## The True Patriot Vindicated, or a Justification of bis Excellency, The Earl of Rochefter, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland. From several Falle and Scandalous Reports. The First Part.



UCH is the Fate of all Great Men that they must expect Detractors. If any Man might pretend to an Exemption, the Per-fon whole Apology I am Writing, is the likeft. His great Me-His great Merits to the King, from his coming over, and thole to justly re-warded with fuch high favours. Governing one Kingdom in Perfon, another by his Pupil, and the Principal of the three by his Counfel. Well supported by his Party, and above all happy in his Terra-

per and Diferetion. And yet this man cannot Efcape without Enemys, and Malitious Stories are feattered abroad to leffen his high Character.

I shall not thing it worth while to rake together every Spightful and im-pertinent Tale, whilper'd concerning him, but leave them to perish in Ob-fourity with their Authors. I shall Confine my felf to those Objections which feem to leave the greatest Impression on mens minds to his Disadvantage And if I can wholly juffify him, or at leaft render him very excufa-ble as to every one of those, other things will fall of Courte, and honest men will be no longer feduc'd to doubt his being that true Patriot which his Friends publish him to be.

The first Objection then which is made to him, and which feems most directly to Contradict this Character of him, is that when he was Lord Treasurer to King James, he issued out Orders, and caused to be Levied, The Duties of Tonnage and Poundage and other Customs, for several Months after the Death of King Charles, without any Warrant or Authority of Law. This Charge is very Malitioufly Aggravated. Tis faid to be directly contrary to the famous Statute de Tallagio non Concedendo, and about 20 other ancient Laws: To be a direct violation of the Petition of Right in the Third Year of King Charles the First: To be a much higher thing than the levying of Ship-Mony, and the lefs excufable becaufe it came after that was Solemnly condemn'd. You fee how Envy can Improve things. It will not be allow'd that fuch Actions can Confist with the Cheracter of a Patriot. It is faid this was of all others The most Fatal stroke to our Conflitution, and is totally incoufistent Property and Parliaments.

As fpecious as this Charge Appears, I hope to vindicate this Noble Earl from it, or, which is all one, to fhew that there are Men in the World, who

are Oblidg'd, and are, (above all others,) able to do it. It is undoubtedly a Queffion of Law, whether the Cuffoms may be Le-vied without confent of Parliament, and who but Lawyers are fit to Judg of thrt Queffion. I appeal to every Man, if amongft all who call themfelves by the Name of Lawyers. There can be found two Perfons who pretend to be more nice in differing what is agreeable to the English Confficution, and more Zealous in maintaining it, than Sir Batholumen Shower and Sir Humfry Mackworth. Are there two men whole Opinions, even in Mens thoughts at this time, the Noble Earl could more fafely Follow than theirs? If then I can shew that in the Opinion of these two Learned Perfons, the Collection of the Cuftoms in that cafe was not only Lawful, but a Gracious Act in King James, founded upon as good Right as Magna Charta, and much more Ancient, and that all who were against it were Enemys to the Peace and Wellfare of the Kingdom-- Those I have made a good Step towards the Juffification of the Noble Earl. To do this I shall not produce an Opinion given in private, but openly in the face of the Kingdom, pre-fented to King James, and publich'd in the London Gazett, No 2015. In the Article from Whitehall, March 7. 1684. It is faid the Following Ad-drefs from the Middle<sup>2</sup> Temple was prefented by Sir Humfry Mackworth, accompanied with a great many Barriftors and Students, being introduced by his Grace the Duke of Beaufort.

