741?

A. The queen of Hungary was so provoked at his Prussian majesty's invasion of Silesia, that she formed a design of making a partition of that monarch's dominions between herself and her allies. The king of England was accused, but very unjufly, of being concerned in this project. On the other hand, the king of France projected a scheme for dismembering the dominions of her Hungarian majesty, and raising the elector of Bavaria to the imperial throne. Cardinal Fleury, indeed, the prime-minister of France, would willingly have perfevered in his pacific fystem; but was obliged to yield to the superior influence of the count de Belleisle, who recommended warlike measures. This noblemen was extremely popular; and, though now in a mature and advanced age, poffessed all the fire and impetuosity of youth. He was brave, open, generous, polite, and infinuating; ingenious in forming his schemes, and rapid in carrying them into execution. He represented to his fovereign, that now was the time for humbling the house of Austria, and reducing its power to such a low ebb, that it should never be able to oppose the designs of the Bourbon family. In profecution of this plan, the French king fent two large bodies of troops, each confifting of about 40,000 men, into Germany; fo that the elector of Bavaria foon faw himself at the head of an army 70,000 strong. The king of Prussia, believing, or affecting to believe, that his Britannic majesty was privy to the partition-treaty, entered into a chose alliance with France. The king of England, who was then in Germany, earnestly exhorted her Hungarian majesty to come to an agreement with the king of Pruffia; but finding her deaf to all his remonstrances, and hearing, at the fame time, that the Dutch were refolved to remain neuter, he concluded a neutrality for Hanover; fo that the queen of Hungary was left to defend herfelf by her own force, which was unable to cope with the mighty power of the numerous foes combined against her. Her troops, under count Neupreg, were defeated by his Prussian majesty at Molwitz, with the loss of 4000 men. The elector of Bavaria made fo rapid a progress, that Vienna itself was threatened with a siege; and the queen was obliged to retire, with her eldest ion, to Prefburg, in Hungary; where summoning the four orders of the flate, and laying her miferable fituation before them, they unanimously took an oath to defend their sovereign with their lives and fortunes. Old count Palfy marched to the relief of Vienna with 30,000 men; count Khevenballer raifed a body of 12,000; prince Lobkowitz, count Berenclau, count Traun, and others, exerted themselves strenus oully in support of the same cause. The Austrians gained a great many advantages. Thoring, the Bawarian general, was entirely defeated with the loss of 3000 men; but, notwithstanding this circumstance, the elector of Bavaria took the city of Prague, where he was crowned king of Bohemia; and he was, foon after, chosen emperor of Germany at Franckfort on the Maine.

2. When did the new parliament affemble?

A. His majefty returning from his German dominions in the month of October, opened the fession the 4th of December, when Arthur, Onflow, Efg; was re-chosen speaker of the Commons. The minister had been extremely negligent with regard to the new elections; and the opposition, taking advantage of this omiffion, had exerted themselves with fo much industry, that they obtained an equality, if not a majority, of voices. The affair of the Westminster election was of great advantage to them. A riot having happened upon that occasion, the justices had called in the affiltance of the military power; and this circumstance, being foon known over the whole kingdom, inflamed the people highly against the measures of the government. Sir Robert Walpole, forefeeing that he should not be able to maintain his fuperiority in the new parliament, resolved to resign all his places; after having done every thing possible to ferve his friends, and to prevent an impeachment by any violent re-0 6 folutions.

folutions. He continued, therefore, to give his attendance till the 2d of February, when the decision of the Chippenham election was carried against him by one vote only; and having been treated, in the course of the debate, with great feverity, he came out of the house, and in the lobby protested, that he would never enter it more. In this resolution he was the farther confirmed by the steadiness of prince Frederic, who was still at the head of the opposition, and declared, that he confidered Sir Robert Walpole as fo great an obstacle between his majesty and his people, that he could agree to no terms till that gentleman was removed. Accordingly, on the 11th of February, Sir Robert refigned all his places; after having been created by his majefty baron of Haughton, viscount Walpole, and earl of Orford.

2. What was the consequence of Sir Robert Walpole's re-

fignation ? A. The plan of power in the succeeding ministry had been already fettled; but this was a fecret known to few. The removal of that minister did not alter the measures of government; though there were feveral changes in the higher employments. Mr. Sandys was appointed chancellor of the Exchequer; lord Wilmington, first lord of the Treasury; lord Harrington, lord-president; lord Carteret, fecretary of flate; the marquis of Tweedale, fecretary of flate for Scotland; the duke of Argyle, mafter of the ordnance, and commander in chief of his majefty's forces; and Mr. Pultency was restored to the dignity of a privycouncellor. Some of the members of the opposition proposed violent measures against the late minister; but Mr. Pulteney recommended moderation, faying, that government was not to be taken by florm : upon which the duke of Argyle threw up all his places, and was succeeded in the command of the army by the earl of Stair. The people, enraged at this circumstance, exclaimed, that the nation was betraved. and Sir Robert Walpole screened.

2. What were the other proceedings of this fession ?

A. Petitions were presented by the merchants and the city of London, complaining of the damage which their trade had fullained from the numerous captures made by the Spaniards, amounting in value to above 1,200,000 L sterling; and a bill was passed by the lower house for preventing

venting such losses for the future : but it was rejected by the upper. The same was the fate of the place and pension bill, which was likewise thrown out, A secret committee was appointed, confishing of twenty-one persons, and of which lord Limeric was chairman, to enquire into the conduct of affairs during the last ten years. This committee was armed with very extensive powers. They called for all the treasury-books and papers, and carried on their examination with great zeal and industry. They summoned before them Mr. Paxton, and Mr. Scrope: the former, late folicitor; the latter, late secretary to the Treasury. The first was accused of having given, in 1725, the sum of 500 /. to one Boteler, to enable him to carry his election for Wendower. The last was questioned with regard to 1,052,211 L. which, in the space of ten years, had been traced into his and Sir Robert Waltole's hands. Both of them refused to give any answer, without his majesty's permission, about the disposal of money issued for secret service. Paxton was committed to Newgate; Scrope was dismissed without any punishment. Grwyn Vaughan too, a confident of Sir Robert Walpole, was examined with respect to a practice, which had been often charged upon that minister, viz. his obliging the possessor of a place or office, to pay a certain fum out of the profits of it to some person or other recommended to him by the minister. Vaughan begged to be excused answering, and was difmissed from the bar. A bill was next introduced. for indemnifying fuch persons as should make discoveries concerning the disposal of public monies or offices. It passed the lower house, but was rejected by the upper; a circumstance, which created a strong suspicion, that a compromise had been made between the late and present ministry; and that the proofs against Sir Robert Walpole had been industriously suppressed. The great charge against that gentleman was, that he had employed corruption at elections. From the papers submitted to the inspection of parliament it appeared, that the powers who were to share his Prussian majesty's dominions, were the queen of Hungary, Great-Britain, Holland, Hanover, Muscowy, and Saxony; though there is not the least reason to think, that ever the king of England approved of this project. The supplies of

this year amounted to 5,700,000 %. The fession ended the

15th of July.

2. What were the military transactions of 1742? A. The earl of Stair was fent into Germany, with 26,334 English troops, who, immediately upon their arrival on the continent, were to be joined by an equal number of Hano-verians, and 6000 Hessians, in British pay; but, notwithstanding this vigorous measure, the Dutch still continued to adhere to their neutrality. A bloody battle was fought at Charlau between his Prussian majesty and prince Charles, the Austrian general; in which the latter was defeated, with the loss of 3000 men: a peace, however, was at last concluded, under the mediation of his Britannic majefly, between the queen of Hungary and the king of Pruffia; by which Silefia was yielded to the latter. This peace was figned at Breslau on the 11th day of June. The French, deprived of the assistance of the Prussians, were obliged to act upon the defensive. 26,000 of them were besieged in Prague by an army of 60,000 Austrians, under the command of prince Charles; and, after making a long and obftinate defence, marshal Belleisle, who commanded them, effected a retreat to Egra, without losing a man (according to his own account) except by the feverity of the weathers Such was the conclusion of this campaign in Germany,

2. What happened in the other parts of Europe this year? A. The crown of Spain, on the demise of the emperor. Charles VI. laid claim to all the Austrian dominions in Italya To support this claim, the duke de Montemar, the Spanish general, invaded that country with 15,000 men; and thefe being foon after joined by a fresh body of Spaniards, and by 20,000 Neapolitans, composed an army 40,000 strong, To oppose this force, the queen of Hungary entered into an alliance with the king of Sardinia, a prince of great military talents, who, in confideration of his affifting her Hungarian majesty, was to receive a subsidy from Great-Britain. At the same time, admiral Matthews, who commanded the British fleet in the Mediterranean, fent commodore Martin, with a fmall fquadron of ships, to the coast of Naples, with orders to acquaint his Neapolitan majesty, that, if he did not immediately withdraw his troops from those of Spain, the city of Naples should be laid in ashes. This peremptory demand

demand had the defired effect. The Neapolitan forces were instantly recalled; and the Spaniards, deprived of their affiftance, were able to undertake no enterprize of importance.

D. What passed in America?

A. Admiral Vernon, who fill remained in those parts, resolved to make an attack upon Panama; but the design miscarried. About the same time, the Spaniards invaded Georgia with a body of 3000 men; but they were repulsed with difgrace. On the 18th of February died the famous cardinal Fleury, prime-minister of France, in the eighty-ninth year of his age.

2. When did the parliament meet this year ?

A. The 16th of November, when his majesty made a fpeech to both houses, in which he acquainted them with the state of affairs. Lord Carteret was now considered as primeminister; and never were the measures of the government attacked with more virulence and acrimony. The fending the British troops into Germany; the taking the Hanoverians and Heffians into British pay; every scheme, which the ministry adopted, was condemned as absurd, dangerous, and unconstitutional. So loud, indeed, was the cry raised against Hanover and Hanoverian maxims, that many people began to think the nation was ripe for a revolution; and this discontent, in all probability, laid the foundation of that rebellion, which broke out about two years after. The gin-act was repealed: the land-tax was fixed at 4 s. in the pound: the whole fupply amounted to above fix millions. The parliament rose the 21st of April. Q. What were the principal events of 1743?

A. The king of England, being determined to support her Hungarian majesty in the most effectual manner, resolved to put himself at the head of the allied army in Germany: and with this view, on the 27th of April, he fet out for the continent, accompanied by the duke of Cumberland; and on the 6th of May arrived at Hanover. The Dutch too were persuaded, in spite of all the remonstrances of the French court, to renounce their neutrality, and to join the confederates with a body of 20,000 men : but these did not arrive till after the battle of Dettingen. The king of France was no fooner informed of these circumstances, than he or-

dered marfhal Naailles to march into Germany, with an army of 70,000 men. The earl of Stair, who commanded the allies, had effablished his head quaters at Ajchalfraburgh on the Maine; and, on the 19th of June, his Britannic majethy, attended by the duke of Cumberland, and lord Garteres, arrived in the camp. The confederate army confished of 37,000 men; that of Nauilles, of about 58,000. On the 25th of June, the two armies came to an engagement at the village of Dettingen; and, after an oblinate and bloody battle, the French were defeated with the loss of 600 men. The loss of the allies amounted to 2500. King George exposed his person in the hottle part of the fight; and the duke of Camberland was wounded in the calf of the leg. Though the allies had gained the victory, they were obliged to leave their wounded to the care of the French, who treated them, it must be owned, with great humanity.

2. What were the other transactions of the war in Ger-

many ?

A. The French were blockaded in Egra. Prince Charles of Lorrain, at the head of the Anfrisa army, obtained a complete victory over the Bavarians at Branaw, where the latter loft their camp and about 2000 men. The Anfrian-atterwards over-run Bavaria; took Manich, the capital of the dutchy; and entirely expelled the emperor from his dominions. By this time the emperor and the French were heartly tired of each other; upon which the former concluded, in July, a treaty of Wormer, which was concluded in September, the king of Savainia was to receive from Great-Britain an annual fublidy of 280,000 l.; and the British fublics were to enjoy in his dominions very great privileges of trade and commerce. The king of Praylica, provoked at the obtlinacy of her Hangarias majefty, who had broke the neutrality, joined his forces to those of the cam-

peror. What happened in the other parts of Europe?

A. In Italy a bloody battle was fought, the 3d of. February, at Campo-Santo, between the Spaniards under count Gages, and the Auftrians and Ptedmonteze under the counts Traun and Afgrement; in which the former lost and approximation of the country of the countr

about 3,000 men; the latter 2,000. Don Philip, however, continued master of Sarvey. In the North, a war broke still out between the Rushians and the Savedes, which was soon

after terminated by the peace of Abo.

2. What we're the domedic transactions of this year? A. On the 14th of November, the princes of Wales was delivered of a prince, who was christened by the name of Willian-Huny, and on the 17th of the fame month, the princes Louis of England was martied, at Altema, to the prince royal of Dommark. This year was distinguished by the death of Sir Charles Wager and admiral Cavendish. Mr. Knight, late cashier to the South-Sac company, was permitted to return to his native country.

9. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. The 1st of December, when his majelty informed them, that he had concluded a definite treaty with the queen of Hungary and the king of Sardinia. Violent debates were maintained this fession about the Hameverian troops, the spennial act, and several other questions; but they were all carried in savour of the ministry. The supplies amounted to little less than ten millions sterling. The session of the ministry of the same transfer of the same tr

2. What were the principal events of 1744?

A. The French, encouraged by the almost general outcry against the Hanoverians, formed a defign of invading Great-Britain. This scheme was projected by cardinal Tencin, a declared partisan of the Stuart-family. Roquefeuille was to command the fleet; count Saxe, the land-forces: and, the pretender's elden ion, then in the twenty-third year of his age, was to head the expedition. A great number of transports was collected at Dunkirk, and about 15,000 men affembled at that place. In order to guard against the impending danger, the coasts of England were properly fecured; and 6000 auxiliary troops were fent for from Holland. At the same time, Sir John Norris was dispatched with a strong squadron to attack the French fleet, &c. which was dispersed by a storm; and thus the intended invasion was happily defeated. The Freuch having, by his attempt, loft all pretences to a neutrality, declared war against Great-Britain on the 20th day 354 HISTORY of ENGLAND. 1744 of March; and, on the 31st of the same month, a like declaration of war against France was published at London.

2. What happened in the Mediterranean?

A. The French and Spanish fleets had been long blocked up in the harbour of Teulon by the admirals Matthews and Leftock. In point of courage these two officers were equal; in every other respect they differed. Mathews was frank and open; Leftock, cunning and referved: Mathews was hot and fiery; Lefteck, cool and dispassionate. A violent animosity had long prevailed between them; and it was thought imprudent in the government to join them in command. The combined squadron of the enemy confifted of twenty-eight ships of the line, and fix frigates: the strength of the British fleet was not much inferior. Admiral Rowley commanded the van of the English : admiral Mathews, the center : admiral Leftock, the rear. De Court conducted the French fleet; Navarro, that of Spain. The enemy resolved to force their way out of the harbour, and give battle to the English. On the 11th of February, admiral Mathews bore down upon the Spanish admiral's ship, the Real, mounted with 114 guns. Mr. Rowley attacked the French admiral. The captains Cornwall, Forbes, Ofborne, and Hawke, followed the example of their commanders, and fought with great bravery: but admiral Leflock, with his whole division, remained above five miles a-ffern : and even the captains Burrish, Norris, Williams, &c. who were immediately under the eye of Mathews, behaved in the most shameful and pufillanian-- manuer. The battle, however, was maintained till night, when the enemy escaped by favour of the darkness. On the 14th, they were seen again at fome distance, and Leffock proposed pursuing them; but Mathews was fo provoked at the behaviour of that gentleman, that he not only prevented him from giving chace, but even suspended him from his office. The conduct of the admirals was submitted to the examination of parliament, and afterwards referred to the decision of a courtmartial, who, to the aftonishment of all the world, honourably acquitted Leftock, and declared Mathews incapable of ferving any more in the royal navy. 2. What

2. What passed in Grmany? A. Though that country had been evacuated by the French, yet was not its peace restored. The emperor was ftripped of his dominions; the queen of Hungary breathed nothing but vengeance; and his Pruffian majesty, therefore, thought it necessary for his own safety to restore the balance of power in the empire. With this view, on the 11th of May, he concluded, at Frankfort, a treaty with the emperor, the elector Palatine, and the landgrave of Hesse-Cassel. The professed design of this treaty was to support the Imperial dignity, and re-establish the peace of Germany; but there was a fecret article, importing, that the king of Pruffia should attempt the conquest of Bohemia, and Upper Austria, for the emperor; in return for which, his Imperial majesty was to make certain concessions to the court of Berlin. In profecution of this scheme, the king of Prussia invaded Bohemia, through Saxony, at the head of 84,000 men. Another body of his troops penetrated through Silesia into Moravia; and the two armies being joined, the king laid fiege to Prague, which, though defended by a garrison of 13,000 men, was obliged to furrender in a few days. As the emperor's health was now in a very declining state, the queen of Hungary formed a defign for procuring the Imperial crown to her confort, the great duke of Tuscany.

