[I]

Numb. 12

GREAT

## Doubts and Difficulties

To be Refolved by the Good People of

ENGLAND

HO are most likely to be Bribed with French Lui d'Ores, they who give Kingdoms to Advance the Power of France, or they who Unanimoufly Vote to Reduce the Power of France ?

II. Who are most likely to be Bribed by the French King, they who fend out Fleets and Armies to Destroy him, or they who create Factions and Divisions in England to Save him ?

III. But who are most likely to be Bribed with French Lui d'Ores, they who have great Estates and Families in their Countries, and cannot preferve them without Oppoling France, or they who have got vast Estates by Defrauding the King and the People, and cannot pre-ferve them, without the Power of France to Protect them?

IV. Whether is more easie, and more agreeable to the Practice and Policy of France, to Corrupt a few Powerful Ministers, that can make Certain and Valuable Returns, or Four or Five hundred Members of Parliament, that can only promise Uncertain Votes, which, unless the King, and the Lords do concur, are worth nothing ? V. But who are most likely to take French Money, they who have always Defended the

Rights and Liberties of the People, or they who have frequently Betrayed them? VI. Who are most likely to be Corrupted with French Money, they who have been true

to their Country, and refifted Temptations, or they who have greedily fwallowed all they could get ?

VII. But who are most likely to take Bribes, they who both take and offer English Bribes, or they who have ever refuled either ? VIII. Who are most likely to Betray their Country, they who spend their Estates in

Serving their Country; or they who get their Effates by Selling their Country?

IX. Who are the best Trustees for the People, they who have received Twenty four Millions of good, English Money, and (to their eternal shame) have not yet rendred an Account for the fame, or they who defire an Honeft and Just Account thereof, for Payment of the Publick Debts?

X. Whether then it be not the Interest and Policy of some Persons, to send their own, Bribes to be Coined at the Tower, in order to lay their own Villanies and Corruptions on the Honeft Parliament?

XI. Whether the Profecution of Criminals, for Corruption and Bribery, and Betraying the Interest of their Country, be not the true Cause of all these Falle and Malicious Reports against the Parliament?

XII. Who was it that cry'd out Whore first ? Was it the Innocent and Virtuous Susannab, or was it the Visious and Corrupt Officers of Juffice?

XIII. Which, Caule is usually Managed with greatest Art and Industry, a good Caufe or a bad Caufe ?

XIV. Why are there fo many Scribblers employed to write against the Parliament, and lo many Agents sent to every County, to Corrupt the Minds of the People, is it out of Charity to the People, or Fear of Punishment to themfelves ? XV. Whether notorious Bribery, to corrupt the Elections of Parliament-Men, to Poylon

the Fountain, and Deftroy the Fundamental Constitution of the Government, be a Crime or not ?' If not, then let this Parliament be Diffolved; and the Authors of the Legion be chofen in their Places.

XVI. Whether the great Labour and Expence of fome Perfons, to get Petitions to Diffolve the Parliament, be not in order to play the same Game over again, and by Bribery and Corruption to Save themfelves, and Ruine the Nation?

XVII.

XVII. Whether a formal Hypocrite, that endeavours to perswade a Wife to have an ill opinion of her Husband, does not intend to Debauch the Wife, and Ruine the Family? And,

2

XVIII. Whether they, who indeavour to perfwade the People to have an ill Opinion of their Repreferentives, (who are their Second Selves) do not intend to Debauch the People, and Ruine the Kingdom?

XIX. Whether the House of Commons had not Granted all the Supplies, and Voted Three Shillings in the Pound, before the Light fill Petition was Exhibited?

XX. Whether the Houle of Commons did not, on Feb. 20. prefent an Humble Addrefs to His Majelty. That he would be pleafed to enter into such Negotiations, in Concert with the States-General of the United Provinces, and other Potentates, as may most effectually Conduce to the Mutual Safety of these Kingdoms, and the States General, and the Preservation of the Peace of Europe; and giving him Assures of Support and Assistance, in performance of the Treaty made with the States General the 3d of March, 1677? And whether the King did not heartily Thank the Hou'e for their Advice, and Unanimous Resolution?

XX1. Whether a Declaration of Performance of that Treaty, which obliged England, upon the first Affault made upon the Datch, to furnish them with Ten Thouland Men and I wenty Ships; and if an Honourable and Sofe Peace were not obtained in two Months after, then to Affast the Datch with all their Strength, were not in effect a Declaration of War, as foon as the Datch were Infulted by the French?

XXII. Whether there were any other difference between a Declaration of Performance of that Treaty, and an Actual Declaration of War, but only this, That in one Cafe England would Engage as an Affiftant, and in the other as Principal in the War?

XXIII. Whether then was most for the Interest of England, To Engage as Principal, and bear alm ft all the Charges of the War, (as they did in the last, which cost above Sixty Millions) or to Engage as Affistant upon the foot of the faid Treaty, and bear their proportion of Charge with the Emperor and the Dutch?

XXIV. Whether is does not now appear, That by the Prudence and Conduct of the Parliament, the Dutch have goined Time to put themfelves in a Pofture of Defence, and thereby been faved from fudden Deftruction? And whether many Millions of Effects, belonging to the English and Dutch Merchants, have not been Preferved from Seizure? And confequently, many honeft Families from unter Ruine and Defolation?

XXV. Whether the King was not the betr Judge of the Time of Declaring War? And if a fpeedy Declaration thereof had been Neceflary at the beginning of the Selfions, or Safe for *England*, in any other Manner than was done, whether His Majefly would not have been Gracioufly pleafed to have fignified his Pleafure to the Houfe in that, as well as in other Matters relating thereunto?

XXVI. Whether the House of Commons did not readily comply with His Majefty in every thing ? And whether His Majefty has not frequently Acknowledged the fame, and declared his Satisfaction with all their Proceedings ?

XXVII. Who then are the best Judges of the Proceedings of the House of Commons, the King, or the Authors of the Legion ?

XXVIII. When any two therefore differ in Opinion upon a plain Matter of Fact; why may they not differ allo in Intereft?

XXIX. Quare therefore very ferioufly, Whether the Intereft of the King, and the Intereft of fome Perfons, who call themfelves the King's Friends, be One and the Same, or Two difficit Interefts?

XXX. Why the fame Persons, who first press'd the Commons so hastily for Supplies, did afterwards press the Lords to Delay the same, to the prejudice of the Publick, in favour of a private Interest?

XXXI. Whether then there was any Occasion for the **Exentify** Petition, or the **Legion** Paper, after the Parliament had Granted every thing that was Required, or thought Neceffary? Or whether the fame had ever been thought of, if the Commons had not Profecuted Offenders, for Corruption and Bribery, for Betraying their Country, and Defrauding the King and the People?

Advice, or they who are Angry with their Guardians for kicking the Sharpers down Stairs?

## POSTSCRIPT.

TO T are defired to give a Speedy Refolution of these few Cases, because there are many more that deserve your Consideration.

LONDON: Printed in the Year 1701.