## Moft Dread Sovereign.

We Tour Majesty's most Dutiful and Loyal Subjetts, with all Humility congratulate the legal and peaceable decent of this Imperial Grown upon Your 'Majesty, the only Remedy for our unspeakable Jorrow for the Death of our late most Gratious Soveraign, of ever Glorious and blested Memory. Yoar Majesty's unparallel'd Justice, Courage and Condust (Signaliz'd to the World before they became Ornaments to the Grown) give a perfect Assu-rance of Happiness to these Nations under your Government. We cannot therefore but with the deepest sence of Gratitude acknewledg your Majesty's Great Goodness and Condescention in your late Declaration, and in particutherefore but with the deepelt jence of Gratitude acknowledg your majely's Great Goodne's and Condescention in your late Declaration, and in particu-lar, That your Majely hath been Graciously pleased in parshance of the same to extend your Royal care of the Government to the Preservation of the Cu-ftoms. The Intermission whereof would not only have disabled your Majely to maintain the Navy in defence of the Realm; But by taking away the Bal-Tance of Trade, have ruined Theusenth of sour Majelies Scheefts these paid lance of Trade, have ruined Thousands of your Majesties Subjects that paid Custom, in the life of our late Soveraign, and by an Excessive Exportation and Importation Custom free, have rendered that Branch' of the Revenue and Importation Cultom free, have rendered that Branch of the Revenue improfitable for Jome Years to come, notwithstanding all the care, and Loy-alty of the Jucceeding Parliament. For which reasons the Jame have bin continually received by your Majesties Royal Predecesser for fome bundred of Tears, and never Questioned by any Parliament, unless in that wherein were fown the Seeds of Rebellion against the Glorious Martyr your Royal Father of ever Blessed Memory.

Tis a Received Maxim of the Common Law [Thefaurus Regis eft Vin-culum Pacis & Bellorum Nervi; ] fach is the happy Conflitation of this Monarchy, That your Majesties High Prerogative is the greatest security of the Liberty and Property of the Subject, so that who ever would Impair the Revenew of the Crown, must by this Fundamental Law (as binding as Magna Charta, and more Anticut) be esteemed an Every to the Peace and maltare of the Vingdom welfare of this Kingdom.

We therefore think it our Indiffersfible Duty to Endeavor the Choice of fuch Representatives for the respective Counties and Boroazhs to which we Just Representations for the respective Countries and Baroagos to Wolch we belong, as may not only Concur in fetling a Revenue to support the Cowen-ment as formerly, but also show a grateful (onle of the great things you have done and suffered for us already; an entire Confidence in your Majestics Goodness towards Us for the future, and a chearful Compliance with your Heroick Inclinations to advance the Honor and Interest of these Nations. May there is a superior to advance the Honor and Interest of these Nations. there be never wanting Millions as Loyal as we are to Sacrifice their Lives and Fortunes in defence of your Sacred Perfon and Prevogative in its full Extent, and inceffantly pray the King of Kings to Grant your Majefly a long and happy Reign over Us,

It may be pretended that the it be Certain that Sir Hamfry Mackworsh prefented this Addrefs, yet nothing more can be certainly collected from the Gazett. To this I fay, that if there were any Danger of these Gentlemens Receding (which I can never suspect in Men of their Virtue and Publick Zeal) the Original Address happens to be extant, and may be reforted to, where both their hands appear, and there are men of Great Quallity alive who can prove that Sir Batth did not only bear Sir Humfry Company at the prefenting of it, but put a just Value upon himfelf as being the happy Penman, of fo fignificant a Compliment to King James. It must be confested I did hope to have firengthned my Argument from the weight of fome o-ther Names to that Paper, but I find them upon perufal to be fo very ch-feure and unknown (tho it be call'd an Unanimus Addrefs) that I do not feure and unknown (the it be call'd an Unanimus Addreis) that I de hat pretend to draw any great Confequences from them to make good my de-fence of the Earl. But I want them not, thefe two Names are worth Hun-dreds, they are forth coming, are at the head of the Managment, and when ever they thall be called upon, will be ready (as men of Honor ought to be) to make good their Pofitions, and to fnew that they were not time-fervers, and did not millead the Noble Earl.

and did not miffead the Noble Earl. I have been confidering what Malice can fay against this Argument, for Malice I expect should fay all it can upon the Occasion.) Suppose then it should be faid, (as it has bin,) that Str Hamfry was a younger Brother with-out any Fortune, or name in his Profession; that it is true he hadbin Knt-ed, but it was for Revelling, not Law, and that probably this is the only Opinion he was asked to give either before or fince. To this I shall reply, that if Scuraility may pass for Argument, every Bo-dy may be run down. I shall use two instances only ro show hum to be a great man in himfelf. Let the World Judg as they please. His Notable Ma-

great man in himfelf, let the World Judg as they pleafe. His Notable Managment of the Mine Adventure, which if well confidered, is a convincing Proof of his Trù h and Honefty; and his Vindication of the Rights of the Commons of England, is no lefs a proof of his Modelty in Undertakeing, his Candor in true repeating matter of fact, and his Judgment in Managing hat Controverfy.