2. What was done in Flanders?

A. By this time the courts of Vienna and Verlajlles had muking invaded Flanders, at the head of 120,000 men; and meeting with no opposition from the allied army, which amounted only to 76,000, he foon made himfelf mafter of Courtray, Menin, Furnes, Mount-Cassel, and other fortresses. On the other hand, prince Charles of Lorrain passed the Rhine, and laid all the Lower Alface under contribution. The king of France was no fooner informed of this circumstance than he returned from Flanders with 40,000 of his best troops, in order to stop the progress of the enemy; but, though his army confitted of 116,000 men, he could not prevent prince Charles, who had only 71,000, from repaffing the Rbine with very little lofs. That prince, being foon after joined by 16,000 Saxons, whofe

whose fovereign had now declared in favour of her Hungarian majetly, drove the king of Freifin out of Behemia, with the lost of 30,000 men. But, notwithstanding these fuccesses of the Austrian arms, the French reduced the important fortress of Fribragh, and the emperor was restored to his hereditary dominions.

2. What were the transactions of the war in Italy?

A. Don Philip, having received a reinforcement of 20,000 French under the prince of Conti, had now an army 60,000 flrong. The king of Sardinia was at the head of 30,000 men. The combined armies of France and Spain forced the entrenchments of Villa-França and Mountalban; though art and nature feemed to have confpired in rendering them impregnable. In this attempt they loft about 5,000 men; but they took a great number of prisoners, and among the rest, the marquis de Suze, the king of Sardinia's natural brother. They then laid fiege to Coni : and the king of Sardinia coming to its relief, a battle enfued on the 24th of September, when the confederates obtained the victory. Nevertheless they abandoned the fiege, after having loft in it about 6,000 men. Mean while his Neapolitan majefty, having violated his neutrality, by joining his troops to those of his brother Don Philip, his dominions were invaded by prince Lobkowitz and count Brown, two Auftrian generals ; the latter of whom attacked Velitri with fuch impetuofity, that he had almost there believe kine of, Nanles and the were foon after obliged to retire out of Naples; and the armies on all fides were put into winter quarters.

2. When did commodore Anson return from his famous

voyage round the world?

A. In 1744. In September 1740, he had failed from England with a small squadron of ships, consisting of the Centurium of stay guns; the Glouessper, the Severn, the Ptarl, the Wager, and the Trial stop; with two victuallers, and about 470 land forces, under the command of colonel Cracherode. The Severn and Pearl were separated from him on the coal of Brass, whence they returned to England. All his other ships, except the Centurion, were either lost or destroyed, for want of hands to navigate them.

them. He burned the town of Paisa in Paus, where he found a good deal of plunder. He likewife took the rich Acapulos hip, or Manilla galleon, valued at 400,000 flerling. And, after undergoing an incredible number of difficulties, he arrived at Spathad on the 15th of June. Historieu was conveyed in a triumphant manner to London, where it was received amiddthe the doust and acclamation of the people. He himfelf was foon after created a peer. 9. What were the other occurrences of this year?

A. Marefelal Beliefe and his brother being feized at Eblingread: in the electroare of Hamover, were fent over to Benjand, and confined in Windfer caffle. The like accident happened to the earl of Heldermiffe, ambalfiador from Great-British to the republic of Venice. He was flopped by the Imperialift near Nuremberg; but was foon after fet at liberty. Sir John Bakebar's liip, the Pillery, of 110 guns, efteemed the finelf hirl-rate in the world, was caft away on the coalt of Aldermy; and this brave commander, with all his crew, amounting to 1100 choice fearmen, too of whom were volunteers and gentlemen of fortune, perifihed in the ocean. This year was diffinguished by the death of the celebrated port Mr. Pops, and of Sarah, the old duchefs of Marlbernagh: the former of whom died in Yune: the latter, in Ocher, aved 8 c.

Q. When did the parliament assemble this year?

A. On the 27th of November, previous to which feveral changes were made in the ministry. A coalition was formed between the opposite parties, which was distinguished by the appellation of the broad-bottom, and was intended to comprehend gentlemen of every denomination. The lord Carteret, who, in consequence of his mother's death, was now become earl Granville, refigued the feals as fecretary of state, and was succeeded in that post by the earl of Harrington. The duke of Bedford was appointed first lord of the admiralty, and the earl of Chefterfield lord lieutenant of Ireland. The supplies amounted to 6,462,8901. and a quadruplealliance was concluded, at Warfaw, between his Britannic majefly, the queen of Hungary, the king of Poland, and the States-General. The fession ended the 2d of May.

2. What were the transactions of 1745?

A. The death of the emperor Charles VII. which happened in January of this year, produced a great alteration in the state of affairs in Europe. The grand duke of Tuscany, confort to her Hungarian majesty, was immediately declared a candidate for the Imperial crown; while his pretentions were warmly opposed by the French king, who intended to raise to that dignity, either the young elector of Bavaria, or the king of Poland. Both these princes, however, declined the offer; and the grand duke, in foite of all the intrigues of the French court, was elected emperor at Frankfort in the month of September of this year. Mean while, the French king, refolving to make a conquest of the Austrian Natherlands, invaded that country, at the head of a powerful army, and invested the city of Tournay. The duke of Cumberland, who commanded the allied army, though greatly inferior to the enemy in number, determined to make an attempt for raising the fiege. This brought on the famous battle of Fonlenoy, which was fought on the 1st day of May, and in which, after an obstinate and bloody engagement, the allies were defeated with the lofs of 7,000 men. The lofs of the enemy was still more considerable. Nevertheless, as they were now freed from all opposition, they reduced Tournay, Ghent, Bruges, Oudenarde, Dendermonde, Oftend, Newport, Actb, and feveral other places; and the French, king after a long and fuccefsful campaign, returned in tri-

umph to Paris.

- 2. What happened in Germany?

A. The landgrave of Hipfs-Cajid withdrew from the allied army the 6,000 troops, which he had in British pay;
and joined them to these of the elector of Beweria. This
young prince, however, finding himself unable to oppose
the progress of the Anghrian arms, concluded a peace
with her Hungarian majesty. The king of England had
formed an excellent plan for composing all differences between the king of Prussia, the queen of Hungary, and the
electro of Sexony, but, this being rejected by the courts of
Fienna and Drejsten, the king of Prussa entered Sexony
with a powerful army; disposited that electro of all his
dominions; and obtained two complete visitories over the
combined.

Murray

combined armies of Austria and Saxony; the first, on the 3d of June; the second, on the 18th of September; in confequence of which, the queen of Hungary and the elector of Saxony were obliged to agree to a peace, by which his Prussian majesty retained possession of Silesia.

2. What passed in Italy?

A. War was declared against his Sardinian majesty, by the republic of Genoa, who having engaged in a treaty offensive and defensive with the crowns of France and Spain, the affairs of the Austrians were entirely ruined in that quarter. Nevertheless, admiral Medley, who commanded the British fleet in the Mediterranean, bombarded Genoa, Final, St. Remo, and Bastia in the island of Corsica.

2. What happened in the other parts of the world?
A. On the 17th of June, Louiscourgh, the bulwark of

the French empire in America, was taken by a body of 6,000 New-Englanders under Mr. Pepperel, affifted by a British fleet of ten ships of war, commanded by commodore Warren. The garrison consisted of 1,200 regulars. The befiggers loft 100 men: the befigged 240.

2. Did not a rebellion break out in 1745

A. Yes; and one that was attended with the most dangerous consequences?

2. Please to mention the most remarkable particulars. A. We have already observed, that the cry, raised against the Hanoverian forces, had encouraged Charles, the young pretender, to form a defign, in the preceding year, for exciting an infurrection in Scotland, which, however, he was obliged to postpone. This attempt he now determined to resume; and, accordingly, Charles, who was then about twenty-five years of age, embarking with feven adherents only, on board a frigate of eighteen guns, with ooo fland of arms, fet fail from port St. Lazare in Brid tanny. Soon after, he was joined by the Elizabeth, a French thip of fixty-fix guns, between whom and the Lion man of war, a British ship of fifty-eight guns, commanded by captain Brett, a bloody engagement enfued, when the Elizabeth sheered off. Mean while Charles prosecuted his voyage to the western isles of Scotland, and landing at Ardnamurchan, near the house of Kinloch Moidart, was immediately joined by Cameron of Lochiel, the titular duke of Perth, the viscount Strathallan, lord Nairn, lord George

Murray (brother to the duke of Albel) and by feveral other chieftains. The government was no fooner informed of these circumstances, than they sent orders to Sir John Cope, who commanded the king's forces in Scotland, to crush the infurrection in its infancy. With this view, Sir John fet out for the North; but the pretender, giving him the flip, advanced fouthwards, and entered Perth on the 3d of September. Here he proclaimed his father, and reviewing his forces, found them amount to about 2000 men; but most of them miserably armed and accourred. Soon after, he continued his march towards Edinburgh, the megistrates of which feemed determined to make a vigorous refistance; but this appears to have been all a farce; for the city was furrendered at the first summons. The pretender, being now mafter of the capital, caused his father to be proclaimed at the cross of Edinburgh, and published three manifestos, which, after promising mighty things in favour of the Scottish nation, constituted Charles regent of Scotland, England, and Ireland. His forces now confifted of about 4000 men.

2. What happened next?

A. Sir John Cope had by this time returned towards Edinburgh, and taken post with his army (the exact number of which was never known) in the neighbourhood of Preflon-Pans. Here, on the morning of the 21st of September, he was attacked by the rebels with such impetuofity, that his forces were broken and routed in the space of ten minutes. 400 of the king's troops were killed; and, among thefe, the brave colonel Gardiner, who fell covered with wounds in fight of his own threshold. About 1200 were taken prisoners, together with the train of artillery, tents, baggage, and military cheft, containing 6000 l. in specie. The loss of the rebels was very inconsiderable. Sir John Cope was afterwards tried by a court-martial, and acquitted. The rebels made an unsuccessful attempt upon the castle of Edinburgh, which was defended by general Gueft, a brave and experienced officer. In a few days after the battle, the pretender received a supply of money, arms, and ammunition, from France. About the fame time he was joined by Gordon of Glenbucket, the earl of Kilmarnock, the lords Elche, Ogilvie, Pitsligo, and Balmerino; and finding his army now amount to 6000 men, he refolved to march into England.

2. What preparations were made for his reception in that kingdom ?

A. His majefly, who had been in Germany during the greatest part of the fummer, returned to England on the 16th of August, when he received loyal addresses from the city of London, and from most of the towns and corporations in the kingdom. The like addresses were presented by the two houses of parliament, which affembled on the 16th of October, and granted 7,063,252 1. for the current fervice of the year. Large fums were fubscribed by the public companies, and by many private persons, for cloathing his majesty's troops in the North. Affociations were formed by the gentlemen of York, and of feveral other counties, for the support of the government. The lawyers, headed by lord chief-justice Willes, made a tender of their fervice to the king. In a word, the whole nation feemed to unite as one man, in defence of his majefty's person and government. A camp, confifting of 14,000 men was formed at Newcastle, under general Wade. A strong squadron was stationed in the Channel, under admiral Vernon, in order to prevent an invasion from France. A proclamation was issued for apprehending Jesuits and popish priests; and every other precaution taken that could be deemed necessary for the fecurity of the kingdom? -

Q. What were the rebels doing in the mean time?

A. Their whole army, amounting to 6000 foot and 260 horse, entered England on the 6th of November; and laying fiege to the city of Carlifle, took it in less than three days. Upon this a new army was raifed, to be commanded by the duke of Cumberland, and, under him, by Sir John Ligonier. This army, confifting of 13,000 men, took post at Litchfield. The rebels intended to have penetrated into Wales; but finding that impracticable, they directed their march by Manchester, Macclessield, Congleton, &c. and on the 5th of December, their whole army entered Derby, within ninetyfeven miles of London. Understanding, however, that great preparations were made to oppose them, and that his majesty had resolved to erect the standard of England upon Finchley-Common, they suddenly wheeled about, and retreated towards Scotland, leaving a garrifon in Carlifle for the defence of that place. The duke of Cumberland purfued them with the utmost celerity; but was unable to

overtake them, till he arrived at the village of Clifton, where he had a skirmish with their rear-guard, in which several were flain on both fides. His royal highness, having reduced Carlifle, which furrendered on the 31st of December, returned to London. Thus ended the expedition of the rebels into England, which, all circumstances considered, must be allowed to have been conducted in a very masterly manner; as they were unprovided with horses, magazines, and many of them with arms. In the mean time, Macleod and Monro, who had raifed their clans in favour of the government, were defeated in the north by lord Lewis Gordon (brother to the duke of that name) who had affembled a strong party in behalf of the pretender.

2. What did the rebels do upon their return to Scotland? A. Being joined by the Frazers, under the master of Lowat, who had been drawn into the rebellion by his father, the famous lord Lovat, one of the greatest hypocrites that ever existed; and being likewise re-inforced by 6 or 700 men in the French king's pay, under lord John Drummond; they laid fiege to the town of Sterling, which they took : but were unable to make any impression upon the caftle, which was gallantly defended by general Blakeney, Upon this a new army of 10,000 men was raifed by the government, and the command of it given to general Hawley, who was ordered to relieve the callle of Sterling. The rebels, amounting to about 8000 men, met him at Falkirk, on the 17th of January 1746, when a battle ensued; in which the king's forces were defeated with the loss of 400 men killed, and about 300 taken prisoners. Among the former were Sir Robert Monro, colonel Whitney, and some other officers of diffinction. The rebels loft in all about 200.

2. What was the consequence of this misfortune?

A. The duke of Cumberland was appointed commander in chief against the rebels; and accordingly his royal highness set out from St. James's, on the 25th of January, at one in the morning, accompanied by the lords Cathcart and Bury, and colonels Conway and York. On the 30th he arrived at Edinburgh, and next day began his march against the rebels, who, alarmed at his approach, abandoned the fiege of Sterling-Caftle, blew up their magazines, and retreated to Inverness. Here the young pretender very narrowly escaped being taken in his bed, by lord Loudon, who

had diffinguished himself greatly in the service of the government. The rebels, however, took Fort-George and Fort Augustus, in the month of February; and defeated the Sutherland militia at Dornoch; but these losses were more than compensated by the retaking of the Hazard sloop of war, which the rebels had furprized the preceding year. About this time 6000 Hessians landed in England, under the command of their prince, who was attended by the earl of Crawford, a most accomplished officer. The duke of Cumberland, having secured the important posts of Sterling and Perth with the Hellian troops, advanced with his army to Aberdeen, which he entered the 1st day of March. Thence he proceeded towards Inverness, and passing, on the 12th of April, the deep and rapid river Spey, without opposition, arrived at Nairn. The rebels lay at Culloden, to the number of 8000 men. The royal army was nearly of the same force.

2. Please to mention the most remarkable circumstances

of the battle of Culloden.

A. On the 16th of April, early in the morning, the whole royal army marched from Nairn in four columns. The robels formed their front in thirteen divisions, being for many clans under their respective chiefs. About one o'clock in the afternoon the cannonading began. The artillery of the rebels was ill ferved, and did very little execution : but that of the king's troops made dreadful havock among the enemy. Impatient of this fire, their front-line advanced to the charge, and about 500 of the rebels attacked Barrel's and Monro's regiments, with their usual impetuosity ; but wall that covered their right flank, and falling in among them, fword in hand, compleated their contufion. The French forces on the left did not fire a shot; but slood inthemselves prisoners of war. The young pretender, though his corps de reserve was still entire, galloped off, without making the least refissance. A large body of the rebels marched off the field in order, with pipes playing : the rest were routed with great flaughter, no less than 2000 of them being killed in the action and pursuit. The king's forces had been highly exasperated by their former losses and disgraces;

and to this refentment was owing the greatness of the carnage. Of the royalists there fell about 300, and among these lord Robert Kerr, fon to the marquis of Lothian. The earl of Kilmarnock was taken on the field of battle; the lord Balmerino furrendered himfelf a few days after. The marquis of Tullibardine followed his example: but the most extraordinary part of the prisoners were four ladies, who had been very instrumental in procuring friends to the pretender; and one of them, in particular, the lady Mackintofh, though her husband was at that time an officer in the king's army, had forced a great many of her clan to join in the rebellion. The young pretender retired to Aird, where he held a confultation with lord Lovat, and some other chieftains; and as 40,000 louis d'ors had lately arrived from France, a propofal was made for renewing the rebellion : but their affairs were now fo desperate, that the scheme was deemed impracticable. The duke of Cumberland, immediately after the action, proceeded to Inverness, of which he took poffession. He then advanced to Fort-Augustus; and having received the fubmission of almost all the clans and chieftains, returned to London, which he entered amidit the acclamations of a grateful people.