But fuppole Sir Humfry: Opinion alone might not have had weight enough to have Warranted an Action of fo great Coursequence, yet when Sir Barth. gives a fanction to it, what room is left for doubt: Whathe maintains paf-ies for Law immediatly, whatever Opinion People had of it before. Was there ever a proceeding more decried and detefted than that against the L. Ruffel? But as foon ac ever Sir Barth. undertook to Julify that whole Matter, in a Pamphlet which he called the Magestracy and Government of England Findicated, has not every Body bin farsfyed that my L. Ruffel was Tryed fairly, and fuffered juffly, and that the King and Parliament were in the wrong in reverting the Attainder. So I doubt not the it may appear a Paradox, to fay that the King may levy Takes without Parliament, yet when Sir Barth is heard to that point, all men will readily acquiefee in it. But fome may think I run on too fait, and prefume more then I ought on the prefume more then I ought on

the present opinion of that Eminent Lawyer. I have been teld, he has chang'd his mind more than once in a much less time. In his Presace to his Reports of Gales adjudged in the House of Lords, he fpoke of their Judica-ture as the Nobleft and most necessary part of the English Constitution, and in a few Months time he treated it in a Speech publickly in the Middle Temin a few Months time he treated it in a Speech publickly in the Middle Tem-ple Hall, as a down right Usurpation. It has bin alto told me when I was thought to lay too much firefs upon his fupport that he got but oddly off when he was charged with another very Solemn Opinion of his, by which the poor Souldiers fuffer'd Death for Deferting in King Jomes's time, which fome call Murder, and fetting up Martial Law in time of Veace, (a thing very Abharrent from the English Constitution: ) But he did not think it proper or feasonable to justify either his 1.2% or his Honesty upon the Occa-fion. contenting himfelf with faying be way not above 29 Nars Old when he did it, and had his Fortunes to wake. For my part I think those who call to mind loose fayings of this fort, have very

very

A fecond thing objected to this Noble Earl is his fitting and acting in the High Committion Court, which is pretended to be directly against One ex-

preis Act of Parliament, tho not against fo many of the former charges. I ought to be very cautious of what I fay in relation to this point, bethe Isung and causing to be Executed a Commission under the Great Seal for creeting of a Court call'd the Court of Commissioners for Ecclesiastical Causes, was one of the charges againk the late King. But I have been affur'd by very confiderable perfons, that in a debate not long fince touching the Deprivation of a Simoniacal Bishop fome Noble L----s made a large advance towards fhewing the Neceffity of fuch Commission, and would not allow but that their must be a failure of Justice without it.

But supposing that in all the Rigor of Law, as things now stand, this matter cannot be entirly maintain'd. Yet if I can prove the Noble Earl went herein upon as fure Grounds as may justify the Actions of a prudent man in any other cafe, he ought not to be Cenfured with Severity.

The Objections against this Commission are, that it was crected against Law, that it was intended for the ruin of the Church of England, and that it was carried on to the Eftablifhing of Popery in the Univerfities.

As to all these matters, I think the Noble Earl has much to fay for himfelf as can reasonably be expected from any man. As to the First this was a Commission for Ecclesiaftical Causes, and who shall Judg of it but Ecclesiaftical Lawyers? No man will fet himfelf upon an equal foot for Knowledg of this kind with the Right Honorable Sir Char. Hedges, his Majefty's Principal Secretary of State, and Judg of the High Court of Admiralty. Was not he Advecate to that Commission? And was it not by his Advice they Acted? Nothing furely which they did was more Objectable than their Proceedings against Magdalen Colledge. And was it not upon him that the Weight of that Expedition lay? I suppose no body will aftirm that the Ecclefiaftical Commiffioners, as to the Law part at that the did much rely on the then Bp. of *Cheffer*, or L. C. J. Wright, or Bar. Jenner. The Let-ter publish'd in the Printed Relation of those Proceedings, written by the Fellows of that Colledg, dated the 20 of Octob. 1687. and directed to this Honorable perfon, fays they were glad of his being Employ'd, becaufe of his Learning and Ingenuity. When an Enemy makes fuch a Confession had not this Noble Earl ground enough to Depend upon the Legality of a Commission, where fuch a Man, where fuch a Man fo frankly took fo great a fhare

Secondly, as the Noble Earl might well think himfelf fafe in point of Law from what has bin faid, fo most affuredly he could not think the Church could receive any Detriment from that Commission while he had the Right Reverend Father in God the L. Bp. of *Rochefter* fitting by his fide. I take him to be the Steady fupport of the Church even at this day. 'Tis he that protects the Inferior Clergy from Oppression. Tis at the Deanery of W --. that all the fecret Councils of the Church are held : And therefore the Noble Earl might upon good Grounds think himfelf fecure as to hurting the Church while he kept fuch Company.

I expect to have it objected to me that the Bp. has renounced this Commiffion in his printed Letter to my L. Dossett, which he owns to be Writ-ten only for a Mitigateon of his Offience, and not to Justify his fitting in that Court 1 acknowledg this to be true, but this Letter was Wrote after the Revolution, after all the Mifchief over, and after this Court was no more. So let the B --, in his Humility take what fhame he pleafes to himfelf, I ftill infift upon it, that his Example might very well mislead the Noble Earl.

Thirdly, The Noble Earl could never eutertain a fuspicion that this Commission could be to the prejudice of the Universities, and least of all to the introducing of Popery there, while the M — of N — made one of the Number of Committioners, which he did to the very laft : His Love to Learning is known by his liberality to Dedicators : his Skill in the Latin Language appear'd eminently in his reading two Commissions lately at a Conference, and could he be engag'd in a bufinel's which might prejudice the Universities ? As to Popery the Noble Earl I dare fay never heard him fufpected of the leaft Bias to that or any thing of that kind, and therefore he could fear nothing from a Commission where he had a Companion to Free from Superstition, from self Love, from pride, ill Nature, and so known an Enemy to France that is upon Record to this Day.

Texpect to be hit in the Teeth with his Confession and Retraction written to Dr. Tillot fon, and his devout kneeling at the Elevation on every Solemn Day in the P\_\_\_\_\_Chappel.

how this concerns the Noble Earl, whole Apology 1 am Writing and who furely if he did a little Err, deferves great Indulgence from every reasonable man upon the Account of his having Err'd in fuch Company."

(2) The Third thing which the Enemies of this Noble Earlendeavor to Iprese abroad to his prejudice, is, (what did provoke me above all other things, becaufe it feem'd to touch him as to his Juffice and Integrity, for which he does fo truly deferve tobe Honor'd,) I mean the cutting of Three leaves out of a Book containing the Accounts of the Hearth-Mony, during his Admi-nification of the Treasury in the Reign of King Charles the II. together with other Scandlous Circumstances relating to that affair.

But it pleases me infinitely to think I have the clearest Answer to give to this unworty furmile, (for it is no more,) which can poffibly be imagin'd, No man douhts of the Noble Earls Wildom and Forelight, and therefore it is impossible for any man to conceive that, if he had been Conscious of any indirect Practice, in that matter, he would not have made use of his Power (which all will Agree was very great after the time when this was fuppoled to be done) to have Damn'd all possibility of a future Enquiry. But on the Contrary, which I urge as a Demonstration of his Innocence, this whole Account is open at this very day. And if any of the Enemys of this Noble Earl have a mind to examine into it, they may do it as foon as they please ; and I dare fay he boldly Challenges them to do it. I have heard it faid in Difcourfe by his Maligners, that he may be fo bold now, becaufe Sir Pa. Traunt, Mr. Kingdon and Mr. Shales are dead, and that this Challenge was never heard of before. To this I fay, tho there be no end of venemous and malitious Infinuations, yet I thing it an Anfwer, that there are two worthy Gentlemen still Alive, and of great Reputation for integrity, who know the whole Truth of this matter; I mean Anthony Row, Elq; and Wi-Bridges, Efq; and if it should be thought fit to have them throly examin'd, I do not in the leaft doubt but it will tend to the Honour of this Noble Earl,

who is ready to abide the feverest Scrutiny. The Fourth thing which is frequenly Objected to this Noble E. is furely the most foul and worst Grounded of all Slanders, as if there had bin times when he was not thought a very Refolute and Zealous Protestant. But I shall easily Vindicate him.