9. What became of the pretender?

A. According to the narrative of his escape, as given by himself, he, immediately after the decisive battle of Culloden, fled to Fort-Augustus, and thence to Glenbias-dale, where he paffed feveral days and nights without fleep, and almost without fustenance. Though he was obliged to trust his life to the fidelity of above fifty individuals, and a price of 20,000 /, was fet upon his head; yet could not that great fum tempt the meanest of them to seize or betray him. At one time he affumed the character of a ship-wrecked merchant: at another he appeared in a female drefs, and paffed for the fervant of a young gentlewoman, named Flora Macdonald; in croffing the water with whom, he was fired at by the militia. He was feen in his female garb by feveral perfons, who were in fearch of him; but without their entertaining the least fuspicion. Understanding, however, that his difguife was difcovered, he affumed the habit of a travelling mountaineer, and in that condition wandered about among the woods and heaths, in continual danger of

being seized by his pursuers. On the 18th of June, he got into the very center of a detachment of the king's troops, whom he heard relieving the guard, and talking to one another. Here a young man, permitting himfelf to pass for Charles, and refusing to surrender, was killed upon the spot. This contributed greatly to fave the life of the pretender, who afterwards travelled by feveral camps; and even passed between the centinels of one. In the course of his peregrinations, he was frequently obliged to lye all night upon the bare rocks, and to live on falt-fith and the coarfest provisions. In a word, he was sometimes reduced to fuch extremity, that he was almost tempted to surrender himself to general Campbell. At last, after having wandered about from the 16th of April till the 10th of September, he arrived at Locbnanaugh in Moidart, where a French thip, the Bellong of Nantz, lay ready to receive him. On board of this vessel, he himself, with a few other exiles, embarked. He was then in a very bad state of health, and was clad in a short coat of black frize thread-bare, over which was a common highland plaid, girt round him by a belt, from whence depended a pillol and a dagger. He immediately fet fail for France; and, after having been chased by two English ships of war, landed safely at Roscau. near Morlaix in Bretagne. Having there equipped himfelf, he repaired to the court of Verfailles, where he was feemingly carefied; but this was all grimace, if we except cardinal Tencin and his creatures. Himself and his brother (fince made cardinal of Yorke) had appointments full sufficient to Support them with decency. The French found their account in providing for his followers, who entered into their armies.

2 What became of the rebel prisoners?

A. Being brought up to London, the noblemen were committed to the Tower; those of inferior rank to Newgate. The earls of Kilmarnock and Cromarties, with lord Balantine, were indicted before their peers, and found guilty. Kilmarnock and Balantine were executed upon Troure bill, Anyth 18. Committee's life was spared; and he was sentenced to a mild imprisonment, and allowed a moderate support. Lord Lovat, who had been taken, had been so very cunning, that the government found it diffi-

14 3

cult to proceed against him, as they had done against the other noblemen, by way of indictment; and the commons were therefore obliged to impeach him before his peers. Murray, the pretender's fecretary, appeared as an evidence against him; and, the prisoner's guilt being incontestably proved, he was condemned to lofe his head. After his condemnation, he made fome attempts to procure a pardon; but finding all his endeavours ineffectual, he avowed himself a jacobite and a papitt. His behaviour on the scaffold was remarkably chearful, and even facetious. He forveyed the crowd with attention, examined the axe, jetted with the executioner, and after repeating a passage from Horace, intimating, though very unjustly, that he died a martyr for the liberty of his country, he laid his head upon the block with the utmost indifference. Courts of judicature were opened in Southwark and in the north of England, for the trial of the rebels of inferior note. Out of fortythree, who were condemned at London, no more than feventeen were executed. They fuffered upon Kennington-common. Among these were Townley, colonel of the Manchester regiment; and one Morgan, a lawyer. The like lenity was thewn to the rebels in other parts of the kingdom; nor were any put to death, except those whose conduct was attended with some circumstances of a very aggravating nature. In November 1747, Charles Ratcliff, brother to the earl of Derwentwater, who had been taken in a ship bound to Scotland, was arraigned on a former fentence paffed against him in' 1716; and the identity of his person being proved, he was executed on Tower-bill.

D. What were the detached events of 1745?

A. In the beginning of this year died the famous Sir Robert Walpole, earl of Orford, and late prime minister, Though he had had, for fo long a time, the management of the public treasure, yet his circumstances were far from being affluent.

2. What were the parliamentary transactions of 1746?

A. On the 14th of January his majesty made a speech to

both houses, in which he acquainted them with the state of affairs on the continent. The Dutch still continued to act with their usual dilatoriness. Prince Waldeck had given in a plan to them, by which he defired to be put at the head

of 109,000 men. The flates-general refused to declare war against France, though they agreed to furnish 40,000 men for the support of the common cause. Great divisions prevailed at this time in the English ministry. Some proposed, that the war against France should be persecuted with the utmost vigour, and that England should bear the chief share of the expence; but this was strongly opposed by the duke of Newcastle, the earl of Harrington, Mr. Pelbam, and others, who immediately refigned their places. Upon this the earl of Granville was appointed principal fecretary of flate ; but finding it impossible to raise the supplies, he re-delivered the feals to his majefly; and the gent'emen, who had refigned, were restored to their employments. The quiet of the ministry being thus re-established, the public business went on with the greatest unanimity. The parliament bestowed an additional revenue of 25,000%. per ann. upon his royal highness the duke of Cumberland, for the service he had done the nation in defeating the rebels at Culloden. Acts were passed for disarming the Highlanders; abolishing the Highland dress; obliging all schoolmasters in Scotland to take the oaths to the government; and restraining the exercise of the episcopal religion in that kingdom, where most of the episcopists were professed Jacobites.

2. What were the military transactions of this year?

The allied army in Germany amounted to 44,000 men; the French army, to 100,000. The former was commanded by prince Coarle of Lorain; the latter, by marfial Saze, who, taking advantage of the weakness of the confederates, reduced Bruffelt, Lowavin, Antworp, Menus, St. Guillain, Charlery, Namur, and, in a word, made himself mafter of all Flanders, Bradant, and Haimand. The allies, however, being now re-inforced to the number of 80,000 men, resolved to check the progress of the enemy; and, on the 10th of Odebers, was fought the battle of Reacount, in which the confederates were defeated with the loss of 5000 men. The French, though they gained the victory, lost above double that number. This action terminated the campaign in Germany.

2. What happened in Italy?

A. The French army in that quarter was commanded by marshal Maillebois; the Spanish, by count Gages, and afterwards by the marquis de las Minas. The Austrians were conducted by prince Lichtenstein, and afterwards by general Botta; the Piedmontese by general Leutrum; and sometimes his Sardinian majefty affumed the command of the whole. The combined armies of France and Spain amounted to 73,000 men; those of Austria and Piedmont to 76,000. In February baron Leutrum surprised the strong fortress of Asii, and made the garrison, of 5000 men, prisoners of war. On the 4th day of June, the French and Spaniards were defeated at Placentia, with the loss of 12,000 men; and on the 9th of August, they sustained a defeat at Rotto-Tredo, when their loss amounted to about 8000 men. The loss of the Austrians in both these engagements, did not exceed 8000 men. Soon after, the Austrians made themselves masters of Genoa; where they behaved in such a tyrannical manner, that the inhabitants rose in arms, and drove them out of the city with great flaughter. The French and Spaniards, however, being entirely expelled from Italy, count Brown, a brave and enterprizing general, passed the Var, with a body of co,000 men, and penetrating into France, laid fiege to Antibes, in which he was affilted by a British fleet under admiral Medley : but hearing that marshal Belleifle was advancing against him with a powerful army, he repassed the Var, with little or no loss.

Brittany by 5,800 land-forces, under general Simclair, attended by a fleet of fixteen flips of the line, under admiral Lefleck. The general laid fiege to port Porient, but was not able to take it; and he returned to England, without performing any action of importance. In the Worl-Indias, the French made an attempt for the recovery of Cape Breton; and the Fewild formed a define of reducing Dubles, the

2. What were the naval transactions of this year?

A. In September, a descent was made upon the coast of

and the English formed a design of reducing Quebec, the capital of Camada: the former scheme proved abortive; the latter was not carried into execution. Commodore Mittelli was broke for his cowardly conduct in allowing a French fleet to escape him at Marrintes, where he had been flationed to intercept it. In the Englishmen, commodore Pyton filamefully declined coming to an engagement with M. de la Baurdonnisi.

Bourdonnois, the French admiral, who took Madrass without opposition. The French likewise made an unsuccessful attempt upon fort St. David's.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. On the 18th of November, when his majesty, in his speech to both houses, acquainted them, among other things, that the funds, appropriated for the support of his civil government, had, for feveral years past, fallen greatly short of the revenue intended, and granted by parliament. The whole supply amounted to 9,425,254 1. The landtax was fixed at 4 s. in the pound. A duty was imposed on windows, coaches, and wheel-carriages. Among the laws passed this fession, was an act abolishing the heritable jurisdictions, and taking away the tenor of wardholdings in Scotland; which, being a species of flavery, were deemed an inconfishency in a free government, invested the superiors with a dangerous power over their dependents, and were reckoned one of the principal fources of those rebellions, which had broke out fince the revolution. The fession closed with an act of indemnity, out of which eighty-fix persons were excepted. On the 18th of June the parliament was diffolved, and writs were iffued for fummoning a new one.

2. What were the most memorable events of 1747?

A. Negociations for a peace were carried on at Bredo:

but the French ambaffadors behaved with fo much arrogance, that the conferences proved abortive. As the Dutch had hitherto acted only as auxiliaries in the war, flrong endeavours were used by the other allies to persuade them to engage in it as principals; and to this they were further excited by the bold and mafterly harangues of the celebrated Van Haran. At this time the flate of affairs in Europe received a confiderable alteration by the marriage of a princess of Saxony with the dauphin of France, whose first wife had lately died. Several of the French ministers were inclined to a peace; and fo much the rather, as they wanted to execute the scheme, which Maurepas had formed, for making the navies of France stronger than those of Great-Britain and Holland united; and for the flripping the English of all their fettlements in the East and West-Indies. In the mean time, however, the French king resolved to prosecute

the war with the utmost vigour. Accordingly, marshal Saxe, created marshal de camp general, a post, which had not been possesses any person since the time of the great Yureume, entered the Netherlands with 150,000 men; while a separate army of 60,000 was destined to act in Provence. Saxe detached count Levuendabl, his near relation, with a body of 27,000 men, with which he fell into Dutch Brabant, and reduced Skys. Sax Vam Ghest, and Irelys. The duke of Camberland, who commanded the allied army, advanced to the relief of this last place; but just as he was approaching it, he was informed of its surrender by a general discharge of the artillery from the fortifications, by which a great many of his men were killed, and even his own person was put in the most imminent danger.

2. Did not the Dutch refent these attacks?

A. The common people, suspecting that they were betrayed by their governors, refolved to choose a stadtholder; and they accordingly raifed to that dignity Illiam Henry Frizo, prince of Orange, consort of Anne, eldest daughter of his Britannic majesty. The states, animated by the new stadtholder, came to some vigorous resolutions against France; but still they refused to declare war in form. On the 2d of July was fought the battle of Val, in which the allies were defeated with the lofs of 6000 men. The lofs of the French amounted to 11,000. The confederate army must have been entirely ruined, had not Sir John Ligonier, at the head of a few fquadrons of horse, charged the whole line of the French cavalry, and, by that means, secured the retreat of the allies. The confederates, however, took feven standards, eight pair of colours, and about 700 prifoners; fixty of whom were officers. Both the Dutch and Austrians behaved fcandalously in this battle : almost the whole loss fell upon the English, the Hessians, and Hanoverians: fo that the French king was heard to fay, that the English not only paid all, but fought all. On the 15th of July, count Lowendahl, with 30,000 men, invested Bergen-op-Zoom, esteemed the strongest fortress in Dutch Brabant, and defended by a numerous garrison under old baron Cronstroom. On the 10th of September the place was taken by affault; and fuch was the negligence of the governour, that, had it not been for the bravery of lord John Murray's Highlanders, who fought till two thirds of them were killed upon the fpot, Cronfroom must have been taken prisoner in his bed. 'Twas generally supposed, that he had received private orders to let the place be surprized. Lowendabl, as a reward of his services, was created a marfhal of Frame. The armies on both sides retired into winter-quarters, and the duke of Cumbrilland returned to England.

2. What passed in Italy?

1747

A. Genoa was belieged by the Austrians under Schuylemberg, who was soon after obliged to abandon the enterprize.

2. What were the naval transactions of this year?

A. On the 2d of May, a British fleet of fifteen men of war, under vice admiral Anson and rear-admiral Warren, defeated, off Cape-Finisterre, a French squadron of nine thips of war, commanded, by M. de la Jonquiere and M. de St. George. The English took several ships, containing a good deal of treasure. Admiral Auson was created a lord; Sir Peter Warren was made a knight of the Bath. On the 14th of Odober, admiral Hazuke, with fourteen men of war, defeated, near the same place, another French fleet, and took fix of their thips. The fight was long and obstinate : 800 of the enemy were killed or wounded, and about 3000 taken prisoners. Of the English, 154 were killed, and 558 wounded. In the East-Indies, admiral Boscarven made an unsuccessful attempt upon Pondicherry, of which M. Dupleix was governor. He afterwards met, on the coast of Coromandel, with a violent florm; in which several of his ships, and 1200 men, perished.

2. What were the domestic occurrences of this year?

A. The practice of fmuggling had now rifen to such an intolerable height, and the persons concerned it lawful traffick had committed such enormities (some of them having even been guilty of robbery and murder) that the government offered a reward of 5001, for every sunggler that was taken. In consequence of this, several sungglers were selezed, tried, and executed. Mr. Janifen dittinguished himself greatly in this affair.

2. When did the new parliament affemble?

A. On the 10th of Nevember. The elections had been carried every where in favour of the ministry; and it was

plainly perceived, that this parliament would be of the fame complexion with the laft. The money granted for the fervice of the year 1748, amounted to 8,507,930 %. Such was the alacrity of the public in contributing towards the exigencies of the government, that, books being opened for a subscription of 6,300,000 l. that sum, and two millions more, were subscribed in a few hours. The session ended the 12th of May.

2. What were the transactions of 1748?

A. The king of Prussia seemed, at this time, to bear no good-will towards the court of Great-Britain; for he appointed, as his ambassador at Paris, the earl marshal of Scotland, who had been engaged in the rebellion of 1715: a step, that was thought an infult upon his Britannic majesty. On the 11th of March, conferences for a peace were opened at Aix-la-Chapelle, where the earl of Sandwich, and Sir Thomas Robinson, acted as the British plenipotentiaries. In the mean time, a French army of 45,000 men, under marshal Lowendabl, invested Maestricht; but, as the place was not reduced at the figning of the preliminaries, it was agreed, that, for the glory of his Christian majesty's arms, it should be furrendered, on condition of its being immediately reflored. The French are faid to have loft in this siege about 6000 men; besides 5000, who perished through the inclemency of the feafon. The preliminaries were figned on the 19th of April, when a proclamation was published at London for discontinuing all, hostilities in the Low-Countries, and in the Channel. The definitive treaty was concluded at Aix-la-Chapelle on the 7th of Odober. The contracting powers were, her imperial majefty, the kings of Great-Britain, France, Spain, Sardinia, the Dutch, the Modenele, and Gencele.