There are two things urg'd as the Grounds for this Scandal. The First is, a Speech which it is pretended this L. made many Years fince, when he was Ambaffador to the King of *Poland*, wherein there are paffages which fhew him to have bin at that time in the Secret for Advancing Popery and France, and for Ruining the Liberties of England, and the Republick of Holland. Why may it not reasonably be believ'd that the Speech which is handed about is an Imposture. It is certain that John Sobisky King of Poland was a ftranger to the English Language, and therefore this is not the true Dicourfe pronounced before him, and possible it may be very unlike it. . To convince the World that there is a Protection often found for worth and Vertue when it is leaft expected: Tho this happen'd many years ago, yet there is a Re-verend Divine, and an unfufpected Son of the Church of England, Dr. Rob. South fill Living. He waited upon this Noble Earl in that Embaffy. He put this very Speech for him into Latin, in which Tongue the Earl Deliver-ed it, and the World will very fuddenly fee the Authentick P aper publified by that Learned perfon, which will doubtlefs be a full Vindication of his most Honored Lord and Patron.

The Second occasion taken for Propagating this Slander, will I hope appear very frivolous as foon as tis mention'd. It is that this Noble E. in King James's Reign was fo little fix'd and Refolv'd in his Religion, that he yielded to be inftructed, and was content to admit of a Dilpute between fome Priests of the Church of Rome and some Divines of our Church, in his prefence, and after it was over he remain'd fo far in suspence as not to Declare which fide had the better.

Suppoffing this Fact be true (which the Divines who were concern'd in the Dispute may perhaps fatisfy the World to be quite otherwise; ) I do not see what great weight can be laid on it. No man can be too well Inform'd. He migh be thought obstinate and unmannerly to his Master, if he had refused the Conference. Nor ought his filence to be Objected to him as a Fault, every one knows how extreamly wellbread the Noble E. is; and paradventure he might thing it Rude to put the Priefts out of Countenance. Perchance he thought it Wifdom at that time to keep his mind to himfelf. We all know how great a Mafter of Taciturnity he is. But to leave this kind of Reasoning, and to put all these unjust Suspitions to an end for ever, I will give you the Character of this Noble E, from one who knows him well, his own Chaplain Dr. Hickman, whofe word every Body will depend on. In his Dedication of his Printed Sermons to the Noble E. he tell him that he Afferted the caule of the Established Church in the worst of times, and had given the Worlda Glorious Example both of a Patriot and Confessor. It is fure he cannot mean fince the Revolution; after fo unbiaffed and difintereffed a Character, I shall add no more, and I hope every one will fay it is enough.

a Charafter, I fhall add no more, and I hope every one will fay it is enough. I will take no ice only of one more Objection made to the Noble E. which I think will need little Anfwer. It is faid, He oppofed the pnt ng the Crown on the Kings Head, would not have had him acknowledged. Righ ful King, Protected gain to the Bill of Re-cognition, and was violently agains the Afforiation, and a ded he like par in most be-ther things of that nature. Without enquiring how far thefe strings are true, I take this to have a very Sedi ious Tenden y. It i more a Referition on he King than the Noble Lord It is to in a tet, as if the King afted by Humor rath r then I dement, when the Chifes Minister foodly, no yit, is to call in queftion his Wildom. For most certainly, if Oppofing and obfructing be the way to get the first without at there take thing are fille, or elfe that the Noble E. hts found at there wy to mike more the neuron mough Amends to his Majeffies favor r > I con lude therefore, that e ther take thing are fille, or elfe that the Noble E. hts found at there wy to mike more the neuron mough Amends to his Majeffies favor herefore. The we construct the soft. I fhall Conclude at precing, the though here we not be determined when Apology, if other wife, I have done too much already.