Q. What happened in America?

A. As hostilities had not yet ceased in that part of the world, rear-admiral Knowles reduced port Louis in Hispaniola, and made an unsuccessful attempt upon St. Jago de Cuba. In September, he engaged a Spanish fleet under Reggio and Spinola, who were obliged to sheer off: but, just as he was expecting the plate-fleet, he received the difagreeable news that a peace was concluded. Thus ended a bloody and expensive war; in which the English and French. French, the principal parties concerned, gained nothing but the experience of each other's strength and resources. The treaty of peace was very little agreeable to any of the contracting powers; but least of all to the English. Nothing was concluded in favour of the British commerce : the reflitution of Louisburg was extremely unpopular: and the granting hostages to France, for the performance of articles, was deemed a national diffrace; though precedents may be found for it in the English history. The value of the captures made by the English, in the course of this war, was fuppofed to amount, at an average, to two millions sterling. A little before the cessation of hostilities, 37,000 Russians had arrived in Germany, to the assistance of the allies; but the peace was no fooner figned, than their march was countermanded, and they returned to their own country. At this fame time, the young pretender, who then refided at Paris, was given to understand, that it would not be proper for him to continue any longer in France; but as he neglected to take the hint, and even refused to retire, he was one evening, while stepping out of his coach into the opera house, feized by a party of French guards, who, having tied him with a cord, like a common felon, conducted him, first, to Vincennes, and thence to the frontiers of the kingdom.

2. When did the parliament meet this year?

A. His majesty returning from Germany, where he had passed the summer, and had founded the university of Gottingen, opened the fession on the 20th of November. An opposition was now formed in the House of Commons. confifting of a few independent country gentlemen, and the scrvants of the prince of Wales; between whom and his majesty a new mifunderstanding had broke out. The whole supply for the service of the year 1749, amounted to 7,930,382 l. 5 s. 1 d. Several bills were paffed in the course of this fession, though not without violent debates; and among others, the mutiny-bill; a bill for fubjecting half-pay officers to martial law, in the fame manner as if they were on whole pay; a bill for extending and improving the trade to Africa; one for erecting a fish-market in Westminster; and another for encouraging the whale-

whale-fishery. The fession was closed on the 13th of

2. What were the most remarkable incidents of 1749? A. Party-riots broke out at this time in several parts of England; one particularly at Oxford, where certain young men drank the Pretender's health, and were guilty of fome treasonable practices. For this crime, two of them, Danues and Whitmore, were tried and imprisoned. Another riot happened at Litchfield races, where some persons of quality, and among others the duke of Bedford, were grofsly infulted. The duke of Newcastle was chosen chancellor of the university of Cambridge; though the prince of Wales, it is faid, had expressed some defire of obtaining that dignity. At this time a civil government was established in Nova Scotia, chiefly by the patriotic endeavours of the earl of Hallifax, first lord of trade and plantations. 3750 persons and families engaged themselves to go over to that colony. The greatest part of them arrived there in June, under the conduct of colonel Cornwallis, their governor; and, in a little time, after a town was built, which was denominated Hallifax, in honour of the founder. Algernon, duke of Somerfet, dying on the 7th of February, was fucceeded in his titles of baron of Warkworth, and earl of Northumberland, by Sir Hugh Smithson, of Storwick in Yorkshire, who had married the lady Elizabeth, only daughter of his grace above-mentioned, and barone's Piercy, &c. in her own right.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. November 16. The whole supply amounted to above

A. Necessar 16. In whose tupply amounted to anover four millions. The molt important act paffed this feffion, was that for reducing the interest of the national debt; by which it was ordained, that the creditors should receive four per cent, for one year; three and a half per cent, for feecu years; and three per cent, ever after. The merit of this excellent scheme was chiefly due to Mr. Pelbont, and Sir John Barnard. Another act of great confequence was passed, namely, that for encouraging the British white herring and cod-shifteres. It imported, that a capital, not exceeding 500,000 limight be substribed richer proprietor to receive these per cent, er onn, upon the paid in, during fourteen years. A bounty of 30.8, after-

afterwards of 50s. per ton was allowed. The affairs of the fociety were to be managed by a council, confifting of 30 persons. The prince of Wales, who savoured the undertaking, was chosen governor. Some regulations were made for encouraging the growth of filk in the fouthern colonies of America, and for improving the African trade. The fession ended the 12th of April, when his majesty informed the parliament of his intention to go abroad.

2. What were the occurrences of 1750?

A. In February and March, two shocks of an earthquake were felt in London; which, though no ways violent, yet being uncommon, filled the people with the most dreadful panic; and this was still further encreased by the ridiculous predictions of a wild enthusiast, who pretended to fortel, that, in a little time, another shock would happen, which would lay all London and Westminster in ruins. In May, an infectious distemper broke out in Newgate, which proved fatal, at the fittings in the Old Bailey, to Sir Samuel Pennant, lord mayor; to one of the aldermen; to two of the judges; to many of the lawyers; and to most of the jury. On the 31st of July died the king of Portugal. O. Jober the 24th, a definitive treaty was concluded between Great Britain and Spain. No politive stipulation was made in it against fearthing British thips in America; though this had been the original cause of the war. The people exclaimed loudly against this omission : and, No search, No Jearch, became the general cry.

2. When did the parliament affemble this winter?

A. Not till the 17th of January 1751. The supply for the service of the current year amounted nearly to five millions sterling. The chief subject that came before the commons this fession, was the affair of the Westminster election. Lord Trentbam, one of the representatives for that city, having accepted of a place under the government, had thereby vacated his feat in parliament. He again offered himself as a candidate, as did likewise Sir George Vandeput, who was supported by all the anti-ministerial party. After a violent struggle, lord Trentban was declared duly elected. The party in opposition, however, had acted with fo much violence, that Mr. Crowle, an attorney, and Mr. Murray, brother to lord Elibank, the principal principal leaders of the mob, were fummoned before the House of Commons. Mr. Crowle received a reprimand on his knees from the fpeaker; but Mr. Murray, refusing to give that mark of submission, was committed prisoner to Nowgate, where he remained during the whole course of the session; at the end of which he returned to his own house in triumph. He would probably have been re-committed to the same place at the next meeting of the parliament; but he thought proper, before that time, to retire out of the kingdom. An act passed this session out of the kingdom. The parliament rose on the 25th of Tune.

2. What were the other transactions of 1751?

A. This year proved fatal to feveral royal personages. On the 20th of March died Frederick, prince of Wales, in the 45th year of his age \*. Never prince was more univerfally beloved while living, or more fincerely regretted when dead. His royal highness's death produced an entire change in the state of parties in England. A bill passed for settling a regency, in case of the king's demise before his successor should be 18 years of age. The princefs of Wales was appointed regent, and was to be affifted by a council of regency, composed of the duke of Cumberland, and the principal officers of flate. The other royal personages who died this year, were Frederic, king of Sweden, and landgrave of Heffe-Caffel, who expired April the 6th; the prince of Orange, who was carried off in the 41st year of his age, Odober the 11th; and Louisa, queen of Denmark, youngest daughter to his Britannic majesty, who died in the 27th year of her age, Decomber the 10th. The prince of Orange left iffue, the

<sup>•</sup> His royal highnefs left behind him five fans, and three daughtern, wire, princeds Augulfus, boar Augulfus, 11, 1737, and married in 1764, to the hereditary prince of Brasifacit; Garge (our prefent most gracious foreign) boar May 20, 4, 1735; Edward, duke of 1745, born March 14, 1739; princeds Elizabeth Garaline, born Detember 20, 1740, fince deally prince William Henry, duke of Glouestipe, born November 14, 1739 princed Himself March 2, 1740, and grace 14, 1740 princed Laufia Anne, fince dead born March 2, 1740, and grace 1740 princed anne, fince dead born March 2, 1740, and grace 1740 princed of Websel to the fine of the hubband's death, was far advanced in her pregnancy, and the was afterwards edilivers of a outnieft, named Masildae.

princess Caroline, and the count de Buren. The queen of Denmark left behind her one fon, and three daughters. Many shocking crimes were committed this year. Miss Blandy poisoned her father; and one Jefferies, a young woman, with Swan, her lover, murdered her uncle. All thefe criminals were executed.

2. In what state were foreign affairs at this time?

A. They continued nearly in their former fituation. Most of the powers of Europe cultivated the arts of peace, while the French pushed their scheme of usurping the dominion of all North-America. In the mean time, the emperor and empress of Germany exerted their whole interest, in order to get their fon, the archduke Joseph, elected king of the Romans; and in this they were affifted by his Britannic majesty, but opposed by the kings of France and Pruffia.

2. When did the parliament affemble this winter?

A. On the 14th of November. The supply for the fervice of the enfuing year amounted to about four millions sterling. Several acts were passed this session; one for enabling the government to purchase the charter of the African company, the trade of which was to be carried on by a committee of merchants of London, Briftol, and Liverpool; another for regulating places of public entertainment, and obliging all who kept them to take out a licence; a third for reducing a great number of the funds into one; a fourth vesting in the crown the forfeited estates in Scotland; and a fifth ordering murderers to be executed the day immediately after their condemnation, and their bodies to be diffected. The parliament rose the 26th March, and, in a few days after, his majesty set out for Hanogier.

2. What were the chief incidents of 1752?

A. Sir Peter Warren was chosen an alderman of London: but being informed, that this office was inconfiftent with his post of admiral, he paid his fine of 500 l. and declined the intended honour, which was bestowed on William Beckford, Efq; afterwards lord-mayor. A most horrid practice prevailed at this time, viz. that of infuring thins for more than their real value, and afterwards burning or finking them. For this crime one Lancey, a ship-builder,

was executed; and Bonjon, member for Barnjhable, who had been concerned with him, fled his country. Immediately upon his majefty's return from Germany, which happened on the 18th of November, the earl of Harcenty governor, and the bifnop of Novenich (late of Lendon) preceptor to the prince of Weller, refigned their places, which were conferred upon the earl of Weldergrow, and the bifnop of Peterboraugh. A mifunderlanding happend at this time between the courts of Lendon and Berlin, concerning the principality of Eoff Freigland; in confequence of which is Pruffiam majetly flopped payment of the Silofa loan to the English proprietors. He afterwards published a paper in vindication of his conduct, entitled, An Explosion of the Molivest, but this was foll frongly and were do by the Brifficourt, that his Pruffiam majetly candidly gave up the point.

2. When did the parliament meet this winter?

A. Not till the 1 th of Tanuary, 1753. The fupply for the fervice of the current year was 2,132,707 l. 173. 22 d. The national debt at this time amounted to 74,308,415 l. 155. 1 d. Two remarkable bills passed this selfion; one to permit persons professing the Jewish religion to be naturalized by parliament; another for preventing clandestine marriages. Both these acks were extremely unpopular. The former was repealed the fucceeding fession; the latter continues still in force. The parliament broke

up on the 7th of June,

2. What were the other transactions of 1753.

A. Sie Huns Shane's collection of curiofities, together with his library, was purchafed by the public for 20,000 l. and the Harleian collection of manuferips for 10,000 l. Thefe two, being joined to the Cottonian and royal libraries were converted into the Musleam, which is now to be feen at Monteque-boule, under the dischoin of its truthees and governors. This year was diffinguished by the romantic affair of Elizabeth Canning, a young woman, who pretended, that on Newsyan's day, site has been feized, under Bediam-waell, by two men, who tore off her clothes, put a gag in her mouth, and carried her to English-aught; where the was robbed of her flays, and confined for a month, without any other fullenance than a few flale crufts of bread, and about a gallon of water; and that all thefe

hardships were imposed upon her, in order to make her turn prostitute. One Mary Squires, a gipsey, was tried and condemned for robbing Canning of her stays; but was afterwards reprieved, and indulged with a pardon: and Canning herfelf, being tried for perjury, was found guilty upon the clearest evidence, and transported for life. On the 7th of June, Dr. Cameron, brother to the famous rebel Lochiel, was executed at Tyburn for high-treason. Tumults were raised at this time at Bristol, Leeds, and in other parts of the kingdom, on account of the exportation of corn, and the high price of provisions; nor could they be suppressed, without the interposition of the military power. This year was founded a fociety for the encouragement of arts, manufactures, and commerce; an excellent and patriotic institution, which has already produced, and it is to be hoped, will continue to produce, the greatest national advantages.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. On the 1;th of November. The whole fupply amounted to 2,797,9161. 10 s. 2d. The fellion ended the cht of April. Soon after, the parliament was diffolved by proclamation, and writs were iffued for fummoning a new one.

2. What were the most memorable events of 1754?

A. In the beginning of March died Henry Pelham, Efq; fifth Ind of the Treafury, who had long been confidered as prime minifter, and who, though a whig in principle, was yet fo moderate in his conduck, that he was equally elemend by both parties. He was fucceeded as fift commifioner of the Treafury, by his brother, the duke of Newcochly; and Sir Tosman Rebirgs was appointed fecretary of flate. In the fpring of this year, fome difputes arose between the government of Great Britains, and the House of Commons in Ireland, on the delicate subject of privilege and prerogative; but, by the prudence of the Replijb ministry, all differences were happily composed.

2. What was the state of the British affairs in America

at this time?

A. Ever fince the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle in 1748, and even long before that period, the French had been endeavouring, and with too much fucces, to strip the En-

glifb of all their possessions in North-America. With this view, they had debauched from the British interest the Indiams, called the Six Nations. These and other savages they had instigated, by presents and promises, to exercise all kinds of cruelty upon the English planters; and they had even offered a reward of three pounds sterling for every English scalp that was brought in by a Canadian. In 1746, the Acadians, or French neutrals, had joined openly with the Canadians; and they, foon after, made an attempt, though without fuccess, upon the infant colony of Hallifax. Upon the conclusion of the peace, commissaries were appointed by Great Britain and France, for fettling the limits of their respective possessions in North-America; but the French geographers had arbitrarily contrived boundaries, marked out rivers, and given names to nations in fuch a manner, as to exclude the English almost entirely from Nova Scotia. In 1751, the French neutrals burnt the little town of Dartmouth, on the other fide of Chebucto-bay, where they killed and fealped a great number of British subjects. In the mean time the French were extending their encroachments in other parts of America; and they built a long chain of forts, such as Crown-Point, fort du Quesne, fort Frontiniac, &c. upon grounds which belonged either to the British crown, or to the British Indians. The English planters had tamely connived at the building of these forts; and some of them were even built before it was fo much as known that they were begun. In a word, it appeared from the whole proceedings of the French in North-America, that they had formed a regular and well concerted plan for making themselves masters of all that part of the world. Repeated complaints of these encroachments had been made by the British ministry to the court of Versailles; but no regard was paid to their remonstrances. They therefore resolved to adopt more vigorous measures. Major Washington was fent, with a small body of troops, to dislodge the French from a fettlement, which they had made upon the Obio; but he was defeated, with the loss of the best part of his men. Inflructions were then transmitted to the British governors in America, to form a fort of political confederacy, and to repel force by force; but fuch was the the jealoufy, which at that time prevailed among the different colonies, that it was found extremely difficult to effect the wished-for union.

2. What was the state of the British affairs in the East-

A. The French had been long carrying on the same defigns in the East, as in the West-Indies ; and the British interest in the former part of the world, was reduced to the lowest ebb, when, in 1751 and 1752, it was suddenly, and almost miraculously, restored by the prudent conduct and undaunted courage of Mr. (now lord) Clive. This gentleman, the fon of an attorney in London, had entered into the service of the East-India company, only as a writer, and was confidered as a person but very indifferently qualified for fucceeding in any civil station. He now offered his fervice in a military capacity; and, though totally unacquainted with the art of war, he defeated the French, and the French Indians, in several pitched battles; and being affisted by major Laurence, who had lately come over from England, he pushed on his conquests with fuch incredible rapidity, that the enemy were glad to fue for a peace. Accordingly a convention was concluded in November 1753, between the English and the French East-India companies. The affairs of the French in that part of the world were managed by Mr. Dupleix, governor of Pondicherry; and feveral Nabobs, or petty princes of the country, shared in the war.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. The hereditary prince of Heffe-Caffel, confort to the princess Mary of England, turned papist; to the great grief of his father, and the astonishment of all the protestant courts of Europe. In Spain, the British interest was powerfully supported by Mr. Wall, the prime minister, who had been ambassador in England; while the Portuguese, in hopes of improving their trade by quarrelling with Great Britain, imposed the most cruel hardships upon the English merchants fettled in Portugal; but matters were at alt suffered to return into their natural channel. Robberies and murders were very frequent at this time; but they were afterwards checked by means of a police, or plan of civil government, confisting not in the exercise of arbi-

trary power, but in the strict execution of laws already in force. This plan was drawn up in 1754, by the late-celebrated Henry Fielding, Efq; and has been fince happily executed by his brother and successor, Sir John Fielding. 2. When did the new parliament affemble?

A. The elections had been carried on with the utmost unanimity in all parts of the kingdom, except in Oxfordsbire, where great disturbances happened. 'The feffion was opened the 31st day of May, by the duke of Cumberland, and other lords, under commission from his majesty; and the controverted elections were no fooner determined, than the parliament was prorogued. It met again on the 14th of November. The supply for the fervice of the ensuing year amounted to 4,073,779 l. part of which was to be raifed by a lottery. One million of this fum was expressly allotted for enabling his majesty to augment his forces both by sea and land. The parliament rose the 25th of April, when his majesty declared his intention of vifiting his German dominions.

2. What were the principal occurrences of 1755?

A. As a war with France was now unavoidable, major-general Braddock was fent to North-America, with a body of regular forces, who were there to be joined by the provincial troops. Broddock was a man of courage, but haughty, positive, and difficult of access; qualities ill fuited to the temper of the people, among whom he was to command. Upon his arrival in America, he fet out at the head of about 2000 men against the French forts on the Okio; but, when he had advanced within ten miles of fort du Quefne, he was suddenly attacked by an ambuscade of the enemy, who gave him a total defeat. Braddock himself, Sir Peter Halket, the greatest part of the officers, and about one half the common foldiers, perished in the engagement. Governor Shirler, now made a general, was equally unsuccessful, though not fo unfortunate. He had marched with a good body of troops against the French fort at Niagara, but he failed in the attempt; and even his retreat might have been rendered very precarious, had not Mr. Johnson, a native of Ireland, who had long refided in America, obtained, with about 5000 provincials, a complete victory over the French near Lake

George; where baron Diefkau, their commander, was wounded, and taken prisoner. At the same time colonel Monckton drove the French, with great bravery, out of all their forts in the bay of Fundy; and obliged 15,000 Acadians, or French neutrals, to deliver up their arms. Still, however, the most incurable jealousies prevailed among the English colonies in North-America; and nothing could perfuade them to adopt the excellent measure, which was proposed at Albany; viz. that of resolving all the governments into a kind of political republic, under one head.

2. What were the naval transactions of this year?

A. Advice being received at London, that, notwithstanding the pacific professions of the French court, a large fquadronof thips of war, with a good number of land-forces on board, was ready to fail from Brest and Rochfort, for America, under the command of M. de la Mothe; admiral Boscawen was fent out with a strong fleet, in order to intercept them. The duke de Mirepoix, the French ambaffador in England, was no fooner informed of this circumstance, than he publickly declared, that the first gun, which should be fired, would kindle a war throughout all Europe. This menace, however, far from intimidating the English ministry, ferved only to sharpen their resentment, and to make them redouble their warlike preparations. Admiral Boscawen fell in with the French fleet on the banks of Newfoundland; and, though he was prevented by a thick fog from discovering and attacking their whole fquadron, he yet made prize of two of their ships of the line, the Lys and Alcide, which were taken by captain. afterwards lord, Howe, and captain Andrews. At the same time orders were issued by the British ministry for making reprifals general, in Europe as well as in America : and for bringing all French ships, whether outward or homeward bound, into English ports: and these orders were executed with fuch spirit and punctuality, that before the end of the year, 300 of the enemy's merchant ships, and about 8:00 of their failors, were taken. The French exclaimed against these captures, which they were pleased to term piracies; but all reasonable men allowed. that they were no more than a proper retaliation for the hostilities, which the French had committed in America: 384 HISTORY of ENGLAND. 1753 and that the 'conduct of the English was as justifiable as

it was prudent. 2. In what state were the affairs of the continent at this

time?

A. The French monarch, among the other plans he had formed for diffressing the English, made no fecret of his defign of attacking his majefly's German dominions. Thefe countries evidently had no fort of connexion with the matters, which gave rife to the war; but being subject to the same sovereign with England, the French imagined his majesty might be terrified into a relaxation of his rights in America, to preferve Hanover from the calamities with which it was threatened. Their politics, however, in this instance, proved as unsuccessful as they were unjust. His majesty refused to make any abatement of his claims in America: he took other methods for preserving the peace of Germany. The British subjects, by their reprefentatives, not more generously than reasonably, resolved to defend the Hanoverians, if attacked in their quarrel. To answer this purpose, his majesty entered into a subsidytreaty with the empress of Russia; in virtue of which the was to hold in readiness 55,000 men, and forty or fifty gallies, to be employed as the king of Great Britain should think proper to direct. The alliance with Russia was chosen for reasons, which were then sufficiently plausible : though it is to be hoped they will never exist again. The mifunderstanding, which had so long subfisted between the king of Pruffia and England, and the close connexion of that prince with the court of Verfailles, raifed no ill-grounded apprehensions, that he might be induced to act a dangerous part on this occasion. Rushia was, therefore, a proper ally, who was both a political and personal enemy to that monarch, and who would not fail to employ a formidable power against him. But this fyllem was, in a fhort time, totally reverfed. The two cmpresses of Germany and Rustia had formed a design of making a partition of his Pruffian majefty's dominions. The king of Poland, as elector of Saxon, acceded to this treaty; but his Britannic majesty, though often invited, refused to agree to such an iniquitous project. The king of Prullia was no fooner informed of these circumflances.

cumflances, than he publickly declared, that he would draw his fword against any foreign troops (French as well as others) who should prefume to enter Germany. Matters were, therefore, very foon explained between their Britannic and Prussian majesties; and a treaty was concluded between thefe two monarchs, to keep all foreigners out of that country. These treaties with Russia and Prussia were cenfured as inconfiftent with each other; but, in reality, nothing could be more confiftent, as they aimed precifely at the fame object, namely, the oppofing the schemes projected by France, for disturbing the peace of Germany. If, however, there was fomething unexpected in the alliance between Great Britain and Prusfia, it was foon followed by another alliance of a nature infinitely more furprizing. This was a treaty offenfive and defensive between the courts of Vienna and Versailles, By this extraordinary revolution, the whole political fyftem of Europe assumed a new face. The contest was now no longer, as formerly, between the rival houses of Austria and Bourbon; but between those of Austria and Prussia. The treaty between their Britannic and Prussian majesties was highly acceptable to all those who wished well to the protestant interest in Europe. At the same time his majesty entered into another treaty with the landgrave of Heffe-Cassel, for taking into British pay 8000 Hessians; and this number was afterwards increased to 12,000.

2. When did the parliament meet this year?

A. On the 15th of November, when his mightly acquainted them with the measures he had taken. Several changes were now made in the ministry. Six Thomas Rebindon religning the feals as fectuary of flate, his majetly delivered them to Mr. Fex. who was faceceded, as fecretary at war, by lord villeount Barringsen. Mr. Pitts, and some others, were removed from their places; and Six Garge Litition succeeded Mr. Legge as chamcellor of the Exchequer, and a lord of the treasury. A little before this, viz. on the 1st of November, there happened a most dreadful carthologue, which shook all Spains and Pertugal, and many other parts of Europe; and laid the city of Lisbon in ruins. Nothing could be more termendous than the spectuacle, which that city presented to view. About 10,000 persons

#### 386 HISTORY OF ENGLAND. 1756

perished on the occasion; but the English, then resident in Lifton, suffered the least of any of the inhabitants. His majetty was no fooner informed of this terrible calamity, than he imparted it to the parliament, who, with a generofity truly noble, voted a gift of 100,000 l. for the affiftance of the distressed people of Portugal. What enhanced the merit of this gift, was, that, though the English were themselves, at that very time, in great want of grain, a confiderable part of the fum was remitted in corn, flour, rice, and Irifo beef; fupplies, which came very feafonably for the poor Portugueze; and for which the king of Portugal returned his thanks, in the warmest terms, to the British crown and nation. The number of scamen (including mariners) for the service of the ensuing year, was fixed at 50,000; that of the land-forces, at 34,263, besides ten new regiments of foot, and eleven troops of light dragoons, that were voted to be raifed. 115.000 %, were granted as a reward for the fervices done by the people of certain colonies of North-America; and 5000 l. as a gratuity to Mr. William Johnfon, who was created a knight and bart. The whole supply amounted to 7,229,117 1. 4 s. 6 d The land tax was 4 s. in the pound. The parliament rose on the 30th of May.

2. What were the principal events of 1756?

A. As the French thre tened Great-Britain with an invafion, his majefly demanded of the empress queen, the auxi-Tiary troops which were flipulated to him by treaty; but thefe were refused upon the most frivolous pretences. He then made a like demand upon the flates-general, and met with a like refusal. Some proposed, that a regular and welldisciplined militia should be raised for the security of the kingdom; but as this experiment could not be immediately tried, and the present juncture would admit of no delay, a body of Heffians and Hanoverians was brought over for the defence of the island. The French, instead of invading Great-Britain, made a descent upon Minorca with a body of 16,000 men under the duke de Richelieu, escorted by a fleet of twelve ships of the line, and five frigates, commanded by M. de la Gallisoniere. The troops were no sooner landed than Richelieu laid fiege to fort St. Philip, which was defended by a finall garrison, under general Blakeney. The British ministry, being informed of these particulars, difpatched

patched admiral Byng, with ten ships of the line, to the relief of Minorca. On the 9th day of May, Byng came to an engagement with Gallisoniere's squadron off Cape Mola; but neither fide behaved with any great spirit. Fort St. Philip furrendered the 28th of Jame; and general Blakency was created a lord for his bravery. Byng was superseded by the admirals Hawke and Saunders, was fent home in arrest, was confined to Greenwich-Hofpital, was tried for misconduct, and condemned to be shot; and this sentence was actually executed on the 14th of March of the ensuing year, on board the Monarque man of war at Portsmouth. By this time, Great-Britain and France had mutually declared war against each other: the former, on the 18th of May; the latter on the 9th of June. In America the forts of Ofwego and Ontario were taken by the French, under the marquis de Montcalm, notwithstanding all the efforts of lord Loudon, who commanded the English forces in that part of the world. In the East Indies, Calcutta was taken by the nabob of Bengal, who shut up the English garrison in a place called the Black-bole; where of 146 persons, who were confined in it, 123 miferably perished, for want of fresh air and water. This lofs, however, was, in some measure, compenfated by the reduction of Geriab, the refidence of the famous pirate, Angria, which was taken by the brave viceadmiral Wation, and the gallant colonel Clive. Calcutta too was foon after recovered from the enemy. In Germany, the king of Prussia made himself master of Dresden. He afterwards defeated the Austrians at Lowoschutz; and he furprised, at Pirna, about 16,000 Saxons, most of whom he compelled to enter into his fervice. Great alterations were now made in the English ministry. The duke of Devonshire was appointed first lord of the Treasury; earl Temple, first lord of the Admiralty; Mr. Legge, chancellor of the Exchequer; and the duke of Bedford, lord-lieutenant of Ireland. Lord Hardwicke refigned the great-feal, which was put in commission; and soon after William Pitt Fig; was declared one of his majesty's principal secretaries of flate, in the room of Henry Fox, Efq. About this time a public subscription was fet on foot by Sir John Fielding, by which 400 young vagrants were cloathed, and fent on board the king's thips. Soon after, was founded, by a number number of publick-spirited merchants in London, the Marine Society; which, during the course of the war, supplied the navy with about 10,000 men and boys. The fear of an invasion having now subsided, the Hanoverians were fent back to their own country; and they were, foon after, followed by the Hellians.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?
A. On the 2d of December. The number of feamen was fixed at 55,000; that of the land-forces at 40,740. 50,000 l. were granted for the Americans, and 20,000 l. for the East-India company. The whole supply for the fervice of the year 1757, amounted to 8,350,3251. 9s. 3d. The land-tax was 43. in the pound; and an additional duty was laid on news-papers, advertisements, &c. Among the laws enacted this fession, was the militia-bill, which was brought in by general George Townshend, eldest son of the lord viscount Townshend; and which, to the great joy of every well-wisher to his country, passed through both houses, and received the royal affent. By this act the number of militia-men for England and Wales was fettled at 32,340. The fession ended on the 4th of July.

2. What were the most remarkable incidents of 1757?

A. In April, Mr. Pitt, fecretary of state, and Mr. Legge, chancellor of the Exchequer, were removed from their employments. Such was the popularity of these two worthy patriots, that the whole nation feemed to rife up, as one man, in vindication of their honour. The city of London, and most of the corporations in the kingdom, presented them with the freedom of their respective societies, in golden boxes. In a word, the popular clamour became so loud and universal, that Mr. Pitt and Mr. Legge were restored to their places. At the fame time, the duke of Newcastle was appointed first lord of the Treasury; lord Anson, first lord of the Admiralty; and Mr. Fox, pay-mafter of the forces. Sir Robert Henley was declared lord keeper of the great-feal; the privy-feal was given to earl Temple.

2. What were the naval transactions of this year? A. An expedition was undertaken against the coast of France. The fleet confifted of eighteen ships of the line; the land-forces of ten regiments of foot. The former was commanded by Sir Edward Hawke; the latter by Sir John Mordaunt.

fleet.

389 Mordaunt. The defign miscarried; the army having done nothing but demolish a fort in the little island of Aix. Sig John Mordaunt was tried for his conduct in this enterprize, and acquitted. Captain Death, in the Terrible privateer, maintained a desperate engagement with a French ship of much superior force, called the Vengeance privateer of St. Maloes. Captain Death was killed, with all his crew. (amounting to 200 men) except twenty-fix, who were grievously wounded. The crew of the French ship met nearly with the same sate. Captain Gilcbrist likewise, in the Southampton man of war, fought five French privateers. with the most heroic courage. The Antigallican privateer took the duke de Penthieure Indiaman, valued at 200,000 l. but this thip being carried into a Spanish harbour, was, by the court of Madrid, perfidiously restored to the enemy, Three English East-India thins fought two French men of war, and obliged them to sheer off. In the West-Indies. however, the Greenwich man of war of fifty ouns, and a frigate of twenty, fell into the hands of the enemy. Admiral Holbourne blocked up Louisburgh; but afterwards met with a terrible hurricane, which did great damage to his

2. What passed in Germany?

A. An army of observation was formed for the protection of Hanover, which the French were preparing to invade. The army of observation, confishing of thirty seven battalions, and thirty-four fquadrons, was commanded by the duke of Cumberland : the French army, amounting to feventy battalions, and forty fquadrons, with fifty-two pieces of cannon, was conducted, first by marshal D'Etrées, and afterwards by the duke de Richolieu. The enemy attacked his royal highness, in his passage over the Weser ; but were repulsed with some loss. They afterwards fought him in a pitched battle at Hastenbeck, where they laid claim to the honour of the victory. His royal highness retreated with a view to cover Bremen and Verden; and to keep open his communication with Stade, whither the archives, &c. of Hanover had been removed. At last, he was hemmed in, in such a manner, that he was obliged to agree to the famous convention of Closter Seven, for securing the neutrality of Hanover; by which the allied forces laid down their arms,

and were destributed into quarters of cantonment. In Ostober, his royal highness returned from Germany, when he refigned all his military employments; and the first regiment of guards was given to Sir John, now lord Ligonier. Soon after, the French, having violated the convention of Closter-Seven, by committing the most shocking outrages in the electorate of Hanover, the allied troops refumed their arms, under the command of the brave prince Ferdinand of Brunfwick. With regard to the operations of the Pruffians, the prince of Bevern, in the month of April, defeated the Austrians under count Konigseg, at Recchenberg. The king of Pruffia obtained a complete victory over count Brown, and prince Charles of Lorrain, in the neighbourhood of Prague. Count Brown was mortally wounded. His majefly then laid fiege to Prague; but being worsted by count Daun, at Collin, he was obliged to abandon the enterprize. Nevertheless, on the 5th of November, with a force not exceeding 20,000 men, he defeated, at Rofbach, an army of 65,000 French and Imperialifts; 3000 of whom were killed upon the fpot, and about 8000 taken prisoners. The lofs of the Pruffians, in killed and wounded, amounted only to about 500. On the fame day of the following month, he best count Daun at Liffa, flew 6000 Austrians, and made upwards of 20,000 of them prifoners. His own loss confisted only in 500 killed, and about 2,300 wounded. Soon after, he retook Breflaw and Schweidnitz, which the enemy had reduced. Count Daun, however, defeated the prince of Bevern near Breflaw, and took him prisoner. By this time the Swedes and Ruffians had invaded the Prisfian territories; but were obliged to evacuate them by the bravery of marshall Lebwald, who attacked the Russian camp at Norkitten, where 10,000 of the enemy were flain.

Q. What happened in the other parts of the world? A. In America, M. de Mentedan took For William Henry, where the most shocking barbarities were committed by the Indian in the French service. In the East-Natics, the brave colonel Chou, affilted by admiral Wajon, deposed Suraja Doeula, nabob of Bengal, and advanced Jassier Ali Cawan in his place. By this revolution, the East-India company, Mr. Chou, and all the officers gained immense riches. Soon after, admiral Wasjon dying, was succeeded in command by rear admiral Parock. January the 6th, one Damice, an obfeure fellow, who appears to have been difordered in his fenfes, stabbed the French king in the breast with a knife. The wound did not prove mortal; but Damice was put to death with the most exquisite tortures. December the 28th, died the princeis Caraline Elizabeth, third daughter of his Britannie majelly; a lady positified of every amiable viruse.

2. When did the parliament meet this year?
A. December the 18. The number of land forces for the fervice of the year 1738, was fixed at \$3,777 men; the number of feamen at 60,000. The whole supplies amounted to 6,486,457 J. d. The land-tax was 41 in the pound. A duty of 11. was laid upon every dwelling houle in Great-Britain. And \$50,500 J. were to be raided by a lottery. Bills were passed for repairing London-Bridge, prohibiting the exportation of corn, regulating the piece and affize of bread, \$\mathcal{U}\_c\text{.} A new treaty was concluded with the king of Prejina, which was figned by most of the priva-countellors. The seffion ended the oth of June.

2. What were the principal events of 1758?

A. Captain Lockbart, of the Tartar man of war, took a great number of French privateers; for which he was rewarded with a splendid piece of plate, by the merchants of London; and with a gold cup by those of Bristol. Captain Forrest of the Augusta, with the Dreadwought and Edinburgh, fought a French fleet of four ships of the line, and three frigates, and obliged them to sheer off. Soon after, with his own fingle ship, he made prized of nine merchant vessels in the neighbourhood of Hispaniola. The Foudroyant man of war, with the French edmiral Du Quefne on board. was taken by the gallant captain Gardiner, of the Monmouth, who loft his life in the engagement. The Orpheus and Raisonable men of war were likewise taken. The Belliqueux, a French ship of the line, furrendered to captain Saumarez. About the fame time, commodore Holmes recovered the town of Embden, which had fallen into the hands of the enemy. The commodores Keppel and Tyrrel, with the captains Dennis, Harvey, Falkener, and others, distinguished themselves in the sea service. The joy, however, arifing from our fuccesses, was considerably damped by a terrible disaster that befell the prince George ship of war, commanded by rear-admiral Broderic. She was burnt in her paffage to the Mediteranean. The admiral. and the captain, and about 300 of the crew, were faved ; but 500 of them perished miserably in the ocean. This fummer, Thurst, in the marshal Belleisle privateer, made a great many captures off the coast of Scotland; but always treated his prisoners with remarkable humanity. An expedition was undertaken against the coast of France. The fleet was commanded by the admirals Anson and Hawke, and commodore Howe: the land forces by the duke of Marlborough. The troops were landed at Cancalle, near St. Maloes. They were too weak to reduce that town; but they deftroyed about 100 fail of shipping in the harbour. Soon after, another descent was made upon the French coast. The land-forces were commanded by general Bligh; the fleet by commodore Howe, with whom prince Edward, now duke of York, entered himself as a volunteer, in crder to learn the rudiments of the fea fervice. The troops were landed at Cherburg, where they destroyed its famous bason and fortification, and burnt all the ships in the harbour. They likewise took about twenty pieces of brass cannon, which were afterwards lodged in the tower of London. The fleet then failed towards St. Malces, and the troops landed in the neighbourhood of that place. They penetrated a good way into the country; but being attacked, while they were re-imbarking at St, Cas, by the duke d'Aiguillon, governor of Brittany, about 600 of them were killed, and 400 taken prisoners. Among the former were Sir John Armitage of Yorksbire, and general Drury, who, being dangerously wounded, ran into the sea, where he perished. In Africa, the English took Senegal and Goree. The conquest of the former was originally projected by Mr. Cumming, a quaker, who superintended the enterprize. The latter was reduced by commodore Keppel. In the East-Indies, admiral Pocock beat monsieur d'Apché, the French commodore; but fort St. David's was taken by the enemy under Mr. Lally, who has fince been executed at Paris. In the West-Indies, Louisburgh was besieged by the English. The fleet was commanded by admiral Boscawen; the landforces by general Amberst. The place surrendered on the 27th of July; and the garrison, confishing of 5637 men,

made prisoners of war. Five ships of the line were destroyed in the harbour, and one taken. General Wolfe distinguished himself greatly on this occasion. The English were less successful in America. General Abercrombie advanceds towards Ticonderago, in his march to which the gallant lord Howe was flain. He attacked the French intrenchments at that place; but with fuch bad fuccess, that he was obliged to retire with the loss of 2000 men. He afterwards dispatched colonel Bradstreet, with about 3000 provincials, against fort Frontiniac, which he took, and demolished. In another quarter, general Forbes marched against fort du Quefne, which the French abandoned. Having changed the name of the place into that of Pittsburgh, in honour of Mr. fecretary Pitt, he returned to Philadelphia, where he foon after died; his conflitution having been broken by the fatigue of the expedition.

2. What were the transactions of the war in Germany?

A. The French were masters of Hanouer; and, indeed,

they acted, in every respect, as the absolute sovereigns of that country. They appointed commissioners for collecting the public revenues, and took several other steps for deriving advantage from their own conquests. Prince Ferdinand, however, who had now re-affembled the allied army, refolved to drive them from the electorate. In this he was greatly affifted by his nephew, the hereditary prince of Brunfwick, who diftinguished himself remarkably by his courage and conduct. This young hero reduced Hora and Minden; foon after which the French evacuated the whole: country of Hanover. Upon their retreat from that city, the duke de Randan, the French governor, with a generofity truly noble, instead of burning his magazine of provifions, according to the usual practice of war, caused it either to be fold at a low price, or distributed gratis among the poor inhabitants. On the 23d day of June, prince Ferdinand obtained, at Crevelt, a complete victory, over the count de Clermont, who had succeeded marshal Richelieu in the command of the French army. This campaign proved fa-tal to the duke of Mariborough, who, on the 20th of Septomber, died at Munster in Germany, universally lamented. The king of Pruffia invelled Olmutz, but was obliged, by count Daun, to raise the siege. On the 25th of August, his

majelly defeated the Ruffians under general Fermer at Zorndorf, where the enemy are faid to have lost 21,20 men.
The loss of the Fruffins wild onto exceed 2000. Immediately
after this battle, the Ruffians evacuated the Fruffian dominions; and their example was followed by the Saudes.
October the 14th, marshal Daum surprized the Pruffian camp
at Hackbirchen, where 2000 Fruffians were killed upon the
spot and more wild by the surprise francis of Brunfwie, and
field-marshal Keith.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. Prince Charles of Saxony was elected duke of Courland; and the empress of Germany received, from the pope, the title of apoltolical queen of Hungary. A conspiracy was formed against the life of his Portuguese majesty, who, on the night of September the 3d. was attacked by two ruffians, and dangerously wounded. In England, a few rioters were hanged for obstructing the militia-act; and about the same time, the temporary wooden-bridge over the Thames, built for the convenience of paffengers, whilst the workmen should be employed in widening and repairing London-bridge, was burnt by fome villains. Dr. Florence Hensey, being convicted of acting as a fpy for the French ministry, was condemned to death; but was afterwards pardoned, on condition of his going into perpetual exile. Dr. Shebbeare, for writing a libellous pamphlet, intitled a Sixth Letter to the people of England, was fentenced to fland in the pillory, to pay a small fine, to be imprisoned three years, and to give security for his future good behaviour. In May was instituted, upon a plan drawn up by Sir John Fielding, the Alylum, or house of refuge, near Westminster-bridge, for orphan girls, from the age of ten to thirteen years, refiding within the bills of mortality, whose settlements cannot be found. And, in August following, was opened the Magdalen-House, in Prescot-Breet, Goodman's fields, for the reception of penitent proftitutes. These excellent charities are, both of them, supported by voluntary contribution.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?
A. November the 23d. The number of land and feaforces were continued at their former eliabilithments. The land-tax was 4z. in the pound; at which rate it has continued ever fince. The whole lapply amounted to 12,761,3101. 19 s. 5d. The fession was closed on the 2d of Fune 1759.

2. What were the most memorable events of 1750?

A. A great number of French ships were taken by the English: the Bellona, by captain Hood; the Mignonne, by captain Elliot; the count de St. Florentin, of fixty four guns, by captain Barrington; the Duc de Chartres of fixty guns, by captain Faulkner; and the Danas of forty guns, by the captains Gilebrist and Hotham. Captain Tyrrel attacked three French men of war, and obliged them to theer off-Haure-de-Grace was bombarded by rear-admiral Rodney. The foundron of M. de la Clue was defeated by the brave admiral Boscawan, who took or burnt four large men of war. But the most fignal defeat which the enemy sustained, was that given them by the gallant admiral Hawke, who, on the 20th of November, attacked their fleet under M. de Conflans; took or funk fix of their capital ships; forced feven of them up the river Vilaine; and entirely dispersedthe reft. This was one of the most glorious naval victorics that ever was obtained in any war, or by any admiral. It defeated an invalion, which the French intended to have made upon Great-Britain; and it gave a finishing blow tothe navy of France. Sir Edward Hawke received the thanks of both houses of parliament, and a pension of 2000 l. a. a year was fettled upon him and his fon. In the Well-Indies, commodore Moore and general Hopson made a fruitless attempt upon Martinico; but, in a little time after, the same commodore, and general Barrington, reduced the island of Guadalupe, together with those of Deleada, Los Santos, and Marigalante, which furrendered in May. In America, Ticonderago and Crown-point were taken by general Amberst ; and Niagara by Sir William Johnson, who defeated the French in a pitched battle. But the most important conquest, that was made this campaign, and indeed during the whole war, was that of Quebec, the capital of the French empire in North America; which was taken by the heroic general Wolfe, who loft his life on the occafion. General Monchion, the fecond in command, was dangerously wounded. The place surrendered to general Townfoend. The death of general Wolfe was lamented in England as a national los; and a vote was passed, in the house

of commons, for erecling a monument to his memory in Westminster-Abbey. In the East-Indies, admiral Pocock obtained a fresh advantage over M. D'Apché. General Lally made an unsuccessful attempt upon Madrass. He was afterwards defeated by the brave colonel Coote, who likewife reduced the whole province of Arcot.

2. What happened in Germany? A. Prince Ferdinand fought a drawn battle with the French under marshal Broglio, at Bergen, where the prince of Isenburgh loft his life. He afterwards, on the first of August, attacked, at Minden, their whole army commanded by marshal Contades; and, after a fierce and obstinate dispute, obtained over it a complete victory. The enemy are faid to have lost 10,000 men in this battle: the loss of the allies was very inconfiderable. The victory was chiefly owing to the extraordinary bravery of the English infantry and the Hanoverian guards. The hereditary prince of Brunfwick performed feveral gallant exploits in the course of this campaign. The Prussian general Wedel was worked by the Russians at Zullichau. His Prussian majesty himself was afterwards defeated by the same enemy at Cunnersdorf, with the loss of 20,000 men. The Russians lost about 10,000. At the conclusion of the campaign, the kings of Great Britain and Pruffia made proposals for opening a congress in order to settle a general peace; but this invitation was not accepted by the enemy.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. January the 12th, died her royal highness, Anne, princess of Orange, eldest daughter to his Britannic majesty; a lady endued with the noblest accomplishments. The conspirators against the life of his Portuguese majesty were feized, tried, and executed. Among these were the duke of Aveiro; the marquis of Tavora, with his lady, and their two fons : and the count of Attouguia. The Jesuits, being concerned in this conspiracy, were expelled the kingdom. The king of Spain dying, was succeeded by his brother, Don Carlos, king of the two Sicilies, who appointed for his fuccessor on the throne of the latter kingdom, his third fon, Don Ferdinand; his eldeft fon, Philip, being declared an idiot. June the 4th, the prince of Wales (his present majetly) having entered the 22d year of his age, the anni-

versary of his birth was celebrated with great rejoicings. Addresses of congratulation on the majority of the prince were presented to his majesty by the city of London, and by most of the corporations in the kingdom. September the 4th died, greatly lamented, the princess Elizabeth Caroline, fecond daughter to the late prince of Wales. Subscriptions were opened for raifing foldiers, and great numbers inlisted. Large sums of money were likewise subscribed, for rewarding the British infantry, who had behaved so valiantly at Minden and Quebec; as also for supporting the widows and orphans of fuch as had fallen in those actions ; and for cloathing the French prifoners :-- all of them charities of the most excellent nature. The Dutch had long carried on a contraband trade with the French, and feveral of their ships had, on that account, been confiscated by the English. Some hostilities had likewise happened between the two nations in the East-Indies. Three of the most reputable members of the States-General now arrived in England, in order to fettle thefe differences; and all difputes were, at last, compromifed. Several shocking murders were committed about this time, and the criminals were brought to condign punishment. Among thefe were, Halley, a shipmaster, for murdering a failor; Darby and his two fons, for whipping a sheriff's officer to death; Mary Edmonson, for cutting her aunt's throat in Rotherbishe; five French prifoners, for murdering Jean de Manaux, their countryman and fellow-prisoner; and Eugene Aram, for a murder he had committed in 1745. Two dreadful fires happened in London this year: the first in November, in the neighbourhood of the Royal Exchange, which confumed a great number of houses: the second in December, in King Street, Covent-Garden, which destroyed no less than fifty houses. In the fpring died the celebrated mufician, George Frederic Handel, The crew of a ship, called the Dolphin, bound from the Canaries to New-York, were reduced to fuch extremity for want of provisions, that, after devouring their dog, cat, and all the shoes on board, they cast lots for their lives; and this falling on one Antonia Galatia, a Spanish gentleman and passenger, they killed and eat him. They then lived twenty days on a pair of leather-breeches, and were pro-

ceeding to cast lots a second time, when they were taken up by one captain Bradshaw.

2. When did the parliament meet this year?

A. In Novamber. 'The number of feamen for the fervice of the year 1760, was fixed at 70,000: that of the land-forces at 57,294. The fum total of the Supplies amounted to 15,503,503. 151. 152. 9\frac{1}{2}d. The national debt, as it Bood on the 11th of January, 1760, was 90,46€,861. 83. 24d.

The fession ended the 22d of May.

2. What were the most remarkable transactions of 1760? A. In February, the famous monfieur Thurst made a descent in Ireland with about 1000 men. He took Carrickfergus, and raifed fome contributions. He then re-imbarked his troops, and fet fail for France with three frigates, which composed his whole fleet; but being attacked, near the Ifle of Man, by the brave captain Elliot, with the fame number of frigates, Thurst was killed, and all his ships taken. Captain Skinner, of the Biddeford, and captain Kennedy of the Flamborough, fought two French thips with great gallantry : captain Skinner loft his life in the action. The Ramillies, a ship of the line, was lost in the Channel; and all her crew, amounting to 700 men, perished on the occasion, except one midshipman, and twenty-five mariners. Captain Byron, of the ship Fame, destroyed, in the gulph of St. Laurence, three French frigates, with twenty-two schooners. In America, the Cherokees having committed hostilities upon the English settlements, colonel Montgomery. marched against them, and ravaged their country with fire and fword. About the fame time, the French invested Quebec, which was defended by a small garrison under general Murray. The general marched out, and gave the enemy battle; and though he was worsted in the engagement, he foon obliged them to abandon the fiege with the utmost precipitation. In September, general Amberst reduced the town and island of Montreal, and thus completed the conquest of all Canada. This year a dangerous infurrection was raised in Jamaica by the negro slaves, who butchered a great number of the white inhabitants. The rebels, however, were at last subdued, and some of them. brought to condign punishment. In the East-Indies, the eallant colonel Coots reduced Pondicherry, and obliged M.

Lally, the French governour, to furrender himself and his garrison prisoners of war. Four English ships of the line were deft oved by a ftorm.

2 What paffed in Germany? A. Several skirmishes happened between the French and the allies. The hereditary prince of Brunfwick diftinguished himself greatly in these rencounters. He was worsted, and even wounded, at Corbach; but he afterwards retrieved his honour by defeating general Glaubitz, at Exdorf, where Elliot's light-horse behaved with uncommon gallantry. He likewise obtained some advantages at Zierenberg, and other places. July the 31st was fought the glori-ous battle of Warbourg, between part of the allied army and the greatest part of the French; in which the latter were defeated with the loss of 1 : 00 men killed, and as many wounded. The English forces, under the marquis of Granby. performed wonders on this occasion. In June, the Austrian general, Laudobn, defeated the Prussians under Fouquet, and reduced Glatz. The king of Pruffia made an unfuccessful attempt upon Drelden. He afterwards obtained a complete victory over general Laudobn, at Lignitz, and compelled the enemy to raise the siege of Schweidnitz. In Oclober. the Russians and Austrians penetrated into Brandenburgh, and made themselves masters of Berlin, where they committed the most shocking outrages. November the 3d, the king of Pruffia fought a bloody battle with count Daun, at Torgau, The advantage remained with the Pruffians. The lofs was great on both fides. The king received a flight contufion in his breaft, by a musket shot. Marshal Daun was dingerously wounded in the thigh. The armies were then put into winter quarters.

2. What were the other occurrences of this year?

A. Lord George Sackwille, being tried by a court-martial for his conduct in the battle of Minden, was declared incapable of ferving his majefty for the future in any military capacity whatfoever. William Andrew Horne was executed for the murder of a child, which he had had by his own fifter in 1724. In April, prince Edwa d was created duke of York and Albany, and earl of Ulfter. May the 5th, Laurence Shirley, earl Ferrers, was executed at Tyburn, for the murder of Mr. Johnson, his steward. In August, Francis David

Stirn, a Hessian, was condemned to die for the murder of one Matthews, a furgeon; but, before his execution, he put an end to his own life by poison. July the 3d, a dreadful fire broke out in his majesty's yard at Portsmouth. Octeber 31, was laid the first stone of the new bridge, now building over the Thames, at Black-Friars. The crew of a thip, belonging to Galaway, in Ireland, in their passage from Norway to their own country, were reduced to such distress for want of provisions, that they cast lots for their lives, and four of them were successively killed, and devoured by the reft. Four others died of famine and fatigue; fo that, of the whole crew, confifting originally of nine persons, none survived but one man, called Michael M Daniel. One Bruluman, a filversmith, at Philadelphia, being determined to put an end to his life, and yet being afraid to do it with his own hand, refolved to commit fome crime, which might get him hanged by the law. He accordingly shot one Mr. Scull, a person, whom he had never seen before; in consequence of which he was condemned, and executed.

2. When did his late majesty die?

A. Odober the 25th, between feven and eight O'clock in the morning, in the 77th year of his age, and the 34th of his reign. He had rifen at his usual hour, called his page, drank his chocolate, and inquired about the wind, as a maxious for the arrival of the foreign mails; foon after which he fell speechless on the ground, and being laid on the bed, expired in a few minutes. The immediate cause of his death was the rupture of the substance of the right ventricle of his heath, by which the circulation was imfantly stoped.

2. What is the character of his late majesty?

A. George II. was, in his person, rather lower than the middie fize, well-shaped, straight, with eyes remarkably prominent, a high nose, and fair complexion. In his temper he is
said to have been sudden and violent; but this, though it insubscienced his private behaviour, mace no impression on his
public conduct, which was always sufficiently deliberate,
and attentive to his own interests and those of his subjects.
His parts were not lively or brilliant; but the whole of his
administration demonstrates, that he had a judgment both
folid and comprehensive. His virtue was proved by two of

the greatest trials to which human nature is subject; the truft of absolute and unlimited power; and the most exalted flation, limited by the strictest laws. For these two, so very different fituations, very different and almost opposite tempers and talents have been always thought necessary. But that king had a mind perfectly adapted to both; for, whilft, in England, he kept the liberties of his people inviolate, and, like a wife magistrate, was pleased to make his authority co-operate with law, and his will freely subservient to the wisdom of ages; in Hanover, like an indulgent father, acting only from the fentiments of a paternal heart, his affection and his equity supplied the want of law and constitution. He left, indeed, to his illustrious successor, an admirable example, which he has, hitherto, not only followed, but, in many respects, exceeded; and his subjects take the greater interest in his virtues, as they look upon them as more peculiarly their own; and they now boast of a prince, who neither has, nor can have any partiality but the best, and who is, in birth, as well as inclination, a Briton,

2. How many children had George II?

A By his queen, Careliue, he had two fons and five daughters, who attained the age of maturity: Frederic prince of Waluf, father to his prefetn majelty, Gorge III; William, duke of Cumberland: Anne, the princels royal, married to the late prince of Orange, and mother of the prefent flatholder; Mary, landgravine of Heffit-Gaffel; Louid, late queen of Denmark; Amelia and Caroline, who were never married.

2. Who were the most celebrated writers that appeared

in England during this reign?

A. Sherheck, Haadley, Secker, Leland, Comphener, Warburten, and Foffer, learned divine: Madeurin, Stuart, and the two Simpfons, excellent mathematicians: Huxham, and the two Simpfons, excellent mathematicians: Huxham, and Pringle, fielding hydricans: Hunter, Maner, Chefidden, and Sharpe, ingenious anatomits. The most eminent posts were Young, Thompson, Merojid. Ampliener, Galver, Wikiro, Brewn, Mallet, Home, Cubber, Huadley, Majon, Gray, the Whiteboath, and the two Wartsus. The earl of Orrery and Urburten Study of the Control of the Company of the Control of the Control

dered himfelf famous by his dictionary of the English language, and his admirable effays in the Rambler. He
principal novel-writers were Fielding, Smelle t, and Richardjon; the latt of whom, particularly in his Claryfia and Richardjon, dictovers a furprizing knowledge of human nature, and
command over the pations. The art of music was fucceisfully cultivated by Handal, Geninianis, Grent, Howard, Arne,
and Beyee. The most noted painters were Hogarth, Hudjun,
Reynolds, Ramplay, Pyne, Wosten, Synsour, Lambert, Scale
that the two Smiths. Many fair mor u nents of full puter or Matuary were raifed by Ryflades, Reubelliar, and Nillen.

#### CHAP. L.

GEORGE III. LI' King of England,

And Ninth of Great-Britain.

## From 1760.

King of Portugal.

CLEMENT XIII. 1758 TOSEPH 1750 Emperors. Kings of Denmark. FRANCIS STEPHEN 1745 1765 JOSEPH II. FREDERIC V. 1746 King of France. CHRISTIAN VII. 1766 1715 King of Sweden. King of Spain. CHARLES III. ADOLPHUS FREDERIC 1751 1750

2. W HO succeeded George II?

A. George III. his grandson, our present most

Pope.

A. George III. his grandson, our present most gracious sovereign, and eldest son of Frederic, late prince of Wales. He ascended the throne in the 23d year of his age.

What were the first acts of his reign?

A. O. Suber the 25th, (the very day on which the late king died) his majefty affembled the privy-council, at Carleton-

House, and addressed them in the following terms:
"The loss that I and the nation have sustained by the death of the late king, my grandfather, would have been

feverely felt at any time; but coming at fo critical a juncture, and fo unexpected, it is by many circumstances augmented; and the weight now falling upon me much encreased. I feel my own insufficiency to support it as I wish; but, animated by the tenderest affection for this my native country, and depending on the advice, experience, and abilities of your lordships; on the support and affistance of every honest man; I enter with chearfulness into this arduous fituation: and shall make it the business of my life to promote, in every thing, the glory and happiness of thele kingdoms; to preserve and strengthen the constitution, both in church and state : and, as I mount the throne in the midst of an expensive, but just and necessary war, I' shall endeavour to profecute it in the manner the most likely to bring about an honourable and lasting peace, in concert with my allies." This declaration was immediately published for the satisfaction of the nation. On Sunday, the 26th of Odober, his majesty was proclaimed, with the usual folemnities, at the Royal-Exchange, and in other parts of the capital, which refounded every where with joy and acclamations. Never prince, indeed, at the time of his accession, enjoyed a larger share of the public favour; and never prince fulfilled more exactly the high expectations, which the people had entertained of him. Addresses of condolence and congratulation were presented to his majesty by the city of London, the two univerfities, and by most of the towns and corporations in the kingdom. November 10, the remains of the late king were interred, with great funeral pomp, in Westminster-Abbey, the duke of Cumberland walking as chief mourner on the occasion.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. November the 18th, when his majefly made a most excellent speech to both houses, in which, among many other endearing expressions, were the following: "Born and educated in this country, I glory in the name of Briess are equally dear to me, with the most valuable prerogatives of my crown; and, as the furest foundation of the whole, and the best means to drawn down the divine favour on my reign, it is my fixed purpose to countenance and encourage the practice of true religion and virtue." The annual sum

of 800,000 % was granted for the civil-lift, i. e. for the support of his majesty's houshold, and of the honour and dignity of his crown; and this grant is to continue in force during his majesty's life. 'The number of seamen was fixed at 70,000; that of the land-forces, at 64,971. The landtax was 4 s. in the pound. An additional duty was laid upon beer; and a lottery was established for 600,000 /. The whole supply for the service of the year 1761, amounted to 19,616,1191. 191. 91 d. A bill was passed this session for fecuring the independency of the judges, by augmenting their falaries, and continuing them in the enjoyment of their offices, during their good behaviour, notwithstanding the demise of the sovereign, which formerly used to vacate their commissions. Another act was passed in favour of insolvent debtors. The parliament rose the 10th of March. It was foon after dissolved by proclamation; and writs were issued for electing a new one.

2. What were the most remarkable events of 1761?

A. His majesty now proceeded to settle his ministry. The office of lord-high-chancellor was bestowed upon lord Henley, baron Grange. Lord Bute succeeded the earl of Holdernesse as secretary of state for the northern department : Mr. Pitt was the other fecretary. The duke of Newcasile continued first lord of the Treasury; earl Granville, president of the council; lord Anson, first lord of the Admiralty; earl Temple, keeper of the privy-feal; Mr. Legge, chancellor of the Exchequer; Mr. Charles Townshend, secretary at war; and Mr. Henry Fox, pay-mafter to the army. The duke of Devonshire was appointed lord chamberlain of the houshold; earl Talbet, lord fleward; and the earl of Hallifax, lord lieutenant of Ireland. Several titles of honour were conferred at this time. Lord Delaware was created earl of Cantalupe ; John Spencer, coufin to the duke of Marlborough, viscount Spencer; George Doddington, lord Melcombregis; and Mary, countels of Bute, baronels Mountstuart, of Wortley in Yorksbire; the title of baron to descend to her lawful iffue male by John earl of Bute. July the 8th, his majesty in council declared his resolution to demand in marriage the princess Charlotte of Mecklenburgh Strelitz. The earl of Harcourt was nominated ambassador-extraordinary for this purpofe. The fleet, appointed to escort her most

ferene highnefs, was commanded by lord Asjon. The princefs landed at Harsuich, September the 7th, and next day arrived at St. Jame's, where, about nine in the evening, the nuptials were celebrated. September the 22d, the ceremony of the coronation was performed, with great pomp and magnificence, in Westminster-Abbey. On the Lord-Mayor's-Day, their majesties honoured, with their presence, the entertainment given at Guildball, on that occasion.

2. What were the military transactions of this year? A. An expedition was undertaken against Belleisle. The land-forces were commanded by major-general Hodoson : the fleet by commodore Keppel. The island furrendered on the 9th of June. In the West-Indies Dominique was reduced by lord Rollo and Sir James Douglas; and in America the Cherokees were severely chastized by colonel Grant. In the East Indies, Mibie was taken by major Hellor Monro: and the Mogul forces defeated by major John Carnack. Jaffier Ali Cawn, nabob of Bengal, was deposed; and Costim Ali Carun, his fon-in-law, advanced in his stead. Great numbers of French ships were taken by the English cruifers : the Warwick, by captain Hood ; the Entreprenant, by captain Nightingale; the Comete, by captain Deane; the Courageux, by capt. Faulkner, &c. In Germany, Fritzlar was taken by the hereditary prince of Brunfwick; and on the 15th of July, the French army, under Broglio and Soubife, was routed at Kirch-Denckern, by prince Ferdinand, with the loss of 5000 men. The Austrians took Schweidnitz by furprize. A conspiracy was formed against his Prussian majesty; but was happily discovered and defeated. Prince Henry of Brunswick lost his life in a skirmish.

2. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. Negociations for a peace were carried on between the courts of Lendon and Vereialler; but they did not prove fuccessful; and their want of fuccess was supposed to be owing partly to the infineerity of the French ministers, partly to the unwarrantable conduct of those of Spain. These last had, for some time, discovered a strong antipathy towards the English they now presumed to interfere in the negociation, and to blend their interests with those of the French: they had even entered into a treaty (known by the name of the

3

family compact) with that ambitious people: and it was generally thought, that it would not belong, before they declared war in form against England. Mr. Pitt, reflecting on all these particulars, proposed, that a fleet should be immediately fent to the Mediterranean, to intercept the Spanish flora, or strike some other blow of importance, in case the ministry of Spain refused to give inflant satisfaction to the court of Great-Britain. This proposal was disapproved by all the members of the privy-council, Mr. Pitt and earl Temple excepted; upon which these two ministers resigned their places; the former, as secretary of state; the latter, as lord privy-feal. Mr. Pitt, as a reward of his important fervices, was gratified with a pension of 2000 l, a year for three lives; and, at the fame time, a title was conferred uron his lady, who was created baroness Chatham, the title of baron to descend to her heirs male; a pension the best bestowed, and a nobility the most honourably acquired, and most truly merited, of any that is to be found in the English annals. The refignation, however, of this great minister, excited a loud and universal clamour; and the public was over-run with a deluge of pamphlets, and papers, on the occasion. He was succeeded, as secretary of state, by the earl of Egrement. Soon after, the earl of Briffel, the British ambaffador at Madrid, was ordered to demand a communication of the family-compact; or, at leaft, a declaration, that it contained nothing to the prejudice of Great-Britain; or, in the last refort, an assurance, that Spain had no intention to take part with France in the present war: and upon his meeting with a refusal to all these demands, and the hostile designs of Spain being no longer doubtful, the earl left Madrid on the 17th of December. In the beginning of this year. Theodore Gard Ile. a Genevele painter, was executed in the Hay-Market, for the murder of one Mrs. King, in whose house he had lodged. In the month of September, was hanged, in Smithfield, John Perrot, a bankrupt, who had concealed part of his effects. December the 15th, was executed, at Strabane, in Ireland, John M'Naughion, Eiq; for the murder of Miss Knox; a young lady, to whom he pretended (but very unjuftly) that he had been married, and whom, instead of shooting her, he intended, he said, only to have carried off.

2. When did the new parliament affemble?

A. November the 3d, when the commons chose, for their speaker, Sir John Cust, bart. member for Grantbam in Lincolnsbire; a gentleman of knowledge and probity, and every wav qualified to supply the room of Mr. Onflow, who had fo long and fo worthily discharged that important office. On the 6th, his majesty made a speech to both houses, who returned the most loyal and affectionate addresses. The number of feamen for the fervice of the enfuing year was continued at 70,000; that of the land-forces was fixed at 67,676. The annual fum of 100,000 1. together with the palace of Somerfet-boule, and the lodge and lands of Richmond-Old-Park, were fetiled upon the queen during her life, in case she should survive his majesty. Twelve millions were raifed by annuities. The whole supply for 1:62 amounted to 18,299,153 1. 18 1. 11 2 d. The national debt, as it flood January 5, 1762, amounted to 110,603,8361. 8s. 21d. The anaual interest payable on the above was 2,704,504l, 21, 5d. The compelling cause in the insolvent-act, having been abused to the purposes of fraud and persidy, was now repealed. The session ended on the 2d day of June.

2. What were the most memorable events of 1762?

A. In the beginning of this year, the duke of Newcafile refigned his post of first lord of the Treasury, which was bellowed upon the earl of Bute. Mr. George Grenville fucceeded lord Bute as fecretary of state; and the earl of Hallifax was appointed first lord of the Admiralty, in the room of lord Anson deceased. These changes in the ministry tended still farther to encrease the popular clamour, that had been raifed by the refignation of Mr. Pitt. In May three Indian chiefs arrived in England, August 12, the queen was happily delivered of a prince, who was created prince of Wales, and christened by the name of George Augustus Frederic. This fummer the weather was fo excessively hot, that feveral woods were fet on fire by the influence of the fun; and the succeeding winter was so intensely cold, that the Thames was frozen over at Richmond. This year happened the famous (or rather infamous) affair of the Cocklane ghost, which made so much noise. John Kello was executed for a remarkable forgery; as were likewife Sarah

Metyard and her daughter Sarab Morgan Metyard, for the murder of Anne Naylor, their apprentice-girl.

2. What were the other transactions of this year?

A. January the 4th, war was declared against Spain. In the month of February, Martinico was reduced by the English forces under general Monchton: the fleet was commanded by rear-admiral Rodney. In March, an expedition was undertaken against the Havannah, the capital of Cuba. The fleet, confifting of nineteen ships of the line, &c. was commanded by admiral Pocock: the land-forces, amounting to 10,000 men, who were afterwards re-inforced by 4000 more, were conducted by the earl of Albemarle. The troops landed in the island the 7th day of June; the trenches were opened against the Havannah the 1st of July; and the place surrendered on the 13th of August. The treafure and merchandize, found in the town, was valued at 3,000,000 /. fterling. Nine ships of the line were likewise taken. Several rich captures were made by the English cruifers; particularly that of the Hermione, a Spanish register ship, estimated at above 1,000,000 sterling. In the course of this year a furprizing revolution happened in Russia. The empress Elizabeth dying the 2d of January, was succeded by her nephew, Peter III. a prince of weak parts, though of good intentions; who, instead of adhering to the fystem of his predecessor, not only concluded a peace with the king of Pruffia, but even joined his arms to those of that monarch. He proceeded, at the same time, to make many other innovations, which difgufted the whole body of his subjects. In a word, by his rash and impolitic conduct, he rendered himself so universally odious, that, after possessing the crown for the space of fix months, he was deposed, and thrown into prison, where he soon after died. I is confort, and fuccessor, the present empress, departed fo far from the plan of her hufband, as to withdraw her forces from those of the king of Prussia; but she did not think proper to renew hostilities against him. She concluded a neutrality, which she strictly observed; and her example was followed by the crown of Sweden. Thus, by a succesfion of events, equally unforeseen and unexpected, was his Prussian majesty happily saved, when he was generally thought to be on the brink of ruin. He now befieged and

took Schweidnitz, defeated the Auftrians at Freyberg, and obtained over them an indisputed superiority. Prince Ferdinand, at the head of the allied army, was no less successful. He beat the French at Graeb inflein, June 24; drove them from Homburg, July 6; and harraffed them fo on every fide, that they were obliged to call their army from the Lower Rhine to their assistance. The hereditary prince, however, was worsted, and even wounded, August 30, at Johannisberg; but Caffel surrendered to the allies. June 24, Newfoundland was taken by the French: September 18, it was retaken by the English, France and Spain declared war against Portugal as an ally of Great-Britain. Their combined armies entered Portugal in the month of May. The Portuguese forces were commanded by the count de la Lippe Buckeburg: the British auxiliaries, which were fent to their affiftance, were conducted by the earl of Loudon, lieutenant-general Townsbend, lord George Lenox, the brigadiers Crawford, Burgoyne, &c. The enemy took Miranda, Braganza, and Chaves, and laid siege to Almeida; but being repulsed, Od. 6, at Villa Velba. they were obliged to evacuate the Portuguese dominions, and retire into Spain. In the East-Indies, an armament was fitted out against Manilla, the capital of the Philippine islands. Admiral Cornish commanded the fleet; general Draper, the land forces. The troops were debarked S pt. 24; the place was invested in two days after; and on the 6th of October, it was taken by storm. The town was ransomed for a million sterling. The Acapulco galleon, valued at half that fum, was likewise taken. This was the last conquest made by the English during the late war; one of the most plorious and successful wars, that had ever been carried on in any age or by any nation. In the space of seven years, Great-Britain had made herfelf mistress of the whole continent of North America: she had conquered twenty-five islands, all of them distinguishable for their magnitude, their riches, or the importance of their fituation : ske had won, by sea and land, twelve great battles : the had reduced nine fortified cities and towns, and near forty forts and castles: she had destroyed or taken above an hundred ships of war from her enemies; and acquired, as is supposed, about twelve millions in plunder. Uncommon, however, as were her fuccesses, she was far from being averse to a peace. The

grand object, for which the war had been originally undertaken, was now fully attained: her supplies of money, however great, were by no means equal to her expences : and the began to feel a fensible deficiency in her supplies of men, which were not procured but with fome difficulty, and at a heavy charge. The other belligerant powers, for more folid and substantial reasons, were still more pacifically inclined. The marine of France was intirely ruined; and her dominions exhausted of men and money. Spain had nothing to hope, but every thing to fear, from a continuance of the war; and Portugal was in a still worse condition. All parties therefore concurring in these pacific sentiments. conferences for a peace were opened, at Paris; and, after fome negociation, it was finally concluded the 10th of February, 1763. Great-Britain received Florida in exchange for the Havannah. She retained Canada, Cape Breton, and Goree; but reflored all her other conquests. Soon after, a peace was concluded at Hubertsturgh between the empressqueen and his Prussian majesty; and thus the general tranquillity of Europe was happily re-established.

2 When did the parliament meet this winter?

A. November the 25th. 30,000 feamen were voted for the fervice of the year 1763: the number of land-forces was fixed at 56,360. The fum total of the supplies amounted to 13,522,030 L 14 s. 4 d. The national debt was 122,603,336 / 8s. 2 1 d. the annual interest 4,409,797 /. 13 s. 8 d. The preliminaries of the peace were approved by both houses; by the lords, without a division, by the commons, with a very great majority. A duty of 4s. a hogthead was laid upon cyder; which duty (with fome qualifications) was to be subject to all the laws of excise. This tax met with a violent opposition, both within and without doors. Two strong protests were entered against it in the house of lords; and a petition was presented against it by the city of London. In a word, by this and feveral other means, the national discontent was raised to such a height. that lord Bute, who was confidered as prime-minister, thought proper to refign his place of first lord of the treafury, which was bestowed upon Mr. George Grenville. The earl of Hallifax succeeded Mr. Grenville, as secretary of Hate. The parliament rose the 19th of April.

2. What were the most remarkable occurrences of 1763? A. Addresses of congratulation were presented to his majesty, on the conclusion of the peace, by the city and merchants of London, by the clergy, by the two univerlities, and by many of the towns and corporations of the kingdom. The terms of the peace, however, though approved by the parliament, and by a great part of the nation, did not appear to give general fatisfaction. They were violently attacked in feveral periodical writings; particularly in a paper, called the North-Briton, conducted, it is faid, and principally composed, by Mr. Wilkes, member of parliament for Aylefbury. This gentleman having, in No 45 of the North-Briton, remarked, with an indecent freedom, upon his majesty's speech to the parliament, a general warrant was issued by the secretaries of state for taking up the authors, printers, and publishers of that paper. In confequence of this warrant, Mr. Wilkes was feized, and committed prisoner to the Tower. Several printers, &c. were at the same time apprehended; but they were soon after released, and obtained large damages from the messengers, who had arrested them. Mr. Wilkes, too, brought his Habeas Corpus before the court of Common-Pleas, the judges of which unanimously declared, that privilege of parliament extended to the case of writing a libel; and thus that gentleman was discharged from his confinement. The house of commons, however, which was then sitting, feem to have been of a different opinion. They refolved, that No 45 of the North-Briton, was a false, scandalous, and feditious libel; and that privilege of parliament does not extend to the case of writing such a libel. The lords also concurred in this resolution; though not without a violent debate, a protest being entered against it by seventeen peers. As a farther mark of their displeasure, the two houses ordered the North-Briton to be burnt at the Royal Exchange by the hands of the common hangman; and this order was accordingly executed, though with fome difficulty and danger. Notwithstanding these vigorous proceedings, Mr. Wilkes commenced an action, in the court of Common-Pleas, against Mr. Wood, under-secretary of state, for seizing his papers; and a verdict was given in his favour with 1000 /. damages, and full cofts of fuit. A ftop, however, T 2

was put to the progress of this affair by an unfortunate accident. Mr. Wilkes having, in the course of his writings, reflected, with great severity, upon Mr. Martin, a member of parliament, and late fecretary of the treasury, a duel enfued between these two gentlemen, when Mr. Wilkes was dangerously wounded in the belly with a pittol bullet. He had no fooner recovered, than he thought proper to retire into France. January 19, 17/4, he was expelled the house of commons. On the very same day, a complaint was exhibited against him in the upper house, for affixing the name of a right reverend member of that house to a book, full of indecent and profane ribaldry; which book Mr. Wilkes had privately printed, and dispersed among his friends. The peers proceeded against him for breach of privilege, while he was ind cted in the courts below for blasphemy. And now expelled by one house; under the censure of the other; under a double profecution for a libel and for blafphemy, he began to be abandoned even by many of his warmest friends. November 1, he was run to an outlawry for not appearing to the indictments against him; and the fuits, which he had commenced against the secretaries of thate, fell, of courfe, to the ground.

2. What where the other incidents of this year?

A. The house of lady Molesworth in upper Brook Street, Grofvenor-Square, London, being accidentally fet on fire, was foon reduced to ashes. Her ladyship, her brother captain Uher, her second and third daughters, and four or five fervants perished in the flames. August 16, the queen was happily delivered of a prince, who was baptifed by the name of Frederic, and foon after presented to the bishopris of Ofnaburgh. Some diffurbances were excited in Ireland, by a fet of rioters, called White-Boys. In America, a new war broke out between the Indians and the English planters; but it was not attended with any material confequences. The Indians were defeated in several skirmishes. A dangerous mutiny happened at Quebec; but was foon suppressed by the spirited conduct of general Murray, the governor. In Jamaica, the powder-magazine of Fort-Augustus was blown up by lightning; and about forty persons were buried under the ruins.

2. When did the parliament affemble this year?

A. November the 15th. The number of feamen was fixed at 16,000; that of the land forces, at 17,532. The whole fupply amounted to 7,712,562 l. 181.  $7\frac{1}{3}d$ . The national debt was 129,586,789 l. 101.  $0\frac{1}{4}d$ . The annual interest 4,688,177 1. 11 s. Violent debates were maintained this session concerning the illegality of general warrants; but, after a long and obstinate dispute, the question was adjourned, and the point left undecided. An act passed for paving the fireets of Westminster. The parliament rose the

19th of April. 2. What were the most important transactions of 1764? A. January the 16th, her royal highness, the princess Augusta, eldest fister to his maiesty, was married, at St. James's, to the hereditary prince of Brunfwick. Her portion was 80,000 l. Sir Jacob Gerard Downing left an estate of 6000 l. per ann, for building and endowing a college in the university of Cambridge. His majesty's sister, the princess Caroline Matilda, was betrothed to Christian, the prince royal of Denmark. This year died several eminent perfonages; particularly the dukes of Devenshire and Athol; the lord chancellor Hardwicke; the earls of Bath, Macclesfield, Cork and Orrery; the lords Townsbend and Cholmondeley; Henry Bilson Legge, Esq; Sir John Barnard; Churchill, the poet; Hogarth, the painter, &c. April the 1st. was an eslipse of the sun. In the course of the same month, the archduke Joseph was elected and crowned king of the Romans at Frankfort. The tranquillity of France was greatly disturbed by a misunderstanding that had arisen between the king and his parliaments. The throne of Poland, having become vacant by the death of Augustus III. which happened, October 5, of the preceding year, count Poniatow/ki, a Polish nobleman, was, on the 7th of September of the prefent year, elected king in his stead. In Russia, prince Ivan, or John, (son of Anthony, prince of Brunfavick Wolfenbuttle, and the princess Anne of Mecklenburgh) who, in 1739, had fucceeded the empress Anne Irvanoruna, and was deposed foon after; and who, ever fince, had remained in close custody, was now murdered by his guards. The reason affigned for this barbarous action, was, that one Mirowitz had attempted to fet him at liberty, in order to raise an infurrection. The guards were rewarded: Mirowitz

was put to death. In America, peace was concluded between the English and the Indian nations. In the East-Indies, Coffim Ali Carun, the new fubah of Bengal, was deposed, and Mir Taffier restored to the throne. Major Adams, at the head of the company's forces, defeated those of Mir Cossim in several engagements. That rushian, enraged at the progress of the English arms, and unable to avenge himself in the field, iffued orders for massacring all the British prisoners, who had been taken at Patna. Above forty gentlemen were put to death in the most barbarous manner. One Somers, a German, a deserter from the company's fervice, was the perpetrator of this execrable villainy.

2. What were the principal events of 1765?

A. The parliament met the 10th of January. The number of feamen continued at 16,000; that of the land-forces was fixed at 17,421. The fum total of the supplies amounted to 7,763,000 l. 13 s. 1d. As no new debts were contracted, the national debt was the same as in the preceding year. A stamp duty was imposed upon the American colonies; a tax, that inflamed them with the highest animofity; and to which they, almost unanimously, refused to fubmit. It was therefore repealed in the succeeding fession. As his majesty had been lately indisposed, a re-gency-bill was now passed. The parliament rose the 25th of May.

9. What were the other incidents of this year?

A. Great changes were made in the ministry. The marquis of Rockingham was appointed first lord of the Treasury, in the room of George Grenville, Esq; The duke of Grafton and Mr. Conway succeeded the earls of Sandwich and Hallifax as principal fecretaries of state. Mr. Dowdefwell was constituted chancellor of the Exchequer; the duke of Newcaftle, lord privy-feal; the earl of Egmont, first commissioner of the Admiralty: and feveral other alterations took place in the inferior departments of government. Lord chief justice Pratt was raised to the peerage by the title of lord Camden. His majesty purchased the sovereignty of the Isle of Man from the duke and dutchess of Athole for 70,000 l. August the 21st, the queen was happily delivered of a prince, who was baptifed by the name of William Henry. This year year was distinguished by the death of several eminent perfonages; particularly the emperor of Germany, who was fucceeded by his fon Joseph; the dauphin of France; his royal highness, William, duke of Cumberland, who died October the 31st, in the 44th year of his age, universally lamented; and his majesty's youngest brother, prince William Frederic, who expired December 29, in the 16th year of his age. On the 30th of the same month, died at Rome, aged 77, the old pretender, commonly known by the name of the chevalier de St. George. A duel was fought between lord Byron and Mr. Chaworth, in which the latter was killed, Lord Byron, being tried by his peers, was acquitted of murder, but found guilty of manslaughter. He was exempted, however, by virtue of his peerage, from the usual punishment in fuch cases, viz. burning in the hand. A barbarous murder was committed on board the ship, the earl of Sandwich, by George Gidley, Richard St. Quintin, Peter M' Kinlie, and Andrew Zekerman, who massacred the captain, John Cockeran, and the rest of his crew; together with a captain Glass, and his lady and daughter, who were passengers. The affaffins were afterwards apprehended; and being brought to trial, were condemned, executed, and hung in chains. Two ruffians, named Barny Carrel, and William King, were, by virtue of the Coventry-act, condemned to death, for way-laying, and flitting the nose of Cranley Thomas Kirby, Efg; in the streets of London. A terrible fire broke out in Cornhill, which confumed above an hundred houses, and destroyed effects to the amount of 100,000 /. Great difturbances were raifed by the Spittalfield-Weavers on account of the importation of French filks; but they were foon fuppressed. In the East-Indies, two victories were obtained over the forces of Sujah Doula; the first, by major Hector Monro; the second, by Sir Robert Fletcher, who likewise made himself master of the enemy's capital, Eliabad.

2. When did the parliament aftemble this year? A. December the 17th. 100,000. Were granted as a marriage-portion to the princes Marilda, the future queen of Demants. The cyder-act was repeated, as was allo the flamp-duty, which had been imposed upon the American colonies.

Q. What events diffinguished the beginning of the year 1760 ?

A. January 30, died Frederie V. king of Demanek, and was fucceeded on the throne by his fon Chriftian VII. February 8, her royal highnels, the hereditary princels of Brunfeuick, was fafely delivered of a prince, who was christened by the name of Charles-Googe-Aughtu. On the 23d of the fame month, died Stamflaus, king of Peland, and duke of Lerraine and Bar. Great diffustances were raifed in Spain, on account of the court's attempting to after the fashions of the nation, and for feveral other reasons. May 23, the duke of Grafton sefigned the feals as one of the principal fecretaries of state, and was succeeded in that high post by the duke of Richmond.

FINIS.

spiriture no course of All Charles See of Spiriture properties and not













